

## A Case for Basic Rotating Detonation Engine Research

Daniel E. Paxson NASA Glenn Research Center Cleveland, Ohio

## **DARPA RDE Stakeholders Day**

Arlington, VA May 26, 2016

## Outline



- Basic Thermodynamics of Pressure Gain Combustion
- Benefits Thereof
- PGC Approaches
- The Rotating Detonation Approach
- Challenges
  - Why We Need Basic Research

## Basic Thermodynamics-RDE is PGC

Pressure Gain Combustion (PGC):

A fundamentally unsteady process whereby gas expansion by heat release is constrained, causing a rise in stagnation pressure and allowing work extraction by expansion to the initial pressure.

Practical PGC Devices for Propulsion and Power:

- Are periodic
- Are fixed volume
- Produce work availability directly from chemical energy

In a Nutshell: A Lenoir-like Cycle is Executed <u>Without</u> <u>Pistons</u>, (and with few moving parts)

Lenoir Cycle:

- Isochoric Heat Addition
- Isentropic Expansion
- Isobaric Heat Rejection







Patented 1860



National Aeronautics and Space Administration



 $\gamma = 1.4$ 

M<sub>0</sub>=1.0 T<sub>0</sub>=400 R

 $q_0 = 5.0$ 

 $R_{g} = 53.57 \text{ ft-lb}_{f}/\text{lb}_{m}/\text{R}$ 

0.5

1.0

s/c<sub>p</sub>

8.5

7.5

6.5

5.5

3.5

2.5

1.5

0.5

0.0

ر 4.5 پ

## **Benefits-Air Breather**

Brayton KE<sub>out</sub>

2.0

(4)

G

PGC

1.5

9

Brayton

Atkinson

<u>4</u>



#### **PGC Features**

- Compression up front and additional expansion at the back yields Atkinson/Humphrey cycle.
- Significant decrease in SFC
- Significant increase in specific power or specific thrust
- May allow 'effective' OPR's that are difficult to achieve with conventional means for a given engine class



Gas Turbine



## **Benefits-Rocket**





PGC Rocket at  $P_{manifold}$  of 488 psia Delivers Same  $I_{sp}$  as Conventional Rocket at  $P_{manifold}$  of 3000 psia

Smaller or Even No Pumps → Better T/W

#### Assumptions:

- Calorically perfect gas (excluding CEA)
- Adiabatic
- Ideal Nozzle
- Sea level exhaust pressure
- Lossless injectors w/ infinite bandwidth



injectors Tyranny of the Rocket Equation "When making a rocket that is near 90% propellant, small gains through engineering are literally worth more than their weight in gold."

-Don Pettit

## **PGC** Approaches

#### **Pulse Detonation**

- Axially propagating detonation wave replaces CV process
- Typically mechanically valved at inlet
- Usually envisioned as a cluster of regularly firing tubes
- Per tube frequencies on order of 100 Hz.
- Substantial history of efforts
- Current efforts exist

## True Constant Volume

- Confinement provided by valves at both ends
- Operational versions exist

#### IC Wave Rotor



#### Holzwarth Explosion Turbine





G.E. Global Research Center 2005

Rotating Detonation is Not the Only Game in Town

### Other

- Resonant Pulse
  Combustion
- See Kan, Heister, et. al.



Demonstrated pressure gain during closed loop operation in gas turbines using liquid fuels

## **PGC** Approaches



## **Rotating Detonation**

- Circumferentially propagating detonation wave replaces CV process
- Typically aero-valved at inlet
- Basically an annulus with a nozzle
- Operating frequencies on order of 1000 Hz.
- Smaller history of efforts



Source: Schwer, AIAA 2011-581

All Do The Same Basic Thing; All Have Pros and Cons

Pressure



## Why the Rotating Detonation Approach? A Closer Look at an Example Rocket Cycle (using a 'validated' code)



Potential Exist for Very Compact, High Efficiency, High T/W Engines, With Fewer Parts

DARPA RDE 2016

www.nasa.gov 9

## Simulated RDE in a T-63

- Non-optimized, laboratory RDE
- Intended as a turbine interaction test, not a RDE performance test
- Unusual high back pressure scenario

**REMEMBER:** 

Have

4-5 Years

• Used here because it is illustrative

Only





0.8 RDE exit flow is all subsonic with 0.6 0.6 Axial Mach 0.2 some inlfow 0 -0.2 0.20.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 0 x reflection 0.4 0.35 RDE length→long residence remperature 0.3 time, excess heat transfer, 0.25 2 0.2 streamline dissipation of KE 0.15 0.1 0.05 **RDE's** detonation 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.1 0.7 0.8 0.9 0.5 0.6 Been Non-dimensional Inlet Mass Flux Truly Operational for 45% RDE inlet total pressure drop 18% RDE inlet backflow 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.9 0.6 0.7 0.8 x Current RDE Simulation Shows PR<1.0; Configuration Changes Could Yield PR>1.2

# Why We Need Basic Research



## • RDE's are difficult to analyze

- Highly coupled
- Hard to know what causes what
- Conventional measurements are tough to make
- Validated codes are few and often unavailable
  - And murderously hard to validate (see above)
  - Need parametric variation capability
- Significant improvement requires <u>practical</u> understanding of underlying processes
  - Processing liquid fuels
  - Throttling
  - Geometric effects (min. length, min. diameters, max. annulus width, annular vs. axisymmetric, etc.)
  - Wave number control (small effect now, but possibly critical with optimized designs)
  - Unsteady injection and mixing (rapid mixing may not be the ultimate goal)
  - Unsteady nozzle design (many modeled operating points show mixed sub- & supersonic flow)
  - Heat transfer (high temp., density, & velocity →multi-megawatt heat flux & associated lost performance)
  - Low loss/High diodicity inlets (models of some current designs indicate >20% backflow and 50% △p/p)
- More minds are needed
  - Understanding these devices enough to be useful takes time, not just \$
- Practical application studies are essential
  - What is the best way to utilize the technology, and who should determine this?

Challenges Are Real, Typical for TRL, And Tractable



## **Concluding Remarks**



- Pressure Gain Combustion (PGC) can significantly enhance propulsion and power system performance.
- Rotating Detonation Engine (RDE) technology may be a particularly effective way to affect PGC.
- Significant strides have been made with relatively limited resources, but a sustained effort at basic practical process understanding is needed in order to fully exploit RDE technology.
- Coordination and cooperation between organizations is key, as is growing the community.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration



## END

www.nasa.gov 13