



# SLS launched missions concept studies for LUVOIR Mission






# AURA



## “Cosmic Birth to Living Earth”

The AURA “Cosmic Birth to Living Earth” Report calls for:



*A 12 meter class space telescope with sufficient stability and the appropriate instrumentation can find and characterize dozens of Earth-like planets and make transformational advances in astrophysics.*

This presentation offers one potential approach for a 12-m class mission that takes advantage of the mass and volume capacities of the SLS launch vehicle and has a clear de-scope path.

*Cosmic Birth to Living Earths*, Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, 2015.



# ATLAST-12 an SLS Mission Concept



The MSFC Advanced Concept Office performed a preliminary mission concept study during February/March 2015.

The starting point for the Study were MSFC's 2007 ATLAST-6 and 2009 ATLAST-8 studies.

- Assumed same instruments as ATLAST-8.
- Used ATLAST-8 spacecraft as point of departure.


The Study was out-briefed on 17 March 2015.

These charts are selected from the 133 chart Study report.

**DISCLAIMER:** This is a preliminary study of a notional design. Several more iterations are required.



# ATLAST-6 & ATLAST-8 Studies



Postman, Marc, et. al., "A Large Monolithic-Aperture Optical/UV Serviceable Space Telescope Deployed to L2 by an Ares-V Cargo Launch Vehicle", Science Associated with Lunar Exploration Architecture, Tempe, AZ Feb. 28, 2007

Stahl, H. Philip, Marc Postman, William R. Arnold, Sr., Randall C. Hopkins, Linda Hornsby, Gary E. Mosier, and Bert A. Pasquale, "ATLAST-8 Mission concept study for 8-meter Monolithic UV/Optical Space Telescope", SPIE Proceedings 7731, 2010, DOI:10.1117/12.856256





# ATLAST-6 & ATLAST-8 Studies



## Astro2010 Decadal Survey Whitepaper and RFI2

Advanced Technology Large-Aperture Space Telescope (ATLAST)

### Appendix C: ATLAST-8m Design & Engineering Study

The ATLAST-8m engineering study was lead by NASA MFSC with guidance from the Space Telescope Science Institute and collaboration from team members NASA GSFC, NASA JPL, Northrop-Grumman, and Ball Aerospace. Additional support was provided by the University of Alabama in Huntsville, ATK and Schott Glass.



Cut-away (and simulated) view of an Ares V launch vehicle containing the ATLAST-8m payload

“Recommendation: NASA should conduct further study of the following missions concepts, which have the most potential to demonstrate the scientific opportunities provided by the Constellation System: 8-meter Monolithic Space Telescope”, page 3.

“The 8-Meter Monolithic Space Telescope offers the possibility of a relatively easy and faster scientific use of the Ares V launch vehicle compared with other, more complex telescope designs. The reason for this fast-track ability is the use of present-day, proven, low-cost (compared with lightweight space design) technologies”, page 31




Figure 2.3: ATLAST-8m Observatory Structural Layout

### Response to the Second RFI from the Astro2010 Committee The Advanced Technology Large-Aperture Space Telescope (ATLAST)

Submitted by:

