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## Part I Contents

- CA terminology and very high level concepts
- Space catalogue maintenance basics
- Collecting satellite position data
- Updating and propagating orbits
- OD uncertainty modeling through covariance
- Probability of collision computation
- CA screenings
- Conjunction Data Message contents


## CA TERMINOLOGY

## CA Terms (1 of 7)

- Conjunction Assessment (CA)
- An iterative process for determining the Point of Closest Approach (PCA) and Time of Closest Approach (TCA) of two tracked orbiting objects or between a tracked orbiting object and a launch vehicle (including spent stages) or payload
- PCA and TCA will be defined shortly
- Further activities to identify high-interest conjunction events
- Conjunction
- When the predicted miss distance between two on-orbit objects, or between a launch vehicle and an orbiting object, is less than a specified reporting volume
- On-Orbit CA (On-Orbit Screening)
- The process of determining the closest approach of two on-orbit satellites
- Primary Object
- The satellite asset, launched object or the ephemeris file that is being screened for potential conjunctions



## - Secondary Object

- All other satellite objects (examples: payloads, debris, R/B, or analyst satellites) against which the primary object is being screened for potential conjunctions



## CA Terms (4 of 7)

- Point of Closest Approach (PCA)
- The point in each object's orbit where the magnitude of the relative position vector (i.e., miss distance) between the 2 objects is a minimum
- The PCA occurs at the Time of Closest Approach (TCA)

Primary $\quad t_{1} \quad t_{2} \quad$ PCA $=$ Point of Closest Approach
Object

Secondary
Object

## CA Terms (5 of 7)

- Time of Closest Approach (TCA)
- The time at which the minimum miss distance between two objects occurs
- This occurs when the relative position vector is perpendicular to the relative velocity vector for the two objects involved in a conjunction



## CA Terms (6 of 7)

- Overall Miss Distance
- The PCA of one object relative to another; i.e., the minimum range, miss distance, or relative position magnitude between two satellites at TCA
- Can also be expressed by individual three-dimensional component



## CA Terms (7 of 7)

- Probability of Collision (Pc)
- Statistical measure of the likelihood that two objects' centers-of-mass will come within a specified distance of each other
- Pc calculation requires covariance data (i.e., uncertainty data) on each object; will be discussed later
- Pc values usually expressed in scientific notation, e.g., 1E-05
- Large values are 1E-04 and higher
- Small values are perhaps 1E-06 and lower


## - Screening Volume

- A spherical or ellipsoidal volume around the primary and secondary objects used to determine if a satellite pair is a conjunction candidate
- Collision on Launch Assessments (COLA)
- Screening performed on powered flight trajectory
- Some entities use "COLA" to mean collision avoidance, or implementation of a risk mitigating actin such as a maneuver. This is separate from CA.


## CATALOGUE MAINTENANCE

## The Catalog Maintenance Cycle

- Cycle in use since the late 50's, in many forms
- Sensors collect observations and send them to JSpOC
- JSpOC associates submitted observations to objects
- Orbits are updated using observations
- Tasking tells sensors how many observations should be collected to maintain desired orbital accuracy



## SENSOR OBS COLLECTION

## Current ‘Find’ Capability

Near Earth (NE) 'Find’


- Cavalier, Eglin and Shemya radars have some limited uncued NE ‘Find' capability


## Deep Space (DS) 'Find'

- The 3 GEODDS sites are the only dedicated DS 'Find' capability, and they have limiting factors



## Current ‘Fix and Track’ Capability

## Near Earth 'Fix \& Track'



- Ground Based Optical Sensors Provide Dedicated DS 'Fix and Track' Capability
- Radars Provide Limited DS ‘Fix and Track' Capability
- Eglin Provides Dedicated NE 'Fix and Track' Capability
- Missile Warning \& Contributing Sensors Provide
Non-Dedicated NE 'Fix and Track' Capability


## Deep Space 'Fix \& Track'



## Space Surveillance Network



## Observation Types

- Radars typically provide three observables
- Range to target (the most useful of the measurements)
- Two angles to target, typically azimuth and elevation
- Optical sensors report only two observables, both angles
- If azimuth mount (axis normal to earth), then report azimuth and elevation
- If ra/dec mount (axis points to north star), then report right ascension and declination
- Inertial system better suited to fixed background of stars



## Topocentric Horizon (SEZ)

- Origin: at sensor
- Fundamental plane: established by local horizontal plane
- Principal direction: points south
- When valid/applicable:
-At a radar's search (acquisition) time or when time tagging an observation
-Used to locate objects relative to a mechanical or phased array radar sensor (e.g., Eglin)
- Unit vectors: $S, E, Z$
- $\boldsymbol{S}$ points south
$\boldsymbol{E}$ points east
$Z$ points up (zenith)


