1	The effects of vegetative type, edges, fire history, rainfall and management in fire-
2	maintained habitat
3	David R Breininger <sup>1</sup> <sup>†</sup> , Tammy E. Foster <sup>1</sup> , Geoffrey M. Carter <sup>1</sup> , Brean W. Duncan <sup>1</sup> , Eric D.
4	Stolen <sup>1</sup> , James E. Lyon <sup>2</sup>
5	<sup>1</sup> NASA Ecology Program, IMSS-300, Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899, U.S.A.
6	<sup>2</sup> Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge, Titusville FL 32901, U.S.A.
7	
8	
9	Running head: Multistate scrub dynamics
10	
11	
12	<i>† Corresponding author:</i> e-mail: <u>david.r.breininger@nasa.gov</u>
13	

Abstract. The combined effects of fire history, climate, and landscape features (e.g., edges) on 14 habitat specialists need greater focus in fire ecology studies, which usually only emphasize 15 characteristics of the most recent fire. Florida scrub-jays are an imperiled, territorial species that 16 prefer medium (1.2-1.7 m) shrub heights, which are dynamic because of frequent fires. We 17 measured short, medium, and tall habitat quality states annually within 10 ha grid cells (that 18 19 represented potential territories) because fires and vegetative recovery cause annual variation in habitat quality. We used multistate models and model selection to test competing hypotheses 20 21 about how transition probabilities vary between states as functions of environmental covariates. 22 Covariates included vegetative type, edges (e.g., roads, forests), precipitation, openings (gaps between shrubs), mechanical cutting, and fire characteristics. Fire characteristics not only 23 included an annual presence/absence of fire covariate, but also fire history covariates: time since 24 the previous fire, the longest fire-free interval, and the number of repeated fires. Statistical 25 models with support included many covariates for each transition probability, often including 26 fire history, interactions and nonlinear relationships. Tall territories resulted from 28 years of fire 27 suppression and habitat fragmentation that reduced the spread of fires across landscapes. Despite 28 35 years of habitat restoration and prescribed fires, half the territories remained tall suggesting a 29 30 regime shift to a less desirable habitat condition. Edges reduced the effectiveness of fires in setting degraded scrub and flatwoods into earlier successional states making mechanical cutting 31 an important tool to compliment frequent prescribed fires. 32

Key words: adaptive management; edges; fire; fragmentation; Florida scrub-jays; mapping;
multistate; scrub; transition.

35

#### 36 INTRODUCTION

Lightning-caused fire regimes have been major evolutionary drivers on nearly all continents 37 (Tucker and Cadotte 2013), but the significance and management needs of biodiversity hotspots 38 39 characterized by natural fire remain underestimated (Parr et al. 2014, Noss et al. 2015, Rundel et al. 2016). The North American Coastal Plain (NACP) has been subject to 70% habitat loss and 40 has only been recently recognized as a global biodiversity hotspot that needs practical models to 41 42 guide science-based fire management to restore and maintain its unique plants and animals (Noss et al. 2015). The Florida scrub-jay is an endemic bird confined to the Florida scrub and 43 flatwoods ecosystem of the NACP and is an declining indicator species for habitat management 44 45 (Noss et al. 1995, Coulon et al. 2010).

The natural fire regime of the scrub and flatwoods ecosystem has been greatly altered by humans (Duncan et al. 2009), as has occurred among fire adapted communities within the NACP and worldwide (Driscoll et al. 2010). The scrub and flatwoods ecosystem requires prescribed fire management to sustain biodiversity and prevent catastrophic wildfires from impacting human interests because anthropogenic landcover types (e.g., roads, cities) impede fire spread (Duncan and Schmalzer 2004).

The interactions among factors that influence the transitory dynamics of fire-maintained ecosystems are important to understand and manage to conserve biological diversity, but most studies focus only on habitat responses relative to the last fire (Nimmo et al. 2013). New approaches are needed to quantify spatial variation that results from repeated fires that do not burn all areas equally (Bradstock et al. 2005, Driscoll et al. 2010). Fire history seems may be particularly in scrub and flatwoods because repeated fires influence above-ground fuels characteristics (e.g., dead fuels above ground) and dominant plants depend on accumulated

59 below-ground biomass (roots, buds, starches) for sprouting after fire (Menges and Hawkes60 1998).

61 Mechanical cutting is often needed to restore long unburned oak scrub because the habitat 62 becomes difficult to ignite and support fire spread (Schmalzer and Boyle 1998). Evaluating ecosystem restoration usually involves comparing restoration sites to historical references, but 63 64 one or a few reference sites often don't capture spatial and temporal variability (Kirkman et al. 65 2013). Restoration trajectories are often not linear or smooth; failure to understand alternative 66 endpoints or exceptions to a deterministic trajectory can limit success (Matthews et al. 2009). Interactions between environmental variables (e.g., rainfall) and fire history have many effects 67 68 on the direction and stochasticity of restoration trajectories (Zedler et al. 1983, Artman et al. 2001, Drewa et al. 2002, Clarke et al. 2014). 69

Variations in the intervals between fires can produce nonlinear relationships that are often
described as memory or legacy effects (e.g., cavities, snags, stored underground biomass)
(Ripplinger et al. 2015, Johnstone et al. 2016). Nonlinear relationships cause management
thresholds in ecosystem state changes described by resilience theory (Ghermandi et al. 2010,
Mori 2011, Johnson et al. 2013). Resilience theory commonly uses state-and-transition models
that summarize ideas about how complex systems work through feedback loops, thresholds, and
triggers (Bagchi et al. 2012, Ratajczak et al. 2014).

Multistate models are new approaches to empirically quantify ecosystem dynamics by
measuring states and using generalized linear models to estimate how environmental covariates
influence transition probabilities between states (Breininger et al. 2010, Veran et al. 2012, Zweig
and Kitchens 2014). We previously used multistate models and multimodel inference to quantify
scrub and flatwoods habitat transition probabilities of potential Florida scrub-jay territories at 5-

year intervals (1994-2004) using combinations of environmental factors that focused on
vegetation type, edges, and management actions as covariates (Breininger et al. 2010). We used
landscape units that defined potential Florida scrub-jay territories because transition probabilities
within potential territories provide the parameters to predict how alternative management actions
can be used to better manage and restore the scrub and flatwoods ecosystem (Williams et al.
2011).

88 Here we use multistate modeling and multimodel inference to predict how annual habitat state transition probabilities (2004-2015) are influenced by environmental covariates important 89 in previous studies and new environmental covariates that incorporate information on fire 90 history, rainfall and open sandy areas. These new covariates were described as important in 91 92 many ecosystem studies and likely to be influential in early successional state transitions, which dominated historical scrub and flatwoods landscapes (Duncan et al. 2004, Duncan et al. 2009). 93 94 Our approach for applying habitat states (short, medium, tall) for potential territories does not 95 consider all elements of Florida scrub-jay habitat quality (Burgman et al. 2001). However, characterizing landscape units into these states is practical to conduct across broad geographic 96 97 areas annually and is useful for adaptive management (Johnson et al. 2011). Nearly 30 years of 98 data on demography and dispersal shows that short and tall states are population sinks where mortality exceeds recruitment and the medium state is a source where recruitment exceeds 99 100 mortality (Breininger et al. 2014b). Although in other studies we have subdivided the medium 101 state into open and closed based on the amount of open sandy area between shrubs, here, we focused on states defined entirely by height because we lacked enough samples to study how 102 many factors influence open state dynamics. However, we tested whether openings are an 103 important covariate influencing height state dynamics because openings can, for example, 104

influence fire spread as open sandy areas cause discontinuity in fuels (Schmalzer 2003, Menges
et al. 2008, Duncan et al. 2015). Fires in scrub can also spread poorly depending on
meteorological conditions such as soil and fuel moisture that can be influenced by rainfall
(Adrian 2006) and thus a rapidly changing climate is likely to further impact disturbance regimes
(Turner 2010, Foster et al. 2017).

Our primary objective was to test a competing model set to investigate whether a few simple covariates or many covariates best describe transition probabilities in scrub and flatwoods, including models with interactions and nonlinear effects. We also compare new state abundance trends with predictions (Breininger et al. 2010) and use new transition probability estimates to predict future trends of restoration success. Our final objectives include quantifying trends in management effort based on the number of potential territories burned and cut each year.

