# LISSAJOUS Orbit Control for the Deep Space Climate Observatory Sun-Earth L1 Libration Point Mission 

## AAS 15-611

## Craig Roberts

Sara Case
John Reagoso
a. i. solutions, Inc.


This work was performed under NASA contract \# NNG14VC09C.

## Agenda for DSCOVR Lissajous Orbit Control

- DSCOVR Lissajous Orbit Overview
- DSCOVR Stationkeeping
- SEZ Avoidance Maneuvers
- 6-month Z-control
- 3-month Z-control
- SEZ Avoidance Considerations
- SEZ Avoidance Fuel Budget
- SEZ Avoidance and Stationkeeping


## DSCOVR Lissajous Orbit Requirements

- DSCOVR Lissajous Orbit sized such that orbit track never extends beyond 15 degrees from Earth-Sun line (as seen from Earth)
- Requiring delta-V maneuvers, control orbit to obey a Solar Exclusion Zone (SEZ) cone of half-angle 4 degrees about the Earth-Sun line
- Spacecraft should never be less than 4 degrees from solar center as seen from Earth
- Following Lissajous Orbit Insertion (LOI), DSCOVR should be in an opening phase that just skirts the 4-degree SEZ
- Maximizes time to the point where a closing Lissajous will require avoidance maneuvers to keep it out of the SEZ
- Stationkeeping maneuvers should take no more than 15 minutes


## DSCOVR: Numerically Integrated Lissajous Orbit

Lissajous opening: evolution over 5 years from insertion on 7 June 2015


## DSCOVR: View from NEP including ACE, WIND, SOHO



## DSCOVR Lissajous Orbit Stationkeeping

- Collinear LPOs are inherently unstable; stationkeeping maneuvers needed at intervals to prevent escape
- Delta-Vs needed to correct perturbative effects grow exponentially with time
- Doubling time constant is $\sim 16$ days
- SK maneuvers impart a positive or negative change to orbital energy to prevent orbital decay and subsequent escape, either Earth-ward or Sun-ward, respectively
- SK maneuvers can be designed in variety of ways; discussed are techniques developed, studied or used for ACE, SOHO, and WIND, and will be used for DSCOVR
- Delta-V direction along the RLP frame $+X$ or $-X$ axis
- Delta-V direction along the RLP frame $+Y$ or $-Y$ axis
- Techniques work also if delta-V is directed off-axis
- For DSCOVR, off-axis variants could be up to ~12 degrees off respective axis
- Depending on chosen technique, DSCOVR may need to be slewed to burn attitude to align given thruster set with delta-V direction
- Slews could be up to 180 degrees


## DSCOVR: ‘X-control’ Stationkeeping Schematic



DEP = DSCOVR Earth Point attitude (body +X-axis (HGA bore-sight) points to Earth

## DSCOVR: 'Y-control’ Stationkeeping (RLP XZ View)



## SEZ Avoidance Maneuvers

- SEZ avoidance technique is known quantity; was used for ACE
- DSCOVR Lissajous track will violate the 4 deg SEZ in late 2019 if SEZ avoidance not implemented
- To avoid violation, an SEZ avoidance strategy should begin by the rev prior to the rev that would violate
- Location of these maneuvers: at or very near to the RLP z-dot $=0.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ point (northern and southern extremum points of the orbit)
- Use one of two main strategies:
- Maneuver once per rev, always at the same extremum point (~6 month intervals)
- Maneuver twice per rev, once at each extremum point ( $\sim 3$ mo. intervals)
- Delta-V cost is proportional to $A_{z}$; for this orbit, about 26 TO $27 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec} /$ year
- A burn of ~ 13 to $14 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ every 6 months
- A burn of ~ 6.5 to $7 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ every 3 months
- Delta-V direction is normal to ecliptic plane
- Toward South Ecliptic Pole (SEP) for burns on North side of orbit
- Toward North Ecliptic Pole (NEP) for burns at south side of orbit
- ACE experience: 5 successful SEZ burns from 11/1999 to 7/2001
- SEZ abolished by Science Working Team in latter 2001 to save fuel for very long extended mission