From ASTRODYNAMICS CONCEPTS and TERMINOLOGY

## Topocentric Inertial

- Origin: at sensor S
- Fundamental plane: parallel to the equatorial plane
- Principal direction: points towards the vernal equinox of J2000 MEME frame
- When valid/applicable:
- At a radar's search (acquisition) time or when time tagging an observation
- Used to locate objects relative to a GEODSS optical sensor
- Unit vectors: None
- Origin S moves with sensor but the $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ axes do not rotate


From ASTRODYNAMICS CONCEPTS And TERMINOLOGY

## Sensor Tasking

- Sensor capacity is a limited resource
- Tasking function determines collection requirements
- Object type, mission determines tasking priority (category, values 1-5)
- Tasking priority is also affected by OD age
- Minimum tracks, obs/day to maintain each satellite (suffix, large \# of values)
- Tasking allocates satellites to sensors (SP Tasker)
-First determine sensor/satellite visibility
- Then estimate sensor response (detectability) for each satellite with visibility
- Specify the number of obs/tracks for each satellite/sensor pair
- Establish tracking priority for each satellite
- Composite Tasking List (CTL) sent to all tasked sensors
- Tasking operates on a 24-hour cycle; only one tasking request set per day


## Site Mission Planning

- Sites receive the CTL from JSpOC and plan data collection
- Mission planning allocates limited sensor resources to specific passes
- Calculate passes using Two-Line ELSETs from local catalog
- Estimate sensor response using radar range equation (radars) or visual magnitude (optical)
- Resource conflicts resolved by tasking category, i.e., when a conflict exists, go after the higher priority satellite
- Observations are collected according to mission plan
-Plan may be superseded by special tasking in support of Space Situational Awareness (SSA)


## Will All Tasked Satellites be Tracked? NO!

- Sensor may experience an outage
- Sensor may have bad value for satellite "size" in database
- Presume cannot be tracked or allocate too little energy for detection
- Sensor may not have enough energy/capacity to track object
- Tracking of higher-priority objects took more energy or time than expected
- Position information from JSpOC may be so poor that satellite not acquired by sensor
- Observation quality may be so poor (large obs covariance) that the track is discarded
- Sensor may misassign observations to a different satellite, thus "losing" the tracking information


## What does all of this have to do with Conjunction Assessment?

- CA events become known only by sensors' discovering the conjuncting objects in the first place
- Need for wide-area surveillance systems
- No proposed systems to track down to the 1 cm level, which is the hardening level for most spacecraft
- As events develop, additional tracking is desired in order to refine the OD and refine the risk assessment
- Small objects can be tracked only by certain sensors, so much of the "fix-track" capability not helpful here
- Conjuncting objects often have tasking increased to improve tracking, but this is subjected to the vicissitudes of the tasking process


## ORBIT DETERMINATION

## OD Concept Description

- OD applies a set of force models to a pre-existing orbit estimate and satellite tracking observations to produce an estimate of the orbital state (a "state estimate") at a particular time (called the epoch time)
- This state estimate can then be propagated forward to estimate the satellite's position and velocity at a future time
- CA processes involve predicting primary and secondary satellite states forward in time to find the PCA and TCA
- This process only as good as the underlying OD that produces the epoch state estimates
- Thus, some familiarity with OD specifics is necessary to understand CA subtleties

OD Force Models ORBIT DETERMINATION

## OD Force Modeling: 2-Body Motion

$$
\ddot{\vec{r}}=\ddot{\vec{r}}_{2 B}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{G}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{D}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{L S}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{R P}
$$

$$
\ddot{\vec{r}}_{2 B}=-\frac{\mu \vec{r}}{r^{3}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \vec{r}=\text { Vector from the center of the earth to the object } \\
& \mu=\text { Gravitational parameter (a constant) } \\
& r=\text { Magnitude (length) of the vector }
\end{aligned}
$$

## OD Force Modeling: Non-Spherical Earth

$$
\ddot{\vec{r}}_{G}=\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r}}\right)^{T}
$$

$$
\ddot{\vec{r}}=\ddot{\vec{r}}_{2 B}-\ddot{\vec{r}}_{G}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{D}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{L S}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{R P}
$$

where

$$
V=\frac{\mu}{r}\left(\sum_{n=2}^{n_{\max }}\left(\frac{a_{e}}{r}\right)^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n} P_{n m}(\sin \phi)\left[C_{n m} \cos m \lambda+S_{n m} \sin m \lambda\right]\right)
$$

and

| $\mu$ | $=$ GM |
| :--- | :--- |
| $G$ | $=$ Universal Constant of Gravitation |
| $M$ | $=$ Mass of earth |
| $a_{e}$ | $=$ Mean equatorial radius of the earth |
| $r$ | $=$ Distance from center of earth to the object |
| $P_{n m} \quad$ | $=$ Legendre polynomials |
| $\varphi \& \lambda=$ latitude and longitude of sub-point |  |
| $C_{n m}$ and $S_{n m}=$ Constants called spherical harmonics whose values |  |
| depend on the earth model selected |  |