116

## 117 METHODS

## 118 Study system

Our study was conducted on Kennedy Space Center/Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge (KSC/MINWR), a barrier island complex located along central Florida's Atlantic Coast. Oak scrub and flatwoods are heterogeneous vegetative communities dominated by scrub oaks, saw palmetto, grasses and ericaceous plants. Cover of scrub oaks is greatest on the most well-drained soils ("oak") and declines on moderately drained soils ("flatwoods") where the more flammable flatwoods species increase. Although considered distinct plant communities, oak and flatwoods are often termed scrubby flatwoods when they are intermixed within fire management units

(Breininger et al. 2002). Plant composition changes little after fire because most plants areclonal and re-sprout within weeks (Schmalzer and Hinkle 1992).

128 Scrub and flatwoods ecosystems on KSC/MINWR had many openings and little forest prior 129 to a fire suppression period (1950-1978), which caused a loss of openings and increases in tall shrubs and forests (Duncan et al. 1999). Marshes are extensively intermingled within scrub and 130 131 flatwoods, but many of these became hardwood swamps during the fire suppression period. A 132 subsequent prescribed fire program focused on fuels management as part of a national program 133 to reduce threats of catastrophic fires on human interests. Getting the ecosystem back to short 134 and medium habitat states has been difficult, as tall shrubs and forests burn poorly and mechanical cutting is generally needed to reintroduce fire into degraded areas (Schmalzer and 135 Boyle 1998). Currently, the fire return interval in a management unit can be as often as 3-5 136 years, but specific patches may burn only every 14 years or longer (Duncan et al. 2009). 137

138 Data collection

139 The study area was delineated by 695 10-ha square polygons that approximate all potential Florida scrub-jay territories. Florida scrub-jay territories average 10 ha when habitat is fully 140 saturated by Florida scrub-jays; studying the dynamics of potential territories is useful because 141 most Florida scrub-jay populations have declined by >50% leaving much potential habitat 142 unoccupied (Breininger et al. 2006). These 695 potential territories represented all potential 143 144 habitat within areas managed using prescribed fires, while excluding habitat fragments that were no longer managed or relatively isolated scrub patches that had minimal contribution to the 145 Florida scrub-jay population (Carter et al. 2006). 146

147 We measured habitat states (short, medium, tall) described below each year from 2004-2015 using 1.0 m resolution aerial photography. Field observations supplemented state estimation 148 because most potential territories were within long-term demographic study sites where we 149 uniquely mark and resight Florida scrub-jays (Breininger et al. 2009, Breininger et al. 2010). 150 Years were defined as April 1 to March 31 corresponding to Florida scrub-jay nesting season and 151 152 the timing of most aerial photographs. Short territories have many openings between shrubs, medium has a uniform (flat) appearance and tall shows texture on images because tall shrubs 153 154 produce shade. Short lacks shrubs > 1.2 m tall and experienced a recent (< 5 years), extensive 155 burn. Medium and tall territories often had heterogeneous shrub heights because fires in oak and flatwoods often burn in mosaic patterns so that potential territories include patches of vegetation 156 with different fire histories. Long-term demographic research that defined these criteria were 157 based on having enough medium shrubs to support recruitment that exceeded mortality, but not 158 too many tall shrubs that caused Florida scrub-jay territories to have mortality that exceeded 159 recruitment across a range of population densities. Medium includes at least 0.4 ha of shrubs 160 1.2-1.7 m tall (optimal height) and excludes large patches (>1.0 ha) of tall shrubs (>1.7 m tall). 161 Tall includes areas with > 1.0 ha of tall shrubs (Breininger et al. 2010). On average it takes 8 162 163 years without disturbance for oaks to reach medium height and 20 years for scrub to become tall (Schmalzer and Hinkle 1992, Duncan et al. 1995). 164

We identified a suite of covariates predicted to influence the transition probabilities between habitat states. The static (non-time varying) covariate "oak" identified potential territories intersecting well-drained soils, and "flatwoods" identified potential territories that included moderately drained soils with smaller patches of oaks than are found on well-drained soils. The static covariate "edge "recorded whether a man-made or forest edge intersected a potential

territory. The dynamic (time-varying) covariate "open" was a categorical variable that
distinguished whether scrub in potential territories was open (>50% or area had open sandy gaps
between shrubs) or closed (few gaps). The dynamic covariate "cutting" distinguished territories
where at least ¼ of the territory was subject that year to mechanical cutting of trees and shrubs.

The fire history of territories differed across the KSC/MINWR landscape; in order to 174 175 encompass these differences we incorporated several dynamic covariates related to the fire 176 histories that we predicted had an influence on transition probabilities. The dynamic covariate "fire" distinguished territories where at least 1/4 of the territory burned that year based on remote 177 sensing and fire records (Shao and Duncan 2007). We chose 3 fire history variables that reflected 178 different hypotheses about how fire history would affect habitat transitions that we predicted to 179 be uncorrelated with fire and each other. The first fire history covariate time-since-fire, "TSF", 180 represented the number of years without fire prior to the current interval and therefore 181 independent of "fire" during the current interval. We selected TSF because growth is more rapid 182 183 soon after fires and then slows (Schmalzer 2003). The second fire history covariate longest fire interval, "LFI", represented the longest period without fire during the previous 20 years, 184 185 recognizing that fire free intervals approaching 20 years result in states resilient to fire (Duncan 186 et al. 1999). The third fire covariate "NF" included the number of fires for the previous 10 years because repeated, short-interval fires can deplete underground biomass (Saha et al. 2010). 187

188 The dynamic covariate standardized precipitation index, "SPI", was obtained from Florida189 climate division 3 from the National Climatic Data Center, Asheville, NC

190 (<u>www.ncdc.noaa.gov/</u>). The SPI describes the observed precipitation over a time period as the

191 number of standard deviations above or below the long-term mean precipitation for that time

192 period (McKee et al. 1993) and has been useful in studying responses in scrub vegetation

193 (Foster et al. 2014, 2015). We used the 12-month SPI for April – March 31 as a measure of

drought. The 12 month SPI for March compares the cumulative precipitation from the previous

195 April to the current March to the historic pattern of precipitation for that time period.

196 Data analyses

We used multistate models to analyze annual transition probabilities between states (Fig. 1) as a
multinomial model of static and dynamic environmental covariates. Static variables were
oak/flatwoods and edge/no edge. One dynamic variable (SPI) was the same for all potential
territories, but unique to each interval. Other dynamic variables were specific to each interval
and potential territory: fire/no fire, mechanical cutting/no cutting, openings/no openings, 3 fire
history variables.

203 Each multistate model consisted of a likelihood combining 3 multinomials, 1 for each of the 3 states. We estimated transition probabilities for state changes (e.g., short-to-medium); 204 transition probability estimates of states remaining the same between years (e.g., short-to-short) 205 206 were estimated by subtracting the transition probability estimates of state changes from 1.0. The transition of short-to-tall was constrained to zero because it didn't occur, which enhanced 207 numerical estimation. We developed 40 competing models representing hypotheses of how 208 combinations of different variables, two-way interactions, linear and quadratic relationships 209 210 would affect state transitions. Models were implemented in Program Mark (White et al. 2006), 211 which produced an AIC<sub>c</sub> model-selection table, estimates of  $\beta$ s (regression parameters), and transition probabilities along with and their precision (i.e., SE and CI). We made a priori 212 predictions about the direction for each  $\beta$ , similar to the previous modeling in order to represent 213 specific ecological hypotheses for each transition probability (Breininger et al. 2010). 214

215 We used a simple approach to present how state transitions (and measures of precision) varied annually based only on plant composition (oak versus flatwoods), excluding 216 environmental covariates because oak and flatwoods are often considered distinct plant 217 communities. We also predicted future abundances of each state for the next 12 years by 218 Markov projections, beginning with the 2015 vector of state abundances, as we did previously 219 220 (Breininger et al. 2010). The Markov matrices for the future predictions were estimated with time constant models, separately for oak and flatwoods without other environmental covariates 221 222 (Breininger et al. 2010). To determine if there were trends in management effort, we used linear 223 regression of the number of grid cells burned (1983-2015) and cut (2004-2015) for time periods with data on these management efforts. 224

# 225 RESULTS

No simple models that included only vegetation type (oak/flatwoods) or habitat management actions (fire/no fire, cutting/no cutting) had support, whereas 6 more complicated models had > 99% of the empirical support (Table 1). There was much similarity amongst the top models, but differences among transition probabilities regarding which covariates were important. Models with support included all covariates that were previously important (oak, edge, fire, and cutting) and new covariates involving fire history (time-since-fire, longest fire free interval, number of fires in previous 10 years, openings) and annual rainfall (standardized precipitation index).

