The Cognitive Challenges of Flying a Remotely Piloted Aircraft



Alan Hobbs*, Colleen Cardoza*, & Cynthia Null**

* San Jose State University/NASA Ames Research Center

** NASA Langley Research Center





32nd Conference of the European Association for Aviation Psychology Cascais, 26-30 September 2016

Three Types of Remotely Piloted Aircraft Systems (RPAS)

All classes of civil airspace

Low level line-of-sight



Low level beyondline-of sight







Three Types of Remotely Piloted Aircraft Systems (RPAS)

All classes of civil airspace

Low level line-of-sight



Low level beyondline-of sight









Three Types of Remotely Piloted Aircraft Systems (RPAS)

Assumptions Instrument Flight Rules Controlled by a remote pilot Not autonomous Complies with ATC instructions

Low level line-of-sight

Low level beyondline-of sight







All classes of civil airspace







Accident Record

- US Army <u>accident</u> rates¹:
 - Unmanned aircraft: 49 per 100,000 hours
 - Manned aircraft: 4 per 100,000 hours
- USAF <u>hull-los</u>s rates²:
 - MQ-9: 4 per 100,000 hours flown
 - Manned aircraft: 0.4 per 100,000 hours flown
- Small civilian RPA <u>hull-loss</u> rate:
 - ~ 300 per 100,000 flight hours

Prather, C. (2013). Online report of Army aircraft mishaps. *Flightfax, 26*. Retrieved from www.safety.army.mil
 United States Air Force. (2015). *Q-9 flight mishap history*. Retrieved from http://www.afsec.af.mil/

RPAS Critical Incident Study: Rationale



- "Tombstone safety" in the 20th century
- Lack of data on minor RPAS events



RPAS Critical Incident Study: Goals

- Examine the feasibility of a method to collect the operational experiences of RPAS pilots
- Provide independent and complementary data to supplement NASA simulations and flight tests

RPAS Critical Incident Study: Approach

- Focus groups with 2-3 pilots at a time
- Participants asked to recall events experienced while operating a remotely piloted aircraft
 - 1. A hazardous situation or error
 - 2. The rectification of a hazardous situation or error
- Only reports that can be made public



Preliminary Results

- 23 participants
- 90 incidents described
- Weight classes of the remotely piloted aircraft:

Aircraft max takeoff weight	# of reports
Less than 400 lbs	17
2000-15,000 lbs	60
Greater than 15,000 lbs	13

Problems Mentioned in Reports



10

Example: Lost Link

"We were flying really far out ... about 90 kilometers from the antenna. But I passed some random mountain peak for about one second and the aircraft went into emergency mode. Luckily I had the correct emergency mode programmed. If I didn't, I could've lost the aircraft."

Stages of Lost Link



Mission Planner 1.3.39 b	build 1.1.6038.12291 ArduPlane V3.6.0 (569a7a4a) —	
FLIGHT DATA FLIGHT PLAN	INITIAL SETUP CONFIGITUNING SIMULATION TERMINAL HELP DONATE AUTO 115200 - Stats	
Flight Modes Basic Tuning	Write Params Refresh Params Find Advanced Menu View	^
Standard Params	GCS failsafe enable (FS_GCS_ENABL) Description: Enable ground control station telemetry failsafe. Failsafe will trigger after FS_LONG_TIMEOUT seconds of no MAVLink heartbeat messages. There are two possible enabled settings. Seeing FS_GCS_ENABL to 1 means that GCS failsafe will be triggered when the aircraft has not received a	
Full Parameter List	HeartbeatAndREMRSSI	
Full Parameter Tree Planner	Description: The action to take on a long (FS_LONG_TIMEOUT seconds) failsafe event. If the aircraft was in a stabilization or manual mode when failsafe started and a long failsafe occurs then it will change to RTL mode if FS_LONG_ACTN is 0 or 1, and will change to FBWA if FS_LONG_ACTN is set to 2. If the aircraft was in an auto mode	
	Long failsafe timeout (FS_LONG_TIMEOUT) Units: seconds Description: The time in seconds that a failsafe condition has to persist before a long failsafe event will occur. This defaults to 5 seconds.	
	Short fails afo action (ES_SHOPT_ACTN)	D
	Description: The action to take on a short (FS_SHORT_TIMEOUT) failsafe event. A short failsafe even can be triggered either by loss of RC control (see THR_FS_VALUE) or by loss of GCS control (see FS_GCS_ENABL). If in CIRCLE or RTL mode this parameter is ignored. A short failsafe event in stabilization and manual modes will cause an change to CIRCLE mode if FS_SHORT_ACTN CIRCLE	
	Short failsafe timeout (FS_SHORT_TIMEOUT) Units: seconds Description: The time in seconds that a failsafe condition has to persist before a short failsafe event will occur. This defaults to 1.5 seconds	
	Throttle Failsafe Value (THR_FS_VALUE)	0
	Description: The PWM level on channel 3 below which throttle failsafe triggers	
	950	

Example: Lost Link Timer

"The airplane ... made many turnarounds due to it being out of link then ... it would reacquire and ... return on mission. This affected fuel burn. [So I] set time-out feature just short of the actual mission duration."

