



State of the NASA Aeropropulsion Discipline Input from the Glenn Research Center

D. R. Reddy and George Schmidt Propulsion Division NASA Glenn Research Center

NESC Propulsion Technical Discipline Team (TDT) Face-to-Face Meeting Marshall Space Flight Center Huntsville, AL April 6 – 7, 2017



GRC Propulsion Division Main Thrust Areas



Aeropropulsion

- Subsonic Aeropropulsion focused on improved fuel utilization, cleaner emissions, lower acoustic signatures and improved safety
- Supersonic Propulsion focused on affordable supersonic flight over land with reduced sonic boom signature
- Hypersonic propulsion smooth and stable mode transition; efficient supersonic combustion
- FTE: 139
- WYE: 35

In-space Propulsion

- Chemical and Thermal Propulsion focused on MPCV/Orion development, Cryogenic Fluid Management (CFM), Lander and Green Prop technologies, Nuclear Thermal Propulsion and In-Situ Resource Utilization (ISRU)
- Electric Propulsion focused on flight implementation of high-power Hall and Ion technologies, technology development of condensible-propellant and microspray systems, and research of advanced plasma-based technologies
- FTE: 57
- WYE: 12

Cross-cutting Engineering Disciplines in Fluid and Thermal Systems

Physical Sciences and Biomedical Technologies



Air-Breathing Propulsion Technologies

(~ 360 FTEs and 265 WYEs Supporting ARMD



Fundamental Physics, Engine Components, Propulsion Systems, Future Concepts











Combustion

Propulsion Acoustics

Icing

Systems Integration





Particle Image Velocimetry

Materials & Structures

Instrumentation & Controls













Test & Evaluation



AeroAcoustic **Propulsion Laboratory** (AAPL)

S3 Viking Aircraft for Icing and other Flight Research



High temp. materials, composites, mechanics, life prediction, structural dynamics

NASA Subsonic Transport System-Level Metrics



...technologies for dramatically improving noise, emissions, & performance (Energy Efficiency & Environmental compatibility)

| TECHNOLOGY | TECHNOLOGY GENERATIONS (Technology Readiness Level = 5-6) | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| BENEFITS | Near Term 2015-2025 | Mid Term 2025-2035 | Far Term beyond 2035 | | | | |
| Noise (cum below Stage 4) | 22 – 32 dB | 32 – 42 dB | 42 – 52 dB | | | | |
| LTO No _x Emissions (below CAEP 6) | 70 – 75% | 80% | >80% | | | | |
| Cruise No _x Emissions (rel. to 2005 best in class) | 65 – 70% | 80% | >80% | | | | |
| Aircraft Fuel/Energy Consumption (rel. to 2005 best in class) | 40 – 50% | 50 – 60% | 60 – 80% | | | | |
| Evolutionary Revolutionary Transformational | | | | | | | |



Targeted Supersonic Transport Capability Metrics



| VEHICLE | VEHICLE GENERATION | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| CAPABILITIES | MID-TERM 2025-2035 | FAR-TERM BEYOND 2035 | | | | | |
| Operating Economics | Business aircraft economics | Airline economics | | | | | |
| Cruise Speed | Mach 1.6 to 1.8 | Mach 1.3 to 1.6 overland, higher over water | | | | | |
| Range | 4,000 n.mi. | 4,000 to 5,500 n.mi. | | | | | |
| Passengers | 6 to 90 | 100 to 200 | | | | | |
| Sonic Boom Noise | 70 to 75 PLdB | 65 to 70 PLdB | | | | | |
| Airport Noise | Airport noise: ICAO Ch. 14, with margin | Airport noise: 15 EPNdB below Ch. 14 | | | | | |
| Cruise NO _x Emissions | <10 grams per kg fuel+ | <5 grams per kg fuel (plus reduced particulates and H2O vapor) | | | | | |

NASA Aeronautics/DoD: Leveraging hypersonic capabilities







New Aviation Horizons - Supersonics





Low-boom flight demonstrator will pave the way for the development of a noise design standard for overland flight and new generations of supersonic civil aircraft.

Preliminary Design underway and proceeding on track with completion expected in Summer 2017. Further action pending Agency decisions.



New Aviation Horizons - Ultra-Efficient Subsonic Transport Demonstrators





120.

More Power to

The Space Station

Copyright, The McGraw-Hill Companies. Used with permission.