Table 2 compares our *a priori* predictions with results for all covariates important in the best supported model. We presented only the best model because other top models were similar and  $\beta$ 's absent in the top model involved interactions that had standard errors many times greater than mean estimates. The best model had mean  $\beta$ 's with CI's that overlapped zero, but excluding these effects during *post hoc* analyses produced models without AIC<sub>c</sub> support. The main effect

for  $\beta$ 's describing the oak versus flatwoods often had CI that overlapped zero, but we included the oak versus flatwoods effect because all supported models included an oak versus flatwood effect, and many covariates had different effects depending on whether the site was oak or flatwoods (Table 2). All supported models had an effect for whether fire occurred in the current interval, but the CI's overlapped zero for transitions from earlier to later successional states.

243 Every transition probability included an edge effect;  $\beta$ 's representing covariates in the best model usually had the same direction of effects that we predicted, except for the number of fires 244 245 in the previous 10 years. The negative  $\beta$ 's for openings clarified the effect where authors disagreed on a priori predictions. Supported models included many covariate effects for 246 transitions between short-to-medium, medium-to-short and for medium-to-tall, which were 247 transition probabilities that previously had low sample sizes and therefore limited our abilities to 248 test many covariate effects. In this study, transitions from short and medium to other states were 249 250 more common because the states had greater relative abundances, and we estimated transition 251 probabilities from 11 annual intervals versus 3 5-year intervals (Breininger et al. 2010).

The fire history TSF affected transitions from earlier to later successional states, with a 252 nonlinear effect for short-to-medium. Other fire history covariates (NF and LFI) often had weak 253 effects (small  $\beta$ 's) with uncertain directions (CI overlapped zero). Fire history covariates were 254 not highly correlated ( $r^2 < 0.4$ ) and post hoc analyses to remove covariates from models with 255 multiple fire history covariates did not improve model support or change directions of the  $\beta$ 256 estimates of fire history covariates, as can occur when covariates are highly correlated (Zuur et 257 al. 2010). Each of the 3 covariates was chosen for a different *a priori* mechanism that might 258 259 affect transition probabilities (e.g., nonlinear growth, underground biomass depletion, developing inflammable above ground fuels structure) and eliminating 1-2 fire history covariates in *post hoc* 260

analyses resulted in models that had no support compared to the top models indicating that the 3
fire history covariates provided different information, albeit with comparably weak effects and
poor precision.

Precipitation did not influence transitions to a later successional state (growth) but influenced transitions to an earlier successional state and in oak. The quadratic relationship occurred because transition probabilities peaked at low to medium drought conditions being lowest during severe droughts (when prescribed fires are not allowed) or when rainfall was average or greater.

269 All habitat states were widely distributed throughout the landscape (Figure 2), and tall territories remained the most abundant habitat state (Figure 3). Tall territories declined and 270 271 medium (optimal) territories increased confirming predictions made from the earlier model (Breininger et al. 2010). Our use of Markov processes to generate a vector of state abundances 272 predicted almost no change in state abundances across the next 12 years versus a continued tall 273 state decrease and medium state increase as predicted from the earlier data (Breininger et al. 274 2010). There was a slight increase in the number of grid cells burned each year and no trends in 275 cutting. Cutting only occurred in <25 grid cells each year, except for 2006 and 2011 (Figure 4). 276

Transitions probabilities from tall to earlier successional states were usually among the
smallest and annual transition probabilities varied greatly, especially for short-to-medium which
generally had the greatest transition probabilities between states (Table 4). Transition
probabilities to earlier successional states were greatest during the greatest droughts (2007-2008,
2011-2012).

282 DISCUSSION

283 Multistate models provided a unifying modeling framework to empirically quantify the effects of many environmental variables on habitat dynamics, by breaking a large landscape into potential 284 territories and developing a longitudinal history of states and environmental covariates. No 285 simple model explained habitat dynamics, as all models with empirical support included many 286 environmental factors for each transition probability; these effects included interactions and 287 288 nonlinear relationships, which are common in fire ecology studies (Driscoll et al. 2010). Results expanded previous work (Breininger et al. 2010) identifying complex interactions between 289 290 covariates, and many new covariate effects (fire history, precipitation, openings) for many 291 transitions (e.g., short-to-medium, medium-to-short, medium-to-tall).

Restoration and management actions (e.g., fire, cutting) had important effects, but 292 environmental factors often had greater effects on transition probabilities (e.g., edge effects on 293 tall-to-medium: Table 2). Edges (roads and forests), primarily resulting from anthropogenic 294 factors, were among the most influential factor across transition probabilities; these strong 295 296 effects made sense because edges disrupt fire spread (Duncan and Schmalzer 2004). Forests were relatively rare in the historical landscapes and resulted from reductions in fire frequency 297 from natural fire regimes causing low flammability (Duncan et al. 1999, Duncan et al. 2004). 298 299 Habitat fragmentation typically increases ignitions and fire spread in other ecosystems, but still has detrimental impacts (Kraaij et al. 2013). 300

Cutting was an important management action influencing transitions because tall scrub had become resistant to fire, and cutting along edges and frequent fire appear to be the only solution to Florida scrub-jay population recovery on KSC/MINWR (Johnson et al. 2011). We agree with colleagues that mechanical cutting is not a replacement for fire, and care is needed to avoid the

spread of exotics and reducing the cover of species important for spreading fires (Schmalzer and
Boyle 1998, Menges and Gordon 2010).

Fire history effects were practical to study in our system because fires occurred every few years instead of decades, or longer. The presence/absence of fire during an annual interval usually had a larger effect than fire history variables, except that time since fire (TSF) had a great effect for the short-to-medium transition in oak. The presence/absence of fire might have had a lesser impact than TSF because short scrub generally lacks enough fuels to burn extensively. The TSF nonlinear effect could be explained by growth being most rapid a few years after fire (Schmalzer and Hinkle 1992).

We predicted stronger effects from other fire history covariates, especially for the length 314 315 of the fire free interval (LFI) because scrub is difficult to restore once it is unburned for >20years. We expected that LFI would be important because increasing underground biomass 316 allows for more rapid regrowth after fire (Maliakal et al. 2000, Boughton et al. 2006). One 317 explanation for only small fire history effects might be that the effects of fire history on 318 vegetation might have occurred at a smaller geographic scale than potential territories, which 319 would have had heterogeneous fire histories. The number of fires (NF) decreased tall-to-short 320 and tall-to medium transitions contradicting our *a priori* hypothesis, but the effect was poorly 321 322 estimated (CI overlapped zero). One explanation might be that it takes at least 3-5 years for 323 enough fuels to accumulate to carry fire and greater fuel levels might be needed for fires to burn severely enough to spread into tall scrub patches. Another explanation is that fires did not burn 324 325 often enough to deplete underground biomass because there were not enough grasses to carry 326 frequent fire (see below).

Fire severity can be an important habitat covariate (Lindenmayer et al. 2013, Fang et al. 2015), but can be challenging to measure and is often related to fire size (Miller et al. 2009, Cansler and McKenzie 2014). We are investigating methods to develop longitudinal fire severity histories across our study sites and acknowledge that lack of fire severity data is an important limitation. However, management objectives in our study sites often do not include severe fires because of the proximity to cities and space program facilities and the negative impacts of extensive fires to Florida scrub-jay survival (Breininger et al. 2009).

