5

# **Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> Variability Observed from ASCENDS Flight Campaigns**

# Introduction

• Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is the major climate forcing for the changing climate. Its concentration (or volume mixing ratio XCO<sub>2</sub>) has significantly increased from about 280 ppm in pre-industrial era to ~ 395 ppm at present.

• There is a lack of quantitative knowledge of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> variability in various spatiotemporal scales. A large part of carbon amounts within the Earth's carbon cycle cannot be accounted for even in observed global annual means.

# Lidar and In-Situ CO, Measurements

• U.S. National Research Council has identified the need of a future NASA Active Sensing of CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions during Nights, Days, and Seasons (ASCENDS) mission for improved determination of atmospheric carbon sources and sinks. NASA Langley Research Center (LaRC) and Harris Corp are jointly assessing the space measurement capability using airborne CO<sub>2</sub> laser absorption lidars [1-2].

The CO<sub>2</sub> lidars are intensity-modulated continuous-wave (IM-CW) multi-channel instruments operating on a  $CO_2$  absorption line in the 1.57-µm band with both online and offline wavelengths. A total of 14 flight campaigns have been conducted with lidar and in-situ CO, measurement systems.

This effort analyzes the measurements of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> from the lidar and in-situ instruments during recent flight campaigns. Significant atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> variations on various spatiotemporal scales were observed during these campaigns. Discussed cases include CO<sub>2</sub> drawdown by cornfields, large CO<sub>2</sub> variations within small regions, vertical CO<sub>2</sub> variability during the growing season and biologically dormant conditions, and urban impacts on CO<sub>2</sub> distributions.

Lidar remotely sensed CO<sub>2</sub> column values are also evaluated under both clear and cloudy conditions and within atmospheric boundary layer and above clouds[3].

# **Measurement Characteristics**

| Wultifunctional Fiber Laser Lidar (WFLL):                                    |                            |
|--|----------------------------|
| Laser power:   | <b>5 W</b>                 |
| Telescope diameter:  | <b>0.203 m</b>             |
| Detector dark current (cryogenic cooling):                                   | <b>45 pA</b>               |
| Sampling rate:   | <b>2 MHz</b>               |
| Signal integration time:   | <b>0.1-s</b>               |
| Modulation scheme: swept s   | sine with 30-km unambiguou |
| Normalization and calibration:   | reference channels         |
| * ASCENDS CarbonHawk Experiment Simul  | ator (ACES):               |
| • Laser power:   | 3×10 W                     |
| Telescope diameter:  | <b>3×0.178 m diamet</b>    |
| Detector dark current (vacuum cooling):                                      | <b>45 pA</b>               |
| • Others:  | same as MFLL               |
| In Situ Sensor (AVOCET):   |                            |
| Atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> :  | XCO <sub>2</sub>           |
| Meteorological state:  | T/p/q and wind             |
| Lidar CO <sub>2</sub> Retrieval<br>* Integrated path differential absorption | 1                          |
| $1 P P^r$ (online : on   |                            |

| $\tau_d = -\frac{1}{2} Ln(\frac{On}{P_{off}} \times \frac{On}{P_{off}})$ | (offline: off) |  |
|--|----------------|--|
| (r: normalization signal from reference channels)                        |                |  |
| CO <sub>2</sub> differential absorption optical depth (DAOD):            |                |  |

### **CO**<sub>2</sub> volume mixing ratio (XCO<sub>2</sub>)

In situ atmospheric state profile: XCO<sub>2</sub>, T/p/q **DAOD** calculations based on radiative transfer model **XCO**<sub>2</sub> calculated from observed DAOD and meteorological state measurements

# **Contact Information**:

**Bing Lin MS 420** NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23681 **Email: Bing.Lin@nasa.gov** 

#### References

[1] Jeremy T. Dobler, F. Wallace Harrison, Edward V. Browell, Bing Lin, Doug McGregor, Susan Kooi, Yonghoon Choi, and Syed Ismail, "Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> column measurements with an airborne intensity-modulated continuous wave 1.57 µm fiber laser lidar," Appl. Opt., 52 (12), 2874-2892 (2013). [2] Bing Lin, Syed Ismail, F. Wallace Harrison, Edward V. Browell, Amin R. Nehrir, Jeremy Dobler, Berrien Moore, Tamer Refaat, Susan A. Kooi, "Modeling of intensity-modulated continuous-wave laser absorption spectrometer systems for atmospheric CO2 column measurements," Appl. Opt., 50 (29), 7062 7077 (2013)

A593 (2015).