HWB Concept 1 (Tailless)

- Hybrid/blended wing body without a tail
 - Non-circular, flat-walled
 pressurized composite fuselage
- Upper aft fuselage mounted propulsion
- Propulsion noise shielding
- Unique cargo door for military/civil application





HWB Concept 2 (Tail w/OWN)

- Hybrid/blended wing body with conventional T-tail
- Non-circular, oval pressurized composite fuselage
- Aft, Over-the-Wing Nacelles
- Fan noise shielding from wing
- Unique cargo door for military/civil application



Image Credit: Lockheed Martin



TTBW–Transonic Truss-Braced Wing

- Truss-braced, thin, very high aspect ratio wing with folding tips
- Conventional, circular pressurized fuselage
- Conventional T-tail
- Conventional under-wing propulsion system w/hybrid-electric variant





CHINA'S MOON PLAN

Outdoing Saturn V

D8–Double Bubble

- Double bubble fuselage with unique Pi-Tail
 - Non-circular, pressurized composite fuselage
- Upper aft fuselage boundary layer ingesting (BLI) propulsion system
- Propulsion noise shielding
- Thin, flexible, high aspect ratio wing





X-57 approaching first flight



- X-57 "Maxwell": general aviation-sized all-electric airplane
- Phased modifications make X-57 a "design/build/learn" for future larger X-plane
- Phase 1 first flight: March 2018

GROUND TESTING

Critical ground testing to validate key technology systems and vehicle performance and control

NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

Computational Analysis to ensure Aerodynamic and Structural Performance

X-PLANE DESIGN

X-Plane Design Passes Critical Design Review













QueSST 8x6 Supersonic Wind Tunnel Test



Description

- NASA Commercial Supersonics Technology and New Aviation Horizons have partnered with Lockheed Martin to complete the preliminary design of a new demonstrator aircraft – an "X-Plane" – to demonstrate low-boom supersonic flight and validate NASA and industry's low-boom design tools.
- The Quiet Supersonic demonstrator design is being tested in the NASA Glenn Research Center 8x6 Supersonic Wind Tunnel in two phases: Aerodynamic and Propulsion.
- The Aerodynamic phase of the test will use a live force balance to collect differential stability data for the aircraft design with various control surface configurations and inlet flow-through nacelle **screens**.
- The Propulsion phase of the test will vary inlet geometry and mass flow plug position to collect inlet performance data.

Accomplishments

- Model built by Tri-Models and delivered to NASA GRC on 1/9/2017.
- Model installed/check out complete on 2/24/2017.
- First wind tunnel run on 2/24/2017.
- First supersonic run on 2/28/2017.
- Aerodynamic phase completed on 3/31/2017, with a total of 73 runs.

Significance

- The data will be used to evaluate the preliminary design and establish data for the final design/build RFP.
- If the preliminary design phase is successful and approval/funding is received, a follow on project, based on a fully competitive process, will complete the design and fabrication of the X-Plane.



QueSST Aerodynamic Model (front)



QueSST Aerodynamic Model (aft)





Boundary Layer Ingesting Inlet/Distortion Tolerant Fan (BLI²DTF) Completed Cruise Performance Testing in 8'x6' Tunnel Completed L2 Milestone: AATT.03.L2.BLI.61.013



PROBLEM: Boundary layer ingestion (BLI) can provide a significant propulsive efficiency improvement relative to clean-inflow systems. In order to realize the system level fuel burn benefit from BLI, the propulsion system must be capable of performing well in a highly-distorted flow environment.

OBJECTIVE: Obtain experimental measurements of performance and operability for an integrated boundary-layer-ingesting inlet (BLI²) and distortion-tolerant fan (DTF) propulsor to validate pre-test predictions of 4.2% fuel burn benefit.

APPROACH

- Contracted with UTRC, Virginia Tech, and AEDC to conduct R&D required to advance the BLI²DTF technology to TRL 4
- Designed an experiment to generate appropriate incoming boundary layer characteristics for an embedded propulsion system of a candidate hybrid wing body vehicle at cruise
- Used NASA's fan drive rig to power the BLI²DTF propulsor and made detailed flowfield measurements at the inlet and upstream/downstream of the fan stage to determine performance and operability

ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Completed wind tunnel tests of the BLI²DTF propulsor on Dec 9, 2016
- Successfully acquired high-quality data needed to validate BLI²DTF performance
- Propulsor's operability and stability was well-behaved across the range of conditions tested
- Data analysis is underway with four papers being prepared for the AIAA Propulsion and Energy Forum in July, 2017

SIGNIFICANCE

• Advances the technology to improve fuel efficiency using BLI for a wide range of advanced air vehicle concepts.



