Cascading Solar Eruptions

Alphonse C. Sterling

QuickTime[™] and a MPEG-4 Video decompressor are needed to see this picture.



(Cf. Sterling & Moore 2004)



(Moore et al. 2001)



(Gordon Holman; RHESSI Nugget)



Cf. Hori et al. 1997, 1998)

A. Sterling, 24 Jun 2014, NSSTC



Observations from SDO and Hinode of a Twisting and Writhing Start to a Solarfilament-eruption Cascade

Alphonse C. Sterling, Ronald L. Moore, NASA/MSFC; Hirohisa Hara, NAO Japan

(ApJ 2012, 761, 69)

An AR Ejective Eruption from SDO and Hinode

- Active region eruption of 1 June 2011.
- Ejective eruption.
- GOES class C4.1 flare.
- SDO/AIA, various filters (94, 131, 171, **193**, 211, **304**, 335 Ang.)
- High time cadence (24 s) and high spatial resolution (0''.6 pixels).
- SDO/HMI line-of-sight magnetograms.
- Hinode observed the onset, and the later decay phase.

A question: What causes the humps in the GOES lightcurve?

Main focus: What's going on in the onset phase (what gets it going)?





-400

-200

-400

-300 X (arcsecs) -250

-200

-350

-400

-200

-400

-350

-300 X (arcsecs) -250

A. Sterling, 24 Jun 2014, NSSTC

-300 X (arcsecs) -250

-350

-400

-400





• There are two filament eruptions (filament 1 and filament 2).

• Filament 1 has slow rise with steps, as in several previous cases. GOES "episodes" play role of "microflares" in other events; that is, filament jumps <=> intensity peaks.

• Episode 1 brightening: Accompanied by filament 1's initial motions. (Rest of talk.) Filament 1 becomes unstable, and...

• Episode 2 brightening: Flare ribbons following filament 1's fast liftoff. This destabilizes neighboring filament 2, and...

• Episode 3 brightening: Flare ribbons of whole system following filament 2's eruption.

What goes on at the southern end, near time of Episode 1 brightening?

(a) AIA 304: 1-Jun-2011 15:40:32 UT (b) AIA 304: 1-Jun-2011 16:06:32 UT (c) AIA 304: 1-Jun-2011 16:24:32 UT -200 -200 -200 -250 -250 -250 Y (arcsecs) Y (arcsecs) Y (arcsecs) -300 -300 -300 -350 -350 -350 -400 -400 -400 -350 -300 X (arcsecs) -300 X (arcsecs) -250 -350 -300 X (arcsecs) -250 -200 -400 -250 -200 -400 -350 -200 -400 (f) AIA 304: 1-Jun-2011 16:35:32 UT (d) AIA 304: 1-Jun-2011 16:35:32 UT (e) AIA 304: 1-Jun-2011 16:35:32 UT -200 -200 -200 -250 -250 -250 Y (arcsecs) 005-Y (arcsecs) Y (arcsecs) -300 -300 -350 -350 -350

-400

-400

-200

-300 X (arcsecs) -250

-200

-350

-400

-400

-350

-300

X (arcsecs)

-250

-200

A. Sterling, 24 Jun 2014, NSSTC

-300 X (arcsecs) -250

-400

-400

-350









Is the "Flux Rope" Structure Twist-Unstable?

Some history of twist-induced instability in filament eruptions: e.g., Sakurai, Török & Kliem, Fan & Gibson, Gilbert et al., van Driel-Gesztelyi et al.

Criterion : Kink instability for line-tied tube (Hood & Priest): 2.5π ; for Titov & Démoulin loop (Török et al): $\sim 3.5\pi$

We observe here: ~ 1.5 turns (3.0π) over $\sim 50''$ => consistent with kink instability acting.

(Cf. Srivastava et al. (2010): Small flare seen in TRACE and Hinode: $\sim 6.0\pi$)







EIS Spectral Properties

- "Picket fence" mode; 1'' in N-S, ~6'' in EW
- Slit crosses ROI ~ 16:13:36 UT
- Alignment good to ~3'', based on intensity matching
- Doppler velocities:
 - Max blue in E strip ~ 23+-17 km/s
 - Max red in S, ~ 85+-60 km/s
 - Max red in W strip ~ 62+-25 km/s
- Non-thermal velocities:
 - ~ 70 km/s in two strips
 - ~ 125 km/s in s
 - These max are similar to C- and M-flares of Kay et al. (2006)
- What leads to blue and red Doppler shifts?
 - Not twisting....
 - Maybe "flare" loops?
 - A. Sterling, 24 Jun 2014, NSSTC





Can this drive the entire eruption sequence? Estimate amount of free energy in newly-twisted field (cf. Moore 1988): $B_{norm} \approx B_{par}$

 $E_{free} \sim B_z^2 / 8\pi \times (\pi r^2 L)$

 $\sim 10^{29}$ ergs

where we have taken L and r = 50, 3 arcsec; B~100 G.

