

Live Aircraft Encounter Visualization at FutureFlight Central

James R. Murphy^{*}, Fay Chinn[†] and Spencer Monheim[‡]
NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA, 94035

Neil Otto[§]
Flight Research Associates, Moffett Field, CA 94035

Kenji Kato^{**} and John Archdeacon^{††}
Arctic Slope Regional Corporation, Moffett Field, CA 94035

Researchers at the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) have developed an aircraft data streaming capability that can be used to visualize live aircraft in near real-time. During a joint Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)/NASA Airborne Collision Avoidance System flight series, test sorties between unmanned aircraft and manned intruder aircraft were shown in real-time at NASA Ames' FutureFlight Central tower facility as a virtual representation of the encounter. This capability leveraged existing live surveillance, video, and audio data streams distributed through a Live, Virtual, Constructive test environment, then depicted the encounter from the point of view of any aircraft in the system showing the proximity of the other aircraft. For the demonstration, position report data were sent to the ground from on-board sensors on the unmanned aircraft. The point of view can be change dynamically, allowing encounters from all angles to be observed. Visualizing the encounters in real-time provides a safe and effective method for observation of live flight testing and a strong alternative to travel to the remote test range.

Nomenclature

<i>3-D</i>	=	three-dimensional
<i>ACAS</i>	=	Aircraft Collision Avoidance System
<i>ADS-B</i>	=	Automatic Dependent Surveillance – Broadcast
<i>COTS</i>	=	commercial off the shelf
<i>CPDS</i>	=	Conflict Prediction and Display System
<i>DAA</i>	=	Detect and Avoid
<i>DIS</i>	=	Distributed Interactive Simulation
<i>DSRL</i>	=	Distributed Systems Research Lab
<i>FFC</i>	=	FutureFlight Central
<i>GCS</i>	=	Ground Control Station
<i>GPS</i>	=	Global Positioning System
<i>Hz</i>	=	Hertz
<i>LVC</i>	=	Live, Virtual, Constructive (describing the simulation environment)
<i>MOPS</i>	=	Minimum Operating Performance Standards
<i>NAS</i>	=	National Airspace System
<i>RiG</i>	=	Reconfigurable Image Generator
<i>TCAS</i>	=	Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance System
<i>UAS</i>	=	Unmanned Aircraft System
<i>VIDS</i>	=	Video Ingest Distribution System
<i>VOIP</i>	=	Voice Over Internet Protocol

^{*} Technical Lead, Aviation Systems Division, MS 243-1.

[†] Facility Manager, Aviation Systems Division, MS 243-1.

[‡] Aerospace Technologist, Aviation Systems Division, MS 243-1.

[§] Integration Manager, Aviation Systems Division, MS 210-1.

^{**} Principal Research Engineer, Human System Integration Division, MS 262-1.

^{††} Senior Principal Systems Engineer, Human System Integration Division, MS 262-1

I. Introduction

THE National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) is conducting a series of data collection flight tests designed to reduce barriers for integrating unmanned aircraft systems (UAS) into the National Airspace System (NAS).¹ The objectives of the flights include encounters between an unmanned and manned aircraft at different geometric vectors to test the performance of prototype Detect and Avoid (DAA) and collision avoidance algorithms, as well as their respective guidance to the pilot in the ground control station (GCS).² As with many major simulation and flight test activities, it is expected that a demonstration will be provided to stakeholders to observe a demonstration of the technologies. However, during a flight test, observation can be a challenge due to the need to ensure a sterile cockpit environment in the GCS for the flight crew in control of the aircraft, the logistics of bringing observers to the test range, and encounters of aircraft flying at 10,000 feet being not easily viewable.

In order to foster the integration of the DAA technologies into the simulation and flight test environments, NASA has developed a Live, Virtual, Constructive (LVC) test environment that enables distribution of test data across participating facilities across NASA aeronautic research centers.^{2,3} NASA Ames Research Center has a 360-degree, “out-the-window” tower facility called FutureFlight Central (FFC), which enables researchers to develop and test advanced surface and terminal area concepts in a three-dimensional (3-D) virtual airport emulation.^{4,5,6} FutureFlight Central provides an immersive simulated visual environment with twelve projectors and extensive video streaming and display capabilities, ideal for technology demonstrations and visualization. By coupling the LVC data distribution infrastructure with the existing FutureFlight Central visualization technologies, NASA has built a real-time live aircraft flight visualization capability.