BLI²DTF Wind Tunnel Experiment





Combined Cycle Engine (CCE) Large Scale Inlet Mode Transition Experiment (LIMX) in the NASA GRC 10'X10' 3/29/2017 -technology project

Objective: Obtain a unique Turbine-Based Combined-Cycle (TBCC) mode transition database and provide an assessment of SOA computational tools

Four Phase Test Approach

- Phase 1 (Mar, 2011 Jun, 2011)
 - Inlet characterization at Mach 4.0 & 3.0 with "open loop" mode transition sequencing
- Phase 2 (Aug, 2011 May, 2012)
 - System identification of inlet dynamics for linear control models
- Phase 3: 3A (Apr, 2015 Jun, 2015) & 3B (December, 2015 January, 2016)
 - Demonstrate closed loop inlet mode transition control at Mach 3.0
 - Characterize alternate inlet mode transition sequences at Mach 2.5 & 3.0
 - Demonstrate closed loop control at Mach 3.0, 2.5, and accelerating mode transition (M2.5 \rightarrow 3.0)
- Phase 3C (September, 2016 October, 2016)
 - Phase 4 facility risk reduction and WJ38-15 turbine engine operations simulation
- Phase 4 (FY18-FY22)
 - Finish risk reduction checkout tests for WJ38-15 engine.
 - Demonstrate closed loop inlet mode transition with operating turbine engine (modified WJ-38-15 turbofan) at Mach 2.5 - 3.0
 - Feasibility of added propulsion elements (high speed DMRJ and integrated nozzle) are underway.





hypersonic

Phase 3C Configuration to simulate turbine engine

Turbine engine to be used in Phase 4



Phase 3C Test Results:

- Simulate start up and shutdown of the turbine engine
- Nominal mode transition (accelerating and decelerating) sequences while running a real-time engine simulation
- Turbine engine hazard procedure verification
- Controls investigation for safe engine operations
- Some higher Mach number tests curtailed due to facility issues with electric power quality
- Results contributed to progress in reducing risks for complete TBCC propulsion system demonstration with combustion

Phase 4 Schedule:

Phase 4 checkout: complete WJ-38 risk reduction testing.

| Complete Ph.3c tests with engine controller hardware: | 4/2018 |
|---|----------|
| Install WJ-38 engine for combustion testing: | 9/2018 |
| Phase 4a: Mode transition with WJ-38 engine | ~ 2/2019 |
| Phase 4b,c: Mode transition with DMRJ and full TBCC | TBD |

Auxiliary activities

NASA Hypersonic Technology Project formalized. Excellent DoD/AFRL collaboration continues.

Contracts underway or complete with 7 contractors:

- Inlet support continues with TechLand Research
- Turbine engine tasks underway with Williams International including oil-mist bearing work and wind tunnel integration engineering
- DMRJ feasibility studies: Orbital/ATK, Aerojet/Rocketdyne, Innoveering
- Integrated Nozzle conceptual design complete: Spiritech
- Strongback design complete: Vantage Partners





Capability Assessment of 0D/1D Icing Risk Code



PROBLEM

Develop in-house tool to predict the engine response to ice particle ingestion to evaluate the risk of icing.

OBJECTIVE

Estimate the parameters that indicate the risk of accretion, as well as to estimate the degree of blockage and losses caused by accretion for the ALF502, LF11 engine test points. Identify enhancement needed in the code (Level 2 AATT project milestone).

APPROACH

- Mean-line compressor flow analysis code was modified to include the effects of relative humidity on the fluid properties of air and water vapor mixture, and the subsequent effects on compressor performance (mass of water/mass of air) at the engine inlet, as well as the sublimation and evaporation of the particles through the flow path.
- Pre-test evaluation of the LF11 test points using COMES to predict the likelihood of rollback.
- · Used code to guide the formulation of the altitude study test points.
- Post-test evaluation of the test points conducted and defined icing risk criteria using COMDES and the engine thermodynamic cycle code.

RESULTS

- A relationship between blockage growth rate, ice-water flow rate to air flow rate ratio (IWAR), and static wet bulb temperature was observed and plotted generating an "lcing Wedge".
- Correctly predicted rollback points with 80% accuracy.
- Code enhancements needed include: improved flow solver, increased fidelity in the stator flow model, improved code usability to enable use by non-experts.