Estimate energy of the total system ~ 10^{30} ergs, from GOES flux. So "no" is answer to question.

Additional energy comes from remainder of sheared large loop, shear (free energy) of second filament, etc. (Normally assumed situation.) A. Sterling, 24 Jun 2014, NSSTC





What causes the initial reconnection at the base?



QuickTime™ and a YUV420 codec decompressor are needed to see this picture.



A. Sterling, 24 Jun 2014, NSSTC







Y (arcsecs)

Y (arcsecs)



A. Sterling, 24 Jun 2014, NSSTC

So, What Causes Initial Reconnection and Onset?

- Intruding polarity is candidate, but nothing obvious at eruption time; could be passive, with strong brightening due to strong field.
- Several other candidate regions for flux cancelation, any or several of which may have been the cause.
 Ultimately, we don't know the answer....



Conclusions (2011 Jun 1 event)

- Something leads to reconnection; not totally clear what. [Could this be Hi-C-inferred reconnection?]
- Reconnection -> twisted flux rope in ~20 min; episode 1 microflare (flare ribbons; TC) and filament jump.
- Twist -> writhe, via kink instability; filamenttrajectory plateau, ~ 20 min.
- Writhe -> jump and eruption of filament 1, via instability; episode 2 microflare (flare ribbons; TC). (E.g., Williams et al.)

First eruption -> second filament eruption (episode 3 flare ribbons; TC). (E.g., Sterling, Moore; Liu et al.; Torok et al.; Schrijver & Title.)



New Aspects of a Lid-Removal Mechanism in the Onset of a SEP-Producing Eruption Sequence

Alphonse C. Sterling & Ronald L. Moore, NASA/MSFC; David A. Falconer, MSFC, U of Alabama Huntsville; Javon M. Knox, Norfolk State U, Norfolk, VA

Eruptive Sequence of 2012 January 23

- "Double eruption" ("two flux ropes," Li & Zhang 2013, Cheng et al. 2013); Eruption 1 and Eruption 2.
- Eruption 2 includes eruption of a filament.
- Two fast CMEs result.
- GOES M8.7, plus two precursor "flares."
- Results in strong Solar Energetic Particle (SEP) event. (1 MeV proton flux of >10³ pfu for 43 hrs.)
- SEPs not the focus here. See Joshi et al. (2013). Also see Liu et al. (2013) for other interplanetary aspects.
- Instead, we focus on the origin of the eruption on the Sun, including the cause of the precursor flares.

Analysis

- AIA: Adequate time cadence (145 s) and high spatial resolution (0''.6 pixels).
- SDO/HMI line-of-sight magnetograms.
- On-disk from SDO.
- Limb event from STEREO A (not shown here).
- SDO/AIA, various filters (304, 171, 193, 211, 131, 335, 94 Ang).





PFSS Model (Schrijver & DeRosa 2003)

Eruption 2 Via "Lid Removal"

- Eruption 1 removes field above the Eruption 2 flux rope, allowing onset of Eruption 2. (Cheng et al. 2013.)
- We call their explanation for Eruption 2 (including filament) "lid removal."
- Fundamentally different from eruption-trigger mechanisms we have examined (e.g., tether cutting, breakout...).

Can Lid Removal Work with Eruption 1 Flare Arcade?

Standard flare model => Eruption 1 flare loops should form over filament arcade, perhaps preventing Eruption 2 ("confined eruption"). (S. Antiochos 2013, private comm.)

Summary (2012 Jan 23 event)

Two eruptions, with first only seen in AIA hot channels.
 Eruption 1 removes field above filament arcade, leading to destabilization and onset of eruption 2; *Lid Removal*.

New Aspects:

Eruption 1 field reconnects with neighboring region, ("tether-weakening reconnection," Moore et al. 1992).
 Two precursor flares, due to TW reconnection and to Eruption 1.
 Eruption 2 blows out Eruption 1 flare loops.

Lid removal May have been missed prior to AIA. May be common (cf. Schrijver & Title 2011, Török et al. 2011).
Sterling et al. (2014, ApJ, 788, L20) provide more details.

Overall Summary

 A basic point of solar eruptions is that the "standard flare (eruption) model" holds in most (all??) cases where there is a "sizable" flare.

 Complications of GOES light curves can come from different mechanisms; more work must be done to see whether there are definable categories.

 Apparently, a variety of different mechanisms are possible for triggering eruption onset.

(e) AIA 94: 23-Jan-2012 01:34:38 UT

600

(d) AIA 94 : 23-Jan-2012 01:00:38 UT - 00:26:38 UT