This paper describes the modifications made to FutureFlight Central to leverage its visualization capabilities by enabling the display of live aircraft representations in real-time. It also describes the techniques employed to support the streaming of live video, as well as the inaugural demonstration of the capability during a live flight test of an unmanned aircraft flying encounters to simultaneously test and observe the results of advanced collision avoidance and detect and avoid algorithms.

II. Background

Under the UAS Integration in the NAS (UAS-NAS) project, NASA is conducting research intended to reduce technical barriers related to the safety and operational challenges associated with enabling routine UAS access to the NAS.⁷ NASA engineers are using the existing FutureFlight Central capabilities to support the demonstration of encounters of live aircraft during flight tests designed to collect data to further Detect and Avoid research.

A. Detect and Avoid

NASA is conducting research to provide the remote pilot of an unmanned aircraft with the tools and technologies to replace the existing requirement to see and avoid aircraft and other airspace hazards.⁸ The see and avoid replacement (referred to as Detect and Avoid) has two primary functions:

- Ensure that the unmanned aircraft remains “well-clear” of other aircraft and hazards and,
- Interoperate with existing collision avoidance technologies that provide alerting and guidance to all pilots in the event of a near mid-air collision.⁹

Researchers in the UAS-NAS project are conducting research to support the development of the DAA Minimum Operating Performance Standards (MOPS) for RTCA^{‡‡} Special Committee 228. Phase 1 of the DAA MOPS was completed in July 2017 and covered the transition of unmanned aircraft through Class E into Class A airspace. As Special Committee 228 moves into Phase 2, the DAA MOPS development is expected to include the impact of Terminal airspace and use of low size, weight and power sensors have on DAA alerting parameters.¹⁰

In order to address the interoperability of the collision avoidance technologies, the UAS-NAS project is also supporting RTCA Special Committee 147 with the integration of well-clear algorithms into Aircraft Collision Avoidance System, called ACAS X.¹¹ ACAS X is the NextGen collision avoidance solution, scheduled to replace the existing Traffic Alert and Collision Avoidance System (TCAS).¹² The unmanned variant of ACAS X, known as ACAS Xu, is anticipated to provide an integrated well-clear functionality with the collision avoidance technologies providing a complete DAA solution.

^{‡‡} RTCA was founded as the Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics, but is now simply known as RTCA.

B. UAS Flight Tests

For Phase 1 DAA MOPS, the UAS-NAS project conducted flights out of NASA Armstrong Flight Research Center during the spring of 2015 and 2016.² NASA's Predator-B unmanned flight asset (known as the "Ikhana") was equipped with Automatic Dependent Surveillance - Broadcast (ADS-B) and a prototype dual panel air-to-air radar detection system.¹³ During the flight, manned intruder aircraft were flown with the Ikhana at encounter geometries designed to trigger DAA alerting and advisories. An example of the flight test encounters can be seen in Figure 1. Position data from the intruder aircraft was captured by the sensors on-board the Ikhana, combined into a single representative report by an internal tracking module, and then sent to the ground for analysis by the DAA algorithm. The pilot display in the GCS received alerts or advisories generated by the DAA algorithm and showed those data to the pilot. Phase 2 DAA MOPS flight testing is being planned for execution in the Summer of 2018. These flights are planned to focus on the performance requirements for lower size, weight, and power sensors.




