Initial Approach to Collect Small Unmanned Aircraft System Off-nominal Operational Situations Data

Jaewoo Jung* NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035

> Charles R. Drew[†] Wyle Labs, Moffett Field, CA 94035

Sreeja Nag[‡]
Bay Area Environmental Research Institute, Moffett Field, CA 94035

Edgar O. Torres[§] Science Application International Corp., Moffett Field, CA 94035

Abraham K. Ishihara**, Minh Do^{††}
Stinger Ghaffarian Technologies, Inc. Moffett Field, CA 94035

and Hemil C. Modi^{‡‡} Science and Technology Corp., Moffett Field, CA 94035

NASA is developing the Unmanned Aircraft System Traffic Management research platform to safely integrate small unmanned aircraft operations in large-scale at low-altitudes. As a part of this effort, small unmanned aircraft system off-nominal operational situations data collection process has been developed to take lessons learned and to reinforce operational compliance. In this paper, descriptions of variables used for digital data collection and an online report form for collection of observational data from the operators (contextual data) are provided. They are used to collect off-nominal data from the Unmanned Aircraft System Traffic Management National Campaign in 2017. The digital data show that 2 out of 118 campaign operations (1.7%) encountered loss of navigation. Since the campaign aircraft used Global Positioning System for navigation, it is likely that unobstructed view of the sky at the campaign locations contributed to this small number. Also, 4 out of 47 operations (8.5%) encountered loss of communications. A relatively short distance between ground control system and aircraft, ranging from 2300 feet to 4200 feet, likely contributed to this small number. There was no data to identify the loss of communications condition, aircraft received signal strength, for the remaining 71 operations suggesting that some operators may not be monitoring unmanned aircraft communications system performance or monitoring it with different parameters. For the contextual data, due to the low number of total reports during the campaign, no significant trends emerged. This is an initial attempt to collect contextual data from small unmanned aircraft operators about off-nominal situations, and changes will be made to the future data collection to improve the amount and quality of the information.

** Research Scientist, Mail Stop 210-6. Member, AIAA.

1

^{*} Aerospace Technologist, Aerospace High Density Operations Branch, Mail Stop 210-6. Senior Member, AIAA.

[†] Captain, ASRS Program Manager (ret.), NASA Mission Specialist, UTM, Mail Stop 210-6.

[‡] Research Engineer, Mail Stop 210-6. Member, AIAA.

[§] UTM Engineer, Mail Stop 210-15.

^{††} Senior Research Scientist, Planning and Scheduling Group, Mail Stop 269-3.

^{‡‡} Aerospace Research Scientist, Mail Stop 245-3.

I. Introduction

As of December 2016, there were more than 670,000 registered small Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) in the United States, 626,000 as hobbyists^{§§} and 44,000 as commercial. These numbers are expected to grow rapidly over the coming years [1]. Operations of these aircraft beyond hobby or recreation in the U.S. is currently regulated by Federal Aviation Regulation part 107 (Part 107), and numerous Visual Line of Sight (VLOS) applications including aerial photography, real estate, construction, industrial and utility inspection and agriculture are enabled under this regulation [2]. Operations beyond what is allowed under Part 107, such as Beyond Visual Line of Sight (BVLOS) operations, operations over people, and night operations, are enabled through the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)'s waiver process [3]. Whereas the FAA strives to review and issue decisions within 90 days in this process, a limited number of waivers are granted, as there is no established infrastructure to integrate large-scale beyond-Part 107 operations into the National Airspace System (NAS) without endangering people or property on the ground or in the air.

To safely and efficiently integrate the full gamut of small UAS operations at low altitudes, NASA has developed the UAS Traffic Management platform [4, 5] to research and develop promising technologies and data exchange protocols to support routine and widespread execution of present and future envisioned applications such as urban area package delivery and BVLOS infrastructure inspection, and also to perform conceptual and technical research that can be transferred to the FAA in the form of airspace integration requirements for further testing. For this transfer, NASA and the FAA formed the UTM Research Transition Team (RTT) with goals to research and mature increasingly complex UTM operational scenarios and technologies; demonstrate those capabilities on the NASA UTM research platform; and deliver to the FAA technology transfer packages that enable NAS service expectations for low-altitude airspace operations by providing insight and capability requirements for critical services [6].

