Measurements of Atmospheric Radiation on Small Unmanned Aerial Vehicles and **AircrafT** at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center (AFRC) MARS UAV AT AFRC

Scott Wiley¹, W. Kent Tobiska², Justin Bailey², Leonid Didkovsky², Kevin Judge², Seth Wieman², William Atwell², Brad Gersey³, Richard Wilkins³, Stephen Bacon³, Laura Dominik⁴, Chris Mertens⁵, Robert Singleterry⁵, Albion Bowers⁶, Dave Berger⁶, Luke Bard⁶, Ed Teets⁶, Bryn Jones⁷, Geoff Crowley⁸, Irfan Azeem⁸, Matthias Meyer⁹, Tomas Fokert⁹, Antti Pulkkinen¹⁰, Tom Conroy¹¹, Derek Abramson¹, Justin Hall¹, Kim Bestul¹, Robert Jensen¹, Geoffrey Bland¹², Paul Marinello¹³, Mary Lockhart¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ²Space Environment Technologies, ³Prairie View A&M University, ⁴Honeywell, ⁵NASA Langley Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, Inc. at NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ¹⁴, ¹Jacobs, ¹⁵, ¹ ⁶NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center, ⁷SolarMetrics, ⁸ASTRA, ⁹DLR, ¹⁰NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, ¹¹Far West Technology, Inc., ¹²NASA Wallops Flight Facility, ¹³Panasonic Aerospace, ¹⁴PEMDAS Technologies

Introduction

Observations lead the way to understanding the complexities of our environment. Concerns regarding space weather impacts to flight crew, avionics, electronics, communications and navigation led NASA in taking atmospheric radiation observations in 1997 to support high altitude supersonic commercial flights [1]. With the increase of commercial flights on polar routes, the Mission to Mars and high altitude/latitude Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) flights, the need for more observations was addressed by the Upper-atmospheric Space and Earth Weather eXperiment (USEWX) and the space weather community. Space weather forecasts are heavily model dependent with little verification. Given AFRC's experience and unique flight assets, teams of scientists and engineers collaborated to reinvigorate observing space weather from several different aircraft. During instrument integrations onto AFRC aircraft, ideas ignited to combine weather and space weather observations with a hazard detection capability that will not only warn but also provide mitigation strategies to pilots and operators in real time [2]. This poster identifies what has been accomplished so far and future plans to develop this technology.





Data

ARMAS flies on a space available basis on AFRC aircraft in locations of high interest, e.g., the poles, South Pacific, New Zealand, Iceland, and Canada. Radiation observations were taken by ARMAS during the OLYMPEX field campaign (Fig. 1). AFRC USEWX-equipped ER-2 also supported the Radiation and Dosimetry eXperiment (RaD-X) [4].

Fig. 1a

- Dose rate increases/decreases associated with aircraft climb/descent, respectively. Large variability in observed dose rate while NAIRAS model shows
- climatology
- ARMAS data used to improve NAIRAS model

Fig. 1b

- Higher dose rate correlated with lower cutoff rigidities ARMAS provides 3-Dimensional rendering of GPS location and
- altitude

Conclusions

- More weather/space weather observations are key to understanding weather/space weather, their interactions, model improvements and warning decisions
- ARMAS detected probable radiation linked to Van Allen radiation belts interaction at aviation altitudes
- Utilizing aircraft and radiosondes to observe weather and space weather are key to better understanding and modeling of the environment at and above flight altitudes

References

[1] Wilson, J.W., et al. (2003), Atmospheric Ionizing Radiation (AIR): Analysis, Results, and Lessons Learned From the June 1997 ER-2 Campaign, NASA/CP-2003-212155. [2] Bauer, J., et al. (1998), Atmospheric Considerations for Uninhabited Aerial Vehicle (UAV) Flight Test Planning, Dryden Flight Research Center, NASA/TM-1998-206541. [3] Tobiska, W.K., et al. (2016), Global real-time dose measurements using the Automated Radiation Measurements for Aerospace Safety (ARMAS) system, Space Weather, 14, doi:10.1002/2016SW001419. [4] Mertens, C.J. (2016), Overview of the Radiation Dosimetry Experiment (RaD-X) flight mission, Space Weather, 14, 921–934, doi:10.1002/2016SW001399.

- HAWK
- Industry standard Tissue Equivalent Particle Counter First flight on ER-2 1997 Large, heavy, dated Data storage in instrument



Twister on steroids with better aerodynamics © Santiago Borja, http://www.santiagoborja.com





Figure 2. Future use of a WHAATRR Glider formation around a thunderstorm, i.e.,

- and Return To Base (RTB) for reuse

- to fly in Martian atmosphere (Fig. 3)
- Prandtl-M instrumentation needs



Acknowledgements

We thank David Voracek, Brian Hobbs, Tim Moes, John Mcgrath, David Mcallister, Marty Hench, Kurt Kloesel, Shari Olson-Trigg, Tony Phillips, Bobby Russell, Joe Latrell, Teachers In Space and AFRC Management for their funding, support and assistance. Without their efforts, this would not have been possible.