## DSCOVR Lissajous with "Frozen Phase" Segment

First Z-control
Burn at $+Z$
extremum
on
$4 / 28 / 2019$
$\Delta V_{Z}$ negative toward SEP
2-stage
targeting achieves -Z position then the $+Z$ position at RLP XZ plane
Repeat at each return to +Z extremum

## DSCOVR: Frozen Lissajous Showing Precluded Phase Segments

Dotted trace indicates precluded segments of the Orbit


## DSCOVR: 6-Month Z-control Design through 4 Cycles

Results for Case Controlling to SEV Angle $\geq 4.1$ degrees

| \# | Event | UTC Epoch | Delta-V <br> (m/sec) | Elapsed Days from LOI | Elapsed Time Between Z-control burns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SK-1 | 7 April 2019 | +0.166 | 1399.8 | n/a |
| 2 | Z-control \#1 | 28 April 2019 | -10.53 | 1421.1 | 0 |
| 3 | Z-control \#2 | 23 Oct 2019 | -13.89 | 1599.7 | 178.6 |
| 4 | Z-control \#3 | 13 April 2020 | -12.72 | 1772.6 | 172.9 |
| 5 | SK-2 | 18 Sept 2020 | -0.077 | 1928.8 | n/a |
| 6 | Z-control \#4 | 10 Oct 2020 | -14.38 | 1951.3 | 178.7 |
|  | Maneuver | Reference Frame | Targeting Variable | Goal Variable |  |
|  | SK | RLP | $\Delta \mathrm{Vx}$ | $\mathrm{Vx}=0.0 \pm 0.0001$ | $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
|  | Z-control | RLP | $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ | $\mathrm{Z}=+112,000 \pm 1$ | 00 km* |
| *at Northern Z-axis extremum |  |  |  |  |  |
| AAS/AIAA ASC 2015: AAS 15-611 9-13 August 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Uncontrolled Orbit and 6-Month Z-Control SEV Angles

Red Trace: uncontrolled orbit
Blue Trace: 6-Month Z-control

DSCOVR 5-Year Lissajous SEV Angle Evolution


## DSCOVR: 3-Month Control Superimposed on 6-Month Control

Demonstrates equivalency of 6-month control and 3-month control


## DSCOVR: 3-Month Z-control Design through 5 Cycles

Results for Case Controlling to SEV Angle $\geq 4.0$ degrees

| \# | Event | UTC Epoch | Delta-V <br> $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec})$ | Elapsed Days <br> from LOI | Elapsed Time <br> Between <br> Z-control burns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SK-1 | 7 April 2019 | +0.110 | 1399.8 | - |
| 2 | Z-control \#1 | 28 April 2019 | -6.53 | 1421.1 | 0 |
| 3 | Z-control \#2 | 29 July 2019 | +6.58 | 1512.7 | 91.6 |
| 4 | Z-control \#3 | 23 Oct 2019 | -6.60 | 1599.2 | 86.5 |
| 5 | Z-control \#4 | 19 Jan 2020 | +6.50 | 1687.1 | 87.9 |
| 6 | Z-control \#5 | 13 April 2020 | -6.70 | 1772.2 | 85.1 |


| Maneuver | Reference <br> Frame | Targeting <br> Variable | Goal <br> Variable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SK | RLP | $\Delta V x$ | $\mathrm{Vx}=0.0 \pm 0.0001 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Z-control | RLP | $\Delta \mathrm{Vz}$ | $\mathrm{Z}=-136,465 \pm 100 \mathrm{~km}$ (South) |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Z}=+116,000 \pm 100 \mathrm{~km}$ (North) |