## OD Force Modeling: Atmospheric Drag

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \qquad \ddot{\vec{r}}=\ddot{\vec{r}}_{2 B}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{G}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{D}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{L S}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{R P} \\
& \qquad \ddot{\vec{r}}_{D}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{C_{d} A}{m} \rho v_{a} \vec{v}_{a} \\
& \text { where }
\end{aligned}
$$

$B_{c}=C_{d} A / m=$ Ballistic Coefficient $=$ The DC solved-for Drag Term
$C_{d} \quad=$ Coefficient of drag, a constant between 1.0 and 4.0
$A \quad=$ Frontal area of the object that's exposed to the atmosphere
$m \quad=$ Mass of the object
$\rho \quad=$ Local atmospheric density
$\vec{v}_{a} \quad=$ Vector velocity of the object relative to the atmosphere
$v_{a} \quad=$ Magnitude of $\vec{v}_{a}$

## OD Force Modeling: Third Body Effects (Solar and Lunar Gravity)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\ddot{\vec{r}}=\ddot{\vec{r}}_{2 B}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{G}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{D}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{L S}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{R P} \\
\ddot{\vec{r}}_{L S}=-\mu_{m}\left(\frac{\vec{r}_{m b}}{\left|\vec{r}_{m b}\right|^{3}}+\frac{\vec{r}_{e m}}{\left|\vec{r}_{e m}\right|^{3}}\right)-\mu_{s}\left(\frac{\vec{r}_{s b}}{\left|\vec{r}_{s b}\right|^{3}}+\frac{\vec{r}_{e s}}{\left|\vec{r}_{e s}\right|^{3}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

where
$\mu_{m}=$ Gravitational constant of the Moon
$\mu_{s}=$ Gravitational constant of the Sun
$\vec{r}_{m b}=$ Position vector from Moon to satellite
$\vec{r}_{s b}=$ Position vector from Sun to satellite
$\vec{r}_{e m}=$ Position vector from Earth to Moon
$\vec{r}_{e s}=$ Position vector from Earth to Sun

## OD Force Modeling: Solar Radiation Pressure

$$
\ddot{\vec{r}}_{R P}=\Gamma \frac{\vec{r}_{s b}}{r_{s b}^{3}} \quad \ddot{\vec{r}}=\ddot{\vec{r}}_{2 B}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{G}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{D}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{L S}+\ddot{\vec{r}}_{R P}
$$

where
$\Gamma=\gamma A / m=$ Solar radiation pressure coefficient (ASW DC solve-for parameter)
$\gamma=$ Unit-less reflectivity coefficient of the satellite
$A=$ Projected cross-sectional area perpendicular to the vector towards the sun
$m=$ Satellite mass
$\vec{r}_{s b}=$ Inertial position vector from Sun to the satellite

Force Model Effects vs Altitude (normalized to force of Earth's gravity)


Reference: Spacecraft Systems Engineering, Fortescue and Stark

## General vs Special Perturbations

- General Perturbations (GP): the theory of TLEs
- Used for most of the space catalogue for most of SSA history, due to computer processing limitations
- Simplified geopotential (J2) and analytic atmospheric drag models
- Some truncated expressions throughout to simplify calculations
- No solar radiation pressure or third-body effects modeled
- Fast but imprecise
- Special Perturbations (SP): the theory of SP vectors
- All above perturbations represented and handled numerically
- All integration numeric
- Relatively slow but quite precise
- Originally, TLEs used for CA products
- Not precise enough to drive risk assessment and mitigation
- Now SP-based products available
- Much better situation


## OD Coordinate Systems

## ORBIT DETERMINATION

## Onicnon

## Using Sensor Observations in OD Updates

- Sensor radar observations are taken in a topocentric rotating coordinate system
- Optical measurements are generally taken in topocentric intertial
- OD generally conducted in an inertial framework
- Earth-centered Inertial, either in Cartesian or Equinoctial elements
- Coordinate transformation thus required in order to transform sensor observations into usable data in OD


## Earth Centered Inertial (ECI) Reference Frame

- Origin: at center of Earth
- Fundamental plane: is the plane of the equator
- Principal direction: along the line formed by the intersection of the equatorial plane and the ecliptic plane
- When valid/applicable:
- At epoch (fixed instant) of the coordinate system
- Used to (1) depict motion using Newton's laws and (2) represent points in an ephemeris file
- Associated unit vectors: $i, j, k$
$-k$ along Earth's rotational axis
$-i$ points to vernal equinox


Coordinate frame pictures from ASTRODYNAMICS CONCEPTS and TERMINOLOGY (Author: William N. Barker, Omitron, Inc.)

