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Alumina Size Distributions from High-Pressure Composite Solid-Propellant Combustion
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NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio

Alumina size distributions were obtained for both a coarse and a fine oxidizer composite propellant burning in a nitrogen atmosphere over the pressure range from atmospheric to 500 psi. The amount of additive agglomeration was found to be significantly higher for the coarse oxidizer propellant and decreased with increasing pressure up to the range from atmospheric pressure to 250 psi. High-speed photographs of the burning propellant surface revealed that the additives moved on the surface with the average particle velocity decreasing with pressure to approximately the 0.3 power over the pressure range from atmospheric to 50 psi. The empirical relation between particle velocity and pressure was used to modify an agglomeration criterion presented previously. Evaluation of the critical aluminum diameter required for agglomeration indicated that both propellant types used in this study should experience some agglomeration over the pressure range studied. The aluminum size required for agglomeration was found to increase with increasing pressure. The experimental findings of the critical aluminum diameter required for agglomeration were in reasonable agreement with the calculated data. The volume mean diameter of the alumina was found to decrease with increasing pressure.

Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>const, (\times K/A^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>burning rate constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C_a)</td>
<td>concentration of oxidizer vapors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_{a/f})</td>
<td>diffusion coefficient of oxidizer vapors through fuel vapors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_a)</td>
<td>diameter of additive particle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(K)</td>
<td>pressure exponent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>number of additive particles per unit volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p)</td>
<td>combustion pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(r)</td>
<td>linear regression rate of solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v)</td>
<td>perturbation velocity of additive particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\xi)</td>
<td>interparticle oxidizer spacing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_a)</td>
<td>density of additive particle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau_a)</td>
<td>characteristic agglomeration time, (4/\pi n d_a^3 v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau_b)</td>
<td>characteristic burning (reaction) time, (p \xi d_a/12D_{a/f} c_v)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau_r)</td>
<td>characteristic residence time, (d_a/r)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Introduction

The use of fine metallic powders, such as aluminum, continues to be one of the most effective and utilized techniques for the suppression of combustion instability in solid-propellant rocket engines regardless of the fact that the additive suppression mechanism is still not well understood. Recent experiments indicated that the increased damping in a solid-propellant system due to the addition of fine metallic powders could be explained by means of a particle damping theory. The fact that this result contradicts earlier references in the field suggests that the complexity of the problem is such as to reinforce the opinion that the coupling mechanism between the gas-phase oscillations and the combustion process and the suppression of the oscillations with fine metallic particles will not be completely resolved until a more fundamental and detailed understanding of the metallic-solid combustion processes is obtained.

In order to extend our knowledge of the metallic-solid combustion, it is informative to investigate metal additive behavior during actual propellant burning. Although the combustion of the additive in oxygen provides us with a great deal of information concerning metal behavior, it is necessary to study the burning of the metal in propellants. Phenomena such as additive motion and agglomeration on the burning-propellant surface not only increased our knowledge of additive behavior but also provided information applicable to damping calculations. It is only by a gradual increase in our library of facts that the additive suppression mechanism will be understood. An understanding of additive motion and agglomeration will also be useful in determining the velocity and thermal lag of the additives in passing through the nozzle, thereby influencing engine performance.

This study is concerned with additive behavior close to the burning-propellant surface and an attempt at an understanding of additive agglomeration is presented herein. Small strands of polybutadiene-acrylic acid/ammonium perchlorate/aluminum (PBAA-AP-Al) propellants were used that have two compositions, predominantly coarse oxidizer and predominantly fine oxidizer size. The aluminia was trapped close to the burning surface and size distributions obtained over the pressure range from atmospheric to 500 psi. Several tests were performed with an erosive type of flow across the test strand. The experimental results were used to modify an agglomeration criterion, and the size distributions were compared with other published data.

Apparatus and Procedure

Propellants

PBAA-AP-Al propellants of two types were used in this study. The compositions used are given in Table 1. The oxidizer used was a blend of fine (11-\(\mu\) mean-weight diameter) and coarse (85-\(\mu\) mean-weight diameter) crystals.