Given the wide technological areas and operating environments that the UTM RTT is addressing, the team has been divided into four focus subgroups, Concepts and Use Cases (CWG); Data Exchange and Information Architecture (DWG); Sense and Avoid (SAA); and Communications and Navigation (C&N). The CWG is providing the conceptual framework, scenarios, and use cases for the other subgroups to explore. The DWG works to identify, develop, and test expected data exchanges and architectural implications and challenges. The SAA and C&N work to identify and evaluate key performance and operations challenges and constraints. Outputs from the DWG, the SAA, and the C&N subgroups in turn inform the CWG to progressively elaborate the UTM concept of operations.

This paper describes a part of C&N activities where the small UAS off-nominal operational situations data collection process has been developed to gain lessons learned, and to reinforce operational compliance to ensure that the Unmanned Aircraft (UA) is under operational control of the pilot and remains within a defined area. Specifically, descriptions of variables for digital data collection and an online report form for collection of contextual data, defined in this paper as observational data from the UAS operators, are provided. These variables and form were used to collect off-nominal data from the UTM National Campaign in 2017, and two off-nominal operational events, loss of navigation and loss of command and control (C2) link are examined using the digital data. Additionally, contextual reports collected via the online form are used to investigate circumstances of the off-nominal events and actions taken by the operators. The paper is concluded with discussion on limitations found from this initial off-nominal data collection approach and the next steps.

II. Brief Description of UTM TCL2 National Campaign

In 2017, NASA contracted with six FAA UAS Test Sites [7] to demonstrate the UTM capability at that time, Technical Capability Level 2 (TCL2), that supports VLOS and BVLOS operations over sparsely populated land and where there are few manned aircraft in close proximity to the UA operations area. The goals of this demonstration, known as the UTM TCL2 National Campaign (NC II), are to test scenarios across a wide range of UAS platforms and locations across the U.S., and to utilize then-recently-developed UAS Service Supplier (USS) and Flight Information Management System (FIMS) architecture to validate further the scalability of the UTM concept and architecture. To achieve these goals, NASA guided the Test Sites to design and execute flight operations to meet one or more of the following objectives.

- 1. Conduct operations with a TCL 2-compatible USS that was not developed by NASA
- 2. Conduct operations to test and determine information requirements between the components of the UTM platform
- 3. Test USS and human operator reactions to simulated Air Navigation Service Provider constraints and directives
- 4. Test and determine weather service information requirements

-

^{§§} Owner-hobbyist can register once and apply the same registration number to multiple aircraft. Therefore, there can be more UAS than the number of registrations.

- 5. Test surveillance services
- 6. Test and evaluate scheduling and planning capabilities
- 7. Evaluate best practices for ground-based sense and avoid
- 8. Evaluate geofencing and conformance monitoring capabilities
- 9. Gather data to develop communication and navigation guidance to industry to ensure that 1) UA are under operational control of the pilot, and 2) UA remain within a defined area
- 10. Evaluate human factors requirements related to data creation and display
- 11. Demonstrate a BVLOS package delivery while other aircraft are operating nearby.

The NC II flight operations took place from May 15th to June 9th, and data from these operations were captured for analysis. The participating FAA test sites, test locations, test range descriptions, vehicle types and USS providers are shown in Table 1. Appendix A shows the FIMS-USS architecture used in the NC II. Further information about the NC II, such as test scenarios for each test site and discussion on measure of performance, can be found in [8].

Table 1. UTM National Campaign Test Sites

FAA Test Site	Test Range Location	Range Description	Vehicle Type	USS Provider
Alaska	Three locations at the Poker Flat Research Range, and the 4 th at Fairbanks.	The test area is sub-arctic with scrub and trees. The Fairbanks location is an urban area.	1 helicopter 3 quadcopter 2 octocopter	Simulyze
North Dakota	11 miles south of Grand Forks, ND and 1.5 miles east of Thompson, ND	Farmland with an above-ground powerline.	1 hybrid 4 fixed wing 2 hexacopter 1 simulated	Simulyze
Nevada	Reno-Stead Airport	Much of the range was used for the TCL 2 Demonstration in October 2016. The range was extended north to accommodate long range flights.	4 fixed wing 2 quadcopter 1 hexacopter 1 octocopter	AirMap, Amazon
New York	Griffiss International Airport	Lies within Griffiss Class D airspace. Includes an area of about 220 acres where airborne UAS can gather to avoid manned traffic.	1 quadcopter 1 hexacopter 1 octocopter 1 hybrid	NASA
Texas	Laguna Test Range in Port Mansfield, TX	Coastal range including Charles R. Johnson Airfield. Flights were conducted over both ground and water (i.e., the Gulf of Mexico).	2 quadcopter 2 fixed wing	NASA
Virginia	Kentland Farm Agricultural Research Center, Blacksburg, VA	Owned by Virginia Tech. 1,800 acres in size, bordered on the SW by the New River. Includes an asphalt airstrip.	1 fixed wing 2 quadcopter	Google, ANRA

