Jet Noise Prediction using Hybrid RANS/LES with Structured Overset Grids





Jeffrey Housman¹, Gerrit-Daniel Stich², Cetin Kiris¹, and James Bridges³

- 1. Computational Aerosciences Branch, NASA Ames Research Center
- 2. Science and Technology Corporation, NASA Ames Research Center
 - 3. Aeroscience Branch, NASA Glenn Research Center

AIAA Aviation 2017, Denver, Colorado Session: AA-15, Jet Noise II: CFD Supersonic June 5, 2017

Outline



- \circ Introduction
- Experimental Setup
- Computational Methodology
- Structured Overset Grid
 System
- Computational Results
 - Near-Field Comparison
 - Far-Field Comparison
- o Summary
- Future Work

Introduction



- NASA has initiated the development of quiet supersonic business Jets
- Return of commercial supersonic flight will allow passengers to travel over the continental U.S. within hours and complete international business trips within a single day.
- NASA awarded contract for preliminary design of a low boom flight demonstrator for Quiet Supersonic Technology project (QueSST)
- Most efforts of the design are focused on reducing the sonic boom ground signature, however the noise constraints during takeoff and landing at subsonic speeds must be satisfied.
- Computational aeroacoustic (CAA) tools can be used to assess the new designs at lower speeds.
- This work represents the first part of a systematic validation effort in jet noise prediction capability for NASA Ames Launch Ascend and Vehicle Aerodynamics Code (LAVA).

Outline



o Introduction

Experimental Setup

- Computational Methodology
- Structured Overset Grid
 System
- Computational Results
 - Near-Field Comparison
 - Far-Field Comparison
- o Summary
- o Future Work



Experimental Setup



 Small Hot Jet Acoustic Rig (SHJAR), which is located in the Aeroacoustics Propulsion Lab (AAPL) at NASA Glenn Research Center



Experimental Setup



- Baseline axisymmetric convergent Small Metal Chevron (SMC000) nozzle at Set Point 7 (SP7)
- \circ Nozzle axis in downstream flow direction is marked as 180°





Bridges et. al. (NASA-TM-2011-216807)	SP7
Acoustic Mach number U _{jet} /c	0.9
Jet temperature ratio T _e /T	0.835
Nozzle pressure ratio NPR	1.861
Nozzle Diameter D	0.0508 [m] 2.0 [inch]
Reynold number Re _D	1 Mio
Reynolds number Re	800
Boundary layer thickness	0.0128 D

similar to: Bres et. al. (AIAA-2015-2535)

"Bruit et vent" jet-noise facility at

Centre d'Etudes Aerodynamique et Termique

Outline



Introduction
Experimental Setup

Computational Methodology

- Structured Overset Grid System
- Computational Results
 - Near-Field Comparison
 - Far-Field Comparison
- o Summary
- o Future Work





7



LAVA Framework (Kiris et al. Aerospace Science and Technology, Volume 55, 2016)

- Computational Fluid Dynamics Solvers
 - Cartesian, Curvilinear, and Unstructured Grid Types
 - Overset Grid and Immersed Boundary Methods
 - Steady and Unsteady RANS (Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes)
 - Hybrid RANS/LES (Large Eddy Simulation), LES and LBM Capabilities
- Acoustic Solver
 - Linear Helmholtz Scattering Code
 - Permeable Surface Ffowcs Williams-Hawkings Propagation



Cartesian Immersed Boundary

Unstructured Arbitrary Polyhedral

Overset Structured Curvilinear



LAVA Framework (Kiris et al. Aerospace Science and Technology, Volume 55, 2016)

- Computational Fluid Dynamics Solvers
 - Cartesian, Curvilinear, and Unstructured Grid Types
 - **Overset Grid** and Immersed Boundary Methods
 - Steady and Unsteady RANS (Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes)
 - Hybrid RANS/LES (Large Eddy Simulation), LES and LBM Capabilities
- Acoustic Solver
 - Linear Helmholtz Scattering Code
 - Permeable Surface Ffowcs Williams-Hawkings Propagation