334 Historical benchmarks or reference conditions are often used to evaluate progress towards habitat recovery, however, these should include spatial and temporal variability (Kirkman et al. 335 2013). In addition to edge effects, we suspect that fire spread today often differs from historical 336 conditions because prescribed fires vary in seasonality and meteorology with natural, lightning 337 ignited fires (Duncan et al. 2009). There are many vegetative differences in the flammability 338 within landscapes, and grasses are especially important for spreading fire (Breininger et al. 339 340 2002). The growth and flowering of many important grass species (e.g., Aristida stricta var.beyrichiana) is dependent on fires that occur at the transition between the dry and 341 thunderstorm seasons when most lightning fires occurred (Platt et al. 1988, Noss 2013). 342

The Pre-European landscape might have been resilient to change because frequent lightning fires could spread easily through grasses among short and medium territories that lacked roads and forests to impede fire spread (Duncan et al. 1999). Early landscapes had many open sandy areas, which usually disappear within 1-2 years after fire in recent times (Schmalzer and Hinkle 1992). The negative relationship we observed between in open scrub and the transition from medium-to-tall might have occurred because open scrub was an indicator of slower growth. Slower growth might have resulted from site differences (e.g., topography) or fire severity.

350 Anthropogenic effects often produce alternative states that are resistant to change or states that are unstable (Scheffer and Carpenter 2003, Larson et al. 2013, Johnstone et al. 2016). 351 Following 28 years of fire suppression, 35 years of prescribed fires only reduced slightly the 352 extent of tall territories that had become resistant to restoration. Other complications included 353 restrictions on prescribed fires that must be ignited under particular wind directions to avoid 354 355 smoke impacts to smoke sensitive areas. These restoration challenges occur across the geographic region making many small Florida scrub-jay populations vulnerable to extinction 356 357 (Duncan et al. 2004, Breininger et al. 2006).

Restoration programs often focus on conducting enough management to get past a threshold 358 that alters system behavior, removing feedback loops that lead to a degraded state, and enhancing 359 feedback loops that produce a desired stable state (Suding 2011). Burning during the best season 360 to stimulate grasses and promote fire spread might be advantageous, but fire managers in our 361 study sites had extreme limitations regarding meteorological and operational constraints that 362 363 made burning only during the dry-to-wet transitional season (e.g., May) difficult. Cutting was an expensive tool, and it may be unreasonable to remove most forest edges and edges associated 364 with human landscape features so that prioritization of management efforts becomes necessary. 365 366 We have observed many habitat and population management restoration successes in particular landscapes, but most conservation areas are at less than half Florida scrub-jay carrying capacity 367 368 (Breininger et al. 2006). Population modeling and Florida scrub-jay sociobiology suggest that 369 habitat management prioritization might focus on maintaining the largest populations because population recovery can be slow, especially when there are not large numbers of nonbreeding 370 individuals (Breininger et al. 1999, Breininger et al. 2014b). 371

372 Funding for prescribed fires often focuses on maximizing fuels reduction allowing fuels to accumulate until fires can burn them extensively, causing potential Florida scrub-jay territories 373 374 to have a large sink (short, tall) to source (medium) habitat ratio, as occurred herein. We believe "optimal habitat management" might be better at reducing catastrophic fire risk than fuels 375 management in priority areas (Breininger et al. 2014a). In an optimal habitat management 376 377 strategy, prescribed fires would be initiated sooner than a fuels reduction strategy by attempting 378 prescribed fires before all fuels are likely to ignite thus creating transitory openings and 379 heterogeneity among shrub patches at the territory scale. In habitat occupied by Florida scrub-380 jays near carrying capacity, optimal habitat management would attempt mosaic fires to provide some unburned patches to serve as nest sites, provide acorns, and areas to escape predators. Such 381 fire mosaics would require greater post-fire monitoring because unburned patches might reignite. 382 Fire modeling suggests this strategy would reduce fuels continuity decreasing the risk of 383 spreading potentially catastrophic fires (e.g., wildfires) that are expensive to control (Duncan et 384 385 al. 2015). In our view, waiting until fuels accumulate to levels that support complete fires increases the risks of catastrophic wildfires between controlled fires. Frequent and severe fires 386 could be conducted in unoccupied Florida scrub-jay habitat in an attempt to set the system back 387 388 into an earlier successional state associated with historical conditions promoting population recovery in those areas at a later date. 389

Our study does not take into account the effects of a changing climate; however, it is likely that the areal extent of oak and flatwoods will be reduced with rising sea levels putting pressure on managing biodiversity in increasingly smaller areas (Foster et al. 2017). Changes in precipitation might include heavier precipitation events and longer periods between precipitation events; droughts may become more frequent (Karl et al. 2009). We observed the effects of SPI

on oak transitions to earlier successional states were not linear because drought promotes fire
spread, but extreme droughts force a shutdown of prescribed fire because of increased
catastrophic fire risk. Droughts might not have effected flatwoods because the vegetation is
more flammable and the water table closer to the root zone (Schmalzer and Hinkle 1992).

Scientists need to broaden study implications, but generalizations also lead to debates as to 399 400 whether Florida scrub-jays, for example, should be a scrub management indicator for all scrub 401 (Menges and Gordon 2010). A nuanced approach could consider regional biodiversity where 402 opportunities for conservation vary among species and conservation areas. In our study region, conservation opportunities are limited by urbanization and species geographic differences 403 (Duncan et al. 2004). Most species of conservation concern in our study site benefited by 404 conditions best for Florida scrub-jays, and we know of no species of conservation concern that 405 would decline from Florida scrub-jay management directed at one of the species last remaining 406 407 extant large populations.

Plant ecologists recommend pyrodiversity to account for the requirements of lesser known 408 species while animal ecologists have increasingly questioned whether pyrodiversity creates 409 faunal biodiversity (Parr and Andersen 2006, Menges 2007, Clarke 2008, Farnsworth et al. 410 2014). Our study site had great pyrodiversity was of poor habitat quality for Florida scrub-jays 411 because of too much tall scrub. Rather than simple statistical distributions, fire frequency should 412 413 be based on species biology (Gill and McCarthy 1998, Kelly et al. 2015), such as habitat and population state (Johnson et al. 2011, Williams et al. 2011). Optimal Florida scrub-jay territories 414 415 in our study area includes a specific fire mosaic because openings disappear a few years after fire 416 and medium height scrub on average is 8-20 years post fire (Breininger et al. 2014b).

Long-term studies have shown geographic differences in vegetative response to fire and fire 417 return rates (Menges et al. 2008). Depth to water table, nutrient availability, and the geological 418 age of soils help explain these geographic differences (Schmalzer and Hinkle 1992). Focusing 419 on broad scale approaches does not always consider spatial and temporal complexities and fine 420 scale habitat features important to endemic species (Bond and Parr 2010, Watson et al. 2011, 421 422 Noss et al. 2015). A strength of our study is demonstrating that a monitoring approach can evaluate how management and environmental factors interact to effect habitat quality while 423 424 being site-specific.

Monitoring is often most effective when it informs decision making (Williams et al. 2002, 425 Nichols and Williams 2006). The transition probabilities estimated herein can be updated 426 427 through monitoring to drive management decison models (Johnson et al. 2011, Williams et al. 2011) and link habitat quality to population predictions (McCarthy and Thompson 2001, 428 429 Akcakaya et al. 2004, Burgman et al. 2005, Duarte et al. 2016). Multistate modeling enhanced 430 the ability to examine relationships among environmental factors and fire history, as such relationships have been understudied (Haslem et al. 2012, Levick et al. 2015). Quantification of 431 progress towards desired conditions that incorporates the ability to account for spatial and 432 433 temporal variability might be more useful than simple comparisons with historical benchmarks or reference sites (Kirkman et al. 2013). 434

435

### 436 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank L. Phillips, P. Schmalzer, M. Legare, J. Nichols, Kennedy Space Center, Merritt Island
National Wildlife Refuge and dozens of fire managers that regularly contribute to our

- 439 understanding. The study was funded by NASA and US Fish and Wildlife Service. The authors
- do not claim a conflict of interest.

