# Hypervelocity Impact Testing of Materials for Additive Construction: Applications on Earth, the Moon, and Mars Frick Ordonez

Jennifer Edmunson Michael Fiske Eric Christiansen Joshua Miller Bruce (Alan) Davis Jon Read Mallory Johnston John Fikes

# Outline

- Introduction to Additive Construction
- Additive Construction with Mobile Emplacement
- Fabrication of Samples
- Planetary Materials Requirements
- Hypervelocity Impact Testing
- Discussion of Results
- Conclusions
- Future Work

### Additive Construction

- 3-dimensional (3D) printing (additive manufacturing) on a large (structure) scale
- Different geometries can be printed from a computer aided design model
- "Slicing" software produces code that allows layerby-layer printing
- Permits construction of multiple types of buildings by one machine
- Currently being investigated by NASA and the United States Army Corps of Engineers

## Additive Construction



Additive Construction with Mobile Emplacement (ACME)

- Use in-situ resources as construction materials, reducing material launched from Earth by over 90%
  - Not limited to water-based binders such as Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) and Sorel (MgO-based) cement - sulfur, gypsum, ceramics, and polymers can be used
- Autonomously build multiple types of structures
- Increase technology readiness level (TRL) of additive construction technology in preparation for deep space missions



Additive Construction of Expeditionary Structures (ACES)

- Reduce imported material from 5 tons to less than 2.5 tons
  - Source concrete locally (in-situ resource)
- Reduce construction time from 5 days to 1
- Reduce construction personnel from 8 to 3 per structure
- Reduce construction waste from 1 ton to less than 500 pounds
- Build the structure to look like local housing using digital models; adaptable design that can serve the local community when troops leave

# Additive Construction with Mobile Emplacement (ACME)

- Utilizing Contour Crafting technology (invented by Dr. Behrokh Khoshnevis, Contour Crafting Corporation)
- Currently investigating OPC and Sorel cements (water-based mixtures) to co-develop printing technology with USACE
  - OPC and Sorel cements can be produced on the surface of Mars
  - Sorel can be produced on the Moon
  - Independently examining polymer concretes and sodium silicate for planetary construction materials, plan to study other binders in the near future

Upper image: Dome printed at MSFC using contour crafting technology, height ~1 meter. Lower image: Interior of dome during printing.





### Fabrication of Samples

• Three samples were cast into 15.24cm x 15.24cm x 2.54cm molds



Martian simulant JSC Mars-1A, stucco mix, OPC, and water





Lunar simulant JSC-1A, stucco mix, OPC, and water

Martian simulant JSC Mars-1A, Sorel (MgO-based) cement, boric acid (set retardant\*) and water – sample fractured during shipping to JSC prior to testing

\*Set retardant used because Sorel sets up very quickly and would solidify within the ACME system prior to extrusion

### Simulants Used

- JSC Mars-1A (martian simulant) -
  - Basalt palagonitic tephra (weathered ash)
    - Appears red due to weathering
  - Vesicular grains -
  - Not crushed or milled
  - Grain size ≤5mm
  - Density 0.8g/cm<sup>3</sup>
- JSC-1A (lunar simulant)
  - Crushed basalt
  - Grain size ≤1mm
  - Density 2.875g/cm<sup>3</sup>

### Fabrication of Samples – ACME-1

Martian simulant JSC Mars-1A, stucco mix, OPC, Navitas 33 (rheology control), and water





2 vertical layers and 2 horizontal layers printed per day; material was allowed to dry between prints

25.40cm tall, 76.20cm long, 5.72cm thick wall



#### Fabrication of Samples – ACME-1



Video by Diane Risdon

#### Fabrication of Samples

Martian simulant JSC Mars-1A, stucco mix, OPC, Navitas 33 (rheology control) and water





Sample delaminated during shipping to JSC on a boundary between prints made on different days

#### Planetary Materials Requirements

- Must be produced in-situ, with regolith (soil) as a component (aggregate and/or binder source)
  - This is a site-specific requirement; must account for spatial variation in the geology
- Must adhere to previously printed layers (or a binding agent must be used) for structural integrity
- Must withstand micrometeorite impact
- Must withstand temperature variations
- Must hold pressure (either by a compressive regolith load, lining, or design)
- May provide radiation shielding
- Minimizing water and energy consumed in the fabrication process is also a consideration

- Hypervelocity impact tests were internally funded and performed at the White Sands Test Facility in Las Cruces, NM
- 2.0mm Al 2017-T4 (density 2.796g/cm<sup>3</sup>) impactor, 0.17caliber light gas gun, 0° impact angle, 1Torr N<sub>2</sub> in chamber during test
- 7.0±0.2km/s velocity (approximate mean expected velocity of micrometeorites at the surface of Mars, and higher than expected velocity for bullets on Earth)
- Kinetic energy is equivalent to a micrometeorite with a density of 1g/cm<sup>3</sup> and a diameter of 0.1mm traveling at a velocity of 10.36km/s, as well as a 9x17mm Browning Short bullet.