SIGNIFICANCE

- The analysis provided additional validation of the icing risk parameters within the LPC, as well as the creation of models for estimating the rates of blockage growth and losses.
- Enables icing susceptibility assessments of current and advanced ultra-efficient engines.



Computational Process for the flow analysis of the Honeywell engine.



"Icing Wedge" – Risk of Ice Accretion Criteria



Most Significant Outcomes



GRC's most noteworthy FY17 Propulsion outcomes include:

- 1. First-ever testing of Boundary Layer Ingesting Inlet with Distortion Tolerant Fan (3-year effort resulting in a successful test).
 - Data will be used to verify the system-level benefits for advanced vehicle configurations using embedded inlet architectures.
 - Could be used as a testbed to test improved designs of distortiontolerant fans.
 - Operability and stability was well-behaved across the range of conditions tested.



Top/Major challenges



Organizational

- Limited number of technical leaders
 - Retirement of key senior personnel. Others eligible or soon-to-be eligible over next few years
 - Losses due to retirements and transfers backfilled with less experienced employees and "fresh-outs."
 - Development of new employees places additional demand on remaining experienced employees.
- Lack of institutional/project resources (institutional, or project)
 - Flight Demos are very expensive requested budgets not adequate without substantial partnership from industry
 - Cost of running major wind tunnels and rigs increases every year project funding does not keep up with cost increase – New AETC model could help

Technical

- Cruise Efficient propulsion for supersonic flight while meeting airport noise requirement (Affordable Supersonic flight Over land) and high altitude emissions
- Subsonic propulsion Achieve High Thermal efficiency and Nox reduction simultaneously
- Low carbon propulsion Electric Propulsion needs High Power densities to be comparable to gas turbines





Backup Charts



SCEPTOR X-57 Thermal Testing



Problem: Understand heating within electric aircraft wings

Objective: Validate an analytical heat transfer model's ability to accurately predict temperature of the X-57 traction bus throughout its mission profile. Determine the heat capacity and cooling rates of the flight wires and demonstrate an effective EMI shielding technique for vehicle thermal monitoring.

Approach:

- Construct a representative power source and load to match the X-57 vehicle configuration and flight profile.
- Instrument the wire with temperature sensors for open-air and insulated scenarios, using thermocouples and infra-red cameras.
- Run representative power profiles and track thermal loads
- Capture results into model parameters to better predict future flight scenarios.

Results:

- Successfully completed testing Test resulted in data that matched very closely with analytical models, and indicate that existing design will meet flight requirements
- Brass shielding over Type E over-braded Neoflon PFA thermocouples with perpendicularly oriented sensors provides sufficient EMI shielding
- Based on results, no additional thermal management methods will need to be employed to keep the wires within acceptable temperature limits.

Impact:

- Simplifies future X-57 thermal analyses, and eliminates the need for further wing ducting design.
- Provides a dataset to develop in-house thermal prediction tools for electric aircraft thermal management.



X57 Power Bus Thermal Testing at the NEAT facility





NASA Aeronautics Six Strategic Thrusts





6 Strategic Research and Technology Thrusts



Safe, Efficient Growth in Global Operations

Enable full NextGen and develop technologies to substantially reduce aircraft safety risks





Innovation in Commercial Supersonic Aircraft

Achieve a low-boom standard

Ultra-Efficient Commercial Vehicles

 Pioneer technologies for big leaps in efficiency and environmental performance

Transition to Low-Carbon Propulsion



 Characterize drop-in alternative fuels and pioneer low-carbon propulsion technology



Real-Time System-Wide Safety Assurance

Develop an integrated prototype of a real-time safety monitoring and assurance system



Assured Autonomy for Aviation Transformation

Develop high impact aviation autonomy applications

www.nasa.gov



ARMD Programs with Strategic Thrusts



MISSION PROGRAMS

Airspace Operations and Safety Program

- Safe, Efficient Growth in Global Operations
- Real-Time System-Wide Safety Assurance
- Assured Autonomy for Aviation Transformation

Advanced Air Vehicles Program

- Ultra-Efficient Commercial Vehicles
- Innovation in Commercial Supersonic Aircraft
- Transition to Low-Carbon
 Propulsion
- Assured Autonomy for Aviation Transformation

Integrated Aviation Systems Program

- Flight Research-Oriented Integrated, System-Level R&T support all six thrusts
- X-Planes / Test Environment

SEEDLING PROGRAM

Concepts Program

- High-risk, leap-frog ideas supporting all six thrusts
- Critical cross-cutting tools and technology development