Marc Postman, STScI on behalf of the ATLAST Concept Study Team

August 3, 2009

#### ATLAST Co-Investigators:

Vic Argabright<sup>1</sup>, Bill Arnold<sup>2</sup>, David Aronstein<sup>3</sup>, Paul Atcheson<sup>1</sup>, Morley Blouke<sup>1</sup>, Matt Bolcar<sup>3</sup>, Tom Brown<sup>4</sup>, Daniela Calzetti<sup>5</sup>, Webster Cash<sup>6</sup>, Mark Clampin<sup>3</sup>, Dave Content<sup>2</sup>, Dean Dailey<sup>7</sup>, Rolf Danner<sup>7</sup>, Rodger Doxsey<sup>4</sup>, Dennis Ebbets<sup>1</sup>, Peter Eisenhardt<sup>8</sup>, Lee Feinberg<sup>3</sup>, Ed Freymiller<sup>1</sup>, Andrew Fruchter<sup>4</sup>, Mauro Giavalisco<sup>5</sup>, Tiffany Glassman<sup>7</sup>, Qian Gong<sup>3</sup>, James Green<sup>6</sup>, John Grunsfeld<sup>9</sup>, Ted Gull<sup>2</sup>, Greg Hickey<sup>8</sup>, Randall Hopkins<sup>2</sup>, John Hrab<sup>2</sup>, Tupper Hyde<sup>3</sup>, Ian Jordan<sup>4</sup>, Jeremy Kasdin<sup>10</sup>, Steve Kendrick<sup>1</sup>, Steve Kilston<sup>1</sup>, Anton Koekemoer<sup>4</sup>, Bob Korechoff<sup>8</sup>, John Krist<sup>8</sup>, John Mather<sup>3</sup>, Chuck Lillie<sup>7</sup>, Amy Lo<sup>7</sup>, Rick Lyon<sup>3</sup>, Scot McArthur<sup>1</sup>, Peter McCullough<sup>1</sup>, Gary Mosier<sup>3</sup>, Matt Mountain<sup>1</sup>, Bill Oegerle<sup>3</sup>, Bert Pasquale<sup>3</sup>, Lloyd Purves<sup>3</sup>, Cecelia Pentera<sup>7</sup>, Ron Polidan<sup>7</sup>, Dave Redding<sup>8</sup>, Kailash Sahu<sup>4</sup>, Babak Saif<sup>4</sup>, Ken Sembach<sup>4</sup>, Mike Shull<sup>6</sup>, Scott Smith<sup>2</sup>, George Sonneborn<sup>3</sup>, David Spergel<sup>10</sup>, Phil Stahl<sup>10</sup>, Karl Stapelfeldt<sup>8</sup>, Harley Thronson<sup>3</sup>, Gary Thronton<sup>2</sup>, Jackie Townsend<sup>3</sup>, Wesley Traub<sup>8</sup>, Steve Unwin<sup>8</sup>, Jeff Valenti<sup>4</sup>, Robert Vanderbei<sup>10</sup>, Penny Warren<sup>1</sup>, Michael Werner<sup>8</sup>, Richard Wesenberg<sup>3</sup>, Jennifer Wiseman<sup>3</sup>,

<sup>3</sup> = Goddard Space Flight Center

<sup>4</sup> = Space Telescope Science Institute

Source of spacecraft mass estimates, science instrument data, and many telescope components.



# **“AMATEURS THINK ABOUT TACTICS, PROFESSIONALS THINK ABOUT LOGISTICS”**

**GENERAL ROBERT H. BARROW, USMC  
(COMMANDANT OF THE MARINE CORPS)**

## **Logistics for Space Telescopes are:**

- **Launch Vehicle Payload Mass Capacity**
- **Launch Vehicle Payload Volume Capacity**
- **Budget Amount and Phasing**




# SPACE LAUNCH SYSTEM (SLS)

LUVOIR (Large Ultra-Violet / Optical / Infra-Red) Telescope



# Notional Fairing Volumes




Payload Accommodation	5.4m	5.1m	USA2	USA4	8.4m Short	8.4m Long	10m
Type	5m COTS	5m COTS	8.4m CPL	8.4m PLF	8.4m PLF	8.4m PLF	10m PLF
Length	55.8 ft	62.7 ft	32.8 ft	47.2 ft	62.7 ft	90 ft	90 ft
Diameter	17.8 ft	16.8 ft	27.6 ft	27.6 ft	27.6 ft	27.6 ft	33 ft
Internal Diameter	15.0 ft	15.0 ft	24.6 ft	24.6 ft	24.6 ft	24.6 ft	29.8 ft
Usable Volume	7,740 ft <sup>3</sup>	9,033 ft <sup>3</sup>	12,600 ft <sup>3</sup>	13,800 ft <sup>3</sup>	21,800 ft <sup>3</sup>	34,800 ft <sup>3</sup>	49,900 ft <sup>3</sup>
Potential Availability (No Earlier Than)	2019	2019	2021	2022	2024	2025	2026

COTS: Commercial Off-the-Shelf    USA: Universal Stage Adapter    CPL: Co-manifested Payload    PLF: Payload Fairing (new)





# SLS Block 1, 1B, and 2B C3 Performance





# MASS BUDGET

LUVOIR (Large Ultra-Violet / Optical / Infra-Red) Telescope




# Complexity Drives Cost



Design complexity required to package a large mission concept into a small launch vehicle imposed mass and volume constraint drives cost.

