Multi-Objective Reinforcement Learning-based Deep Neural Networks for Cognitive Space Communications

CCAA Workshop 2017

Paulo Ferreira – Worcester Polytechnic Institute Randy Paffenroth – Worcester Polytechnic Institute Alexander M. Wyglinski – Worcester Polytechnic Institute Timothy Hackett – The Pennsylvania State University Sven Bilén – The Pennsylvania State University Richard Reinhart – NASA John H. Glenn Research Center Dale Mortensen – NASA John H. Glenn Research Center





June 28th, 2017

This work has been funded in part by the Brazilian Federal Agency CAPES through the Science without Borders scholarship program, grant number BEX 18701/12-4, and by NASA John H. Glenn Research Center, grant number NNC14AA01A.

Motivation



P. V. R. Ferreira, R. Paffenroth, A. M. Wyglinski, T. M. Hackett, S. Bilén, R. Reinhart, and D. Mortensen, "Multi-Objective Reinforcement Learning for Cognitive Radio-Based Satellite Communications," in 34th AIAA International Communications Satellite Systems Conference, October 2016.

RLNN: a neural network-based reinforcement learning method



Reinforcement learning *Q*-function equations:

• State-Action-Reward-State-Action (SARSA)

$$Q_{k+1}(s_k, a_k) = Q_k(s_k, a_k) + \alpha[r + \gamma Q(s_{k+1}, a_{k+1}) - Q(s_k, a_k)]$$
(1)

• Time-Difference

$$Q_{k+1}(s_k, a_k) = Q_k(s_k, a_k) + \alpha [r + \gamma \max_{a} Q_k(s_{k+1}, a) - Q_k(s_k, a_k)]$$
(2)

• Proposed equation for SATCOM

$$Q_{k+1}(s_k, a_k) = Q_k(s_k, a_k) + \alpha[r_k - Q_k(s_k, a_k)]$$
(3)

Ensemble of deep neural networks



Simulation results

Exploration probability $\epsilon = 0.5$, $w_i = 1/6$



Simulation results

Exploration probability $\epsilon = 1/k$, $w_i = 1/6$



- Hybrid ML-based multi-objective radio resource allocation RLNN
 - Virtual exploration enables control over:
 - Performance levels while exploring actions
 - Time spent exploring very "bad" actions
- RLNN is independent of exploration probability function
- Improvements of up to $3.9\times$ on packets experiencing performance values higher than 0.55

Alexander Wyglinski: alexw@wpi.edu

Paulo Ferreira: paulovrf@hotmail.com

- Performance threshold
 - + 95% of current maximum performance predicted by NN
- Rejection probability = 1

Backup

$$f_{obs}(x) = w_1 f_{\rm Thrp} + w_2 f_{\rm BER} + w_3 f_{\rm BW} + w_4 f_{\rm Spc_eff} + w_5 f_{\rm Pwr_eff} + w_6 f_{\rm Pwr_con}$$
(4)

Throughput

$$f_{Thrp} = R_s * k * c \tag{5}$$

 $\mathsf{Bandwidth}$

$$f_{BW} = R_s * (1 + \beta) \tag{6}$$

Spectral efficiency

$$f_{Spc_eff} = k * c/(1 + \beta)$$
(7)

Power efficiency

$$f_{Pwr_eff} = (k * c) / ((10^{(E_s/N_0)/10)} * R_s)$$
(8)

Additional consumed power

$$f_{Pwr_con} = E_s * R_s \tag{9}$$

Table 1: Adaptable parameters

Parameter	Variable	Value range
Modulation order	M	[4, 8, 16, 32]
Bits per symbol	k	[2,3,4,5]
Encoding rate ¹	Ē	[1/4 - 9/10]
Roll-off factor	$\bar{\beta}$	[0.2, 0.3, 0.35]
Bandwidth	BW	[0.5 – 5] MHz
Symbol rate	$\bar{R_s}$	[0.41 : 0.1 : 3.7] MSamples/sec
Additional Tx E_s/N_0	Ēs	[0 : 1 : 10] dB

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Diff}\mathrm{erent}$ modulation schemes use different encoding rate sets