# Exploration Medical System Technical Development

<sup>1</sup>Tyler Burba, <sup>2</sup>Andrea Hanson, <sup>3</sup>Jennifer Mindock <sup>1</sup>NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, OH <sup>2</sup>NASA Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX <sup>3</sup>KBRwyle, Houston, TX

HRP Investigators' Workshop

1/23/2017

# Systems Engineering Session Talks



The needs identified by this work will drive future ExMC research

# Review -Systems Engineering "V"



# **Review-Con Ops Scenario Tree**



# Con Ops Headache Scenario Example

### Scenario: 03. Transit – IVA – Unplanned – Self-Care – Autonomous from Ground Context: Headache



# **Identify Needed Functions**



#### **Identify Interactions**



# **Build Functional Decomposition**

• Begin defining problem space



# **Build Functional Decomposition Cont.**



#### **Draft System Architecture Decomposition**

• Now begin bridging to "Solution Space"



# **Example Traceability to Requirements**



#### Systems Engineering Process "V" Example



# Summary

- "What" not "How" initially
  - − ConOps → Functions → Requirements
- Structured approach
  - Envisioning and guiding the development of an exploration medical system is a big job
  - This approach gives an organized path
- Traceability
  - When negotiations are necessary regarding capabilities to include (hardware and/or software), we are able to trace to impacted requirements and system functions
  - Identify orphans then why do we have this capability?
  - − Identify parents with no implementation − function and capability are lacking
    → RESEARCH NEED

# Conclusion

#### • Next steps

- Top Down continue:
  - Activities and interactions
  - Functional decomposition
  - System architecture
  - Traceability
- Bottom Up begin reconciling existing subsystem level content
- Draft system functional requirements 2017
- Cross-disciplinary, cross-Center team has made huge strides in only a few months

# Thank you