The mass and volume capacities offered by the SLS enable simpler designs with higher design allowable mass margins.

Higher mass margins allows use of standard engineering design practices and reduces ground handling risk.



**In Family**


James Webb Space Telescope (JWST)  
Independent Comprehensive Review Panel (ICRP)  
FINAL REPORT, The Aerospace Corporation, 29 October 2010.



# SLS Block II-B Capacity



Volume	C3	Payload Mass (kg)
10 m x 26 m	-0.7 km <sup>2</sup> /s <sup>2</sup> (SE-L2 transfer)	46,300



C3=-0.7 km<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>2</sup> is the energy required to put a payload mass into a SE-L2 transfer trajectory

Compared to Ares V, payload volume is same diameter but much longer, so secondary mirror can be fixed rather than deployable.



# Mass Allocation for Mission



Mission Concept is Mass Constrained to ~28 mt dry mass

Mass [kg]	Margin
46,300	0%
40,260	-15% Launch Vehicle Margin
35,630	- 13% Propellant 'wet' Mass
27,400	- 30% Dry Mass Reserve

Mass budget is allocated between systems/sub-systems:

Telescope Assembly

Spacecraft

Science Instruments

Propellant

Using ATLAST-8 as starting point, new subsystem designs were developed and detailed analysis was performed.

Multiple iterations were required to close the design.





# Mass Allocation for Design



	Ares V ATLAST	SLS ATLAST
	mass [kg]	mass [kg]
<b>TOTAL OBSERVATORY WET MASS</b>	<b>50,449</b>	<b>32,310</b>
<b>TOTAL OBSERVATORY DRY MASS</b>		<b>27,644</b>
<b>Optical Tube Enclosure (OTE)</b>	<b>38,417</b>	<b>21,658</b>
<b>Primary mirror assembly</b>	<b>29,800</b>	<b>12,738</b>
Primary mirror	22000	8500
Primary mirror support truss	4000	4000
Primary mirror flexures	-	6
Launch lock mechanisms	3500	132
Primary mirror central baffle	300	100
<b>Secondary mirror assembly</b>	<b>1,050</b>	<b>637</b>
<b>Aft Optics</b>	<b>2,167</b>	<b>1,481</b>
<b>Structure</b>	<b>5,400</b>	<b>5,350</b>
<b>Active Thermal Control</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,452</b>
<b>Science Instruments</b>	<b>1,789</b>	<b>1,789</b>
<b>Spacecraft Bus</b>	<b>4,577</b>	<b>4,197</b>
Attitude Control System	312	499
Command And Data Handling (C&DH)	120	140
Instrumentation and Monitoring	212	0
Communications	114	114
Power Subsystems	1104	1,104
Thermal Management System	974	554
Structures	1300	1,345
Propulsion	401	401
Docking	40	40
<b>Propellant allocation</b>	<b>5,666</b>	<b>4,666</b>

**Used ATLAST-8 mass for all Systems & Sub-Systems except Primary Mirror and its Support Structure.**

**ATLAST-8 PMA mass budget was ~30 mt with 0% margin.**

**ATLAST-12 PMA mass budget is ~12.5 mt with 30% margin.**



# Primary Mirror Assembly Mass & Diameter



Assuming:

- Maximum Mass of 12,500 kg for the Primary Mirror Assembly,
- Areal Density of between 75 and 150 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for the PMA

PMA Maximum Mass Allocation (kg)	Areal Density (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Primary Mirror Diameter (meters)
12,500	75	14.5
<b>12,500</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>12.6</b>
12,500	150	10.3
12,500	250	8.0

Note: preliminary analysis indicates the PM structure needs ~4,000 kg, not to survive launch, but to be mechanically stable on-orbit. This leaves ~8,500 kg for the PM mirror or ~65 kg/m<sup>2</sup> for a 13-m substrate.

