TURBULENT CONCENTRATION OF MM-SIZE PARTICLES IN THE PROTOPLANETARY NEBULA:

SCALE-DEPENDENT CASCADES. J. N. Cuzzi¹, and T. Hartlep², *Jeffrey.Cuzzi@NASA.gov;* ¹*Ames Research Center, NASA, MS* 245-3, *Moffett Field, CA, USA;* ²*BAERI inc.; Petaluma, CA..*

Introduction: The initial accretion of primitive bodies (here, asteroids in particular) from freely-floating nebula particles remains problematic. Traditional growth-by-sticking models encounter a formidable "meter-size barrier" [1] (or even a mm-to-cm-size barrier [2]) in turbulent nebulae, making the preconditions for so-called "streaming instabilities" difficult to achieve even for so-called "lucky" particles [3]. Even if growth by sticking could somehow breach the meter size barrier, turbulent nebulae present further obstacles through the 1-10km size range [4]. On the other hand, nonturbulent nebulae form large asteroids too quickly to explain long spreads in formation times, or the dearth of melted asteroids [5]. Theoretical understanding of nebula turbulence is itself in flux; recent models of MRI (magnetically-driven) turbulence favor lowor-no-turbulence environments [6], but purely hydrodynamic turbulence is making a comeback, with two recently discovered mechanisms generating robust turbulence which do not rely on magnetic fields at all [7,8].

An important clue regarding planetesimal formation is an apparent 100km diameter peak in the pre-depletion, preerosion mass distribution of asteroids [9]; scenarios leading directly from independent nebula particulates to large objects of this size, which avoid the problematic m-km size range, could be called "leapfrog" scenarios [10-12]. The leapfrog scenario we have studied in detail involves formation of dense clumps of aerodynamically selected, typically mm-size particles in turbulence, which can under certain conditions shrink inexorably on 100-1000 orbit timescales and form 10-100km diameter sandpile planetesimals. There is evidence that at least the ordinary chondrite parent bodies were initially composed entirely of a homogeneous mix of such particles [4]. Thus, while they are arcane, turbulent concentration models acting directly on chondrule size particles are worthy of deeper study. The typical sizes of planetesimals and the rate of their formation [11,12] can be estimated using a statistical model with properties inferred from large numerical simulations of turbulence [13]. Nebula turbulence is described by its Reynolds number $Re = (L/\eta)^{4/3}$, where $L = H\alpha^{1/2}$ is the largest eddy scale, H is the nebula gas vertical scale height, α the turbulent viscosity parameter, and η is the Kolmogorov or smallest scale in turbulence (typically about 1km), with eddy turnover time t_{η} . In the nebula, Re is far larger than any numerical simulation can handle, so some physical arguments are needed to extend the results of numerical simulations to nebula conditions. In this paper, we report new physics to be incorporated into our statistical models.

Cascade model and multiplier distributions: The spatial distribution of particle concentration can be captured statistically by a *cascade model* [11,13] which predicts the probability distribution functions (PDFs) for dense particle clumps; these PDFs are essentially the volume fractions of the nebula which have the necessary properties (solids mass and local vorticity) for planetesimal formation. A cascade model presumes that, as energy flows from large eddies to smaller ones, particles and fluid properties are partitioned unequally at each "level" of the cascade from "parent" into "daughter" eddies.



Figure 1: The symbols indicate the multiplier pdfs for turbulent dissipation in high-Re atmospheric turbulence [10], showing scale invariance over 300-3000 η . The red β -functions (β =3, 6, and 48) provide context for β values in figure 2. In [11] we adopted level-independent multiplier pdfs with $\beta \sim 3$ based on our own simulations.

The probability distribution functions (pdfs) of the so-called *multipliers* by which particle and fluid properties are partitioned have widths that are parameterized by the parameter β ; smaller β means larger width ([13]; see figure 1 above), or a higher probability of strongly asymmetrical partitioning which, repeated over a number of levels, leads to clumpier or more *intermittent* local values of particle and fluid properties.

The wide range of scales between L and η is loosely called the inertial range; in this range the equations of motion are scale-free, and the multiplier pdfs for dissipation of turbulent kinetic energy (which, like the chondrule-size particles modeled by [11-13], is characterized by Kolmogorov eddy timescales) are known to be scale-independent in atmospheric flows from hundreds to thousands of Kolmogorov lengthscales [14; figure 1]. This fact motivated [11,13] to develop a cascade model in which the multiplier pdfs for particle concentration Φ were also independent of turbulent eddy lengthscale. Subsequent disagreement with results of others [15] led us to a more in-depth study using highly resolved fluid simulations [16,17] that revealed a scale dependence of multiplier pdfs, which will affect the formation rate and masses of primary planetesimals in the scenario of [11,12]. The approach is described in [18]. Here we present further analysis along these lines.