## 441 REFERENCES

- Adrian, F. W. 2006. Fire Management in the Inter Galatic Interface or 30 Years of Fire
  Management at Merritt Island National Wildlife Refuge/Kennedy Space Center, Florida.
  Pages 739-749 *in* Fuels Management-How to Measure Success: Conference Proceedings.
  Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fort
  Collins, CO.
- Akcakaya, H. R., V. C. Radeloff, D. J. Mlandenoff, and H. S. He. 2004. Integrating landscape
  and metapopulation modeling approaches: Viability of the sharp-tailed grouse in a
  dynamic landscape. Conservation Biology 18:526-537.
- Artman, V. L., E. K. Sutherland, and J. F. Downhower. 2001. Prescribed burning to restore
   mixed-oak communities in Southern Ohio: effects on breeding-bird populations.
   Conservation Biology 15:1423-1434.
- Bagchi, S., D. D. Briske, X. B. Wu, M. P. McClaran, B. T. Bestelmeyer, and M. E. FernándezGiménez. 2012. Empirical assessment of state-and-transition models with a long-term
  vegetation record from the Sonoran Desert. Ecological Applications 22:400-411.
- Bond, W. J., and C. L. Parr. 2010. Beyond the forest edge: ecology, diversity and conservation of
   the grassy biomes. Biological Conservation 143:2395-2404.
- Boughton, E., A. F. Quintana-Ascencio, E. Menges, and R. Boughton. 2006. Association of
  ecotones with relative elevation and fire in an upland Florida landscape. Journal of
  Vegetation Science 17:361-368.
- Bradstock, R. A., M. Bedward, A. M. Gill, and J. S. Cohn. 2005. Which mosaic? A landscape
  ecological approach for evaluating interactions between fire regimes, habitat and animals.
  Wildlife Research 32:409-423.
- Breininger, D., B. Duncan, M. Eaton, F. Johnson, and J. Nichols. 2014a. Integrating land cover
  modeling and adaptive management to conserve endangered species and reduce
  catastrophic fire risk. Land 3:874-897.
- Breininger, D., E. Stolen, G. Carter, D. Oddy, and S. Legare. 2014b. Quantifying how territory
  quality and sociobiology affect recruitment to inform fire management. Animal
  Conservation 17:72-79.
- Breininger, D. R., M. A. Burgman, and B. M. Stith. 1999. Influence of habitat quality,
  catastrophes, and population size on extinction risk of the Florida scrub-jay. Wildlife
  Society Bulletin 27:810-822.
- Breininger, D. R., B. W. Duncan, and N. J. Dominy. 2002. Relationships between fire frequency
  and vegetation type in pine flatwoods of East-Central Florida, USA. Natural Areas
  Journal 22:186-193.
- Breininger, D. R., J. D. Nichols, G. M. Carter, and D. M. Oddy. 2009. Habitat-specific breeder
  survival of Florida Scrub-Jays: inferences from multistate models. Ecology 90:31803189.
- Breininger, D. R., J. D. Nichols, B. W. Duncan, E. D. Stolen, G. M. Carter, D. K. Hunt, and J. H.
  Drese. 2010. Multistate modeling of habitat dynamics: factors affecting Florida scrub
  transition probabilities. Ecology 91:3354-3364.

- Breininger, D. R., B. Toland, D. M. Oddy, and M. L. Legare. 2006. Landcover characterizations
  and Florida scrub-jay (Aphelocoma coerulescens) population dynamics. Biological
  Conservation 128:169-181.
- Burgman, M. A., D. R. Breininger, B. W. Duncan, and S. Ferson. 2001. Setting reliability
  bounds on habitat suitability indices. Ecological Applications 11:70-78.
- Burgman, M. A., D. B. Lindenmayer, and J. Elith. 2005. Managing landscapes for conservation
   under uncertainty. Ecology 86:2007-2017.
- Cansler, C. A., and D. McKenzie. 2014. Climate, fire size, and biophysical setting control fire
   severity and spatial pattern in the northern Cascade Range, USA. Ecological Applications
   24:1037-1056.
- 492 Carter, G. M., E. D. Stolen, and D. R. Breininger. 2006. A rapid approach to modeling species 493 habitat relationships. Biological Conservation 127:237-244.
- Clarke, M. F. 2008. Catering for the needs of fauna in fire management: science or just wishful
   thinking? Wildlife Research 35:385-394.
- Clarke, P. J., K. J. Knox, R. A. Bradstock, C. Munoz-Robles, and L. Kumar. 2014. Vegetation,
   terrain and fire history shape the impact of extreme weather on fire severity and
   ecosystem response. Journal of Vegetation Science 25:1033-1044.
- Coulon, A., J. W. Fitzpatrick, R. Bowman, and I. J. Lovette. 2010. Effects of Habitat
   Fragmentation on Effective Dispersal of Florida Scrub-Jays. Conservation Biology
   24:1080-1088.
- Drewa, P. B., W. J. Platt, and E. B. Moser. 2002. Fire effects on resprouting of shrubs in
   headwaters of southeastern longleaf pine savannas. Ecology 83:755-767.
- Driscoll, D. A., D. B. Lindenmayer, A. F. Bennett, M. Bode, R. A. Bradstock, G. J. Cary, M. F.
  Clarke, N. Dexter, R. Fensham, G. Friend, M. Gill, S. James, G. Kay, D. A. Keith, C.
  MacGregor, J. Russell-Smith, D. Salt, J. E. M. Watson, R. J. Williams, and A. York.
  2010. Fire management for biodiversity conservation: Key research questions and our
  capacity to answer them. Biological Conservation 143:1928-1939.
- Duarte, A., J. S. Hatfield, T. M. Swannack, M. R. Forstner, M. C. Green, and F. W. Weckerly.
  2016. Simulating range-wide population and breeding habitat dynamics for an
  endangered woodland warbler in the face of uncertainty. Ecological Modelling 320:5261.
- 513 Duncan, B. W., S. Boyle, D. R. Breininger, and P. A. Schmalzer. 1999. Coupling past
   514 management practice and historic landscape change on John F. Kennedy Space Center,
   515 Florida. Landscape Ecology 14:291-309.
- 516 Duncan, B. W., D. R. Breininger, P. A. Schmalzer, and V. L. Larson. 1995. Validating a Florida
   517 Scrub-Jay habitat suitability model using demographic data on Kennedy Space Center.
   518 Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing 61:1361-1370.
- 519 Duncan, B. W., V. L. Larson, and P. A. Schmalzer. 2004. Historic landcover and recent
   520 landscape change in the north Indian River Lagoon Watershed, Florida, USA. Natural
   521 Areas Journal 24:198-215.
- Duncan, B. W., and P. A. Schmalzer. 2004. Anthropogenic influences on potential fire spread in
   a pyrogenic ecosystem of Florida, USA. Landscape Ecology 19:153-165.
- Duncan, B. W., P. A. Schmalzer, D. R. Breininger, and E. D. Stolen. 2015. Comparing fuels
   reduction and patch mosaic fire regimes for reducing fire spread potential: A spatial
   modeling approach. Ecological Modelling **314**:90-99.