Table 1 Propellant compositions, wt %

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Binder</th>
<th>Oxidizer</th>
<th>Additive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PBAA*</td>
<td>Ammonium perchlorate</td>
<td>Aluminum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>Coarse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>55.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Polybutadiene acrylic acid (epoxy crosslinked copolymer of butadiene and a carboxylic monomer).


* Aerospace Technologist. Member AIAA.
† Aerospace Technologist.
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Fig. 1  Particle size distributions of propellant ingredients.

particle size distributions of the aluminum additive and oxidizers were obtained with a micromerograph and are given in Fig. 1.

Combustion Product Sample Collection

The propellant sample sizes were \( \frac{1}{4} \) by \( \frac{3}{4} \) in. and were \( \frac{3}{4} \) in. high. No inhibitor was used on the propellant, and the experiments were performed at 70° ± 5° F. The solid combustion products were collected on Pyrex slides located \( \frac{1}{4} \) to \( \frac{3}{4} \) in. above the burning surface. The apparatus employed was similar to that used previously and is shown schematically in Fig. 2a. The stationary slides were exposed when the slot passed between the propellant and the Pyrex slide. The concentration of products collected for a given propellant depended on the slot size and plate speed and was determined by collecting a suitable number of samples at varying plate speed and slot size and by inspecting the results under a microscope. Visual judgment was used to arrive at a representative sample after sizing several distributions. The exposure time was decreased with increasing pressure, and at atmospheric pressure it was approximately 2 min. Combustion samples were collected for both propellant compositions from atmospheric pressure to 500 psi. The burning occurred in nitrogen with the exception of several tests that were performed in the open atmosphere.

The collection system was enclosed in a pressure vessel (6 in. in diameter by 7 in. high), and the sliding metal plate was pneumatically driven (piston arrangement) through a solenoid-operated valve. The valve was manually operated subsequent to the initiation of burning. Ignition was accomplished with a 10-v hot-wire system. An accumulator tank was connected to the combustion chamber in order to maintain constant pressure burning. Following combustion, the pressure was released slowly (1000 to 0 psi in approximately 2 min) in order not to disturb the collected combustion products. This vent time was subsequently lengthened to approximately 20 min (500 to 0 psi).

Erosive flow

For the case of end burning with cross flow, \( \frac{3}{4} \)-by-\( \frac{3}{4} \)-in., Transite blocks, 1 in. long were drilled (\( \frac{3}{4} \)-in.-diam hole) and filled with the same propellant (but with no Al) as that under test. The experimental arrangement is shown in Fig. 2b. The propellant in the Transite was ignited, and the resulting gas jet, in turn, ignited the test strand. A 1-in. separation was used between the two propellants. The sample was taken after the burning had propagated across the strand surface. These tests were conducted in the open atmosphere.

High-speed photography

The combustion chamber contained suitable observation sections for photographing the burning propellant surface. An object to image ratio of unity was used for the photographs (4000 fps) that were taken at an angle of approximately 30° to the surface and over the pressure range from atmospheric to 200 psi. The photographs were taken with Double-X film and Tri-X film with a Wratten 47 filter, and infrared film with a Wratten 25 filter. A nitrogen purge was used around the strand.

Fig. 2 Schematic drawing of collection technique for combustion products.

Fig. 3 Combustion product size distribution at atmospheric pressure in a pressure in a nitrogen environment.

Size distribution

The combustion product samples were projected at a magnification of 500 on the viewing plate of a metallurgical microscope and sized with the aid of a X6 eyepiece. The resolution of this system was approximately 1 \( \mu \). A minimum of 1000 particles was counted for each propellant type. With the end-burning strands, the sample was analyzed at the center of the Pyrex slide, whereas in the case of end burning with crossflow, the size distribution was made along the slide in the direction of the flow.

Particle motion

Aluminum particle displacement on the burning propellant surface was estimated by projecting the film at a magnification of 50 on a ruled screen and by observing the displacement for a large number of particles. A particle velocity was then determined at a given pressure, using the average particle displacement and the film speed.

