

Cloud Surprises in Moving NASA EOSDIS Applications into Amazon Web Services

Brett McLaughlin, NASA EED2 Program

The material is based upon work supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Contract Number **NNG15HZ39C**

1. NASA is not a Heroku or PaaS world

- We thought NGAP would primarily be "Heroku for Earth Science"
 - Hosting for web applications
 - Limited application profiles
 - Ease of Use



"The needs of the many..."

- We were asked for a lot of different things
 - Hosting for web applications all the things
 - Limited Broad application profiles
 - Ease of Use (??)
- As the de facto cloud platform, NGAP had to evolve to meet these broader needs

NGAP as a PaaS





AWS and WOS: Benchmarking at the Edges



The evolution from PaaS to (more) laaS

- NGAP 0.1: 100% PaaS
- NGAP 1.0: 80% PaaS
- NGAP 1.1: 60% PaaS
- NGAP Sandbox: <50% PaaS



2. Managed Services are the shizzle

- We thought NGAP would primarily be a "hosting platform"
 - Reduce hardware buys
 - Provide operational support for apps
- AWS does lots of cool stuff
 - Supplies resources (instances, networks, etc.)
 - Monitors and keeps those resources running



Prefer Services Over Custom Code

- But AWS also *provides* some cool stuff ™
 - Lambda
 - Step Functions
 - AWS Batch
 - API Gateway
 - Something(s) since I wrote this presentation



Ingest/Processing Components without Step Functions



Ingest/Processing Components using Step Functions





3. EOSDIS Applications are as Sophisticated as AWS allows

- We thought that most of the applications we'd support would be "web applications"
 - Think Rails + database + S3
 - Think buildpacks
 - Think well-constrained technical problems



Managed Services Drive Innovation

- Instances to Containers
 - Greater segregation of functionality
 - Movement toward services over monoliths
- Software on an Instance to AWS Service
 - ElasticSearch to AWS ElasticSearch
 - RabbitMQ to AWS SQS
 - Etc.
- And bigger changes... (more on that later)



GIBS to GIBS in the Cloud





4. NGAP is not as easy as AWS to operate

- We thought that NGAP would basically offer the ease of operation that AWS offers to a typical application
 - Low-effort monitoring
 - Low-effort logging
 - Low-latency response times from operations







5. AWS uses an open-ended spending model

- We thought we'd just turn on Amazon's billing controls and be A-OK.
 - Set spending limits
 - Produce granular billing reports
 - Limit egress at predetermined thresholds



Amazon provides the information and empowers the user

- Amazon wants to inform but not limit
 - AWS is happy to email you
 - AWS is happy to let you know what you're spending
 - AWS (reasonably) cannot force action, because "the action" is not standard



Egress (in particular) is a big deal

- When data leaves your application, service, data store, etc. ...
 - ...and goes to another region
 - ...and goes outside of AWS
- Egress is expensive
 - Rack Rates: \$0.08/GB after first 150TB
 - In other words, a significant portion of total monthly cloud-associated costs



Cost isn't even the biggest issue

- A huge bill is bad... ...but jail is worse.
- The Anti-Deficiency Act (ADA) disallows unbounded costs
- We need a means of absolutely limiting egress costs





- 6. Favor Re-architecture over "just getting into the cloud"
- We thought that many applications would simply move their architecture to NGAP and (more or less) call it a day



Case Study: ASF





"Direct" Forklift onto NGAP





But... it turns out...

"We wish we'd re-architected." - ASF

Why?

- Managed Services
- Natural Inflection Point
- Opportunity for Innovation



ASF, Rearchitected





* Created with https://cloudcraft.co/ 23

Ingest, Rearchitected





* Created with https://cloudcraft.co/ 24

One of the great beauties of architecture is that each time, it is like life starting over again.

-Renzo Piano





Cloud Surprises in Moving NASA EOSDIS Applications into Amazon Web Services

The material is based upon work supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Contract Number **NNG15HZ39C** This material is based upon work supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Contract Number **NNG15HZ39C.**



