

0.7m AeroLoads Wind-Tunnel Testing (May 2015)

- Testing was completed in seven business days at the US Army's 7x10 Foot Wind Tunnel located at NASA Ames (27-Apr to 5-May 2015)
- Shared funding was provided through NASA STMD GCDP ADEPT program (FY15) and a NASA Ames Center Innovation Fund Award (FY14)

Test Objective	Instrumentation
Obtain <u>static deflected shape and pressure</u> distributions while varying pre-tension at dynamic pressures and angles of attack relevant to Nano-ADEPT entry conditions at Earth, Mars, and Venus.	Photogrammetry; String potentiometers; Outer Mold Line (OML) static pressure taps
Observe <u>dynamic aeroelastic behavior</u> (buzz/flutter) if it occurs as a function of pre-tension, dynamic pressure, and angle of attack.	High speed video; Strut load cells
Obtain aerodynamic forces and moments as a function of pre-tension, dynamic pressure, and angle of attack.	Internal balance

features such as carbon yarn stitching and seam resin infusion

Photron High Speed Video (500 fps)

- Photogrammetry and high speed video data were recorded at most test points
- Solid article was tested first.
- Solid model has 'infinite tension' used to directly compare with CFD undeflected shape predictions
- Q sweeps from 0-100 psf (bounds peak dynamic pressure for Nano-ADEPT Mars DRMs and some entry from LEO DRMs) AoA/Yaw from -20 to +20
- Fabric test article covered same range of Q and AoA as the solid test article
- Four pre-tension "nut settings" were planned: 20, 10, 5, 2 lbf/in Behavior of test article warranted modification of test matrix in real time
- ~40% loss of pre-tension after the first run at 20 lbf/in due to fabric relaxation Fabric was completely slack at 5 lbf/in nut setting
- Added to test matrix during test execution:
- 20 lbf/in pre-tension based on in-tunnel measurement (post-relaxation) Asymmetric shape (bonus experiment)
- Static pressure taps on both test articles provided repeatable data (example shown below: solid test article pressure coefficient @ 100 psf) Instrumentation integration approach worked well and could be repeated for



Fabric test article pressure coefficient @ 100 psf (20 lbf/in measured pre-tension)

- All test objectives were met.
- Rich data set was obtained using non-invasive instrumentation
- Data products and observations made during testing will be used to refine computational models of Nano-ADEPT
- Bonus experiment of asymmetric shape demonstrates that an asymmetric deployable blunt body can be used to generate measureable lift

Adaptable, Deployable Entry and Placement Technology (ADEPT) – Overview of FY15 Accomplishments P. Wercinski[§], C. Brivkalns[§], A. Cassell[§], Y-K Chen[§], T. Boghozian^{*}, R. Chinnapongse[§], M. Gasch[§], S. Gorbunov[#], C. Kruger[§], A. Makino[§],

F. Milos[§], O. Nishioka[§], D. Prabhu^{*}, B, Smith[§], T. Squire[§], G. Swanson^{*}, E. Venkatapathy[§], B. Yount[§], and K. Zarchi[§] [§] NASA ARC; *ERC Inc.-Moffett Field, CA; [#]Jacobs Technology, Inc.-Moffett Field, CA



Flight-like carbon fabric skirt includes key



Nano-ADEPT Solid Test Article @ +20 deg AoA



Control Room





1m-Class (Nano) ADEPT

Nano-ADEPT is the application of ADEPT for small spacecraft where volume is a limiting constraint

- NanoSats, CubeSats, other secondary payloads, etc.
- Why Nano-ADEPT?
- Achieve rapid technology development extensible to large ADEPT applications
- Give rise to novel applications for system



1m (Nano) ADEPT System-level Technology Development Approach

- Strategy addresses technical challenges with four system-level tests • Common geometric features between design reference missions (DRMs), ground tests, and flight test provide ground-to-flight traceability



Summary

- ADEPT brings High Value return on technical development progress under limited budgets. • System level testing in Arcjets and with Sounding Rocket using common configuration – Huge
- Challenge for EDL! - SPRITE arcjet testing of scaled ADEPT configuration (ablating nose, ribs, gores with joints, and trailing
- edge) - SR Flight will address exo-atmospheric deploy with flight relevant hardware and aero stability through
- critical supersonic-transonic flight regime • Near Term Development Success will Enable:
- ADEPT 1m class infusion ready for Discovery 2017 AO - Highly visible, flight test experience advances confidence and reduces implementation risk for ADEPT entry architecture
- Characterization and experience using 'real hardware' performance applied to larger scale ADEPT applications
- FY16-17 Flight test is key step to subsequent ADEPT demonstration of guided lifting flight

Acknowledgements

This work is funded by NASA's Game Changing Development Program under the Space Technology Mission Directorate and the Science Mission Directorate Authors also acknowledge testing assistance from US Army 7x10 Wind Tunnel Facility and NASA Ames Arcjet Facility







0.7 m diameter Nano-ADEPT shown with notional 2U chassis payload

• Top layers "ablate" away

- during entry heat pulse • Folds like an umbrella
- while stowed
- Stitched with carbon thread
- Tensioned over ribs
- Edge restraint rope (carbon)

0.35m SPRITE-C Pathfinder Arcjet Testing Results (Sept 2015)

- environments.
- –Utilize flight-like interface designs
- for testing of multiple design features –Heavily instrumented 4 test articles –Mars entry relevant environments • Heating rates on fabric (40-80 W/cm²)
- IMPACT:













CAD Model - Deployed State

•**OBJECTIVE:** Characterize response of system level design features under relevant aerothermal

(Nose/fabric, Nose/Joint, Joint/Rib, Trailing Edge Close-out) • APPROACH: A relevant scale, 360 degree test article allows



Embedded Instrumentation

Insulating Fabric Skirt Design



SPRITE-C Pathfinder Test Article #2 Conformal-PICA Nose, 6 Layer Carbon Fabric, Phenolic Resin joint





Dual heat pulse (2 separate 40s and 60s exposures – 7.5 kJ/cm² total stagnation point heat load

0.7m Deployment Prototype (Sept 2015)

• Spring actuated deployment proposed for sounding rocket

configuration • Fast operation for SR mission timeline

- Simple (No motors, batteries or control system)
- Challenges include:
- Tight packaging between ADEPT "cubesat payload" and available diameter within sounding rocket
- Long stroke with high force required at end of stroke to tension fabric
- (contrary to typical spring behavior) Nose cap movement needed to prevent wrinkling of fabric at nose cap interface
- Accommodating fabric interfaces and folding into tightly packaged stowed state Approach:
- ¼ model designed and built for proof of concept, design debug, bench testing & identifying improvements
- Full deployment prototype designed & built based on findings from ¼ model debug & test ^b Deployment prototype successfully tested for function
- Plan to use prototype for testing with modified carbon fabric skirt and for separation from SR canister
- Lessons learned will be applied to SR flight unit design **Deployment Prototype Features**
- Full-scale for sounding rocket configuration
- Target fabric pre-tension of 10 lb/in (per flight requirements)
- Designed for 4-layer carbon fabric
- Two-stage deployment mechanism triggers high-force springs near end of travel to tension fabric • Linear guide rails (4) maintain even deployment
- Nose cap movement is integrated with 2nd stage of deployment mechanism
- Pulls nose cap down against fabric at end of travel to eliminate gaps • End-of-travel latches lock ADEPT in the deployed state



Prototype Stowed State



Prototype Deployed State



Prototype Deployed with Surrogate Fabric