



# Performance of the Center-of-Curvature Optical Assembly during Cryogenic Testing of the James Webb Space Telescope

James Hadaway – University of Alabama in Huntsville
Conrad Wells, Gene Olczak, Tony Whitman, Joseph Cosentino,
Michael Zarella, & Mark Connolly – Harris Corp.

Mark Waldman – Sigma Space Corp.

David Chaney – Ball Aerospace & Technologies Corp.

Randal Telfer – Space Telescope Science Institute

SPIE Astronomical Telescopes + Instrumentation
June 10, 2018






- The James Webb Space Telescope
- JWST Cryogenic Test Overview
- Primary Mirror Testing Overview
- The Center-of-Curvature Optical Assembly
- PM Alignment
  - Global PM Alignment
  - PM Segment Alignment & Phasing
- PM Measurement Results
  - PM 1g WFE
  - Measured vs Predicted 1g WFE
  - Estimated 0g WFE
  - PM ROC, Conic, & Collecting Area
- Summary & Conclusions



### The James Webb Space Telescope (JWST)






- Near & mid IR
- 3-mirror anastigmat
- Orbit around L2
- NASA, ESA, & CSA
- 6.6 m diameter PM
- 18 segments
- Beryllium w/ gold coating
- 6-DOF & ROC each seg
- 32-59 K operational temp



## **JWST Cryogenic Test Overview**



### **Chamber A at JSC**






## **JWST in Chamber A at JSC**





## **Optical Layout for JWST Cryogenic Test**





### **PM Alignment & Measurement**


- Photogrammetry (PG) for global positioning.
- Center-of-curvature optical assembly (COCOA) for PM alignment & wavefront error (WFE) measurment.
  - Alignment cameras for initial capture.
  - Multi-wavelength interferometer (MWIF) & reflective null for final alignment & PM WFE measurement.
  - Computer-generated-hologram (CGH) for interferometer/null WFE calibration.
  - Displacement measuring interferometers (DMIs) to monitor axial change during thermal distortion test.
- Fiducial lights around PM for initial alignment.
- Absolute distance meter assembly (ADMA) for axial distance/ROC.



## **PM Layout for JWST Cryogenic Test**









### **PM Alignment & WFE Measurement Overview**



### Objectives:

- Align PM Segment Assemblies (PMSAs) into a phased PM, with proper ROC & conic constant, and align phased PM globally to fixed Aft Optical System (AOS).
- Measure phased PM WFE, ROC, conic constant, & collecting area in 1g test environment.
- Realign & measure WFE as required to support other testing, such as PM Thermal Distortion
   Figure Drift Tests and Pass-and-a-Half testing.

#### Phased PM measurement results used to:


- Compare measured 1g PM WFE to prediction.
- Estimate 0g PM WFE.
- Check measured 1g PM ROC & conic constant deltas from nominal to expected uncertainties.

Determine PM collecting area and compare to prediction.



## **The Center-of-Curvature Optical Assembly**





Coarse

Alignment Subsystem (CASS) Hologram




### **PM Alignment Sequence**



- 1. Align outer PMSAs to AOS using PM.
  - Only outer PMSAs capable of holding PG targets.
- 2. Align COCOA to outer PMSAs.
- 3. Align PMSAs in tilt using Coarse Alignment Subsystem.
  - To within range of Fine Alignment Subsystem.
- 4. Align PMSAs in tilt using Fine Alignment Subsystem.
  - To within range of interferometer (i.e. get fringes).
- 5. Align & phase PMSAs using Multi-Wavelength Interferometer.
  - Correct PMSA piston errors progressively via step-down process through incremental "synthetic wavelengths" from 15 mm to 17 um.
  - Align PMSAs in tilt, radial decenter, clocking, & ROC to minimize total PM WFE.
  - Adjust COCOA in decenter to minimize PM tilt and pointing to minimize PM coma. Maintain axial distance with ADMA.
- 6. Iterate as required.











# Excellent final PMSA alignment & phasing results:

Piston: 118 nm-PV (34 nm-RMS),
 meeting requirement of ≤150 nm-PV


Tilt: ≤83 nrad

Decenter: ≤816 um

Clocking: ≤683 urad

– Power/ROC: ≤10 nm-PV

### **Good PM WFE convergence:**





## **PM Global Alignment Results**



### PM global alignment results from PG after final PMSA alignment & phasing:

	Measured	Tolerance
Piston (mm)	-0.016	±0.084
Decenter (mm)	0.451	±0.030
Clocking (mrad)	-0.036	±0.697
Tilt (mrad)	0.065	±0.079

- During alignment of PMSAs using COCOA, PM was inadvertently misaligned in global decenter.
  - Cause determined to be error in settings within code used for calculations of PMSA & COCOA alignment moves from measured PM WFE.
  - Error led to incorrect COCOA pointing, resulting in global decenter of PMSAs/PM to realign to COCOA.
  - Could have corrected misalignment. But team determined that alignment was acceptable, since amount of misalignment was known.


And ability to globally align PM to within all tolerances demonstrated during late cool-down.



### **Final PM WFE Measurement Results**







- Excellent PM WFE achieved, with low segment-level astigmatism.
- 183 nm-rms achieved close to theoretical minimum of 158 nm-rms.
- Unusual, uncorrectable deformation noticed on PMSA at far right.
  - Determined to be from hang-up of PMSA PG target on edge closeout not flight issue.



# Final PM ROC & Conic and Collecting Area Measurement Results



- PM ROC & conic results both met requirements.
- See Poster 10698-136, "Setting the James Webb Space Telescope primary mirror radius-of-curvature and conic constant during cryogenic testing", by Joseph Cosentino for further details.


Parameter	Measured Value	Delta from Nominal	Estimated Uncertainty	Required Uncertainty
ROC (mm)	15,879.209	-0.013	±0.350	±0.400
Conic	-0.996692	-32 ppm	±21 ppm	±200 ppm

- Predicted PM collecting area, as viewed from center-of-curvature, was 25.054 m<sup>2</sup> with an uncertainty of +4.3% / -4.2%.
- Measured area of 25.411 m<sup>2</sup> matched predicted area to 1.4%, well within prediction uncertainty and below measurement uncertainty requirement of ≤5%.



## **Measured-minus-Predicted PM 1g WFE**





Result of 25.8 nm-rms within estimated prediction/measurement/registration uncertainty of 26 nm-rms. One PMSA not shown due to deformation discussed above.




## **Estimated vs Predicted Og PM WFE**



Prediction 39 nm-rms

-20 

Estimate 37 nm-rms



Prediction from 0g WFE of each PMSA from cryogenic acceptance testing.

Estimate from removal of 1g deformations from JWST cryogenic test measurement.

Excellent visual & magnitude correlation.



## **Summary & Conclusions**



### All test objectives accomplished.

- Aligned PMSAs into phased PM, with proper ROC & conic constant.
- Measured phased PM WFE, ROC, conic constant, & collecting area.
- Aligned PM globally to AOS.
- Aligned PM sufficiently for all other testing.

### All test requirements met.

- Measured 1g PM WFE matched prediction to within tolerance.
- Estimated 0g PM WFE, with excellent correlation to prediction.
- Measured PM ROC & conic constant within required uncertainties.
- Measured PM collecting area matched prediction to within tolerance.