## OD General Description and Errors

 ORBIT DETERMINATION
## Onicnon

## General Description of Batch OD

- For simplicity, presume solving in Cartesian coordinates (X, Y, Z, Xdot, Ydot, Zdot, all in ECI)
- Collect set of observations taken throughout fit-span
- Calculate "predicted" ECI positions at point of each observation using a linearization of the force models explained previously
- Calculate the residuals at each of these points
- Set the partial derivatives of the equations for the squared residual values equal to zero (this approach used to define a maximum)
- Solve the non-linear equations and thus determine the "differential" amounts to be added to the position and velocity values
- Continue this iterative process until the weighted residual RMS changes less than a specified tolerance
- This completes the "differential correction" of the orbit


## Drag Solution: Largest Source of OD Error

- Mostly due to difficulty in predicting atmospheric density
- Uncertainties based on poor drag coefficient solution a distant second
- This in turn due to difficulties in estimating atmospheric temperature
- Temperature and density related through ideal gas law (remember high school chemistry?) and hydrostatic pressure law
-Bottom line: if can estimate temperature, can calculate expected density


## Thermospheric Heating: Earth Conduction and EUV Solar Heating

- Diurnal variations
- Day-to-night variations in the heating of the spherical Earth
- Heat reaches bottom of Thermosphere via conduction/convection; heats remainder of Thermosphere by conduction
- Semiannual variations
- Uneven heating of spherical earth at the solstices
- Changes relative densities of the different Thermosphere gases
- Solar activity
- Radiative heating of atomic, ionic, and molecular nitrogen, oxygen, hydrogen, and some helium/argon
- Extreme ultraviolet and x-ray radiation most strongly absorbed by these gases
- Sun temporally uniform in visible band; notably variant in EUV/X bands
- 27-day solar rotation causes pockets of activity to move in and out of visibility
- 11-year "solar cycle" brings peaks/troughs in overall level of activity
- Measurements of EUV/X activity are good proxies of amount of heat absorbed


## - Geomagnetic activity

- Sun constantly ejecting charged particles: solar wind
- Most prevented from encountering Earth by planet's magnetic field
- Small percentage can enter at the poles through "polar cusps"
- Solar storms produce bursts of such particles
- Those that enter the atmosphere cause ionization and other interactions; both produce atmospheric heating
- Can cause very large short-term density variations
- Measurements of irregularities in Earth's magnetic field can determine level of such activity



## Solar Radiation Pressure Effects

- SRP effects an issue for deep-space satellites, where drag effect is small(er)
- Force is always in anti-solar direction and depends on satellite illumination and area/mass ratio
- High area-to-mass ratio satellites can be heavily influenced by SRP (factor of 10 greater than drag effects) and can be very difficult to correct or predict accurately



## OD Quality Factors

ORBIT DETERMINATION

## Onicnon

## OD Quality Determinant: Tracking Adequacy

- General relationship between amount of tracking and resultant OD quality
- "Hybrid" relationship: exponential relationship with smaller amounts of tracking; linear to almost zero-slope relationship with large tracking amounts
- For CA, would like tracking for secondaries to be in the "flatter" part of the curve, which represents the main part of the distribution
- Once CA event is identified, increased tasking can be used (if necessary) to try to accomplish this



## OD Quality Determinant: Fit Statistics

- Typically, quality of a fit represented by average size of residuals
- JSpOC ODs weight individual observables by the expected error in those observables
- Determined by evaluating sensor observation errors against reference orbits
- Therefore, weighted root-mean square (WRMS) method to use to evaluate fit quality
- Mean of the squares of the weighted residuals (residuals divided by standard deviations of their expected errors

$$
\text { Weighted } R M S=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{r_{i}}{\sigma_{i}}\right)^{2}}{n}}
$$

- Values close to unity indicate a good fit
- Very large or small values indicate questionable fit
-For CA purposes, requested that such fits be re-executed manually


## OD Quality Determinant: Orbit Distribution

- Tracking Distribution
- Poor distribution affects OD quality
- Once $50 \%$ of the orbit arc is tracked, any additional distribution has rather little additional benefit
- Evaluation method
- Divide orbit into sectors (usually 6)
- Determine the number of sectors that contain observations in the present fit-span
- If only one or two sectors, additional tracking should be considered
- Also desirable to have tracking in sector in which TCA will occur