Figure 1. UAS Flight Test Encounter. *Example flight test encounter flown during the DAA and ACAS flight tests with the Ikhana UAS aircraft.*

The joint FAA/NASA ACAS Xu flight testing occurred in the Fall of 2014 and Summer of 2017, at NASA Armstrong. As with the DAA flights, NASA's Ikhana was the UAS test aircraft and equipped with the TCAS, ADS-B and an air-to-air radar. However, the radar for the Fall 2014 test was a prototype single panel unit. During the first flight test, ACAS Xu software was run on board the aircraft, providing collision avoidance advisories, while the DAA algorithm was run on the ground. During the second ACAS Xu flight test in the Summer of 2017, ACAS Xu software incorporated the horizontal maneuver logic of the draft Phase 1 DAA MOPS, so both the collision avoidance and well-clear algorithms were run on-board the Ikhana on an integrated system. In both flight tests, the position reports for the Ikhana as well as the intruder aircraft were sent from the Ikhana to the GCS.

While executing the second ACAS Xu flight test, the position of the Ikhana and intruder aircraft were sent from the test range at NASA Armstrong to the Distributed Simulation Research Laboratory (DSRL) at NASA Ames for real-time data visualization.

C. FutureFlight Central

FutureFlight Central is an air traffic control simulation facility located at NASA Ames Research Center. FFC provides researchers with a fully immersive 360-degree out-the-window airport tower environment used to conduct research and collect data pertaining to airport capacity.⁴ The facility contains a virtual pilot room, test engineer room, and the operations room that emulates an air traffic control tower environment (See Figure 2a). The operations room



Figures 2a and 2b. FutureFlight Central. *Tower scene from FutureFlight Central with the screen and projector layout.*

is approximately 25ft in diameter, with 12 projection screens along the outer wall using Sony laser projectors providing a virtual out-the-window scene (See Figure 2b).

Typically, FFC is used by NASA researchers investigating airport surface and Terminal operations.^{5,6} As a 360-degree visual simulator, FFC can be configured to replicate any control or ramp tower. The components of the tower simulator include:

- a 360-degree out-the-window display
- simulated radio communications systems
- the underlying LVC/ High Level Architecture for connecting distributed simulation clients
- target generators, which supply airborne and surface traffic
- an image generator that maps the target positions and draws the point of view against an airport and/or terrain database




Figure 3. UAS Pilot Traffic Display.
Pilot traffic display with candidate DAA.

With its video streaming and high-fidelity capabilities, FFC is also ideal for monitoring simulations, visualization of research requirements, and demonstrations.

III. Demonstration Concept

One of the biggest challenges for a flight test demonstration, particularly for unmanned aircraft testing, is that the primary operations necessarily take place on the ground, where observing the actual aircraft is problematic. During flight testing, the traffic picture is presented to the pilot on in the GCS via a two-dimensional overhead view (see Figure 3). While this is sufficient for a pilot display, it does not provide adequate spatial context for observers of a flight demonstration. Ideally, observers should be provided with the vantage point of a pilot in the cockpit, or the perspective from a chase aircraft during an encounter. Placing observers on-board an aircraft under test is fraught with safety and logistical challenges, and even more-so when that aircraft is a UAS with no cockpit.

To provide a safe and convenient demonstration without impacting the safe and sterile test environment, the question became whether or not the existing data streams and the high-resolution displays available in FFC could be used to visualize a flight test from a cockpit or chase aircraft point of view. This initial proof of concept test sought to determine whether the existing live data feeds had sufficient and consistent update rate to look realistic, whether the fidelity of the display would allow adequate visualization of the intruding aircraft, and whether all data could be distributed from its data source to the FFC.

IV. Data Feeds and Technologies

In order to convert FutureFlight Central from tower emulation to live flight encounter visualization, several underlying technologies had to be developed or enhanced to deliver the required data.

A. Data Feeds

Three types of data were used for the visualization demonstration:

- 1.) Live aircraft position data from sensors
- 2.) Live voice communication audio
- 3.) Video data from the Ikhana nose/ball cameras and DAA displays

The aircraft position data is available from two sources. The first is ADS-B data obtained directly from a ground receiver at NASA Armstrong. Data from ADS-B equipped aircraft is updated every second from an on-board global positioning system (GPS) unit and broadcast. Data from the GPS unit are received by a ground receiver and sent to a process that filters the aircraft based on distance from the center of the test range and sends out the nine closest aircraft. A second source of data for the visualization come from the sensors on board the Ikhana, which is sent to the ground via a SatCom data link. Aircraft (ownship) state reports come from the embedded inertial navigation system/GPS, while the intruder aircraft data come from the ADS-B unit on the Ikhana and the on-board air-to-air radar.