Results and Discussion

Pressure effect on agglomeration

The combustion product size distributions obtained for the two types of propellants (predominantly coarse oxidizer and predominantly fine oxidizer propellant) at atmospheric pressure are given in Fig. 3, as well as the initial number dis-
The distribution of the aluminum, which was determined from the weight distribution of Fig. 1. The coarse oxidizer propellant yielded particles that were significantly larger than the initial aluminum additive, whereas the fine oxidizer mixture yielded particles that were only slightly larger than the initial size. This effect has been reported previously \(^9,10\) for propellant samples burned in the open atmosphere. The present results are in substantial agreement with Ref. 9, although a greater percentage of the combustion products are smaller in diameter with the nitrogen environment. The percentage of the total number of particles that agglomerated was approximately equal, although the size distribution obtained in the open atmosphere tests showed a more definite break in the distribution than is indicated in Fig. 3. This break (change of slope) occurred near the crossover point between the initial aluminum distribution and the combustion product distribution. The amount of agglomeration was taken as the value corresponding to the point where the combustion product distribution crosses the initial aluminum distribution. The computed increase in aluminum diameter, if it is assumed that the aluminum burns completely to \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) without forming a hollow sphere, is approximately 20\% whereas agglomerated particles are as high as 2500\% of the original mean size.

The percent of agglomerated additives was found to be dependent on the chamber venting time after burning, as indicated in Fig. 4 for a combustion pressure of 500 psi. Sufficiently long vent times were required in order to insure that the collected sample was not disturbed. Evidently, the effect of fast venting was to remove the smaller products from the combustion sample. The percent agglomeration showed that a maximum of 16 min was required with the test apparatus to reach an equilibrium size distribution (Fig. 5). Subsequent combustion samples were collected for venting times of approximately 20 min.

The resulting size distributions of the alumina at 50, 100, 250, and 500 psi are given in Figs. 6–9, respectively. The amount of agglomeration decreased with increasing pressure as shown in the log-log plot in Fig. 10. A straight line with a negative slope of 0.3 appeared to fit the data reasonably well.

Photographic results

High-speed photographs of the coarse oxidizer propellant obtained in this study revealed that the average additive particle velocity decreased with increasing pressure between atmospheric pressure and 50 psi, as shown in Fig. 11. Above this pressure, soot formation obscured the burning propellant surface. An attempt to use high-oxidizer propellants was unsuccessful, and it was not possible to obtain useful photographic data with selective filters and film emulsions above 50 psi.

Photographs of the fine oxidizer propellant which were obtained only at atmospheric pressure revealed some particle motion, although agglomeration was not evident. The mechanism by which the particles are perturbed is not understood.

Previous observations on the same propellant used in this study have shown that the residence time of the larger aluminum particles on the propellant surface were in excess of the ignition times \(^8\) and, therefore, had sufficient time to
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ignite and burn provided that there was sufficient oxidizer present. Metal ignition behavior of appreciably different propellants may vary depending on the temperature gradient above the solid surface and the particle size.

**Agglomeration criteria**

A model for the agglomeration mechanism was presented in Ref. 9. The criteria for the occurrence of agglomeration utilized three characteristic times involved in the metallic-solid burning process. Agglomeration was assumed to be a surface phenomenon caused by the collision of molten, un-oxidized particles of metal.

It was postulated that 1) the particles require a finite period of time to burn, $\tau_B$; 2) the particles have a given residence time on the surface $\tau_A$; and 3) a finite time is required for the particles to agglomerate, $\tau_A$ (all symbols are defined in the Appendix). If the time to burn the particle is less than the agglomeration time, the molten metal burns to its oxide. Since the melting temperature of the metallic oxides generally exceeds propellant surface temperature, agglomeration will not occur. If, however, the burning time exceeds the agglomeration time, the molten additive has an opportunity to agglomerate before it burns and to form an oxide shell. This model led to a twofold condition that had to be fulfilled for agglomeration to occur, namely, 1) the metal residence time must exceed the agglomeration time, and 2) the agglomeration time must be less than the burning time. Employing several assumptions regarding the nature of the burning of additive particles (wherein the burning of the aluminum is limited by the diffusion of oxidizing vapors through the binder gases to the additive site), particle motion and particle residence time, resulted in the following expression for the criteria for agglomeration:

$$\frac{\tau_B}{\tau_A} = \left( \frac{\pi}{4} \right) Kn d_a^3 > 1$$

$$\frac{\tau_B}{\tau_A} = \pi Kn d_a^3 p_0 \frac{\xi}{24D_0C_0} > 1$$

where $K$ was assumed given by the expression $v = Kr$; that is, the perturbation velocity of the additive particles normal to the main gas flow was assumed to be proportional to the propellant burning rate.