## What does all of this have to do with Conjunction Assessment?

- Accuracy of close-approach prediction dependent on quality of OD for primary and secondary objects
- Primary usually more orbitally stable object and tracked more thoroughly
- OD quality issues arise more frequently with secondaries
- Problems in modeling of atmospheric drag and solar radiation pressure frequent cause of OD difficulties for CA
- Solar storms, particularly those that arise in the middle of a CA event, cause particular difficulties
- Solar radiation pressure is relatively new problem for CA but does influence deep-space CA state estimates and covariances
- If solution is poor, consider remediation approaches
- Requests for additional tracking
- Manual execution of questionable ODs


## OD UNCERTAINTY: COVARIANCE

## OD Solutions

- Purpose of OD
- Generate estimate of the object's state at a given time (called the epoch time)
- Generate additional parameters and constructs to allow object's future states to be predicted (accomplished through orbit propagation)
- Generate a statement of the estimation error, both at epoch and for any predicted state (usually accomplished by means of a covariance matrix)
- Error types
- OD approaches (either batch or filter) presume that they solve for all significant systematic errors
- Remaining solution error is thus presumed to be random (Gaussian) error
- Sometimes this error can be intentionally inflated to try to improve the fidelity of the error modeling
- Nonetheless, presumed to be Gaussian in form and unbiased


## OD Parameters Generated by ASW Solutions

- Solved for: State parameters
- Six parameters needed to determine 3-d state fully
- Cartesian: three position and three velocity parameters in orthogonal system
- Element: six orbital elements that describe the geometry of the orbit
- Solved for: Non-conservative force parameters
- Ballistic coefficient ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{m}$ ); describes vulnerability of spacecraft state to atmospheric drag
- Solar radiation pressure (SRP) coefficient ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{m}$ ); describes vulnerability of spacecraft state to visible light momentum from sun
- Considered: ballistic coefficient and SRP consider parameter
- Not solved for but "considered" as part of the solution
- Derived from information outside of the OD itself
- Discussed later


## OD Uncertainty Modeling

- Characterizes the overall uncertainty of the OD epoch and/or propagated state
- Uncertainty of each estimated parameter and their interactions
- This is a characterization of a multivariate statistical distribution
- In general, need the four cumulants to characterize the distribution
- Mean, variance, skewness, and kurtosis; and their mutual interactions
- Requires higher-order tensors to do this for a multivariate distribution
- Assumptions about error distribution can simplify situation substantially
- Presuming the solution is unbiased places the mean error values at zero
- Presuming the error distribution is Gaussian eliminates the need for the third and fourth cumulants
- Error distribution can thus be expressed by means of variances of each solved-for component and their cross-correlations
- Thus, error can be fully represented by means of a covariance matrix

Covariance Matrix Construction: Symbolic Example

- Three estimated parameters (a, b, and c)
- Variances of each along diagonal
- Off-diagonal terms the product of two standard deviations and the correlation coefficient ( $\rho$ ); matrix is symmetric

|  | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{a}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{2}$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{ab}} \sigma_{\mathrm{a}} \sigma_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{ac}} \sigma_{\mathrm{a}} \sigma_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathbf{b}$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{ab}} \sigma_{\mathrm{a}} \sigma_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{2}$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{bc}} \sigma_{\mathrm{a}} \sigma_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathbf{c}$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{ac}} \sigma_{\mathrm{a}} \sigma_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{bc}} \sigma_{\mathrm{a}} \sigma_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

## Covariance often Expressed in Satellite Centered (UVW) Coordinate Frame

- Origin: at satellite
- Fundamental plane: established by the instantaneous position and velocity vectors of the satellite
- Principal direction: along the radius vector to the satellite
- When valid/applicable:
- Valid at time tag for the point
- Used to represent miss distances relative to the Primary in an Orbital Conjunction Message (OCM)
- Unit vectors: $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}$
$-\boldsymbol{w}$ is perpendicular to the position and velocity vectors
$-v$ established by the right hand


Coordinate frame pictures from ASTRODYNAMICS CONCEPTS and TERMINOLOGY (Author: William N. Barker, Omitron, Inc.)

## Example Covariance from CDM

- $8 \times 8$ matrix typical of most ASW updates
- Some orbit regimes not suited to solution for both drag and SRP; these covariances $7 \times 7$
- Mix of different units often creates poorly conditioned matrices
- Condition number of matrix at right is $9.8 \mathrm{E}+11$-terrible!
- Often better numerically (and more intuitive) to separate matrix into sections
- First $3 \times 3$ portion (amber) is position covariance-often considered separately


## Position Covariance Ellipse

- Position covariance defines an "error ellipsoid"
- Placed at predicted satellite position
- Square root of variance in each direction defines each semi-major axis (UVW system used here)
-Off-diagonal terms rotate the ellipse from the nominal position shown
- Ellipse of a certain "sigma" value contains a given percentage of the expected data points
-1-б: 19.9\%
-2-б: 73.9\%
-3-б: 97.1\%
-Note how much lower these are than the univariate normal percentage points