Audio from the test conductor's frequency (which includes all participating test aircraft) is forwarded to FFC. This allows the observers to follow along with the test encounters as they progress.




Figure 4. Ikhana Video Feed. Video from the Ikhana nose camera with embedded flight information.

The streaming video from the Ikhana is supplied by a mounted nose camera and sensor ball that is typically installed on the aircraft. The sensor ball camera can be rotated 360 degrees and provides a medium to low-resolution view with additional flight specific information overlaid onto the display as shown in Figure 4. The video feed is sent to NASA Ames where the Video Ingest Distribution System (discussed in the next section) routes the video stream to any connected facility, including FFC. The two other video streams sent from NASA Armstrong provide views of the Conflict Prediction and Display System (CPDS) traffic and alert display, developed by General Atomics - Aeronautical System, Inc. Two variations of the CPDS display were available during the ACAS flight test, allowing researchers and observers to compare the original DAA alerting to the integrated DAA/ACAS Xu alerting. Figure 5 shows an example of the General Atomics DAA display.

B. Technologies

Several technologies were either developed or modified to send and receive the data and produce the visualization. These technologies are described below.

1. Live Virtual Constructive Infrastructure

In support of collecting data to enable the DAA research, the UAS-NAS project developed a Live, Virtual, Constructive (LVC) infrastructure.^{§§} LVC infrastructures are used by the Department of Defense and aerospace communities on a daily basis to integrate live assets or high-fidelity flight and mission simulators with virtual constructs to provide very realistic training for their personnel. This is a cost-effective and safe approach to integrating multiple systems in a complex or otherwise dangerous operating environment.^{14,15}

The LVC infrastructure facilitates the distribution of the data collected and processed by sensors and




Figure 5. CPDS Traffic Display. Pilot Traffic display showing DAA alerting.

^{§§} The term LVC is a broadly used name for classifying modeling and simulation. Generally live modeling and simulation involve real actors operating real systems. Virtual modeling and simulation involve real actors operating simulated systems. Constructive modeling and simulation involve simulated people operating simulated systems.

algorithms on-board the aircraft to participating systems and facilities on the ground. Collectively this is known as the LVC distributed environment.¹⁶ The LVC-distributed environment, as used by the UAS-NAS project for flight tests, ties live assets and facilitates at NASA Armstrong with the air traffic control simulators and facilities (including FFC) at NASA Ames (see Figure 6).³ During simulations and flight activities, the LVC distributed environment has also been used to connect facilities and test assets from NASA Langley and NASA Glenn Research Centers. The LVC system evolved over several years and leveraged many technologies originally developed under the Virtual Airspace Simulation Technologies Project.¹⁷

2. Video Ingest Distribution System

The Video Ingest Distribution System (VIDS) was developed at NASA Ames as a web based real-time video streaming and capture solution based on open web standards running on commercial off the shelf (COTS) hardware. Similar to commercial video streaming capabilities used by the entertainment industry, VIDS is designed to provide a framework for distribution and archiving of multiple video sources in research settings, but without the use of proprietary and licensed software. Advantages VIDS has over commercial software solutions are that it can be hosted onsite, configured for a facility's specific screen resolution capability and system layout, and set for dynamic video distribution to those systems without having the need for direct keyboard or mouse access. Video stream bandwidth requirements are also easily managed by adjusting resolution and frame rates. In the past, this type of configuration would typically have been implemented using expensive hardware video solutions, and would have been limited to a single facility.

VIDS consists of three main elements:

- 1.) Video Source and Capture technologies
- 2.) Server and network infrastructures
- 3.) A cross platform web-based viewing application

The video source capture technology used for the ACAS Xu flight test was the Epiphan VGA/DVI Broadcaster, which provides a wide array of different capabilities and streaming options, which fit nicely into the VIDS solution concept.¹⁸ This Epiphan hardware solution has been highly successful at NASA Ames for other projects and was used by both Ames and Armstrong during previous UAS-NAS project flights. The ACAS Xu flight test was the first use of the VIDS servers in both labs, and proved to be a very flexible and reliable solution.