Results: Figure 2 shows our results for the widths of the multiplier pdfs [18], binned on a wide range of lengthscales r given in units of η . In this figure, the particle stopping time t_s has been normalized to a scale-dependent value St_r using the inertial range timescale ratio $(r/\eta)^{-2/3}$, and the constant parameter $St \equiv t_s/t_\eta$ where t_η is the Kolmogorov eddy time. In this plot, a value of $St_r = 1$ represents a particle having stopping time equal to the eddy time for the spatial scale r. Except at the largest binning scales $(r \geq 128\eta)$, this scaling



Figure 2: β (like inverse width) of multiplier pdfs for particle concentration, plotted as a function of St_r (stopping time t_s normalized by the turnover time of an eddy with scale r), for a variety of binning scales rranging from 12η to $512\eta \sim L/2$. With this scaling, the multiplier pdf β values collapse to a universal curve except at the largest scales r > L/10. The scale-free $\beta \sim 3$ for dissipation (figure 1) is shown. A universal curve allows our cascade models to be extended to scales smaller than resolvable by current numerical models.

collapses our previous results [18] into an invariant family of curves, allowing us to predict the value of β for any combination of lengthscale and particle stopping time. The minimum near $St_r = 0.2$ suggests that particles with stopping time somewhat shorter than the eddy time on lengthscale r are most strongly concentrated within spatial averages over lengthscale r. This scaling collapses the *multipliers* much better than an alternate scaling found by [19] which collapses the normalized concentration pdfs themselves; the concentration pdfs can be thought of as the cumulative result of multipliers acting sequentially over all larger scales. After a number of eddy bifurcations to smaller scale, particles of a small but finite range of sizes might thus end up concentrated within a single clump, which may be consistent with new determinations of chondrule size distributions which find them to be broader than previously believed [20]. Our model will ultimately make testable predictions along these lines.

However, the curves for the largest lengthscales (r > L/10) where $L \sim 1000\eta$ diverge from this scale-invariant curve, probably because, in the top decade of lengthscales, turbulent stretching and vortex tube formation have not yet reached their fully developed state (see [8] and references therein). For these largest scales, multiplier statistics are better characterized by their scale as a *fraction of* L rather than as a *multiple of* η . Moreover, at the largest scales, where nominal multiplier β values are large, the β -function itself does not provide a complete description of the cascade properties (figure 3). The scale at which the β -function becomes an adequate fit to the actual multiplier pdf is close to the scale at which the $\beta(St_r)$ curves of figure 2 become universal.

The cascade model for planetesimal formation by turbulent concentration [11] can now be modified to allow for the observed level-dependence in the particle concentration multiplier pdfs (figures 2 and 3), as well as in the multiplier pdfs for *gas enstrophy* (vorticity squared) which also determine the cascade results and, we have found, also differ from values used



Figure 3: Multiplier pdfs for nominally St = 1 particles, at four different scales. The red curves are the best-fitting overall β -functions, which get narrower for a given particle size to large scales (in figure 2, points move up and to the left on the universal collapse curve). At smaller scales, lying within the universal collapse domain, the β -function itself provides a good (and scale-invariant) fit to the actual multiplier pdf. However the *actual* pdfs (black curves) have broader exponential tails at large spatial scales.

in [11,12]. However, the asymptotic β value we determine for *dissipation* does not quite match the scale-free value determined by [14] in atmospheric flows. Thus, some effort must be dedicated to understanding how the results presented here may change with *Re* itself, and we are pursuing this. Because nebula properties such as turbulent intensity and gas density are unknown to an order of magnitude [11,12], these findings do not, on the face of it, qualitatively change the outlook for the scenario, but will lead to quantitative changes in predictions of planetesimal IMF and chondrule size distributions.

References: [1] Brauer, F. et al 2008 A&A 480, 859; [2] Zsom A. et al 2010, A&A 513, id.A57; [3] Garaud P., Meru F., Galvagni M. et al. (2013) Ap.J., 764, 146; [4] Gressel et al 2012 MNRAS 422, 1140, and references therein; [5] Cuzzi J.N. and Weidenschilling S.J. 2006 in "Meteorites and the Early Solar System II, U. Ariz. Press; [6] Bai X.-N. and Stone J. M. (2013) Ap.J., 769, 76; [7] Nelson R. P., Gressel O., and Umurhan O. M. (2013) MNRAS 435, 2610; [8] Marcus, P. et al (2014) http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.8143; [9] Bottke W. et al 2005, Icarus 175, 111; [10] Johansen, A. et al 2007 Nature, 448, 1022; [11] Cuzzi J.N., R.C. Hogan, and W.F. Bottke 2010 Icarus, 208, 518; [12] Cuzzi J.N. and R.C. Hogan 2012 43rd LPSC; id. 2536 [13] Hogan, R.C. and J.N. Cuzzi 2007 Phys. Rev. E 75, id. 056305; [14] Sreenivasan K.R. and G. Stolovitsky 1995, J. Stat. Phys. 78, 311; [15] Pan, L. et al 2011, ApJ 740, article id. 6; [16] Bec J. et al. 2010, J. Fluid Mech. 646, 527; [17] Calzavarini E. et al 2008; J. Fluid Mech. 607, 13; [18] Cuzzi, J. N.; Hartlep, T.; Weston, B.; Shariff, K. (2014) 45th LPSC, p.2764; [19] Bec, J. et al 2007, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 084502-1084502-4; [20] Fisher K. R., Tait A. W., Simon J. I. et al. (2014) 45th LPSC, p. 2711. We thank E. Calzavarini and F. Toschi for their help in providing the numerical data, and NASA's Origins of Solar Systems program for supporting this work.