** Modification of agglomeration criteria**

The particle velocity data of Fig. 11 lead to the following relation between additive perturbation velocity and pressure:

$$v = \frac{K}{p^n}$$

In addition, the mean-oxidizer-particle/additive-particle spacing based on the model of Ref. 9 is $\xi/2$ rather than $\xi$. Substitution of expression (3) and $\xi/2$ into the two ratios discussed in “Agglomeration Criteria” yields the following modification of expressions (1) and (2):

$$\frac{\pi K n d_a^3}{4 r p^n} > 1$$

$$\frac{\pi K n d_a^3 p_0 \xi}{48D_0C_0 p^n} > 1$$

Expressions (4) and (5) may be used to determine the value of the aluminum particles that are necessary in order for agglomeration to occur. A critical diameter of 20 μ was determined previously by using expression (1). Expression (4) indicates that the critical aluminum size for agglomeration increases with the combustion pressure. Substitution of a log relation for the burning rate, namely,

$$r = C p^{n_2}$$

yields

$$\pi K n d_a^3 / 4 C p^{n_2} + n_1 > 1$$

For the predominantly coarse oxidizer propellant used in this study, $n_1 = 0.32$ and $n_2 = 0.34$; hence,

$$B n d_a^3 / p^{n_2} > 1$$

where $B = \pi K / 4C$. Substitution of the numerical value of
with pressure was given by expression (3) with the same pressure exponent \( n \) and intercept \( K \). The propellant surface temperature was assumed equal to that of the coarse oxidizer propellant as well as the pressure exponent on the burning rate. The calculated variations of critical aluminum diameter with pressure are shown in Fig. 13. The calculated values are slightly higher than those obtained for the coarse oxidizer propellant. Expression (5) yields the critical value above 200 psia, whereas expression (4) yields lower values below that pressure. The experimental data do not appear to depend on combustion pressure for this propellant. The most likely explanation appears to be that the additive motion on the fine oxidizer surface is not given correctly by expression (3). Further data are required to establish this relation.

**Literature data**

The data of Ref. 11 show the percentage of additive particles in a thin zone above the propellant surface with diameters in the same range as the original additive sizes. The percentage of particles with diameters greater than the original particle size is highest at the low pressures and high aluminum concentrations in the propellants. The authors of Ref. 11 concluded that this was an indication of the amount of agglomeration occurring near the propellant surface. These observations are consistent with the results of the present study. The tendency for additives to agglomerate decreases with increasing pressure, with decreasing aluminum concentration, and with decreasing aluminum size. The data of Ref. 11, as well as the data presented in this report, suggest that the amount of agglomeration may not be proportional to \( p^{-n} \) at higher pressures (250 psia).

### Volume mean diameter as function of pressure

During the rapid acceleration that takes place in the throat of a rocket engine utilizing propellants with metal additives, velocity and thermal lags develop between the additives and the gas stream. In determining the thrust loss, it is necessary to know the size distribution of the additive, which, in turn, requires sizing a large number of particles over the entire size range present. In view of the wide size range that may occur from solid-propellant burning, this task becomes somewhat difficult. In determining the weight distribution, which represents a more important parameter than the number mean for thrust losses, it is important to include all the large particles, since they add up to a considerable amount of the weight present in the system. Figures 14 and 15 show both the number and volume size distributions of the combustion products at 250 and 500 psi. In both cases, 90% of the weight is found to be contained in 2% of the total number of particles. The large agglomerates, therefore, are extremely important in determining a mean weight