3. Voice Communications

Distribution of radio/voice communication between test sites is accomplished using Distributed Interactive Simulation (DIS) IEEE 1278.1A-1998 v6 Standard Protocol to send multicast voice packets over the network. Packets are routed to specific receivers based on a simulated frequency that each station monitors (for example, a particular air traffic control airspace sector). NASA Armstrong connects a DIS compliant ASTi ACE-RIU Bridge to a channel bank that interfaces with its DICES III voice over IP (VOIP) system, which provides translation between the incompatible DIS and VOIP protocols. At Ames, the voice data packets are received by the local LVC system and routed to the FFC network. Figure 8 in Section V provides a diagram of the underlying network connectivity.




Figure 6. LVC-Distributed Environment High-Level Concept. *The LVC-DE promotes distribution of flight test activities.*

4. Reconfigurable Image Generator

The Reconfigurable Image Generator, or RiG, is software developed and patented by NASA developers.¹⁹ The RiG provides real-time 3-D renderings of aircraft and surrounding terrain to support visualization in a virtual environment using COTS computers (i.e., Windows rack mounted computers each with a single NVIDIA Quadro M6000 graphics processor unit). The RiG software drives the simulated FFC out-the-window scene and is setup to support viewpoints from anywhere in the defined airspace. Dynamic entities defined in the system have an associated 3-D rendering that are used to display each visible object with the correct orientation in the field of view using incoming vehicle state data, typically received by the RiG at 250Hz (post extrapolation). Each airborne object is automatically scaled based on the perspective distance from the defined “eye-point”, which is typically configured to be the center of the FFC operations room. Observers in the tower have a full 360 degree field-of-view that is produced by twelve (12) rear-screen projectors, driven by six COTS computers. Each graphics processor unit in the PC drives two rear-screen projectors. The RiG technologies have been used for several years for surface and Terminal air traffic simulations and have been shown to visualize the intended airspace with little additional latencies.^{5,6}

The use of FFC and the RiG for these flight visualization purposes required a few enhancements. First, the eye-point had to be changed from a normally static location inside a simulated air traffic control tower to a dynamic one where the observer can be tethered to any aircraft, and hence its location changes dynamically along with the motion of other 3-D entities. Second, the position of the aircraft had to be extrapolated from the incoming 1Hz data to correspond to 60 Hz dynamics within the RiG. The incoming 1-Hz data from Armstrong flight telemetry data is provided by the live inputs and must then be extrapolated to create smooth 60-Hz visual motion for each dynamic 3-D entity. Extrapolation is required to minimize perceived “jumps” in aircraft position. The extrapolation employs a dead-reckoning algorithm, in addition to a second-order low-pass filter. The second-order low-pass filter was attenuated to account for the update rate frequency to be used on the input data from the LVC Gateway and the variability of the live data, which was then extrapolated on by the dead-reckoning algorithm. Next, dynamic data “tags” were added for airborne objects so they could be easily identified (see Figure 7); the textual data tags follow the 3-D objects and show the entity call sign as well as relative height and distance from the current eye-point. And lastly, for improved realism, the specific aircraft types that were involved with the flight test (Ownship: Predator-B and Intruder: King-Air), were rendered as realistic 3-D models for the demonstration. A 3-D database of the Edwards Flight Test range was developed to represent the terrain area. The terrain database process employs Google Earth imagery for the underlying scene. Aircraft scale models of 1x, 2x, and 3x actual size were tested. To facilitate a better observation experience, models were scaled to 3x actual size due to the distances between aircraft at the closest point of approach (anticipated to be between 1 and 0.3 nautical miles). Figure 7 shows a graphic of the visualization of the Ikhana and King-Air with the terrain in the background. Notice that at 1.1 nautical miles, the King-Air is extremely difficult to observe (even at 3x scale). The tag with the leader-line provides a necessary visual cue.