Example: Lack of Sensory Information

"We fly based on digital gauges. We don't hear or feel anything, like RPM changes The aircraft is supposed to level off, at say, 5,000 ft ... As opposed to a real aircraft [where] you can feel the airplane leveling off, I couldn't determine if it was still climbing until I noticed it was 300 ft past its command altitude."

Stale Lost Link

- Pilot awareness of lost link mission
- Lost link mission needs regular updating
- Lost link mission can be a form of "automation surprise"

Example: Stale Lost Link

"At the beginning of the flight, the lost link procedure was valid, but the procedure was not updated later in the flight. At one point, had the lost link procedure been activated, it would've had the aircraft fly through terrain in an attempt to reach the next waypoint. However, the aircraft didn't lose link and the error was caught in the handover to the next set of operators."

Example: Voice Latency

"There is a delay between clicking the press-to-talk and talking. This is very difficult to manage when in very busy airspace, and listening for a gap to talk. Sometimes by the time we press the talk button, with the satellite delay, the gap is gone and we step on other aircraft."



Controls and Displays

- Some RPS interfaces appear to be particularly error-productive
- Shared payload and flight controls
- Keyboard and consumer interfaces

Example Narrative: Keyboards

"... an operator placed his manual on top of [the keyboard]. Accidently, this activated the GUI. Then more pressure was applied through handling the manual, on the space bar. As a result, it highlighted and armed, through several steps, the flight termination button. Luckily, the operator saw the countdown and caught it in time to deactivate this command."

Example: Data Entry Errors and Slips

"I went to put the gear down, but instead I turned the SAS [Stability Augmentation System] off using the red emergency button. The aircraft went into a 20-degree bank and 5-degrees nose down. I was able to recover the airplane. I had developed muscle memory with the activation of the SAS disengagement button."



Accessibility of Control Station

"In manned aircraft it is clear who is in command, but with UAS operations, there are multiple people who have a sense of responsibility for the aircraft. So when there is something that needs attention many people run to the GCS [Ground Control Station]."



Example: Mode Error During Control Station Transfer

"During preflight, handover checks were being done ... we had the aircraft engine at idle with the parking brake set, but when the radio handover switched to XXX, he didn't have the parking brake set and the power was set at 80% The result was the engine revving up, and the aircraft jumping its chocks."

Example: Unintended Transfer

"I was preparing to take control of the aircraft from [another pilot station]. The transmitters from my GCS were accidently left on. When I slewed the directional antenna to get the picture of the aircraft (the down link info), this automatically gave me control of the aircraft. I was not intending to take control of the aircraft at this time."

Three Styles of Control Transfer



Example: Camera View Illusion

"Depending on how I do the landing [the moveable sensor camera] ...will be used to make sure that we clear the turns. But sometimes, the sensor operator will move the camera, which will make it look like I'm turning but I'm actually not turning. So I have to concentrate and make sure I don't respond to that erroneous camera view."



Next Steps

- Continuing to collect RPAS incidents
- Results are being used to inform design guidelines for RPAS control stations
- Incident reports are helping to identify underexamined topics

Applying the Lessons to RPAS Guidelines



Under-examined Topics

- How should control transfers be managed?
- What does ATC need to know about each RPAS flight?
 e.g. Stages of lost link?
- How much voice delay is tolerable?
- How does teleoperation change threat & error management?
- How do we make the best use of human capabilities in teleoperated systems?
- What information is needed for flight termination decision making?
- How does the accessibility of the control station change CRM?
- What maintenance tasks should be permitted while a control station is linked to an aircraft?

A Final Thought

Will there be a convergence between conventional aircraft and RPAS?



alan.hobbs@nasa.gov

References

Hobbs, A., & Lyall, B. (2016). *Human factors guidelines for remotely piloted aircraft system (RPAS) remote pilot stations (RPS).* Contractor report prepared for NASA UAS in the NAS Project. <u>http://human-factors.arc.nasa.gov/</u>

Hobbs, A., & Lyall, B. (2016). Human factors guidelines for unmanned aircraft systems. *Ergonomics in Design*, *24*, 23-28.

Hobbs, A. (In press). Remotely piloted aircraft systems. In S. Landry (Ed.). *Handbook of Aviation Human Factors*. London: Taylor & Francis.

Hobbs, A. (2010). Unmanned aircraft systems. In E. Salas & D. Maurino (Eds.). *Human factors in aviation, 2nd edition* (505-531). San Diego: Elsevier.