Investigation into an Alternative Method for Microbial Monitoring on ISS

CHRISTINA KHODADAD, ESC, KSC, FL CHERIE OUBRE, KBR WYLE, JSC, TX VICTORIA CASTRO, KBR WYLE, JSC, TX STEPHANIE FLINT, NASA, JSC, TX ORLANDO MELENDEZ, NASA, KSC, FL MONSI ROMAN, NASA, MSFC, AL C MARK OTT, NASA, JSC, TX





 Microorganisms have been detected on the International Space Station (ISS)
Potential human pathogens

Introduce new microorganisms with every exchange of crew or addition of equipment or supplies







- 2011 Workshop at JSC reviewed cutting edge technology (NASA/CP-2012-217460, 2011)
 - Environmental Microbiology
 - Infectious Diseases/Pathogens
 - Food and Water Safety
- Workshop determination
 - Replace or supplement current culture based practices on ISS
 - Selected molecular based quantitative Polymerase Chain reaction (qPCR)







Molecular-based technology

- Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)
 - Real-time quantification and characterization
- Identifies specific targets
- Total heterotrophic growth
- Rapid assessment of the environment
- High reproducibility and accuracy
- Low detection limits on culturable and unculturable microbes

Utilize COTS PCR units

- Operational in microgravity
- Meet ISS interface and safety conditions





Benefits of Molecular-based Methods

Current methods for microbial detection

- Labor and time intensive cultivation-based approach
- Requires collection of samples on orbit and transportation back to ground
- Disadvantages to current detection methods
 - Unable to perform quick, reliable detection on orbit
 - Lengthy sampling intervals
 - Minimal identification capabilities
 - Can fail to detect or characterize all cells present







Develop a rapid microbial identification system

- Reduce crew time & expedite operational decisions
- Provide an in-flight microbial identification system
- Increase monitoring of crew health
- Monitor air, water, food, & surfaces for potential pathogens
- Reduce or eliminate reliance on ground support
- Provide an independent system for long-term space flight
- Provide flexibility
 - development of customized species specific assays
- Determine viability with RNA possible





Challenges

- ➢ Find a COTS, PCR instrument
 - Effective in microgravity
 - Compact
 - Low cost
 - Identify to species level
 - Live vs Dead cell via RNA
 - Quantitative
 - Easy to use and interpret data
 - Real-time information
 - Short time from sample to answer
 - Work with multiple sample types
- RAZOR EX, BioFire Defense, Inc, was tested & integrated as one of three instruments into the 2 x 2015 Water Monitoring Suite
 - developed as an SBIR with DoD
- Completed engineering/safety evaluations and launched to ISS, SpaceX-9, July 2016







Goals of Tech Demonstration

- To perform in-flight and ground-based real-time quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR) validation using a commercial off-the-shelf system
- The proposed technology demonstration on ISS was aimed at determining:



- 1. If qPCR testing will work in the spaceflight environment
- 2. If qPCR testing during a flight operation yields results similar to those from a controlled experiment on Earth, thereby validating the instrument for potential use as a monitoring tool aboard the ISS.
- 3. Develop a CONOPS for water testing
- 4. Verify that qPCR is successful using ISS water samples





- BioFire Defense, RAZOR EX
 - Uses raw or prepared samples
 - Pouch system contains customizable, optimized freeze-dried reagents
 - Pseudomonas aeruginosa
 - 5 varying concentrations
 - Sample to answer in approximately 1 hour

On-Orbit Operations	Molecular Grade Water	Unfiltered PWD Water	Filtered PWD Water
September 20, 2016	Х		
September 22, 2016	Х	Х	
October 3, 2016		Х	
October 18, 2016	Х		
November 22, 2016		Х	
December 14, 2016			Х
January 17, 2017			Х
March 14, 2017			Х









Average Ct Comparison Ground vs Flight - DI Water







Results-ISS Potable Water Samples









- Microbial Monitor is the first device to successfully and accurately perform quantitative PCR using ISS water samples in the microgravity environment of space providing a fast sample to answer.
- The residual chemicals in the potable water had no effect on the PCR chemistry
 - There was no difference between the molecular grade water and the ISS potable water
 - There was no difference between the filtered and unfiltered ISS potable water samples
- > The flight samples were not significantly different from the ground controls

What is proposed for future work with the Microbial Monitoring System

- Optimize additional assays for real-time detection
- Develop methods of sampling additional environments on ISS, i.e. Air, surfaces, food
- Equate genetic equivalents to colony forming units (CFU)
- Develop methodology to recover amplicons for further investigations
- Optimize Microbial Monitoring for future exploration

The team would like to thank:

Crissy Canerday Michele Birmele Jared Jones Shawn Schumacher Katy Larner Logan Godfrey William Therrien Susan Hanley Joseph Benjamin Ray Wheeler

I want to say how fantastic the procedures are and how easy this is to use. It is phenomenal that you have taken a piece of commercial hardware and ... made it work in space the first time and is very user friendly. I think this is going to be a fantastic capability for the space station.

-Kate Rubins, Ph.D.

Thomas Pesquet @ @Thom_astro · 5h

We don't want microbes to proliferate on ISS! We clean it carefully but we also monitor microbial growth in water and on all surfaces...

🛧 7 🔁 84 🤎 38

Questions