III. Description of Off-nominal Data Collection Approaches

A. Variables for Digital Off-nominal Data Collection

The NC II Data Management Plan (DMP) consists of four data sets; Aircraft Flight Plan; UAS specifications; UAS State; and Auxiliary UAS Operation. Several variables were added to the last three sets to collect off-nominal operational situation data.

1. Aircraft Flight Plan

The first set of the data compendium is an Aircraft Flight Plan, which specifies the date and time of the flight, UAS Vehicle Identification Number (UVIN), which is the vehicle identification number for a single aircraft that is obtained from a prototype NASA USS, Globally Unique Flight Identifier (GUFI), which is the individual flight identification number generated by a USS, waypoint location (Latitude/Longitude/Altitude), target air and ground speeds, hover time and a timestamp based on the Global Positioning System (GPS) week/second standard. Additionally, an "as run" version of a flight test card or procedure was used by the test participant during the execution of the test for archiving and analysis.

2. UAS Specifications

Specifications for each UAS used in the flight test are collected in this set. These include UAS maker/model, UVIN, and aircraft characteristics such as dimensions, and performance and Ground Control System (GCS)

information. For off-nominal data collection, variables to capture communication system sensitivity, communications protocol, navigation system, navigation solution errors and others are added to this set.

3. UAS State

UAS State data refers to time-dependent data taken during flight. Each data point is tagged with UVIN, GUFI and the date & time of the flight in GPS time. Collected data includes real-time position (Latitude/Longitude/Altitude) and velocity of the vehicle, sensor information, attitude, motor conditions, actuator commands, battery status, target waypoints and aircraft airborne state. For off-nominal operation situation analysis, types of data collected by the Aviation Safety Information Analysis and Sharing (ASIAS) system [10, 11] and UAS operation specific data types, such as C2 link Received Signal Strength Indicator (RSSI) measured at aircraft and GCS, are added to this set.

4. Auxiliary UAS Operation

This set encompassed all the time-independent data that was not part of the Flight Plan or uniquely related to the UAS Specifications. Operators provided UVIN, GUFI, type of operation (e.g. "live" or "simulated"), airframe, flight test card, takeoff weight and location, and landing location. For off-nominal data collection, GCS location variables are added to this set.

A NASA-hosted website*** was created to intake the DMP-compliance data. Appendix B shows all DMP variables that were used to collect data from the NC II operations, where off-nominal data variables' names are highlighted in **bold typeface**.

B. Online Report Form for Contextual Data

To collect contextual data, an online form was developed based on a general report form used by the Aviation Safety Reporting System (ASRS) [11-13]. ASRS uses its form to gather details and background information about commercial Aviation incidents and accidents, and it was determined that a similar form can be used to gather information about small UAS off-nominal operational situations. Appendix C shows the questions in the NC II online form. During the NC II, UAS operators were asked to complete the form if any of the following events occurred: a loss or degradation of communication between the vehicle and the GCS; a loss or degradation of any navigation signal, or any other navigation system failure; any lateral or vertical excursion from planned flight geography; and any loss of vehicle control, including propulsion system, flight control system, or vehicle structural problems or failures. Completing the form was entirely voluntary.

IV. The NC II Off-Nominal Data Analysis Results

More than 1700 operation plans were submitted in real-time to the USS during the NC II. Since these plans included ground tests and shakedown flights, significant filtering effort involving manual and automated processes were made to identify118 data collection flights. The off-nominal situation investigation was limited to these flights. The collected digital data were used to investigate loss of navigation and loss of C2 link off-nominal situations. The collected contextual data was evaluated by an ASRS Subject Matter Expert to better understand off-nominal situations.

