The brief but long history of X-Ray Polarimetry

Martin C. Weisskopf

(NASA/MSFC)

Tuesday May 24, 2016

Outline

- A look to the past
- The present
- A brief view to the future

In the beginning…..

- July 1968 – Lithium-block, Thomson-scattering polarimeter flown on an Aerobee -150 rocket
  - Target was Sco X-1



Fig. 1. (a) Schematic representation of the polarimeter concept. (b) Mounting of the polarimeter and ancillary equipment in the rocket.

Scattering polarimeter

- Thomson cross-section illustrates the angular dependence

$$d\sigma / d\Omega = (e^2 / mc^2)^2 (\cos^2 \vartheta \cos^2 \varphi + \sin^2 \varphi)$$

- For scattering from bound electrons one must account for both coherent and incoherent scattering and photoelectric absorption



Thompson Approximation



Cos (polar scattering angle)



Azimuthal scattering angle

Advantages

- Inherently broad band device
  - Astrophysical non-thermal spectra are characteristically broad band
  - Astrophysical diagnostics (model discriminators) should benefit from understanding the energy dependence

Considerations

- Scatter as much incident flux as possible
- Avoid multiple scattering
- Collect as many scattered X-Rays as possible
- Minimize the background
- Achieve as large a sensitivity to polarization as possible
  - Optimize the “MDP” at the 99% confidence level

$$MDP(\%) = (4.29x10^4 / M(\%))\sqrt{(R_S + R_B)} / \sqrt{R_S^2 t}$$

Disadvantage: The Polarimeter Conundrum

- The scattering material should be thick (deep) in order to effectively provide for interaction with all the incident photons.
- The scattering material should be thin (narrow) in order to allow the scattered photon to easily escape.
- Similar conundrums apply as well to other approaches to X-ray polarimetry.

In the beginning….

- March 1969 - Lithium-block, Thomson-scattering polarimeter flown on an Aerobee -150 rocket
  - Target was the Crab Nebula
- February 1971 Lithium-block, Thomson-scattering polarimeter and a Bragg crystal polarimeter flown on an Aerobee -350 rocket
  - Target was the Crab Nebula
- Three rockets in 21 months!

Rocket 17.09

- Two instruments in one payload!
  - Lithium scattering polarimeter
  - 4 Bragg crystal polarimeters



Crystal polarimeter



Rocket 17.09

- 1971 Aerobee 350
  - Crab detection!
    - P = 15% ± 5%
    - φ = 156 ± 10˚



On to the satellite experiment

- 1975 OSO-8 crystal polarimeter
  - Precision measurement of integrated Crab Nebula polarization at 2.6 keV
    - P = 19% ± 1%
    - φ = 156º ± 2˚ (NNE)





Compare to modern detailed optical results

Moran, P., Shearer, A., Mignani, R.P., et al. (2013)



1975 - 2014

- X-ray polarimeter on the original Einstein mission but removed via descoping
  - Polarimeters amongst the first to go
- X-ray polarimeter built for the original SRG mission … which never launched
- Polarimeters don't get much observatory time
  - 60 days of observation per year (OSO-8)
  - 11 days of observations per year (SXG)
- X-ray polarimeter selected for the last NASA SMEX mission … but was cancelled

Electron-Tracking Polarimeters

- Optical Imaging Chamber
  - Austin & Ramsey 1992
- Pixelated Gas Multiplication
  - Costa et al. 2001
- Time Projection Chamber
  - Black et al. 2007

Electron-Tracking

- The direction of the *initial* K-shell photoelectron is determined by the electric vector and the direction of the incoming photon

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = f(\zeta) r_0^2 Z^5 \alpha_0^4 \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{7/2} 4\sqrt{2} \sin^2\theta \cos^2\varphi$$

Electron-Tracking



Site of initial ionization and Auger electron cloud produced by a 54 keV photon

Electron-Tracking



Austin and Ramsey (1992)

Looking to the future

- We need to remember that X-ray polarimetry is difficult
  - One does not expect all astrophysical systems to be strongly polarized
  - Instruments typically not fully sensitive to polarization
  - Linear polarization is non-negative – i.e. one always measures something, even for an unpolarized source

Looking to the future

- X-ray polarimetry can be powerful – *especially* if accompanied by high-resolution imaging
- X-ray polarimetry typically *requires* longer observing times than imaging, spectroscopy, and timing
- **Do not rely on an observatory-class mission**
  - Won't get the needed observing time
  - Early (if not first) candidate for descoping

Be careful

- Polarimetry is the study of systematic effects
  - End-to end calibration of the full system with unpolarized beams to the appropriate precision is essential!

A look to the future

- So many missions being planned
  - IXPE (NASA SMEX?) 2020
  - Praxys (NASA SMEX? 2020
  - XIPE (ESA M4?) 2025
  - CHINA
  - JAPAN
  - INDIA