Duncan, B. W., G. Shao, and F. W. Adrian. 2009. Delineating a managed fire regime and 527 528 exploring its relationship to the natural fire regime in East Central Florida, USA: A remote sensing and GIS approach. Forest Ecology and Management 258:132-145. 529 530 Fang, L., J. Yang, J. Zu, G. Li, and J. Zhang. 2015. Quantifying influences and relative importance of fire weather, topography, and vegetation on fire size and fire severity in a 531 Chinese boreal forest landscape. Forest Ecology and Management 356:2-12. 532 Farnsworth, L. M., D. G. Nimmo, L. T. Kelly, A. F. Bennett, and M. F. Clarke. 2014. Does 533 pyrodiversity beget alpha, beta or gamma diversity? A case study using reptiles from 534 semi-arid Australia. Diversity and Distributions 20:663-673. 535 Foster, T. E., P. A. Schmalzer, and G. A. Fox. 2014. Timing matters: the seasonal effect of 536 drought on tree growth1. The Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society 141:225-241. 537 Foster, T. E., P. A. Schmalzer, and G. A. Fox. 2015. Seasonal climate and its differential impact 538 on growth of co-occurring species. European Journal of Forest Research 134:497-510. 539 Foster, T. E., E. D. Stolen, C. R. Hall, R. Schaub, B. W. Duncan, D. K. Hunt, and J. H. Drese. 540 2017. Modeling vegetation community responses to sea-level rise on Barrier Island 541 systems: A case study on the Cape Canaveral Barrier Island complex, Florida, USA. Plos 542 One 12:e0182605. 543 Ghermandi, L., M. de Torres Curth, J. Franzese, and S. Gonzalez. 2010. Non-linear ecological 544 processes, fires, environmental heterogeneity and shrub invasion in northwestern 545 546 Patagonia. Ecological Modelling 221:113-121. Gill, A. M., and M. A. McCarthy. 1998. Intervals between prescribed fires in Australia: what 547 intrinsic variation should apply? Biological Conservation 85:161-169. 548 Haslem, A., S. C. Avitabile, R. S. Taylor, L. T. Kelly, S. J. Watson, D. G. Nimmo, S. A. Kenny, 549 K. E. Callister, L. M. Spence-Bailey, A. F. Bennett, and M. F. Clarke. 2012. Time-since-550 fire and inter-fire interval influence hollow availability for fauna in a fire-prone system. 551 552 Biological Conservation 152:212-221. Johnson, F. A., D. R. Breininger, B. W. Duncan, J. D. Nichols, M. C. Runge, and B. K. 553 Williams. 2011. A Markov Decision Process for Managing Habitat for Florida Scrub-554 Jays. Journal of Fish and Wildlife Management 2:234-246. 555 Johnson, F. A., B. K. Williams, and J. D. Nichols. 2013. Resilience Thinking and a Decision-556 Analytic Approach to Conservation: Strange Bedfellows or Essential Partners? Ecology 557 and Society 18. 558 559 Johnstone, J. F., C. D. Allen, J. F. Franklin, L. E. Frelich, B. J. Harvey, P. E. Higuera, M. C. Mack, R. K. Meentemeyer, M. R. Metz, and G. L. Perry. 2016. Changing disturbance 560 regimes, ecological memory, and forest resilience. Frontiers in Ecology and the 561 Environment 14:369-378. 562 563 Karl, T. R., J. M. Melillo, T. C. Peterson, and S. J. Hassol. 2009. Global Climate Change Impacts in the United States: Highlights. 564 565 Kelly, L. T., A. F. Bennett, M. F. Clarke, and M. A. McCarthy. 2015. Optimal fire histories for biodiversity conservation. Conservation Biology 29:473-481. 566 Kirkman, L. K., A. Barnett, B. W. Williams, J. K. Hiers, S. M. Pokswinski, and R. J. Mitchell. 567 568 2013. A dynamic reference model: a framework for assessing biodiversity restoration 569 goals in a fire-dependent ecosystem. Ecological Applications 23:1574-1587. Kraaij, T., R. M. Cowling, and B. W. Van Wilgen. 2013. Fire regimes in eastern coastal fynbos: 570 571 imperatives and thresholds in managing for diversity. Koedoe 55:01-09.

- Larson, A. J., R. T. Belote, C. A. Cansler, S. A. Parks, and M. S. Dietz. 2013. Latent resilience in
   ponderosa pine forest: effects of resumed frequent fire. Ecological Applications 23:1243 1249.
- Levick, S. R., C. A. Baldeck, and G. P. Asner. 2015. Demographic legacies of fire history in an
   African savanna. Functional Ecology 29:131-139.
- Lindenmayer, D. B., W. Blanchard, L. McBurney, D. Blair, S. C. Banks, D. Driscoll, A. L.
  Smith, and A. M. Gill. 2013. Fire severity and landscape context effects on arboreal marsupials. Biological Conservation 167:137-148.
- Maliakal, S. K., E. S. Menges, and J. Denslow. 2000. Community composition and regeneration
   of Lake Wales Ridge wiregrass flatwoods in relation to time-since-fire. Journal of the
   torrey Botanical society:125-138.
- Matthews, J. W., G. Spyreas, and A. G. Endress. 2009. Trajectories of vegetation-based
   indicators used to assess wetland restoration progress. Ecological Applications 19:2093 2107.
- McCarthy, M. A., and C. Thompson. 2001. Expected minimum population size as a measure of
   threat. Animal Conservation 4:351-355.
- McKee, T. B., N. J. Doeskin, and J. Kleist. 1993. The relationship of drought frequency and
   duration to time scales. Pages 179-184 *in* Proc. 8th Conf. on Applied Climatology,
   January 17-22, American Meteorological Society, Boston, MA.
- Menges, E. S. 2007. Integrating demography and fire management: an example from Florida
   scrub. Australian Journal of Botany 55:261-272.
- Menges, E. S., A. Craddock, J. Salo, R. Zinthefer, and C. W. Weekley. 2008. Gap ecology in
   Florida scrub: Species occurrence, diversity and gap properties. Journal of Vegetation
   Science 19:503-514.
- Menges, E. S., and D. R. Gordon. 2010. Should mechanical treatments and herbicides be used as
   fire surrogates to manage Florida's uplands? A review. Florida Scientist 73:147.
- Menges, E. S., and C. V. Hawkes. 1998. Interactive effects of fire and microhabitat on plants of
   Florida scrub. Ecological Applications 8:935-946.
- Miller, J. D., E. E. Knapp, C. H. Key, C. N. Skinner, C. J. Isbell, R. M. Creasy, and J. W.
  Sherlock. 2009. Calibration and validation of the relative differenced Normalized Burn
  Ratio (RdNBR) to three measures of fire severity in the Sierra Nevada and Klamath
  Mountains, California, USA. Remote Sensing of Environment 113:645-656.
- Mori, A. S. 2011. Ecosystem management based on natural disturbances: hierarchical context and non-equilibrium paradigm. Journal of Applied Ecology **48**:280-292.
- Nichols, J. D., and B. K. Williams. 2006. Monitoring for conservation. Trends in Ecology &
   Evolution 21:668-673.
- Nimmo, D., L. Kelly, L. Spence-bailey-Bailey, S. Watson, R. Taylor, M. Clarke, and A. Bennett.
   2013. Fire mosaics and reptile conservation in a fire-prone region. Conservation Biology
   27:345-353.
- Noss, R. 2013. Forgotten grasslands of the south: natural history and conservation. . Island Press,
   Washington D.C.
- Noss, R. F., E. T. LaRoe, and J. M. Scott. 1995. Endangered ecosystems of the United States: a
   preliminary assessment of loss and degradation. US Department of the Interior, National
   Biological Service Washington, DC, USA.

- Noss, R. F., W. J. Platt, B. A. Sorrie, A. S. Weakley, D. B. Means, J. Costanza, and R. K. Peet.
  2015. How global biodiversity hotspots may go unrecognized: lessons from the North
  American Coastal Plain. Diversity and Distributions 21:236-244.
- Parr, C. L., and A. N. Andersen. 2006. Patch mosaic burning for biodiversity conservation: a
   critique of the pyrodiversity paradigm. Conservation Biology 20:1610-1619.
- Parr, C. L., C. E. Lehmann, W. J. Bond, W. A. Hoffmann, and A. N. Andersen. 2014. Tropical
  grassy biomes: misunderstood, neglected, and under threat. Trends in Ecology &
  Evolution 29:205-213.
- Platt, W. J., G. W. Evans, and M. M. Davis. 1988. Effects of fire season on flowering of forbs
  and shrubs in longleaf pine forests. Oecologia 76:353-363.
- Ratajczak, Z., J. B. Nippert, J. M. Briggs, and J. M. Blair. 2014. Fire dynamics distinguish
  grasslands, shrublands and woodlands as alternative attractors in the Central Great Plains
  of North America. Journal of Ecology 102:1374-1385.
- Ripplinger, J., J. Franklin, and T. C. Edwards. 2015. Legacy effects of no-analogue disturbances
   alter plant community diversity and composition in semi-arid sagebrush steppe. Journal
   of Vegetation Science 26:923-933.
- Rundel, P. W., M. T. Arroyo, R. M. Cowling, J. E. Keeley, B. B. Lamont, and P. Vargas. 2016.
   Mediterranean Biomes: Evolution of their Vegetation, Floras and Climate. Annual
   Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 47.
- Saha, S., A. Catenazzi, and E. S. Menges. 2010. Does time since fire explain plant biomass
  allocation in the Florida, USA, scrub ecosystem. Fire Ecology 6:13-25.
- Scheffer, M., and S. R. Carpenter. 2003. Catastrophic regime shifts in ecosystems: linking theory
   to observation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 18:648-656.
- Schmalzer, P. A. 2003. Growth and recovery of oak saw palmetto scrub through ten years after
   fire. Natural Areas Journal 23:5-13.
- Schmalzer, P. A., and S. Boyle. 1998. Restoring long-unburned oak-mesic flatwoods requires
   mechanical cutting and prescribed burning. Restoration and Management Notes 16:96 97.
- Schmalzer, P. A., and C. R. Hinkle. 1992. Recovery of oak-saw palmetto scrub after fire.
   Castanea:158-173.
- Shao, G., and B. W. Duncan. 2007. Effects of band combinations and GIS masking on fire-scar
  mapping at local scales in east-central Florida, USA. Canadian Journal of Remote
  Sensing 33:250-259.
- Suding, K. N. 2011. Toward an Era of Restoration in Ecology: Successes, Failures, and
  Opportunities Ahead. Pages 465-487 *in* D. J. Futuyma, H. B. Shaffer, and D. Simberloff,
  editors. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, Vol 42.
- Tucker, C. M., and M. W. Cadotte. 2013. Fire variability, as well as frequency, can explain
   coexistence between seeder and resprouter life histories. Journal of Applied Ecology
   50:594-602.
- Turner, M. G. 2010. Disturbance and landscape dynamics in a changing world. Ecology
   91:2833-2849.
- Veran, S., K. J. Kleiner, R. Choquet, J. A. Collazo, and J. D. Nichols. 2012. Modeling habitat
   dynamics accounting for possible misclassification. Landscape Ecology 27:943-956.

