

Panel: EVA-Human Modeling

Near-term applications, needs, and challenges of Human-Suit modeling capabilities to inform xEMU development

> 18 October, 2017 Moderator: Andrew Abercromby

Panel: EVA Human Modeling



- Panel Topic Description
- Panelist Presentations
- Q & A / Discussion
- Wrap-Up



Andrew Abercromby	Lead Scientist – EVA Physiology Lab	ſ
Richard Rhodes	Space Suit Engineer, Advanced Space Suit Team	
Bob Sanders	Medical Director, Neutral Buoyancy Laboratory	
Han Kim	Human Factors Design Engineer, Anthropometry & Biomechanics Facility	
Leia Stirling	Professor & Co-Director, MIT Man-Vehicle Lab	

Panel: EVA Human Modeling

- Topic Title: Near-term applications and needs of Human-Suit modeling capabilities to inform xEMU development.
- Focus on near-term applications of existing models rather than what we could do with better models 5-10 years from now.
- Are our current models good enough to be helpful? Or do their limitations make them misleading?
- What EVA-Human models do you already use, if any?
 What works and what doesn't work?
- If models are not already being used, why not?
- What are potential applications of model(s) to xEMU development if they are not already being used? What questions / problems can they address, how soon, and are these actually important problems?



Virtual Fit Check: Parametric Human Body and Suit Models

EVA Technology Workshop 2017

October 17, 2017 Han Kim (Leidos) NASA JSC Anthropometry & Biomechanics Facility

Predictive Suit Fit Check: Former Techniques



- Linear Measurement Based Technique
 - Compare linear dimensions between suit and crewmembers
 - However, linear measurements do not represent 3-D body and suit geometry



- 3-D Scan Technique
 - Overlay 3-D body scans with CAD drawing to assess overlap and clearance
 - However, scans do not represent the entire ranges of crewmember body shapes



Boundary Subject Sampling

- NASA
- Body dimensions were strategically sampled to include 99% of population ("boundary subjects")
- Formerly used a nearest-neighbor scan data, but at present using a parametric body shape model

ABF Body Shape Model Viewer

Tall & Wide Tall & Narrow 2 Standardized Stature Short & Wide Short & Narrow -6 -6 -4-2 Standardized Shoulder Breadth

Identification of Boundary Subjects

040 Male or Female Female 1800 -NSUR measurements erticaltrunkcircumferenceusa 1600 -2.44 vaistbacklength aistbreadth vaistcircumference vaistdepth 1400vaistfrontlengthsitting vaistheightomobalio emove. vristoircumference 1200 -Value 1000 1746 89 weightk 800 -600 -400 -200 -Export OBJ. Calculate -900 0 200 500

Parametric Body Shape Modeling

Boundary Manikin Family





Fit Check Techniques for Large Dataset



• Manual fit assessments become extremely difficult with a large number of suits and body poses





Different Body Poses

```
18 manikins x 3 suit sizes x 3 poses = 162 tests per iteration
```

- Programmatic techniques were developed to automatize suit positioning and clearance quantification
- A reposable manikin was developed to articulate upper extremity poses



Monte-Carlo Suit Fit Assessment



- A large dataset of body shapes will be generated by a parametric model
- Programmatic suit positioning and volumetric assessment applied to models
- All permutations of suit sizes and body poses will be tested for fit assessments



Future Work: Incorporation with Parametric Suit Modeling



- Previous suit fit check required a end-product CAD or 3-D scan of suit
- In the near future, suit geometry will be parametrically modeled from suit scans
- Suit fit can be predictively assessed for variations of suit configuration and body shape parameters



Incorporation with Body Shape Model







Han Kim <u>han.kim@nasa.gov</u>

Sudhakar Rajulu <u>sudhakar.rajulu-1@nasa.gov</u>

Work performed in collaboration with: Elizabeth Benson (MEI Technologies) Karen Young (Leidos) Yaritza Bernal (Geologics) Linh Vu (Geologics)