## Batch Epoch Covariance Generation (1 of 2)

- Batch least-squares update (ASW method) uses the following minimization equation
$-d x=\left(A^{\top} W A\right)^{-1} A^{\top} W b$
- $d x$ is the vector of corrections to the state estimate
- A is the time-enabled partial derivative matrix, used to map the residuals into statespace
- W is the "weighting" matrix that provides relative weights of observation quality (usually $1 / \sigma$, where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation generated by the sensor calibration process)
- $b$ is the vector of residuals (observations - predictions from existing state estimate)
- Covariance is the collected term ( $\left.A^{\top} W A\right)^{-1}$
- A the product of two partial derivative matrices:
- $A=\frac{\partial(o b s)}{\partial X_{0}}=\frac{\partial(o b s)}{\partial X} \frac{\partial X}{\partial X_{0}}$
- First term: partial derivatives of observations with respect to state at obs time
- Second term: partial derivatives of state at obs time with respect to epoch state


## Batch Epoch Covariance Generation (2 of 2)

- Formulated this way, this covariance matrix is called an a priori covariance
- A does not contain actual residuals, only transformational partial derivatives
-So (A $\left.{ }^{\top} W A\right)^{-1}$ is a function only of the amount of tracking, times of tracks, and sensor calibration relative weights among those tracks
- Not a function of the actual residuals from the correction
- Limitations of a priori covariance
- Does not account well for unmodeled errors, such as transient atmospheric density prediction errors
- Because not examining actual fit residuals
- W-matrix only as good as sensor calibration process
- Principal weakness of present process, but expected to be improved eventually with JSpOC Mission System (JMS) upgrades


## Covariance Propagation Methods

- Full Monte Carlo
- Perturb state at epoch (using covariance), propagate each point forward to $t_{\mathrm{n}}$ with full non-linear dynamics, and summarize distribution at $t_{\mathrm{n}}$
- Sigma point propagation
- Define small number of states to represent covariance statistically, propagate set forward by time-steps, reformulate sigma point set at each time-step, and use sigma point set at $t_{\mathrm{n}}$ to formulate covariance at $t_{\mathrm{n}}$
- Linear mapping
- Create a state-transition matrix by linearization of the dynamics and use it to propagate the covariance to $t_{\mathrm{n}}$ by pre- and post-multiplication
- All three of above methods legitimate
- List moves from highest to lowest fidelity and computational intensity
- JSpOC uses linear mapping approach


## Covariance Tuning

- For CA, position covariance needs to be a realistic representation of the state uncertainty volume at the propagation point of interest
- Two aspects to this requirement
- Does the position error volume conform to a trivariate Gaussian distribution?
- If so, is it of the proper dimensions and orientation?
- Regarding the first item, extensive study has confirmed that this is not an issue for high-PC events (Pc>1E-04)
- Ghrist and Plakalovic (2012)
-248 cases examined in different orbit regimes, with prop times of 2 to 7 days
-2-d Pc calculation compared to Monte Carlo (with 4E+07 trials)
- Only one case of more than 10\% deviation between 2-d and MC calculation
- And $10 \%$ deviation not considered operationally significant
- Explanation: high Pc requires covariance overlap near the centers of the covariances-a part that is not affected by non-Gaussian alterations
- Second item is area of legitimate concern


## Covariance Realism Evaluation Method

- Presume reference orbit (or precision observation) available for a satellite
- Position differences between predicted ephemeris and precision position (from reference orbit or observation) are dU, dV, and dW
- Can be collected into vector $\varepsilon$
- Mahalanobis distance ( $\varepsilon^{*} \mathrm{C}^{-1}$ * $\varepsilon^{\top}$ ) represents the ratio of the difference to the covariance's prediction
- For a trivariate distribution, expected value is 3
- A group of such calculations should conform to a chi-squared distribution with three degrees of freedom
- This method (distribution testing of groups of such calculations) used to determine if covariance properly sized
- Examine individual component performance of covariance modeling to determine principal sources of the irrealism
- Deviation probably stems from non-conservative force modeling (drag and/or solar radiation pressure)
- If using process noise, tune/modify process noise matrix to attempt to compensate
- Originally directed at geopotential mismodeling; but with common use of higher-order theories, no longer the principal source of errors
- If using batch methods, include consider parameters
- Additive value applied to either the drag or solar radiation pressure variances (or both) in order to make them larger
- Poor modeling of these phenomena requires larger uncertainty estimate
- Through cross-correlation terms, these variances will affect the other covariance parameters through the linear state transition
- Continue tuning process until proper distribution of calculated Mahalanobis distances achieved