## DSCOVR: 3-Month Control and 6-Month Control SEV Angles



## DSCOVR SEZ Avoidance Considerations

- Either 3 month or 6 month control can be used; decision may involve Science Team
- 3 month option may be preferred due to shorter burn duration (~15 min or less)
- On the other hand, 6-month control reduces operations impact
- Use +Z-axis delta-V configuration (thrusters 9 and 10)
- Attitude re-orientation Slews will be necessary to orient body Z-axis to target ecliptic pole direction, and then back to Science attitude
- Slews could be on the order of 180 deg each way, because science attitude has +Z-axis always pointing away from Earth-Sun line, roughly opposite to the needed direction for the SEZ burns
- -Z-axis configuration thrusters ( $1,3,6,8$ ) could in principle be used, but plume impingement issues being assessed
- Nominally, ample fuel should be available; not just thru 2020 but thru 2028 at least!


## DSCOVR Fuel Usage Actuals and Lifetime Projections

- 51 kg out of a budgeted 74 kg used for Transfer and Lissajous Insertion
- Leaves about 94 kg for remainder of mission
- SK expected to need no more than 2 kg yearly
- With nominal performance, probably << 1 kg
- During first full year of SEZ avoidance, expecting to use 7.5 to 8 kg
- Annual fuel costs decline slowly as we ride down blowdown curve

| Year \# | BOL | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 5 Yr Budget | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 |
| MCC-1 | 15 | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MCC-2 | 2.5 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOI | 51 | 49.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOIc | 5.5 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SK | 12 | 2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| SEZ Control | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7.6 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 5 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 0 |
| Delta-H | 2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| EOL/Margin | 43 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Unusable | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Consumed | n/a | 53.35 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 9.5 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 7.6 | 7 | 6.5 | 6 | 5.5 | 5 | 7.1 |
| Remaining | 145 | 91.55 | 89.35 | 87.15 | 80.95 | 71.45 | 62.65 | 54.55 | 46.95 | 39.95 | 33.45 | 27.45 | 21.95 | 16.95 | 9.85 |

Notes: 1) Delta-H = momentum management
2) $\mathrm{BOL} / \mathrm{EOL}=$ Beginning/End of Life
3) Actual usage to date (July 2015) highlighted yellow

## DSCOVR SEZ Avoidance and Stationkeeping

- SK maneuvers can be planned in tandem with Z-control burns
- Plan SK before first SEZ burn for two-burn targeting
- Or, include $\Delta V x$ component with the Z-control burn
- Either way using 2 by 2 differential corrections targeting
- Once Z-control burns initiated, they have potential to affect future SK burn magnitudes and frequency
- Z-control burns are $\sim 2$ orders of magnitude larger than typical SK burns; any in-plane error from Z-control will affect future SK
- If in-plane errors significant, they can be managed by planning an SK burn ~ 4 weeks later to do clean-up
- Minimum interval needed to get good post-burn OD about 3 weeks
- Going forward, may also need to increase overall SK frequency


## Concluding Remarks

- Mission design analysis has shown that DSCOVR stationkeeping may be performed using either X -control or Y -control with variations of each
- Successful Lissajous Orbit Insertion-Correction burn was executed using Xcontrol variant (staying in science attitude)
- First SK burn planned for Sept. $15^{\text {th }}$; predictions show $\sim 4 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$
- SK expected to consume no more than 2 kg per year; likely much less
- Analysis for SEZ avoidance shows that DSCOVR can follow in ACE's foot-steps, using either 6-month or 3-month control
- 6-month finite burn estimates: $\sim 30 \mathrm{~min}$ and $\sim 4 \mathrm{~kg}$ per burn, initially
- 3-month finite burn estimates: ~ 15 min and $\sim 2 \mathrm{~kg}$ per burn, initially
- Finite burn fuel usage study shows fuel enough to last thru at least 2028
- Current analysis looking at controlling to a 2-degree SEZ