#### • Sample 1: JSC Mars-1A, stucco mix, OPC, and water



Area: 29.80mm x 27.10mm Depth: 10.30mm





• Sample 2: JSC Mars-1A, stucco mix, OPC, Navitas 33, and water



~2.48cm<sup>3</sup> material ejected (determined by density calculation)

#### • Sample 3: JSC Mars-1A, Sorel cement, boric acid, and water



Front Crater Area: 41.26mm x 41.34mm Depth: 10.12mm ~4.13cm<sup>3</sup> material ejected (determined by density calculation)

Spall Area: 64.99mm x 52.04mm Depth: 9.96mm ~13.22cm<sup>3</sup> material ejected (determined by density calculation)

#### • Sample 4: JSC-1A, stucco mix, OPC, and water



 Lunar simulant-bearing Sample 4 is more resistant to impact damage than martian simulant-bearing Samples 1 and 2, which are more resistant to impact damage than the martian simulantbearing Sorel cement Sample 3.





Sample 4



Sample 1



Sample 2



Sample 3

- Sample 4 contains lunar simulant; the remaining samples contain martian simulant
  - Lunar simulant is more dense than martian simulant
    - 2.875g/cm<sup>3</sup> vs. 0.8g/cm<sup>3</sup>
  - Lunar simulant is finer-grained than martian simulant
    - ≤1mm vs. ≤5mm
  - Lunar simulant does not contain vesicles
    - JSC-1A is crushed basalt; JSC Mars-1A was not crushed or milled
- Thus, grains that are crushed, smaller, and more dense should be used in planetary construction materials

- Sample 3 (Sorel cement) received more damage than OPC-bearing Samples (1, 2, and 4)
  - Testing at MSFC indicates Sorel cement formulations with boric acid (set retardant) and JSC Mars-1A simulant have compression strength of ~3000psi or less, lower than OPC formulations (~3000-5200psi) after 7 days.
  - Loss of strength compared to other Sorel cement formulations (with compression strengths up to 8000psi) likely due to the JSC Mars-1A aggregate and/or the addition of boric acid.
- More experimentation is needed to identify the source of lost strength

- Delamination of layers in Sample 2 occurred during shipping and testing
  - Delamination occurred between layers printed on different days, where wet cement bonded to dry cement
  - Wet-dry layer adhesion not as strong as wet-wet layer adhesion
  - Impact did not cause delamination of layers printed on the same day
- To minimize delamination during impact, samples should be completely printed when layer adhesion properties are maximized (i.e., on the same day)

- Additively constructed Sample 2 was not perforated during impact and did not spall
- Results are directly applicable to both NASA and USACE programs; additively constructed structures can withstand micrometeorite and ballistic impact (provided layer adhesion is maximized)



#### Conclusions

- Aggregate size and density influence material response to hypervelocity impact
- The Sorel mixture, in its current formulation, would not work as a planetary construction material
- Infrastructure elements that are additively constructed should be built to maximize layer adhesion during printing
- Structures that are additively constructed can withstand micrometeorite and ballistic impact (with maximum layer adhesion)

#### Future Work

- Investigate new binders (cements), including sodium silicate, polymers, ceramics, and others
- Create a test plan for emplacement on planetary surfaces (in a vacuum or pressurized volume)
- Study the aging of material due to thermal cycles, multiple impacts, and radiation
- Adapt to the geology of the site selected for human landing in order to create in-situ binders
- Increase the Technology Readiness Level of additive construction technology, as well as resource extraction and processing technology

# Backup Slides

#### 9x17mm Browning Short Bullet



Image from: http://xgmbullets.blogspot.com/2013/10/380-acp-9x17mm.html

### Sulfur Concrete

- Sulfur concrete was investigated at MSFC in ~2005
  - Included lunar simulant (JSC-1A, ≤1mm grain size)
  - Sulfur concrete does not require water (a precious resource on planetary surfaces)



- Cube size 5.08cm x 5.08cm x 5.08cm
- 1mm aluminum sphere
- 6km/s
- Presented in Bodiford et al. (2006) Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> ASCE Earth and Space Conference

### ACME-2 System

#### Gantry Mobility System

Pump

Mixer

Accumulator \_\_\_\_\_ (allows pump to stay on when nozzle closes for doors/windows)



Hose

Nozzle