FY 2017 President's Budget



| | | Enacted | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|------------------|------------------|----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|---------|
| \$ Millions | FY 2015 | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | FY 2023 | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| Aeronautics | Ş642.0 | \$640.0 | \$790.4 | \$846.4 | \$1,060.1 | \$1,173.3 | Ş1,286.9 | \$1,294.2 | \$1,307.6 | \$1,218.1 | Ş829.7 | Ş839.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 454.0 | | 450.4 | 450.2 | 476.0 | 100.1 | 224 5 | 100 7 | 200.0 | 102.2 | 475.5 | 467.0 |
| Airspace Operations and Safety | 154.0 | | 159.4 | 159.2 | 176.2 | 189.1 | 221.5 | 198.7 | 200.9 | 193.2 | 1/5.5 | 167.8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Advanced Air Vehicles | 240.6 | | 298.6 | 277.4 | 308.8 | 311.6 | 312.6 | 321.3 | 315.0 | 318.9 | 317.7 | 326.7 |
| | 24010 | | 25010 | 2,,,,, | 50010 | 01110 | 512.00 | 02110 | 51510 | 010.5 | 51/1/ | 52017 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Aviation Systems | 150.0 | | 210.0 | 255.4 | 381.4 | 493.0 | 556.7 | 591.5 | 612.2 | 525.0 | 203.8 | 210.6 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transformative Aeronautics | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Concepts | 97.4 | | 122.3 | 154.4 | 193.8 | 179.7 | 196.2 | 182.8 | 179.4 | 181.0 | 132.7 | 134.4 |

Note that NASA is still under a Continuing Resolution at this point. This budget is included as a reference but is not enacted.





Investing In Our Future - Investments in NASA's cutting edge aeronautics research today are investments in a cleaner, safer, quieter and faster tomorrow for American aviation:

- NASA is entering the Administration transition with a strong portfolio with good stakeholder support.
- No decisions have been made regarding the New Aviation Horizons (X-Plane) Initiative.
- The X-57 distributed propulsion electric aircraft was announced.
- The Hypersonic Technology Project (HTP) was approved for execution.
- The Advanced Composites Project is moving into Phase II
- Most key propulsion related research remains on track (e.g. Variable Speed Power Turbine, Small Core, Advanced Materials, etc)

Ten Year Investment Plan—FY 2017 Budget Accelerates Key Components of NASA Aeronautics Plan

Fund the Next Major Steps to Efficient, Clean and Fast Air Transportation Mobility

| I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I | S | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| New Aviation Horizons | Enabling Tools & Technologies | Revolutionizing Operational Efficiency | Fostering Advanced Concepts & Future Workforce | UAS |
| Start a continuing series of experimental aircraft to demonstrate and validate high impact concepts and technologies. Five major demonstrations over the next 10+ | Major series of ground experiments to ready key technologies for flight Research and ground demonstration for an advanced small engine core for very high bypass engines and as | Accelerate demonstration of full gate-to-gate Trajectory Based Operations | Increased investment in new innovation through the NASA workforce and Universities | Strong continued research leadership in enabling UAS integration into the National Airspace. Extending the UAS in the NAS project for an additional 4 years |
| years in the areas of Ultra-Efficiency, Hybrid-Electric Propulsion, and Low | a hybrid-electric propulsion enabler | | Leverage Non-Traditional Technology Advances | Hypersonics |
| Noise Supersonic Flight | Development of next generation physics- based models needed to design advanced configurations | | Pursue challenge prizes in areas such as energy storage, high power electric motors, advanced networking and autonomy | Increased investment to ensure a strong National fundamental research capability |
| Major New Initiative within IASP | Increases to AAVP and TACP | Increase to AOSP | Increase to TACP | Increases to IASP and AAVP |
| Build off of major | current developments a | Continue to incentivize | | |



Flight Demo Plan









NASA Aeronautics Program Structure





and Test Capabilities (AETC)



GRC Propulsion Division Mission and Vision



Mission

Lead the development of significant research products, technologies and flight systems to meet the future needs of NASA and commercial aerospace

- Aeropropulsion Systems
- In-Space Propulsion Systems
- Fluid and Thermal Systems
- Multidisciplinary Systems (e.g., ISRU, Human Research Program, ECLSS, Fire Safety)

Thermosciences Based

Vision

Be broadly recognized for our:

- Technical leadership, excellence and unique contributions in research, technology development and systems development
- Ability to serve vital roles in meeting the most demanding goals of NASA and commercial aerospace