# Watson, L. H., T. Kraaij, and P. Novellie. 2011. Management of rare ungulates in a small park: habitat use of bontebok and Cape mountain zebra in Bontebok National Park assessed by counts of dung groups. South African Journal of Wildlife Research 41:158-166.

- 662 White, G. C., W. L. Kendall, and R. J. Barker. 2006. Multistate survival models and their 663 extensions in Program MARK. Journal of Wildlife Management **70**:1521-1529.
- Williams, B. K., M. J. Eaton, and D. R. Breininger. 2011. Adaptive resource management and
   the value of information. Ecological Modelling 222:3429-3436.
- Williams, B. K., J. D. Nichols, and M. J. Conroy. 2002. Analysis and management of animal
   populations, New York.
- Zedler, P. H., C. R. Gautier, and G. S. McMaster. 1983. Vegetation change in response to
   extreme events: the effect of a short interval between fires in California chaparral and
   coastal scrub. Ecology 64:809-818.
- Zuur, A. F., E. N. Ieno, and C. S. Elphick. 2010. A protocol for data exploration to avoid
   common statistical problems. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 1:3-14.
- Zweig, C. L., and W. M. Kitchens. 2014. Reconstructing historical habitat data with predictive
   models. Ecological Applications 24:196-203.

	Transition probab	Transition probabilities ( $\psi$ ) affected by different covariates under top-supported							Model selection results			
Model	models											
Widder	Longest fire		Openings	Openings	Number	Number	Delta	AICc	No.	Deviance		
	interval	SITATILE		× Oak	fires	fires $\times$ Oak	AICc	Weight	parameters	Deviance		
1	$\psi^{ m SM} \psi^{ m TS} \psi^{ m TM}$		$\psi^{ m MT}$		$\psi^{\mathrm{TS}} \psi^{\mathrm{TM}}$		0.00	0.44	45	4420		
2	$\psi^{\text{SM}} \psi^{\text{MS}} \psi^{\text{TS}} \psi^{\text{TM}}$		$\psi^{ m SM}$	$\psi^{ m MS}$		$\psi^{\mathrm{TS}}\psi^{\mathrm{TM}}$	1.39	0.22	50	4411		
3	$\psi^{\text{SM}} \psi^{\text{MS}} \psi^{\text{TS}} \psi^{\text{TM}}$		$\psi^{\mathrm{MT}}$				1.67	0.19	42	4427		
4	$\psi^{\text{SM}} \psi^{\text{MS}} \psi^{\text{TS}} \psi^{\text{TM}}$		$\psi^{ m SM}\psi^{ m MT}$	$\psi^{ m MS}$			3.72	0.07	48	4417		
5	$\psi^{ m SM} \psi^{ m TS} \psi^{ m TM}$	$\psi^{\mathrm{MS}}  \psi^{\mathrm{TS}}  \psi^{\mathrm{TM}}$	$\psi^{\mathrm{MT}}$		$\psi^{\mathrm{TS}} \psi^{\mathrm{TM}}$		5.19	0.03	55	4405		
6	$\psi^{\mathrm{SM}} \psi^{\mathrm{MS}} \psi^{\mathrm{TS}} \psi^{\mathrm{TM}}$		$\psi^{ m SM}\psi^{ m MT}$		$\psi^{\mathrm{TS}} \psi^{\mathrm{TM}}$		5.25	0.03	52	4411		

Table 1. Model selection table for top 6 models (AICc weight > 0.01) of the 40 *a priori* models.

Superscripts refer to particular transition probabilities between states <sup>S</sup> = short, <sup>M</sup> =medium, <sup>T</sup> = tall. All top models included the effects of edge and oak × fire for all  $\psi$ . All top models included a quadratic relationship for time since fire (TSF) in oak for  $\psi^{SM}$ ; the covariate TSF was not supported in flatwoods when predicting  $\psi^{SM}$ . All top models included a linear TSF effect for  $\psi^{MT}$ . The effect

of SPI (standardized precipitation index) applied only to oak for  $\psi^{MS}$ ,  $\psi^{TS}$ ,  $\psi^{TM}$  among the top models. The effect of cutting applied only to  $\psi^{MT}$ ,  $\psi^{TS}$ , and  $\psi^{TM}$  for top models.

Transitions	0.1	0	Lower	Upper	A priori	
(ψ)	$\beta$ description	uption β		CL	prediction	Explanation
$\psi^{ m SM}$	Flatwoods vs oak	-1.12	-1.93	-0.32	-	Agreement, flatwoods more likely to burn extensively
$\psi^{ m SM}$	Edge	0.41	0.12	0.70	+	Agreement, edges reduce fire spread
$\psi^{ m SM \ oak}$	Fire vs no fire	-0.11	-0.77	0.56	-	Agreement (but CI overlapped 0.0), fires reduce height
$\psi^{ m SM}$ flatwoods	Fire vs no fire	-1.56	-2.36	-0.76	-	Agreement, fires reduce height
$\psi^{ m SM \ oak}$	TSF	0.48	0.26	0.70	+	Agreement, growth after fire
$\psi^{ m SMoak}$	TSF squared	-0.02	-0.03	-0.01	-	Agreement, growth rapid immediately after fire than slows
$\psi^{ m SM}$ flatwoods	TSF	1.01	0.66	1.36	+	Agreement, growth after fire
$\psi^{ m SM}$ flatwoods	TSF squared	-0.08	-0.12	-0.05	-	Agreement, growth rapid immediately after fire than slows

Table 2. Comparing estimated regression slopes ( $\beta$ 's) with *a priori* predictions for the best habitat transition model.

$\psi^{ m SM}$	LFI	0.04	0.01	0.07	+	Agreement, longer fire intervals allow faster regrowth
W <sup>MS</sup>	Flatwoods vs	0.05	-1 04	1 14	+	Agreement (but CI overlapped 0.0), we predicted flatwoods
Ψ	oak	0.05	1.01	1.1 1		more likely to burn extensively
$\psi^{\mathrm{MSoak}}$	Edge	-0.78	-1.22	-0.35	-	Agreement, edges reduce fire spread
$\psi^{\mathrm{MSoak}}$	Fire vs no fire	3.38	2.51	4.24	+	Agreement, fires reduce height
$\psi^{ m MSflatwood}$	Fire ve no fire	2 7 2	2.06	4 40		Agragment first reduce height
S	File vs lio life	5.72	2.90	4.49	+	Agreement, mes reduce height
$\psi^{\mathrm{MSoak}}$	SPI	-1.17	-1.79	-0.54	-	Agreement, fire spread increases in drought for oak
$\psi^{ m MSoak}$	SPI squared	-1.49	-2.04	-0.95	-	Agreement, no prescribed fire in extreme drought
MT	Flatwoods vs 0.06 oak	0.06	-0.27	0.39	+	Agreement (but CI overlapped 0.0), we predicted flatwoods
Ψ		0.00	0.27			recovers faster than oak
$\psi^{ m MT}$	Edge	1.07	0.74	1.39	+	Agreement, edges reduce fire spread
$\psi^{ m MToak}$	Fire vs no fire	-0.58	-1.11	-0.05	-	Agreement, fires reduce height
$\Psi^{\rm MT}$						
flatwoods	Fire vs no fire	-0.24	-0.80	0.31	-	Agreement (but CI overlapped 0.0), fires reduce height
$\psi^{ m MT}$	TSF	0.06	0.01	0.11	+	Agreement, growth after fire
$\psi^{ m MT}$	Cutting	-2.09	-4.07	-0.11	-	Agreement, mechanical cutting decreases height