A. Loss of Navigation

From the NC II digital data, the number of GPS satellites tracked by the GPS receiver in the navigation system onboard UA, represented as a variable "numGpsSat_nonDim," is used to reflect the loss of navigation off-nominal operational situation. This off-nominal situation is detected when the aircraft navigation system tracks six or fewer satellites for ten seconds or more. The six-satellite threshold number was selected, as lower than the baseline for ideal operating conditions for GPS-based navigation in open area with an unobstructed view of the sky. The threshold time of ten seconds was selected based on the UAS community input. The data show that only 2 out of 118 campaign operations (1.7%) encountered loss of navigation. Since the campaign aircraft used GPS for navigation, it is likely that the unobstructed view of the sky at the campaign locations, described in Table 1, contributed to this small incidence of loss of navigation. It is expected that operations in areas where line of sight to GPS satellites can be easily blocked, such as an urban canyon, would experience an increase in loss of navigation if GPS is the sole means of navigation and the same formula is used to detect loss of navigation. To address this issue, for operations in urban area UA should be equipped with navigation systems that can rely on other sensors, such as Light Detection and Ranging (Lidar) and radar, to cope with lost line of sight to GPS satellites.

B. Loss of Command and Control Link

^{***} http://utmregistry.arc.nasa.gov/ requires a credential from NASA to access.

The C2 radio link RSSI measured at the aircraft in dBm, represented as a variable "c2RssiAircraft_dBm," is used to reflect the loss of C2 link. This off-nominal situation is detected when the RSSI remains at or below -90dBm for more than 10 seconds. A value of -90dBm is a typical radio-received sensitivity derived from UAS specifications. The data shows that 4 out of these 47 operations (8.5%) encountered actual loss of C2 link. This indicates that the communications systems used in the NC II, such as 900MHz and 2.4GHz radios, provided sufficient performance to cover relatively short distance between the GCS and aircraft, ranging from 2300 feet to 4200 feet. Also, unobstructed radio line of sight between UA and the GCS likely contributed to this small number of incidence of loss of C2 link.

Data necessary to identify loss of C2 link situation was only received for 47 out of 118 operations (39.8%). This lack of data for the remaining 71 operations suggests that some operators may not be monitoring communications system performance during operation, may not be aware of which variables to monitor, or may be monitoring communications system performance with a variable different from the C2 RSSI received by the aircraft. To address the first two conditions, further engagement with the UAS operator community is needed to encourage communications system performance monitoring. To address the last condition, developing a de-facto standard to monitor communications systems is needed.

C. Contextual Data

From the NC II, 15 non-duplicative reports of contextual data were received via online form in response to various events. Of these, 9 originated from the Remote-Control Pilot, 4 from the GCS Operator, 1 from a Mission Manager and 1 from a Flight Engineer. Table 2 provides Event Type and Counts. Note that multiple responses were permitted, thus respondents could provide more than 1 response to a single event; 4 reports represented more than 1 event type. Table 3 summarizes the Type of Loss of Control encountered. Table 4 summarizes the Action Taken and Result.

Table 2. Events and Counts

Event	Count
Other navigation system failure	4
Loss/Degradation of GCS to vehicle communication	3
Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication	3
GPS or other navigation system signal loss/degradation	2
Vertical Deviation from flight geography	2
Lateral Deviation from flight geography	0
Total	14

Table 3. Type of Loss of Control

Type of Loss of Control	Count
Other (please specify)	7
Flight Control System failure	3
Motor failure	1
Power (battery) failure	0
Propeller Failure	0
Structural failure	0
Total	11

Table 4. Action Taken and Result

Action Taken	Count
Other (please specify)	5
Land In Place	3
Return To Base (Return To Launch Site)	2
Terminate	2
Vehicle impacted terrain	1
Flight Returned to Planned Track or Heading	0
Loiter	0
None	0
Regained Aircraft Control	0
Regained Communication	0
Regained Navigation Capability	0
Return to flight geography (authorized airspace)	0
Vehicle lost	0
Total	13

Of the 14 contextual reports of off-nominal conditions that included wind-direction and speed data, only 3 were 10 knots or above, specifically 10, 11, and 12 knots, and all speed values were within the performance envelope of the associated UA. Therefore, wind velocity was not considered a significant factor in these incidents. Of the 13 reports providing density altitude data, 2 reports stated that density altitude was "unknown" and one report indicated density altitude in excess of 6,000 feet MSL. The remainder reported density altitude between 504 feet to 2696 feet. From this data, it was determined that density altitude did not play a significant role in precipitating off-nominal failures specified here. The distribution of events among aircraft types was unremarkable, and no question responses or categories indicated an unusual distribution of event or results. Due to the low number of reports, no significant trends emerged. With the small amount of available data, it is uncertain what might be potential underlying common contributors to off-nominal situations. The test team has identified a number of potential improvements to the report form to gain additional insights on failure conditions. For example, the future form will display different sets of questions to match operator role (Pilot in command, GCS operator, visual observer, etc.). Also, questions that were deemed too specific, such as the version of autopilot software and GCS software, will be removed.

