## Evaluating Crustal Contamination Effects on the Lithophile Trace Element Budget of Shergottites

A.D. BRANDON<sup>1</sup>, J. FERDOUS<sup>1</sup>, A.H. PESLIER<sup>2</sup>

 Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, abrandon@uh.edu
Jacobs, NASA-Johnson Space Center, Mail Code XI3, Houston TX 77058

The origin of the incompatible trace element (ITE) enriched compositions of shergottites has been a point of contention for decades [1-2]. Two scenarios have been proposed, the first is that enriched shergottite compositions reflect an ITE-enriched mantle source, whereas in the second, the ITE enrichment reflects crustal contamination of mantlederived parent magmas. Evidence supporting the first scenario is that the ITE-enriched shergottite compositions are consistent with the outcomes of magma ocean crystallization [3], and that Os-Nd isotope relationships for shergottites cannot be explained by realistic crustal contamination models [4]. In contrast, Cl and S isotopes are consistent with shergottite magmas interacting with Mars crust [5,6], and ITE-enriched olivine-hosted melt inclusions and interstitial glass are found in depleted shergottite Yamato 980459 [7]. These findings indicate that some level of crustal interaction occurred but the question of whether ITE-enrichments in some bulk shergottites reflect crustal contamination remains open.

Recently, a Mars crustal breccia meteorite has been found, NWA 7034 and its paired stones, that is our best analogue to an average of Mars ancient crust [8-10]. This allows for better constraints on crustal contamination of shergottite magmas. We modeled magma-crust mixing and assimilation-fractional crystallization (AFC) using ITE-depleted shergottite compositions and bulk NWA 7034 and its clasts as end-members. The results of these models indicate that crustal contamination can only explain the ITE-enriched compositions of some bulk shergottites under unusual circumstances. It is thus likely that the shergottite range of compositions reflects primarily mantle sources.

[1] Jones J.H. (1986) *GCA 50, 969*. [2] Jones J.H. (2015) *MAPS* 50, 674-690. [3] Debaille V. et al. (2008) *EPSL* 269, 186. [4] Brandon A.D. et al. (2012) *GCA* 76, 206. [5] Franz H.B. et al. (2014) *Nature* 508, 364. [6] Williams J.T. et al. (2016) *MAPS* 51, 2092-2110. [7] Peters T.J. et al. (2015) *EPSL* 418, 91. [8] Agee C.B. et al. (2013) *Science*, 339 780. [9] Humayun M. et al. (2013) *Nature* 503, 513. [10] Nyquist L.E. et al. (2016) *MAPS* 51, 483.