

# Predicting Localized Flooding during the 2015 North Alabama **Christmas Flood Event Using WRF-Hydro Simulated Streamflow**

Nicholas Elmer<sup>1,5</sup> (nicholas.j.elmer@nasa.gov), Bradley Zavodsky<sup>2,5</sup>, Andrew Molthan<sup>2,5</sup>, Jonathan Case<sup>3,5</sup>, Clay Blankenship<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Atmospheric Science, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL; <sup>2</sup>Earth Science Office, NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL; <sup>3</sup>ENSCO, Inc., Huntsville, AL; <sup>4</sup>Universities Space Research Association (USRA), Huntsville, AL; <sup>5</sup>NASA Short-term Prediction Research and Transition (SPoRT) Center, Huntsville, AL

# 1. 2015 December North Alabama Flood

- Heavy rainfall over multiple days in excess of 100 mm (4 in.) across much of northern Alabama and over 250 mm (10 in.) in some locations (Figure 1)
- Moderate to major flooding along several rivers in North Alabama, including Flint River and Paint Rock River (Figure 2)




Figure 1. Multi-Radar Multi-Sensor (MRMS) gauge corrected total precipitation (mm) for 72-hour period beginning 1200 UTC 23 December 2015.




Figure 2. 20-29 December 2015 observed streamflow for the (a) Flint River and (b) Paint Rock River. National Weather Service flood categories (action, flood, moderate, major) are indicated by the colored shading (yellow, orange, red, and purple, respectively). Gauge locations are shown in Fig. 3. Hydrographs generated by http://nwis.waterdata.usgs.gov/.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Kris White and Michelle Amin (NOAA NWS Huntsville) for providing forecaster insight of the 2015 December North Alabama Flood.

## 2. WRF-Hydro Configuration

- Uncoupled Weather Research and Forecasting model hydrological extension package (WRF-Hydro) version 3.0 (Gochis et al. 2013) (Figure 3)
- Resolution:
- 100-m terrain and channel routing grid
- 1-km Noah Land Surface Model with multiparameterization options (Noah-MP; Niu et al. 2011)
- North American Land Data Assimilation System Phase 2 (NLDAS-2; Xia et al. 2012) forcing regridded to 1-km resolution
- Simulations began 0000 UTC 1 December 2015, following a 2-year model spin-up and manual calibration period
- WRF-Hydro channel network shown in Figure 4

WRF-Hydro System		
Column Land Surface Module	Terrain Routing Module	Channel Routing Module
Evapotranspiration Soil Moisture/Ice Snowpack/melt Runoff Radiation Exchange Energy Fluxes Plant Water Stress	Stream inflow Surface Water Depth Groundwater Depth Soil Moisture	Streamflow River Stage Flow Velocity Reservoir Storage Reservoir Discharge

Figure 3. WRF-Hydro modules and output variables (Gochis et al. 2013).




Figure 4. WRF-Hydro channel network for North Alabama. Color scale depicts stream order. Flint River (green point) and Paint Rock River (red point) stream gauge sites are indicated. Domain extent is shown by the black box in Figure 1, and Huntsville, Alabama, is indicated by the yellow star.

#### References

Gochis, D. J., W. Yu, and D. N. Yates, 2013: The WRF-Hydro Model Technical Description and User's Guide, Version 1.0. NCAR Technical Document, 120 pp., NCAR, Boulder, Colo. [Available at http://www.ral.ucar.edu/projects/wrf\_hydro/.]

Maidment, D. R., 2017: Flood inundation mapping using Height Above Nearest Drainage Method. Presented to NWS Leadership Team, 9 January 2017, [Available online at https://www.cuahsi.org/Files/Pages/documents/7885/cuahsi\_tr13\_8.20.16.pdf].

Niu, G.-Y., et al. (2011), The community Noah land surface model with multiparameterization options (Noah-MP): 1. Model description and evaluation with local-scale measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D12109, doi:10.1029/2010JD015139.

Nobre, A. D., L. A. Cuartas, M. Hodnett, C. D. Renno, G. Rodrigues, A. Silveira, M. Waterloo, and S. Saleska, 2011: Height Above the Nearest Drainage – a hydrologically relevant new terrain model. J. Hydrology, 404 (1-2), 13-29, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.03.051.

Xia, Y., et al., 2012: Continental-scale water and energy flux analysis and validation for the North American Land Data Assimilation System project phase 2 (NLDAS-2): 1. Intercomparison and application of model products. J. Geophys. Res., 117, D03109, doi:10.1029/2011JD016048.

### 3. WRF-Hydro Streamflow

- Model hydrographs roughly follow observation trend (Figure 5), especially for Flint River
- Magnitude of simulated streamflow much lower than observed streamflow, which will addressed in future work by using more sophisticated calibration methods




Figure 5. 20-29 December 2015 simulated (solid) and observed (dashed) streamflow for the a) Flint River and b) Paint Rock River. Line colors correspond to station point colors in Figure 4.

# 4. Inundation Extent/Depth

- Inundation extent/depth derived from WRF-Hydro streamflow using USGS rating curves (Figure 6) and Height Above Nearest Drainage (HAND) model (Nobre et al. 2011, Maidment 2017) model (Figure 7)
- Inundation depth map for Flint River and Paint Rock River (Figure 8) partially confirmed by observed flood extent (Figure 9)




Figure 6. Rating curves for the (left) Flint River and (right) Paint Rock River. Blue line indicates peak of hydrograph. NWS flood categories are indicated as in Figure 2.

87 88 87 90 96 98


86 85 84 86 94 99


88 84 83 86 91 94

96 87 84 82 83 82

98 95 86 82 81 80

98 97 93 88 83 81









Figure 7. Development and application of HAND model. a) Original DEM (meters) and channel network, b) each cell mapped to the nearest channel cell, c) the elevation difference between each cell and its assigned channel cell, i.e., height above nearest drainage (HAND), and d) inundation extent and depth (meters) corresponding to a gauge height 2 meters above flood stage.






Figure 8. Inundation depth along the (a) Flint River and (b) Paint Rock River, derived from the HAND model (100-m resolution) using a gauge height of 2 meters (corresponding to 26 December 2015 conditions). WRF-Hydro channels shown in black. Domain extents of (a) and (b) are shown in Figure 4 as green and red boxes, respectively.





Figure 9. 26 December 2015 observed flood waters along the Flint River near Owens Cross Roads, Alabama. 1) Hays Nature Preserve (photo by Clay Blankenship) and 2) Old Big Cove Road (photo taken by J3Systems). Figure 8a shows the site of each of these photos.

#### 5. Conclusions

- HAND model provides viable methodology to predict inundation extent from WRF-Hydro streamflow
- Derived inundation products can assist in flood response and emergency management efforts

#### 6. Future Work

- Calibrate model using NCAR WRF-Hydro calibration scripts to obtain more accurate streamflow
- Validate inundation extent derived from WRF-Hydro using Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) and Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) imagery