Figure 7. 3-D Visualization. Fully rendered Ikhana and King-Air (at 3x scaling) with the NASA Armstrong terrain shown in the background.

V. Demonstration System

The 3-D visualization and live video streaming in FFC was tested during the ACAS Xu Flight Test 2 flights on several days beginning on 13 June through 1 August 2017. Figure 8 shows a high-level a diagram of the system used for the visualization of the ACAS Xu flight test encounters. The embedded inertial navigation system/GPS equipment reported the position of the Ikhana, while other aircraft in the immediate vicinity were captured by the on-board air-to-air radar and ADS-B sensors. These data streams were sent to the ground control station and sent to the LVC network from the Ikhana on a one second update rate. Once on the LVC network, the position data were distributed to NASA Ames for monitoring.

At NASA Ames, the position data were sent to both the research versions of the DAA algorithm and pilot traffic display running in the DSRL as well as the RiG in FFC via the existing High Level Architecture infrastructure. This allowed researchers to test advanced algorithm and display changes without impacting the system under test. At the same time, the position reports were made available to the RiG for 3-D rendering. The NASA Armstrong airspace and terrain were modeled at a medium fidelity sufficient for the 10,000ft flight level anticipated for the flight testing. In addition, the 3-D models of the participating aircraft were mapped to the known live aircraft callsigns to ensure proper aircraft visualization.

Streaming video was sent from the Ikhana cameras to the LVC lab at NASA Armstrong. This video stream, as well as the video from the two CPDS displays, was forwarded to NASA Ames through a secure VPN tunnel using Epiphany video streaming hardware. The custom configured Epiphany hardware was placed inline between the




Figure 8. LVC Distribution used for ACAS Xu Flight Test. High-level depiction of the distribution of data feeding the 3-D visualization capability at FutureFlight Central.

computers originating the video and the monitor output for the desktop computers used at NASA Armstrong. This allowed for minimal operational system impact and low latency for the captured video streams sent out over the network. In addition, Epiphany were connected to the research pilot display running in the DSRL providing an additional video stream to FFC. All four video streams were sent to the primary VIDS Server in the DSRL at NASA Ames. These video streams were then automatically relayed to the secondary VIDS Server found in FFC. This allowed for dynamic routing of the video streams to any of the 12 screens found in FFC with no impact on the upstream systems. All of the video streams were recorded during the live flight-testing to allow for playback at later times. Figure 9 shows the streams of the Ikhana ball camera and pilot display as shown at FFC during the flight demonstration.

The voice communication systems that were already in place at NASA Armstrong and NASA Ames enabled FFC observers to monitor the test conductor's mission frequency while visually observing the flight test from the chase position behind Ikhana. The screens in FFC were configured to show the Ikhana distance-separated "observer" viewpoint rendered in 3-D by the RiG on the eight forward projectors. The Ikhana camera video feed, CPDS displays, and research pilot display were displayed on the remaining screens.

VI. Conclusions and Future Use



Figure 9. Streaming Video. *The side-by-side streaming video of the Ikhana ball camera and the DAA traffic display as shown in FFC during the live ACAS flight.*

Originally envisioned to provide a demonstration capability for the UAS-NAS project, the live flight 3-D visualization capability at FutureFlight Central has been shown to be a strong alternative to observing flight-testing activities at the project test range at NASA Armstrong. Not only does this remove the burden of the team conducting the flight test to also support visitors, it also provides a visualization capability for the researchers to support the development of test cards and scenarios prior to flight. In addition, it offers a convenient centralized location where all pertinent data can be observed. Because all data are now centrally located, the 3-D visualization along with the streaming video feeds can be routed via the LVC network to connected NASA Centers and test partners.

The 3-D visualization was made possible by the work done by the RiG engineers to filter and smooth the live aircraft position updates. Prior to this enhancement, the jittery quality of the visualization as aircraft were updated at 1 Hz diminished the utility of the system.

Based on the positive feedback from researchers and management during the ACAS Xu flight tests demonstrations, other projects are investigating how the 3-D visualization and aircraft point-of-view capabilities can be leveraged. Future uses include modeling the airspace in and around potential urban air mobility takeoff/landing locations, visualizing lead/following aircraft in the final approach of a landing stream, and using the virtual capabilities to plan and script live testing prior flight-testing.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Bill Chung, who developed the second-order low-pass filter that made the 3-D visualization realizable.