Cartesian Immersed Boundary

Unstructured Arbitrary Polyhedral

Overset Structured Curvilinear



- 3-D Structured Curvilinear Overset Grid Solver
- Spalart-Allmaras turbulence model (baseline turbulence model)
 Low-Dissipation Finite Difference Method (Housman et al. AIAA-2016-2963)
- o 6th-order Hybrid Weighted Compact Nonlinear Scheme (HWCNS)
- Numerical flux is a modified Roe scheme
- 6th/5th-order blended central/upwind biased left and right state interpolation
- 2nd-order accurate differencing used for time discretization
 Hybrid RANS/LES Models
- Delayed Detached Eddy Simulation (DDES) model with modified length scale (Housman et al. AIAA-2017-0640)
- Zonal RANS-NLES (numerical LES) with user selected zones of URANS, NLES, and wall-distance based hybrid RANS-NLES (see paper for details)
 Synthetic Eddy Method
- Coupling Methodology between RANS and LES to introduce realistic turbulent eddies (Jarrin et al. Int. Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow 30)



- When transitioning from RANS to LES in wall-bounded flows it is necessary to insert meaningful three-dimensional content at the interface
- The synthetic eddy method (SEM) is one approach which adds eddies in such away that first and second order turbulent statistics can be satisfied. (approx. from the RANS solution with Bradshaw hypothesis)







- Unsteady RANS until jet is fully developed and eddy viscosity maximum has plateaued
- Restart simulation with Hybrid RANS/LES Models until transient behavior washed out
- Ignore transients which are taken at first 30000 time-steps and restart simulation
- Record Volume data at 100 kHz sampling frequency for greater than 0.02 seconds (approx. 205 convective time units)

	baseline	coarse	refined
Processors	1392 (has)	260 (ivy)	960 (has)
Wall-Clock Time [day]	12.5		
Sub-iterations	5		
Convergence	2-4 orders every sub-iteration		
Number Eddies (SEM)	-	5000	5000

Outline



- o Introduction
- Experimental Setup
- Computational Methodology

- Computational Results
 - Near-Field Comparison
 - Far-Field Comparison
- o Summary
- o Future Work















 Circumferential refinement in axial and radial direction Bres *et. al.* (AIAA-2015-2535)







 Circumferential refinement in axial and radial direction Bres *et. al.* (AIAA-2015-2535)











Outline



- \circ Introduction
- Experimental Setup
- Computational Methodology
- Structured Overset Grid System
- Computational Results
 - Near-Field Comparison
 - Far-Field Comparison
- SummaryFuture Work











Flow Field Visualization: Iso-contour of Q-criteria colored by axial velocity





Indicator Function: DDES-256M





- Indicator function f_d indicates if in RANS or LES mode.
- Stays in RANS mode in nozzle interior and quickly transitions to LES downstream of nozzle lip
- Retains large eddy viscosity throughout the boundary layer

• Shielding function RANS-NLES:

$$f_d = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \tanh(\epsilon_d (d_{wall} - d_0)) \right]$$

- d_{wall} : walldistance
- d₀ : transition distance (user)
- ϵ_d : blending (user)









RANS-NLES-SEM-106M



RANS-NLES-SEM Refined Mesh $_{\odot}$



U/Ujet: 0.00 0.08 0.15 0.23 0.31 0.38 0.46 0.54 0.62 0.69 0.77 0.85 0.92 1.00



- Quasi-2D waffle cone structures at nozzle exit
- Size of turbulent structures appears to be too large inside nozzle
- Structures deep in the boundary layer show very little azimuthal variation
- Features are elongated and too highly correlated in both the streamwise and azimuthal direction
- Do we have realistic, fully developed BL turbulence at exit?



