University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado

# **Identifying Accessible Near-Earth Objects for Crewed Missions with Solar Electric Propulsion**

Stijn De Smet Jeffrey S. Parker Jonathan F.C. Herman Jonathan Aziz *Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Research University of Colorado at Boulder*

Brent W. Barbee Jacob A. Englander *NASA Goddard Space Flight Center*

University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado

- $\geq$  Low thrust options were not considered because of computational cost
- This research lays some of the foundation for expanding the NHATS study with solar electric propulsion



- Crucial step in designing crewed missions to NEOs is identification of good targets
- Near-earth object Human space flight Accessible Targets Study (NHATS)
	- $\triangleright$  Only for chemical trajectories



**Motivation**



 Identify all feasible trajectories to NEAs to all asteroids in time frame 2015-2040

**NHATS background**

- Requirements:
	- $\triangleright$  Total mission  $\Delta V \leq 12$  km/s
	- Mission duration ≤ 450 days
	- Stay time ≥ 8 days
	- Re-entry velocity ≤ 12 km/s at 125 km
- Trajectory design: Lambert solver
- Highly automated system: automatically re-computes trajectories for asteroid when ephemeris of asteroid is updated, as well as automatically computing trajectories for newly discovered asteroids



- To identify attractive rendezvous missions with NEAs using solar electric propulsion
- Compare those attractive SEP rendezvous trajectories with the chemical trajectories
	- Comparison is complicated by different nature of chemical and SEP trajectories



- Chemical trajectories are ranked based on total mission ΔV
	- $\triangleright$  SEP operates on longer time scales  $\rightarrow$  also at kinematically inefficient points (gravity losses)  $\rightarrow$  higher  $\Delta V$
	- $\triangleright$  SEP has higher Isp  $\rightarrow$  less propellant mass for same  $\Delta V$

 $\rightarrow$  Unfair to only compare on total mission  $\Delta V$ 

- Comparison will be made based on initial mass in low-Earth orbit (IMLEO)
- For same payload mass, increasing IMLEO for chemical systems leads to higher achievable ΔV, increasing mission opportunities
	- $\triangleright$  SEP systems can only expel certain amount of propellant in certain time frame dependent on power of system
	- $\triangleright$  Increasing IMLEO / propellant mass does not always result in more mission opportunities





 Use chemical trajectories to estimate lower bound on required power for each SEP trajectory

**Method**

- Use this information as filter for SEP trajectories to avoid running clearly infeasible trajectories
- Implement SEP & optimize trajectories
	- Using chemical trajectory design variables as initial guess
- Compute IMLEO for both SEP and chemical trajectories and compute their difference



## **Method – filtering of 2000 SG344**

**Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Research**



University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado



**Method – trajectory optimization**



University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado

### **Optimization parameters**

## **Assumptions for SEP**



### **Derived from NHATS**

Maximum re-entry velocity 12 km/s Maximum total mission duration 450 days

### **Trajectory example**

**Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Research**

University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado









**Results** 



- 2004 VJ1 150 kW: similar to 2015 BM510: could be launched with 2 SLS 4xRL10, its chemical counterpart needs at least 3 SLS 4xRL10
- Also scenarios with 300 kW have been investigated
	- **Launch window for 3 SLS 4xRL10 with SEP allows for smaller** TOF's than chemical



- SEP can be used to significantly enhance crewed NEO rendezvous missions
	- Initial mass in LEO can be reduced
	- **Launch periods can be extended**
	- Additional mission opportunities become available
	- TOFs can be reduced
- These benefits are not achievable with traditional impulsive maneuvers
- Results presented here suggest that many other targets in the asteroid population would enjoy similar performance improvements through the use of SEP

CA

**Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Research**

University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado

# **Identifiying Accessible Near-Earth Objects for Crewed Missions with Solar Electric Propulsion**

Stijn De Smet Jeffrey S. Parker Jonathan F.C. Herman Jonathan Aziz *Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Research University of Colorado at Boulder*

Brent W. Barbee Jacob A. Englander *NASA Goddard Space Flight Center*



**Extra slides**

**Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Research**

University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado

Extra slides

University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado

• Rough guess for required spacecraft power is

 $P_0 = \frac{\Delta V \cdot m_{\text{avg}} \cdot I_{\text{sp}} \cdot g_0}{2\Delta t \cdot \eta_{\text{jet}} \cdot \varepsilon_T}$ 

 Average mass is the average of the mass after the chemical departure burn and the mass at Earth return

$$
m_{\text{avg}} = \frac{m_{0,\text{SEP}} + M_{\text{Earth return}}}{2} = \frac{M_{\text{Earth return}}}{2} \cdot \left( 1 + \exp\left(\frac{\Delta V}{I_{\text{sp}} \cdot g_0}\right) \right)
$$

This gives

$$
P_0 = \frac{\Delta V \cdot m_{\text{PL}} \cdot \left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{\Delta V}{I_{\text{sp}} \cdot g_0}\right)\right) \cdot I_{\text{sp}} \cdot g_0}{4\Delta t \cdot \eta_{\text{jet}} \cdot \varepsilon_T - k_{P_0} \cdot \Delta V \cdot I_{\text{sp}} \cdot g_0 \left(1 + \exp\left(\frac{\Delta V}{I_{\text{sp}} \cdot g_0}\right)\right)}
$$



Chemical

CCAR

IMLEO = 
$$
M_{PL} + M_{chem \, prop} + M_{chem \, prop, \, esc} + M_{kick \, stage}
$$
  
\n=  $M_{PL} + M_{chem \, prop} + (1 + k_{KS}) \cdot M_{chem \, prop, \, esc}$   
\n=  $M_{PL} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\Delta V_{tot} - \Delta V_{esc}}{I_{sp,2} \cdot g_0}\right) \cdot \left((1 + k_{KS}) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\Delta V_{esc}}{I_{sp,1} \cdot g_0}\right) - k_{KS}\right)$ 

**IMLEO formulation**

• SEP

IMLEO = 
$$
M_{\text{Earth ret}} + M_{\text{SEP prop}} + M_{\text{chem prop, esc}} + M_{\text{kick stage}}
$$
  
\n=  $M_{\text{Earth ret}} + M_{\text{SEP prop}} + (1 + k_{\text{KS}}) \cdot M_{\text{chem prop, esc}}$   
\n=  $\left( M_{\text{Earth ret}} + M_{\text{SEP prop}} \right) \cdot \left( (1 + k_{\text{KS}}) \cdot \exp \left( \frac{\Delta V_{\text{esc}}}{I_{\text{sp},1} \cdot g_0} \right) - k_{\text{KS}} \right)$ 





**Results** 

CCAR

8/1/2015 AAS 15-598

University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado



**Results** 

CCAR

8/1/2015 AAS 15-598

University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado



**Results** 



### **Table 3:** Minimal IMLEO for the different scenarios



**Summary results**

University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado

#### Table 4: Launcher analysis



**Summary results**

University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado

#### Table 4: Launcher analysis



**Summary results**

University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado

#### Table 4: Launcher analysis