$\psi^{ m MT \ oak}$	Openings	-1.46	-1.94	-0.97	+ and -	Clarification, open areas suggest slow shrub recovery
w <sup>TS</sup>	Flatwoods vs	0.91	-0.49	2 30	+	Agreement (but CI overlapped 0.0), we predicted flatwoods
Ψ	oak	0.91	-0.47	2.30		more likely to burn extensively
$\psi^{ ext{TS oak}}$	Edge	-1.36	-1.83	-0.90	-	Agreement, edges reduce fire spread
$\psi^{ ext{TS oak}}$	Fire vs no fire	3.94	2.67	5.22	+	Agreement, fires reduce height
$\psi^{\mathrm{TS \ flatwoods}}$	Fire vs no fire	3.42	2.73	4.11	+	Agreement, fires reduce height
$\psi^{ ext{TS oak}}$	Cutting	2.19	1.63	2.75	+	Agreement, mechanical cutting decreases height
$\psi^{ ext{TS oak}}$	SPI	-1.07	-1.89	-0.25	-	Agreement, fire spread increases in drought
$\psi^{ ext{TS oak}}$	SPI squared	-1.12	-1.72	-0.51	-	Agreement, no prescribed fire in extreme drought
<sub>W</sub> TS	Number fires	0.17	0.43	0.00		Disagreement (but CI overlapped 0.0), we predicted more fires
Ψ	Inumber mes	-0.17	-0.43	0.09	-	increase recovery
w <sup>TS</sup>	I FI	-0.03	-0.07	0.01	_	Agreement (but CI overlapped 0.0), longer fire intervals slow
Ψ		-0.03	-0.07	0.01	-	restoration to earlier states
w <sup>TM</sup>	Flatwoods vs	1.50	0.21	2 21		Agreement (but CI overlapped 0.0), we predicted flatwoods
Ψ	oak	1.50	-0.21	3.21	Ŧ	more likely to burn extensively
$\psi^{ ext{TM oak}}$	Edge	-0.71	-1.21	-0.21	-	Agreement, edges reduce fire spread
$\psi^{\mathrm{TM \ oak}}$	Fire vs no fire	4.50	3.08	5.92	+	Agreement, fires reduce height

$\psi^{\mathrm{TM}}$ flatwoods	Fire vs no fire	3.48	2.55	4.42	+	Agreement, fires reduce height
$\psi^{ ext{TM}}$	Number fires	-0.25	-0.51	0.01	-	Disagreement (but CI overlapped 0.0), we predicted more fires increase transitions to earlier successional states
$\psi^{ ext{TM}}$	LFI	-0.09	-0.13	-0.04	-	Agreement, longer fire intervals slow restoration to earlier states
$\psi^{ ext{TM}}$	Cutting	0.62	-0.08	1.32	+	Agreement (but CI overlapped 0.0), mechanical cutting decreases height
$\psi^{\mathrm{TM \ oak}}$	SPI	-0.87	-1.54	-0.20	-	Agreement, fire spread increases in drought
$\psi^{ ext{TM oak}}$	SPI squared	-0.01	-0.52	0.50	-	Agreement, droughts have biggest effect

----

Transition probability superscripts were SM for short to medium, MS for medium to short, MT for medium to tall, TS for tall to short, TM for tall to medium. Superscripts that included oak had a  $\beta$  specific to oak, superscripts that included flatwoods had a  $\beta$  specific to flatwoods and superscripts without oak or flatwoods had a single  $\beta$  for both oak and flatwoods transitions. Abbreviations TSF = Time-since-fire, LFI = longest fire interval, SPI = standardized precipitation index. Nonlinear relationships were represented by a squared term (quadratic).

year	short-medium	medium-short	medium-tall	tall-short	tall-medium
scrub					
2004-2005	0.57(0.45-0.68)	n/a	0.18(0.12-0.26)	0.00(0.00-0.03)	0.01(0-0.04)
2005-2006	0.71(0.53-0.84)	0.04(0.02-0.09)	0.29(0.22-0.37)	0.01(0.00-0.04)	n/a
2006-2007	0.22(0.09-0.46)	n/a	0.09(0.05-0.16)	0.00(0.00-0.03)	0.01(0.01-0.04)
2007-2008	0.40(0.19-0.65)	0.28(0.21-0.37)	0.03(0.01-0.08)	0.08(0.05-0.11)	0.03(0.01-0.05)
2008-2009	0.19(0.11-0.31)	0.02(0.01-0.08)	0.05(0.02-0.12)	0.01(0-0.03)	0.03(0.01-0.06)
2009-2010	0.13(0.06-0.25)	0.01(0.00-0.07)	0.04(0.01-0.1)	n/a	n/a
2010-2011	0.48(0.34-0.62)	0.05(0.02-0.11)	0.15(0.09-0.23)	0.04(0.02-0.08)	0.03(0.02-0.06)
2011-2012	0.46(0.32-0.61)	0.06(0.03-0.12)	0.07(0.03-0.13)	0.03(0.02-0.06)	0.14(0.11-0.19)
2012-2013	0.24(0.13-0.41)	0.08(0.05-0.14)	0.02(0.01-0.06)	n/a	0.00(0.00-0.03)
2013-2014	0.12(0.05-0.26)	n/a	0.02(0.01-0.06)	n/a	n/a
2014-2015	0.17(0.08-0.32)	0.01(0.00-0.05)	0.07(0.04-0.12)	n/a	0.01(0-0.04)
flatwoods					
2004-2005	0.54(0.66-0.54)	0.09(0.04-0.20)	0.31(0.21-0.45)	0.08(0.05-0.13)	0.01(0-0.05)

Table 3. Annual transition probability estimates (95% CI).

2005-2006	0.38(0.26-0.52)	0.10(0.05-0.19)	0.31(0.21-0.42)	0.01(0.00-0.04)	n/a
2006-2007	0.23(0.12-0.39)	0.05(0.02-0.14)	0.21(0.13-0.33)	0.03(0.01-0.06)	n/a
2007-2008	0.16(0.07-0.31)	0.16(0.09-0.29)	0.11(0.05-0.22)	0.07(0.04-0.12)	0.01(0.00-0.04)
2008-2009	0.15(0.07-0.26)	0.15(0.07-0.28)	0.06(0.02-0.18)	0.03(0.01-0.06)	0.04(0.02-0.08)
2009-2010	0.12(0.06-0.23)	n/a	0.02(0.00-0.12)	n/a	n/a
2010-2011	0.44(0.31-0.58)	0.02(0.00-0.11)	0.12(0.06-0.23)	0.01(0.00-0.04)	0.01(0.00-0.04)
2011-2012	0.25(0.13-0.43)	0.17(0.10-0.28)	0.03(0.01-0.1)	0.12(0.08-0.18)	0.10(0.06-0.15)
2012-2013	0.10(0.05-0.21)	0.22(0.15-0.32)	0.01(0.00-0.08)	0.04(0.02-0.09)	0.07(0.04-0.12)
2013-2014	0.08(0.03-0.16)	n/a	0.07(0.03-0.15)	n/a	n/a
2014-2015	0.25(0.16-0.36)	0.11(0.06-0.2)	0.11(0.06-0.20)	0.08(0.05-0.14)	0.07(0.04-0.13)

n/a = annual transitions that did not occur

Figure 1. Habitat quality states and transition probabilities ( $\psi$ ) where superscripts sequentially

indicate transitions between particular states.

Figure 2. Map of habitat states for 2015. Background grey represents land and white represents water.

Figure 3. Annual habitat state abundances for (a) scrub, (b) flatwoods.

Figure 4. Trends in management effort during periods with available data (a) fire and (b) mechanical cutting.











a