(This is the areal density being demonstrated on AMTD.)



# Two Key Points



- 1) Mass budget for the primary mirror assembly (and for the telescope) is independent of architecture (monolithic versus segmented, segmentation style, on- versus off-axis, etc.)
- 2) Mass budget enables the use of currently available low-cost ground mirror technology. Future technology development will only reduce cost, risk and mass of these technological solutions.

## TMT 1.44-m Mirror Segment

- Areal Density of 150 kg/m<sup>2</sup>
- Areal Cost of ~\$0.3M/m<sup>2</sup>

## Arizona 8.4-m Mirror

- Areal Density < 300 kg/m<sup>2</sup>
- Areal Cost of < \$0.5M/m<sup>2</sup>





# STUDY OVERVIEW

LUVOIR (Large Ultra-Violet / Optical / Infra-Red) Telescope



# General Assumptions



- General Astrophysics community wants a telescope aperture diameter  $> 8$  meters
- Exoplanet Science requires a telescope with a wavefront that is stable at approximately 10 pico-meters per 10 minutes.

NOTE: while the required stability period is stated to be 10 minutes, wavefront needs to be stable to 10 pm between wavefront sense and control (WFSC) updates. This could be as short as 1 minute or longer than 30 minutes depending upon brightness of star being observed and WFSC technology.


From Cosmic Birth to Living Earths: the future of UVOIR Space Astronomy, 2015

Stahl, H. Philip Stahl; Marc Postman; Gary Mosier; W. Scott Smith; Carl Blaurock; Kong Ha; Christopher C. Stark, "AMTD: update of engineering specifications derived from science requirements for future UVOIR space telescopes", Proc. SPIE. 9143, Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2014: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave, 91431T. (August 02, 2014) doi: 10.1117/12.2054766

Stahl, H. Philip, Marc Postman and W. Scott Smith, "Engineering specifications for large aperture UVO space telescopes derived from science requirements", Proc. SPIE 8860, 2013, DOI: 10.1117/12.2024480



- Circular aperture shape produces coronagraph friendly PSF
- Single ring of segments may yield smaller IWA (diffraction)
- Large center segment might provide a ‘descope’ path





# Stahl Assumptions (continued)



For a ring around Center, individual segments must fit inside 4-m (or 2.4-m) circular blank

Using 12 Segments from 4-m blanks

6-m core = 12 m aperture

8-m core = 13 m aperture

Using 18 Segments from 4-m blanks


6-m core = 13 m aperture

8-m core = 14.5 m aperture


Using 24 Segments from 2.4-m blanks

6-m core = 10 m aperture


8-m core = 12 m aperture



13-m aperture  
8-m Core, 12 2.5-m tall Segments



12-m Aperture  
6-m Core, 12 3-m tall Segments





# Instructions to Study Team




- Determine the largest diameter telescope with a center core and a single ring of segments that packages inside mass and volume of SLS.
- Since others are studying flat sunshade, we will study active-controlled heated scarfed tube sunshade.
- Primary Mirror Structure must have  $>20$  Hz first mode.
- Primary Mirror Assembly can have up to  $150 \text{ kg/m}^2$  Areal Density.



# ATLAST-12




ATLAST-12 is a 12.7-m observatory to be launched to SE-L2 on SLS Block II-B in 2035