## What does all of this have to do with Conjunction Assessment?

- The covariance is an integral part of the computation of the probability of collision (Pc)
- Pc is single metric that encapsulates the collision risk
- Reliable covariances for primary and secondary objects almost as important as reliable state estimates for determining Pc and therefore collision risk
- Covariance production and tuning matters of great interest to CA enterprise
- Methods to compensate for covariance determination issues discussed in Part 2 of this course


## 2-D PC COMPUTATION

## Calculating Probability of Collision (Pc): 3D Situation at Time of Closest Approach (TCA)



Figure taken from Chan (2008)

## Calculating Pc: 2-D Approximation (1 of 3)

 Combining Error Volumes- Assumptions
- Error volumes (position random variables about the mean) are uncorrelated
- Result
- All of the relative position error can be centered at one of the two satellite positions
- Secondary satellite is typically used
-Relative position error can be expressed as the additive combination of the two satellite position covariances (proof given in Chan 2008)
- $C_{a}+C_{b}=C_{c}$
- Must be transformed into a common coordinate system, combined, and then transformed back


## Calculating Pc: 2-D Approximation (2 of 3) Projection to Conjunction Plane

- Combined covariance centered at position of secondary at TCA
- Primary path shown as "soda straw"
- If conjunction duration is very short
- Motion can be considered to be rectilinear-soda straw is straight
- Conjunction will take place in 2-d plane normal to the relative velocity vector and containing the secondary position
- Problem can thus be reduced in dimensionality from 3 to 2
- Need to project covariance and primary path into "conjunction plane"



## Calculating Pc: 2-D Approximation (3 of 3) Conjunction Plane Construction

- Combined covariance projected into plane normal to the relative velocity vector and placed at origin
- Primary placed on x-axis at (miss distance, 0 ) and represented by circle of radius equal to sum of both spacecraft circumscribing radii
- Z-axis perpendicular to $\mathbf{x}$-axis in conjunction plane



## 2-D Probability of Collision Computation

- Rotate axes until they align with principal axes of projected covariance ellipse
- Pc is then the portion of the density that falls within the HBR circle
$-r$ is $[x z]$ and $C^{*}$ is the projected covariance

$$
P_{C}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2 \pi)^{2} \mid C^{*}} \iint_{A}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \vec{r}^{T} C^{*-1} \vec{r}\right) d X d Z
$$



## 2-D vs. 3-D Conjunction Geometry



## Oniknon

## Monte Carlo Description

- If relative velocity between primary and secondary too small (< $10 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, or encounter durations longer than 500s), 2-D rectilinear assumption breaks down
- Best alternative in this case is to use Monte Carlo approach
- TCA may not be point of highest risk in low-velocity cases
- Full, propagated Monte Carlo procedure
- Perturb primary and secondary positions (and perhaps velocities) at vector epochs, using epoch covariances for each
- Propagate each forward until region of close approach passed
- Determine whether the two trajectories come within a proximity tolerance of each other
- Divide number of proximity violations by number of overall trials; this quotient is an empirical Pc
- Lower-risk situations may require a large number of trials to produce meaningful results


## What does all of this have to do with

## Conjunction Assessment?

- The Pc calculation is the core of Conjunction Assessment risk evaluation
- The 2-D Pc calculation approach is adequate for most close approaches
- Monte Carlo necessary for those few cases that do not conform to the short-duration assumption


## JSPOC SCREENINGS

## JSpOC Screening Fundamentals

- Screening is a JSpOC process that determines which secondary satellites will pass within a specified distance of a primary (protected) asset
- Screening consists of four parts:
- Filtering out secondary satellites that cannot possibly collide with the primary and thus do not need further analysis
- Of the remaining satellites, comparing ephemerides of primary and secondary to determine whether a secondary represents a penetration of the screening volume
- Of the "penetrating satellites," determining which have componentized miss distances smaller than set thresholds
- Of these satellites that violate these thresholds, generating a Conjunction Data Message (CDM) that gives states and covariances of both objects at TCA, as well as other conjunction and OD information


## Screening Filtering

- The following three filters are commonly used (derived from Hoots 1984)
- Perigee-apogee comparisons between primary and secondary—identify cases in which difference exceeds a threshold that indicates no possibility of collision
- Closest point between both elliptical trajectories—analytic method to find closest point between the two orbits and, if larger than a threshold, dismiss pair as extremely unlikely to collide
- Closest approach between two reasonably close orbits—analytical method to consider orbital positions (treated as angles) and determine if these remain large enough to eliminate pairing as conjunctors
- Pairings remaining after filtering are subjected to the "fly by" test (next chart)


## "Fly By" Ephemeris Comparison

- Generate ephemerides for primary and secondaries that are possible threats
- Construct screening volume box (or ellipsoid) about primary
- "Fly" the box along the primary's ephemeris
- Any penetrations of box constitute possible conjunctions
- For these conjunctions, generate CDM
- State estimates and covariances at TCA
- Relative encounter information
- OD information