![Fig. 12 Comparison of calculated and experimental critical additive diameter for coarse oxidizer propellant.](image1)

![Fig. 13 Comparison of calculated and experimental critical additive diameter for fine oxidizer propellant.](image2)

![Fig. 14 Number and volume distribution of combustion products at 250 psi.](image3)
were contaminants (Ca, Cu, Fe, Mg, Al, P, Si, Ti) and that samples were obtained at various pressures and subsequently showed some particle groupings that, if treated as an agglomerate of particles, are significantly larger in size than the maximum reported. Reference 12 shows that 90% of the mass is contained in 20% of the particles at 500 psi and 50% of the particles at 277 psi. These values could be significantly influenced by the presence of any additional larger particles.

Prior to obtaining the size distribution of the burned additive in the present study, nonaluminized combustion product samples were obtained at various pressures and subsequently treated for 1 hr in an oxygen stream at 875°K to remove any carbon present. Sample inspection up to a magnification of 850 revealed a large number of submicron particles whose shape was less regular than the burned additive particles. On the basis of spectrographic analysis and the collection techniques involved, it was concluded that these particles were contaminants (Ca, Cu, Fe, Mg, Na, P, Si, Ti) and that the mixing process and subsequent handling of the propellants were the chief sources of contamination. In obtaining the product size distribution of the aluminized samples, visual discrimination was used in determining whether the particle was aluminum in nature or not. In practice, therefore, all particles slightly less than 1 μ and above were counted. All particles 1.5 μ in diameter or less were grouped together in presenting the size distributions. Electron diffraction patterns obtained from the combustion products of the aluminized propellants revealed only the presence of aluminum oxide. Thus, the size distribution would tend to be correct on a weight basis rather than on a number basis.

The mean number diameter for the data of Ref. 12 is 0.8 μ and for the present study is 1.6 μ, both showing no dependence on pressure.

**End burning with crossflow**

The results of burning the aluminized test strands with a crossflow of hot combustion gases revealed on an almost two-fold increase in the amount of additive agglomeration with the coarse oxidizer propellant (Fig. 18). In addition, the fine oxidizer propellant showed a significant amount of agglomeration (2%). Referring to expression (4), it is seen that increasing \(K/\rho P\) or \(v/r\), that is, the ratio of the particle perturbation velocity to the propellant burning rate, decreases the additive size required for agglomeration. At the low crossflow velocity used in these tests (~25 fps), the propellant burning rate would not be significantly changed. If the flow over the propellant surface did penetrate sufficiently close to the surface to effect particle motion, it could influence the amount of agglomeration. A high-speed film of the burning did not appear to show any sizeable increases in particle motion. The particles most likely to be affected,
however, may not have been resolved. The percent agglomeration for the coarse oxidizer propellant is plotted in Fig. 10 for comparison with the case of end burning only.

**Summary of Results**

Alumina size distributions were obtained for both a coarse and a fine oxidizer composite propellant burning in a nitrogen atmosphere over the pressure range from atmospheric to 500 psi. The amount of additive agglomeration was found to be significantly higher for the coarse oxidizer propellant. The amount of agglomeration decreased with increasing pressure to the 0.3 power over the range from atmospheric pressure to 250 psi.

High-speed photographs of the burning propellant surface revealed that the additives moved on the surface, with the average particle velocity decreasing with pressure to approximately the 0.3 power over the pressure range from atmospheric to 50 psi. The empirical relationship between particle velocity and pressure was used to modify an agglomeration criterion presented previously. Evaluation of the critical aluminum diameter required for agglomeration indicated that both propellant types used in this study should experience some agglomeration over the pressure range studied.

The aluminum size required for agglomeration was found to increase with increasing pressure, varying from 3.5 μ at atmospheric pressure to 6.5 μ at 400 psi for the coarse oxidizer propellant. The experiment findings of the critical aluminum diameter required for agglomeration were in reasonable agreement with the calculated data.

The volume mean diameter of the alumina was found to decrease with increasing pressure. Propellant strands burned under conditions of erosive flow revealed an almost twofold increase in agglomeration over the nonerosive tests.
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