12.7 meter deployed diameter, with thickness of approximately 0.5 m

SLS Block IIB Payload Dynamic Envelope




### Estimated Performance

C3 (km <sup>2</sup> /s <sup>2</sup> )	Mass (mt)
-0.7	46.3

## SLS Block II-B

- 10 meter fairing
- 46 mt to SE-L2



### Mission Description

**Launch** SLS Block IIB, 2035

**Orbit** Halo orbit about Sun-Earth L2 provides stable thermal environment, few obstructions.



# Mission and Spacecraft Requirements (1 of 2)



Requirement	Value
Launch Year	2035
Launch Vehicle	SLS Block II-B
Mission Duration	30 years from launch
Deployment Time	Maximum of 6 months from launch to full capability
Service Philosophy	Design for servicing, using on-orbit replaceable units (ORUs)
Service Interval	5 years
Serviceable Component Lifetime	10 years
Orbit	Sun-Earth L2 halo orbit; servicing orbit TBD (observatory will maneuver to orbit in Earth-moon vicinity to allow servicing/instrument replacement)
Payload Description	Optical tube assembly; several instruments at focal plane behind primary mirror
Payload Power, total, w/o contingency	(see Basic Instrument Data slides for breakout)
Payload Mass, total, w/o contingency	(see Basic Instrument Data slides for breakout)
Data Downlink	Study output (see Astro2020_RFI2.pdf for suggested values)
Data Storage	Study output; must be sufficient for spacecraft operations and effective handling of science data downlink, to include 2 days of accumulated science data. (See Astro2020_RFI2 for suggested values.)
Data Latency	NTE 48 hrs (required); daily (goal)
Uninterrupted Observation Time	3000* minutes (9000 minutes, or 6.25 days)

\* Time reduced from original value of 4500s to enable GN&C system to meet requirement.






# Mission and Spacecraft Requirements (2 of 2)



Requirement	Value, Required (Desired)		
Slew Requirements	60 degrees in 180 minutes (90 minutes)		
Roll Requirements	Roll along the telescope line-of-sight +/- 30 degrees in 30 minutes		
Avoidance Angles	Sun avoidance angle: 45 degrees (TBR)		
Pointing	3-axis stabilized (roll defined as rotation about the viewing axis of the telescope)		
	pitch	yaw	roll
Accuracy (arcsec)	1	1	1
Knowledge (arcsec)	0.5	0.5	0.5
Stability (arcsec/sec)*	0.0016	0.0016	0.0016

**\* Active isolation system provides the 1.6 mas stability for the science payload. Spacecraft only has to provide the requested accuracy and knowledge.**

Element	Contingency Margin
Spacecraft subsystems mass	30%
Payload (telescope + instruments) mass	30%
Spacecraft power	30%
Payload power	30%
Delta-V	25%
Cost	35%



# Basic Instrument Data




All instruments are assumed to be serviceable / replaceable.

Assume same science instrument suite as ATLAST-8:


- Coronagraph
- IFU UV Spectrometer
- WFOV Imager
- Multi-Object Spectrograph

And two facility instruments:

- Fine Guidance Sensor (total of 4 modules)
- Wavefront Sensing and Control System (6 modules)



# Operate at SE-L2 Service at EM-L2



Transfer Trajectory from Earth to SE-L2  
(figure source: Copernicus mission design and trajectory optimization software)

Halo orbit about Sun-Earth L2 provides stable thermal environment.



# Delta-V Budget



Maneuver	dV		
	No Servicing, 30-year mission	5 Year Servicing (@EML1/L2)	Per Year at SEL2, no servicing
Launch Correction	52.0 m/s	52.0 m/s	-
Mid-Course Correction	10.0 m/s	10.0 m/s	-
Station Keeping (SEL2)	208.8 m/s	34.8 m/s	7.0 m/s
Station Keeping (EML2, ~6 months)	-	52.8 m/s	-
Momentum Unloading	35.4 m/s	5.9 m/s	1.2 m/s
Transfer from SE L2	-	50.0 m/s	-
Transfer to SE L2	-	50.0 m/s	-
Margin	-6.2 m/s	44.5 m/s	
Margin (%)	-2%	15%	
<b>Total</b>	<b>300.0 m/s</b>	<b>300.0 m/s</b>	<b>8.14 m/s</b>


Using margin, can continue at SE-L2 for more than 5 years without refueling.



# OBSERVATORY CONFIGURATION

LUVOIR (Large Ultra-Violet / Optical / Infra-Red) Telescope

# Telescope Stowed Configuration




Design team examined two configurations:

- Fold-Forward / Fold-Aft
- Drop Leaf (JWST)

Design Team was asked to find a configuration that folded all Segments down to allow space above the Primary Mirror for the Sun Shade.

But, given the short duration of the study, that design was not fully explored.