References

- ¹ Joint Planning and Development Office, "NextGen UAS Research, Development and Demonstration Roadmap: Appendix A", Version 1.0, March 2012.
- ² Murphy, J. R., Hayes, P. S., Kim, S. K., Bridges, W., and Marston, M., "Flight Test Overview for the UAS Integration in the NAS Project," AIAA Paper 2016-1756, January 2016.
- ³ Murphy, J. R., Otto, N. D., "Evolution of a Distributed Live, Virtual, Constructive Environment for Human in the Loop Unmanned Aircraft Testing," Royal Aeronautical Society Modelling & Simulation in Air Traffic Management Conference, November 2017.
- ⁴ Dorigi, N., Sullivan, B., "FutureFlight Central: A Revolutionary Air Traffic Control Tower Simulation Facility", AIAA Paper 2003-5598, August 2003.
- ⁵ Lockwood, S., Atkins, S., Dorigi, N., "Surface Management Systems Simulations in NASA's Future Flight Central", AIAA Paper 2002-4680, August 2002.
- ⁶ Lockwood, S., Dorigi, N., Rabin, B., and Madson, M., "Runway Incursion Studies in NASA's Future Flight Central", AIAA Paper 2002-5811, October 2002.
- ⁷ National Aeronautics and Space Administration, NASA Technology Development Project Plan, Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) Integration in the National Airspace System (NAS), 31 January 2013.
- ⁸ United States Code of Federal Regulations, Title 14, §91.113.
- ⁹ Consiglio, M., Chamberlain, J., Munoz, C., Hoffler, K., "Concept of Integration for UAS Operations in the NAS", 28th International Congress of the Aeronautical Sciences, September 2012.
- ¹⁰ "Terms of Reference RTCA Special Committee (SC) 228 Minimum Operating Performance Standards for Unmanned Aircraft Systems (Rev 3)", RTCA Paper No. 239-16/PMC-1527 September 2016.
- ¹¹ "Terms of Reference RTCA Special Committee (SC) 147 Minimum Operating Performance Standards for Aircraft Collision Avoidance Systems Revision 14", RTCA Paper No. 079-16/PMC-1478 March 2016.
- ¹² Kochenderfer, M. J. and Chryssanthacopoulos, J. P., "Robust Airborne Collision Avoidance through Dynamic Programming", Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Lincoln Laboratory, 2011, Project Report ATC-371.
- ¹³ National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Integrated Test and Evaluation (IT&E) Flight Test 3 (FT3) Flight Test Report Plan, Document No. FT3-FTR-01, December 2015.
- ¹⁴ Henninger, Amy E., Cutts, Dannie, Loper, Margaret, et al, "Live Virtual Constructive Architecture Roadmap (LVCAR) Final Report", Institute for Defense Analysis, Sept, 2008.
- ¹⁵ Bezdek, W. J., Maleport, J., Olshon, R., "Live, Virtual & Constructive Simulation for Real Time Rapid Prototyping, Experimentation and Testing using Network Centric Operations," AIAA 2008-7090, AIAA Modeling and Simulation Technologies Conference and Exhibit, August 2008.
- ¹⁶ Murphy, J. Jovic, S., Otto, N., "Message Latency Characterization of a Distributed Live, Virtual, Constructive Simulation Environment," AIAA Paper 2015-1647, January 2015.
- ¹⁷ Lehmer, R. D., Malsom, S. J., "Distributed System Architecture in the VAST-RT for Real-Time Airspace Simulation", AIAA Paper 2004-5436, August 2004.
- ¹⁸ Software website: <https://www.epiphany.com/products/vgadvi-broadcaster>.
- ¹⁹ Archdeacon, J. L., Iwai, N. H., Kato, K. H., Sweet, B. T., National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington D. C., U. S. Patent for "Reconfigurable Image Generator", Patent No: US 9,583,018 B1, 20 February 2017.