Near-Field Comparison



- Near field turbulent statistics computed from DDES, RANS-NLES and RANS-NLES-SEM models for comparison with PIV data from the SHJAR
- Comparison of measurements to data at lip-line (z/R=1) and Centerline (z/R=0)













FWH Permeable Surface

- Generated surface triangulation imbedded within the overset grid
- FWH surface spans the entire axial domain of the computational grid
- Edge length of the triangles set to 5 mm





FWH Permeable Surface

- Generated surface triangulation imbedded within the overset grid
- FWH surface spans the entire axial domain of the computational grid
- Edge length of the triangles set to 5 mm



currently used

under consideration



- Total time sample is split into 5 windows (or segments) with 50% overlap at an $St_{bin} = 0.02$
- Integrands of the FWH permeable surface formulation are computed over each window independently
 - $Q_{n}, F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$
 - Hanning Window is applied in the time-domain
 - FFT is applied and stored for computing far-field observer noise levels
- FWH surface integrals are computed for each observer over each window independently
 - 360 observers, uniformly distributed along the azimuth, are generated for each jet axis angle (60°,90°,120°,150°)
 - The PSD is ensemble averaged over the 360 observers and the PSD is • multiplied by sqrt(8/3) to recover the RMS levels lost from Hanning Window
- Finally, the PSD spectrum is averaged over the 5 windows for the final comparison Ο to the experimental consensus. 29





Far-Field Comparison: Band-Limited OASPL ($0.08 \le St \le 8.0$)





Far-Field Comparison: Band-Limited OASPL ($0.08 \le St \le 8.0$)





Outline



- o Introduction
- Experimental Setup
- Computational Methodology
- Structured Overset Grid System
- Computed Results
 - Near-Field Comparison
 - Far-Field Comparison
- o Summary
- Future Work

Summary



- The hybrid RANS/LES approach, within the LAVA framework, using structured curvilinear overlapping grids has been applied to the prediction of jet noise and compared to existing near-field PIV and far-field microphone data.
- Demonstrated improvements:
 - Hybrid RANS-NLES reduces the delay in transition to 3D turbulent structures and improved lip-line RMS prediction
 - SEM eliminates delay even further
- Completed far-field acoustic propagation
 - Mach wave radiation noise in the jet direction is well-captured
 - Sideline noise caused by turbulent fluctuations is over-predicted, likely do to elevated lip-line RMS at nozzle exit
- BL needs to be resolved better inside of nozzle for further improvements

Future Work



35



AIAA-2017-0978 Anisotropic grid-adaptation in LES of wall-bounded and free shear flows, Toosi and Larsson

- Analyze the difference in turbulent kinetic energy using the resolved velocity field with filtered version of resolved velocity field.
- Independent filtering in each direction leads to anisotropic measure for refinement

Circumferential (k-dir)

Future Work



35



Future Work



LES with explicit subgrid-scale (SGS) model and SEM



- No RANS downstream of SEM location
- Waffle cone structures inside nozzle reduced
- Artificial turbulence from SEM decays towards nozzle exit due to lack of resolution
- Recommended resolution: wall-resolved $\Delta s^+_{circ} = 20$ (12.5k points) wall-modeled $\Delta s_{circ} = 0.1\delta$ (2450 points)

QUESTION:

"How will SGS model affect our lipline RMS and farfield solutions"

Acknowledgements



- This work was also partially funded by the Commercial Supersonics Technology (CST) project and the Transformational Tools and Technology (T³) project under the Aeronautics Research Mission Directorate (ARMD).
- Computer time has been provided by the NASA Advanced
 Supercomputing (NAS) facility at NASA Ames Research Center.
- Patrick J. Morran from NASA Ames visualization team for rendering of numerical schlieren video.
- Team members of LAVA group for helpful discussions and advise:
 Joseph George Kocheemoolayil, Francois Cadieux, Michael Barad

Questions?





BACKUP

BACKUP

BACKUP

SEM backup slide. See former presentation from last year when I added the SEM routines

Add slide for different turbulent inflow methods. Comparison name of Spalarts SGT Maybe friction velocity plot.

EXACT LOCATION OF MICROPHONE POSITIONS

End cap averaging for FWH surface. Add more info in correspondence with Joseph.