Alternate configurations might provide better packaging, including:

- Larger Petals (or ‘rafts’) without a Center Segment.
- JWST Style Drop Leaf







# PMA Configuration

## PMA Design Constraints:

- Minimum strength requirement (NASA-STD-5001A)
- SLS applied launch load conditions.
- First natural frequency  $> 20$  Hz
- Ultimate Factor of Safety = 1.4



Finite Element Models developed and Structural Analysis performed to obtain mass estimates for:


- ◆ Mirror Support Structure
- ◆ Payload Adapter

Structural optimization accounts for strength, global stability, and natural frequency requirements

Truss Structure uses Composite Tubes


Payload Adapter uses honeycomb composite face sheet sandwich construction

Mirror Support Truss




Payload Adapter

Mirror Support Truss



Payload Adapter



# Ascent Loads and Constraints



Model loads are applied using model mass and inertial acceleration


Critical load condition assumed to be SLS Ascent

Case 1 :  $5g_x$ ,  $2g_y$

Case 2 :  $5g_x$ ,  $1.414g_y$ ,  $1.414g_z$


Assembly constrained at the aft end of the payload adapter

Mirror petal mass distributed around periphery of central mirror truss.





# Truss Structure Natural Frequency



Deployed 1<sup>st</sup> Natural Frequency (20.2 Hz)



# Structure Conclusions



Structure mass is driven by the 20Hz 1<sup>st</sup> mode frequency requirement during operation

Component	Qty	Unit Mass (kg)	Total Mass (kg)	Contingency	Predicted Mass (kg)
Mirror / BUS Truss Structure	1	4036	4036	30%	5246
Payload Adapter	1	320	320	30%	416
<b>Total</b>			<b>4356</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>5662</b>

An in depth dynamic analysis including the effects of active and passive isolation systems is required to accurately estimate PMA structure mass


Frequency response of the mirror support truss structure is highly dependent on the type of isolation / damping that exists at the mirror / truss attach points

Structural mass could be significantly reduced by taking into consideration the mirror and BUS isolation systems





# Baffle Tube Configuration




**Tube Stowed**




**Tube Deployed**



# Baffle Tube Configuration



External flat JWST style sunshade may offer packaging and thermal advantages. But, GSFC is studying it, so we did not.









# Baffle Tube Deployment



- ◆ Deployment booms and mechanisms mass estimated from NuSTAR deployable booms



NuSTAR booms built by ATK Goleta. Their deployable structures have flown on ISS, Mars landers, and a much larger but similar mast on STS Endeavor in 2000.


Length: 10 meters  
Mass: 30 kg  
Motor: 2.5 kg per boom

LUVOIR has 12 sided mirror;  
need 2 booms per side, and 12  
around bottom of mirror = 36.

Add some extra length for the  
scarfed sunshade:

**Total of 40 booms and  
40 motors.**


This is just a placeholder. More  
detailed analysis needs to be  
done.



# Alternative Concepts



# Drop-Leaf Configuration



Drop-Leaf may be more compatible with a deployed tube Sunshade.







# **ATLAST-8 from 2007 ATLAST STUDY and Northrop Grumman Concepts**






# ATLAST-8m





# 16.8 m “Chord-Fold” Deployment Concept



Ares V  
Notional  
Fairing

“Sugar Scoop”  
Stray Light  
Baffle

16.8 m  
Primary

Solar Sail for  
Momentum  
Balance








# 21 Meter Stacked Hex Deployment Concept



Ares V  
"Notional  
Fairing"

Seven 8-m pt-pt  
Hexagonal Mirror  
Segments




7.94 m  
Diameter

### Single Mirror Size





# 24 Meter Fan-Fold Deployment Concept





# THERMAL



# Thermal Control

Tube has sufficient insulation for telescope to passively reach 200K for infrared observations.


- Provides insulation mass.

Heaters in lower Tube and around Primary Mirror heat Primary Mirror front surface to above 0C to prevent ice or frost.

- Provides electrical power and heater mass.


MLI effective emissivity was used to determine MLI areal density.


Heater blanket is assumed to have the same areal density as the MLI.