## CDM CONTENTS

## Conjunction (rather than object) Information



```
=1.0
=JSPOC
=12345_conj_45678_2015107235948
=2015-\overline{1}07T2\overline{3}:59:4\overline{8}.867
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(=8083\) & {\([\mathrm{~m}]\)} \\
\(=12067\) & {\([\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}]\)} \\
\(=-184.5\) & {\([\mathrm{~m}]\)} \\
\(=4764.9\) & {\([\mathrm{~m}]\)} \\
\(=6526.6\) & {\([\mathrm{~m}]\)} \\
\(=-21.6\) & {\([\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}]\)} \\
\(=-9745.0\) & {\([\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}]\)} \\
\(=7118.0\) & {\([\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}]\)}
\end{tabular}
```

- Creationtime - not necessarily the time of either OD
- Time of closest approach (will change slightly with updates)
- Querall miss distance and relative speed
- Relative position/velocity in RTN coordinates (another name for RIC or UVW, previously defined)


## CDM Contents:

## Object OD Information—Force Model Settings



OBJECT
OBJECT_DESIGNATOR
CATALOG_NAME
OBJECT NAME
INTERNĀTIONAL_DESIGNATOR
EPHEMERIS NAME
COVARIANCE METHOD
MANEUVERABLE
REF_FRAME
GRAVITY MODEL
ATMOSPHERIC_MODEI
N_BODY_PERTURBATIONS
SOLAR RAD PRESSURE
EARTH_TIDES
INTRACK_THRUST
=OBJECT1
$=12345$
=SATCAT
=NASASat
=2015-001
=NONE
=CALCULATED
$=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$
=ITRF
=EGM-96: 36D 360
=JBH0 9
=MOON, SUN
=YES
$=Y E S$
$=\mathrm{NO}$

- Object/Ephemeris identification information
- Force model settings (geopotential, atmosphere, third-body effects, SRP, solid earth tides, and thrust.


## CDM Contents: Object OD Information-OD Factors and Quality


$=2015-105 \mathrm{~T} 18: 19: 13.000$
$=2015-106 \mathrm{~T} 18: 19: 13.000$
$=3.92$
$=0.98$
$=1187$
$=242$
$=94.8$
$=1.219$
$=7.8760$
$=0.035393$
$=0.048694$
$=0.00000 \mathrm{E}+00$
$=3.68502 \mathrm{E}-04$
[W/kg]

- Obs span - given in actual times if allowed; if not, the ob span coming from the Dynamic LUPI algorithm and the actual obs span used (in days) is reported
- The total number of obs in the recommend obs span, the total actually used, and of those the \% of residuals actually accepted
- The weighted RMS of the OD (ideal value is unity)
- Cross-sectional area of satellite (estimated by RCS), ballistic coefficient, SRP coefficient, thrust, and energy dissipation rate

CDM Contents: Object OD Information-State Estimate at TCA


| $=-957.341241$ | $[\mathrm{~km}]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $=-1513.787587$ | $[\mathrm{~km}]$ |
| $=-6859.189678$ | $[\mathrm{~km}]$ |
| $=-6.880520613$ | $[\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}]$ |
| $=-2.721926454$ | $[\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}]$ |
| $=1.562396855$ | $[\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}]$ |
| $=1.082903 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $[\mathrm{~m} * * 2]$ |
| $=-3.623001 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $[\mathrm{~m} * * 2]$ |
| $=9.930017 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $[\mathrm{~m} * * 2]$ |
| $=1.256933 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $[\mathrm{~m} * * 2]$ |
| $=-2.656842 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $[\mathrm{~m} * * 2]$ |
| $=5.868137 \mathrm{E}+01$ |  |

- Position and velocity at TCA (in EDR coordinates: fixed to rotating earth but with only four nutation terms)
- Covariance elements at TCA (a_a is diagonal element; $a_{-} b$ is covariance element between a and b)
- Velocity, drag, and SRP covariance parameters also available if populated


## Earth Centered Rotating (ECR) Coordinate System

- Origin: at center of Earth
- Fundamental plane: established by the equatorial plane
- Principal direction: at $0^{\circ}$ longitude (through Greenwich meridian)
- When valid/applicable:
- Always and forever. A sensor does not move relative to the crust of the Earth
-Used to represent locations of sensors fixed to the Earth's crust
$-\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{S}}$ can be represented by $\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{S}}, \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{S}}$, and $\boldsymbol{Z}_{S}$ or by longitude, (geodetic) latitude, and height above/below the reference Earth ellipsoid
- Unit vectors: None. Axes labeled X, $Y$, and $Z$
- Rotates with crust of Earth
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