Bing Lin<sup>1</sup>, Edward Browell<sup>2</sup>, Joel Campbell<sup>1</sup>, Yonghoon Choi<sup>3</sup>, Jeremy Dobler<sup>4</sup>, Tai-Fang Fan<sup>3</sup>, F. Wallace Harrison<sup>1</sup>, Susan Kooi<sup>3</sup>, Zhaoyan Liu<sup>3</sup>, Byron Meadows<sup>1</sup>, Amin Nehrir<sup>1</sup>, Michael Obland<sup>1</sup>, Jim Plant<sup>1</sup>, Melissa Yang<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>NASA Langley Research Center, <sup>2</sup>NASA Langley Research Center STARSS II Affiliate, <sup>3</sup>Science System and Application, Inc, <sup>4</sup>Harris Corp.

us range

ter







showed much larger drawdown signal in 2011 (~8 ppm) compared with measurements in 2014 (~3 ppm) Resulting from differences in meteorological states and phases of growing season Certain variability due to inter-annual changes in meteorological and biological conditions

**Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> profiles measured during the winter 2013 and summer 2014 ASCENDS flight campaigns** over California. Winter buildup and summer drawdown of CO<sub>2</sub> around 3 ~ 5 ppm within low atmosphere were observed. During winter times, vegetation normally shuts its evapotranspiration process down and nearly stops its CO<sub>2</sub> uptake. Thus, relatively uniform CO<sub>2</sub> horizontal distributions, especially over snow/ice surfaces, were observed (not shown). However, surface soil, animals, and humar activities continuously release CO<sub>2</sub>, which, along with air-mass exchange and transport at the top of and within PBL, causes elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in the lower atmosphere. In summer, free tropospheric CO<sub>2</sub> values were generally >395 ppm, and reached about 397 ppm above 10 km. The low values below ~4 km reflected the impact of active growing season of ecosystem during this flight campaign on atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>.





[3] Bing Lin, Amin R. Nehrir, F. Wallace Harrison, Edward V. Browell, Syed Ismail, Michael Obland, Joel Campbell, Jeremy Dobler, Byron Meadows, Tai-Fang Fan, Susan A. Kooi, "Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> column measurements in cloudy conditions using IM-CW lidar at 1.57 micron," Optics Express, 23, A582-

# **Observations**

### **Cornfield CO<sub>2</sub> drawdown**

#### Urban impact



Flight pattern and measurements over Indianapolis, IN on 2 Sept 2014. Significant CO<sub>2</sub> variability was observed by both in situ and lidar instruments. High CO<sub>2</sub> values in downwind direction are likely a result of anthropogenic activities during morning rush hours.

## **Regional CO<sub>2</sub> changes**







Around 10 and 5 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> changes were found in vertical and horizontal, respectively, directions in a region of about 200×150 km<sup>2</sup> over Iowa during a summer 2014 flight by both remote and in situ sensors, which indicates large heterogeneity in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> distributions.



**In-situ derived (or modeled) Value** 

- In-situ from Spiral: CO<sub>2</sub>, T/p/q profiles
- Radiative transfer model
- **Ranging correction with lidar range data**
- In-situ derived (or modeled) DAOD
- In-situ derived (or modeled) XCO<sub>2</sub>

difference (ppm): 0.18

# Conclusions

This study evaluates the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> variability measured by in situ and active remote sensing instruments during multiple ASCENDS flight campaigns. Significant atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> variations on various spatiotemporal scales were observed. For example, around 10-ppm CO<sub>2</sub> changes were found within free troposphere in a region of about 200×150 km<sup>2</sup> over Iowa during a summer 2014 flight. For winter times, especially over snow covered ground, relatively less horizontal CO<sub>2</sub> variability was observed, likely owing to minimal interactions between the atmosphere and land surface. Inter-annual variations of CO<sub>2</sub> drawdown over cornfields in the Mid-West were found to be larger than 5 ppm due to slight differences in the corn growing phase and meteorological conditions even in the same time period of a year. Furthermore, considerable differences in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> profiles were found during winter and summer times. In the winter CO<sub>2</sub> was found to decrease from about 400 ppm in the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) to about 392 ppm in the upper troposphere, while in the summer CO<sub>2</sub> increased from about 390 ppm in the ABL to about 397 ppm in upper troposphere.

### **Future Work**

- **Analyzing CO<sub>2</sub> variability from ACT-America mission data**
- Evaluation of large spatial scale CO<sub>2</sub> variability using
- collocated airborne and OCO-2 CO<sub>2</sub> measurements
- **Model-measurement integration to obtain insights of the** driving forces of CO<sub>2</sub> changes

**Acknowledgement:** This research team would like to thank NASA Earth Science Division and Langley Research Center for their supports of data analyses and flight campaigns.