# Spacecraft/telescope Thermal Model





Mirror Temperature

20K radial thermal gradient can be reduced by using Zonal Heaters.



# Thermal Control Sizing Results




Component	Qty	Unit Mass (kg)	Total Mass (kg)	Power (W)	Margin	Pred. Mass (kg)	Pred. Power (W)
MLI	1	565 <sup>1</sup>	565 <sup>1</sup>	-	30%	734.5	-
Heater Blanket	1	130 <sup>2</sup>	130 <sup>2</sup>	-	30%	169	-
Heaters	-	1560 <sup>3</sup>	1560 <sup>3</sup>	3800 <sup>4</sup>	30%	2028	4940

1. MLI mass was determined by: first the number of layers in the MLI was estimated from the effective emissivity, then the areal density of the MLI was determined, and finally the area covered in MLI was multiplied by the above areal density.
2. Heater blanket mass was determined by taking the MLI areal density and multiplying it by the heater blanket area.
3. Heater mass was determined by multiplying the heater surface area by 2 kg/m<sup>2</sup> as suggested in AIAA's "Element's of Spacecraft Design" edited by Charles D. Brown.
4. Heater power was determined by modelling in Thermal Desktop.





# Spacecraft Configuration







# Spacecraft Details



The Advanced Concept Office performed specific designs including mass and power budgets for the following Spacecraft Sub-Systems:

- ◆ Propulsion
- ◆ Guidance Navigation and Communication
- ◆ Command and Data Handling
- ◆ Communication
- ◆ Thermal Control
- ◆ Power System (need 13kW including 30% margin)
- ◆ Docking / Servicing



# OPERATIONS




# Ground Rules and Assumptions



Category	Value
Uninterrupted Observation Time	3000 min
Fast Slew Requirements	60 degrees in 180 minutes
Pointing Control	1 arcsec
Pointing Accuracy	3-axis stabilized 1 arcsec
Knowledge	0.5 arcsec
Stability (Jitter)	0.0016 arcsec/sec



# Long Observation: Solar Panels & Kites balance Solar Torque






# Model Approach



## Inertia Model

	Mass (kg)	Model	Diameter (m)	Length (m)	*Center of Mass (m)
<b>A: S/C Bus</b>	13,320	Solid cyl	6	2	-3.75
<b>B: Primary Support Structure</b>	9,448	Solid cyl	13	2.75	-1.375
<b>C: Primary Mirror</b>	18,054	Solid cyl	12.7	0.15	0
<b>D: Sunshade</b>	4,612	Hollow cyl	13.2	20	10
<b>E: Secondary Mirror</b>	865	Solid cyl	2	1	15.5
<b>F: Solar Arrays</b>	430	Solid	14	8	-10.9
<b>Total S/C</b>	<b>46,299</b>				<b>-0.174</b>

\*Relative to middle of primary mirror thickness




## Inertia Estimates *(without arrays)*

	Inertia (kg-m <sup>3</sup> )
<b>Ixx</b>	1,450,989
<b>Iyy</b>	1,450,989
<b>Izz</b>	824,853

## Solar Pressure Model

	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	*Center of Pressure (m)	Torque (N-m)
<b>A+B+D (Telescope Body)</b>	311.75	8.166	0.020400
<b>With 15% Margin:</b>			<b>0.023455</b>

\*Relative to middle of primary mirror thickness





# Uninterrupted Observation Window




## Solar Pressure Model

	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	*Center of Pressure (m)	Torque (N-m)	**Momentum Buildup (N-m-s)
<b>Telescope Body</b> (with 15% margin on torque)	311.75	8.166	0.0235	4222
<b>Solar Arrays</b>	64	-10.9	-0.0042	-748.019
<b>Aluminum Mylar "Kites"</b>	160	-10.9	-0.0157	-2833.84
<b>Net Torque</b>			<b>3.555*10<sup>-3</sup></b>	<b>640</b>


\*Relative to middle of primary mirror thickness

\*\*Over 3000-min observation window. Reaction wheels can store 669 N-m-s of momentum



### Assumptions:

- ◆ 15% margin on telescope body torque
- ◆ Worst-case attitude and orbital position for observation window
  - Normal to sun vector, 0 solar incidence angle
  - At perihelion, solar constant at S-E L2 = 1384 W/m<sup>2</sup>
- ◆ Material reflectance
  - 70% reflectance for telescope body
  - 30% reflectance for solar arrays
  - 97% reflectance for aluminum mylar
- ◆ 12 100 N-m-s reaction wheels in 2 hexagonal pyramid configurations
  - Can store up to 669 N-m-s of momentum in the pitch and yaw axes
  - With this configuration, the 3000 min uninterrupted observation time can be met





# Sensor and Actuator Info



## Sensors

IMU: 2x Northrup Grumman NG-SIRU

0.0003 arcsec/sec stability

12 deg/sec rate range

>99.7% success rate over 15 years continuous operation

Star Tracker: 2x Ball Aerospace HAST

0.18 arcsec

>94% success rate over 7 years

## Actuators

Reaction Wheel: Rockwell Collins Teldix Reaction Wheels

0.10 N-m, 100 N-m-s each

2 hexagonal pyramids

669 N-m-s momentum storage in pitch and yaw axes

490 N-m-s momentum storage in roll (bore sight) axis

>20 year expected lifetime





# Minimum 60 deg slew time



Fast Slew Requirement: 60 deg in 180 minutes

Assumptions:

Max-acceleration slew with no resisting momentum ( $\frac{1}{2}$  angle in  $\frac{1}{2}$  time)

Minimum slew time assumes wheel saturation

Pitch/Yaw: 669 N-m-s momentum storage capability

Roll: 489 N-m-s momentum storage capability

Pitch and yaw 60 deg minimum slew time is 76 min

Roll 60 deg minimum slew time is 104 min




# Sizing Results



Component	Qty	Unit Mass (kg)	Total Mass (kg)	Contingency	Predicted Mass (kg)
Sun Sensor-Coarse	6	1	6	30%	7.8
Sun Sensor-Fine	4	1	4	30%	5.2
Sun Sensor Electronics	4	2	8	30%	10.4
Star Tracker	2	43	86	30%	111.8
Inertial Reference Unit	2	7.1	14.2	30%	18.5
MPS Controller	2	8.5	17	30%	22.1
RCS Controller	1	10	10	30%	13
Reaction Wheels	12	16.5	198	30%	257.4
Disturbance-Free Payload	12	16	192	30%	249.6
<b>Total</b>			<b>535.2</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>695.8</b>



# TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT



# Technologies Needing Development



Area	Development needed?	Item
Power	Yes	Telescoping booms for deploying the solar panels/kite tails are very large. AMPS is in development (and baselined in our design); however, other options are available that meet requirements.
GN&C	Possibly	Larger reaction wheels may need to be developed if large, deployable solar array booms are not feasible or desirable.
AR&D	Yes	IF robotic servicing is to be considered, AR&D technology needs to be matured.
Thermal	Possibly	Demonstrate the ability to deploy high conductivity blankets with heaters attached. Demonstrate ability to deploy multiple layers of MLI (sunshade) with heaters attached.



# Technologies Needing Development



Area	Development needed?	Item
Communication	Possibly	Laser communications could be beneficial to the design (not baselined here), providing high data rates, but would need development.
Propulsion	Possibly	On-orbit refueling (demonstrated by Orbital Express, but still needing development). <i>This could be mitigated by carrying enough propellant for the entire mission life.</i>
Structures	Yes	Active Vibration Isolation System needs development. Additionally, accurately representing the system and its interaction with the observatory structure needs to be addressed.



# Technology Development Needs



- ◆ Telescoping boom and drive actuator for solar array panels is novel and requires further analysis and development. Major issues are deployment reliability and dynamic stability after deployment.
- ◆ Power electronics are based on Advanced Modular Power System (AMPS) architecture. AMPS is in development now at GRC. Other power electronics systems will certainly meet requirements as well.
- ◆ Solar Array panels include reflective portions to be used in directing solar pressure to apply torque to offset solar pressure on main tube. Some research is needed to determine the best method to apply the reflective coating.