V. Conclusion

The objective for the NASA-FAA UTM RTT C&N subgroup is to explore operator solutions to ensure that UA are under operational control of the pilot and remain within a defined area. To support this exploration, off-nominal operational situation data, both digital and contextual, were collected in one of the UTM flight test events in 2017, the NC II, which involved the six FAA UAS test sites. The collected data were analyzed to inform future data collection improvements and to reinforce operational compliance. Whereas loss of navigation and loss of C2 link off-nominal situations were investigated with the collected digital data, significant filtering effort were necessary to identify correct set of data for the analysis. In addition, due to the low number of contextual off-nominal reports from the NC II, no significant findings trends were identified. For future testing, connecting findings from the digital data to contextual data to further increase insights into off-nominal operational situations has been suggested by safety experts. To realize this connection, improved mechanisms to gather, filter and validate digital data is being developed. Also, changes will be made to the future contextual data collection form to improve the amount and quality of the information. The next application of these improved evaluations of UA off-nominal conditions is planned for the next National Campaign in early 2018.

UTM **UTM Architecture** / Development Deployment Deployment Supplemental Data Service Provider coordination NAS Data Sources Flight WAS **I**nformation Service Management Common data from FAA available to UTM components based on Supplier System existing access Operations **Public** Constraints Safety Modifications Notifications Airspace Public System UAS UAS Operato Operato Operator Color Key ANSP Function Operator Function and components Discovery Registration Data/Services UAS shared or TBD Authentication/Authorization

Appendix A: FIMS-USS Architecture in the TCL2 UTM Research Platform

Appendix B: The NC II DMP Elements

Aircraft Flight Plan

Variable Name	Type	Description
uvin	STRING	Version 4 UUID obtained from prototype NASA USS
gufi	STRING	GUFI from the USS for this flight
wpSequenceNum_nonDim	INTEGER	Waypoint sequence number (i.e., sequence of waypoint for the aircraft to fly to). Start with "0". If no waypoints are available, use a single "-1".
wpType_nonDim	INTEGER	Enter 1 for fly-over type, and 0 for fly-by type ^{†††}
wpLat deg	FLOAT	Waypoint latitude (dec. degree), specify at least seven decimal degrees
wpLon_deg	FLOAT	Waypoint longitude (dec. degree), specify at least seven decimal degrees
wpAlt_ft	FLOAT	Waypoint altitude, WGS-84 (ft)
wpTargetGroundSpeed_ftPerSec	FLOAT	Target ground speed at waypoint (ft/s)
wpTargetAirSpeed_ftPerSec	FLOAT	Target airspeed at waypoint (ft/s)
hoverTime_sec	FLOAT	Time hovering at waypoint (if applicable) (sec). Include 3 decimal places
		of precision.
wpGpsWeek wk	INTEGER	GPS Week arrival time at intended waypoint (if known)
wpGpsSec_sec	FLOAT	GPS SOW (seconds of week) arrival time at intended waypoint (if known).
		Include 3 decimal places of precision.

UAS Specifications

Variable Name	Type	Description
uvin	STRING	Version 4 UUID obtained from prototype NASA USS
aircraftReceiverSensitivity_dBm	FLOAT	Sensitivity of aircraft C2 receiver (dBm)
gcsReceiverSensitivity_dBm	FLOAT	Sensitivity of GCS receiver (dBm)
frequency_hz	FLOAT	The center frequency (Hz)
bandwidth_hz	FLOAT	Bandwidth (Hz)
protocol	STRING	e.g., MavLink, TCP/IP
lateralNavPositionError95Prct_ft	FLOAT	95% navigation system lateral error (ft)
verticalNavPositionError95Prct_ft	FLOAT	95% navigation system vertical error (ft)
lateralNavVelocityError95Prct ftPerSec	FLOAT	95% navigation system lateral velocity error (ft/s)
verticalNavVelocityError95Prct_ftPerSec	FLOAT	95% navigation system vertical velocity error (ft/s)
maxTakeoffWeightWithFuel_lb	FLOAT	This is the empty weight of the vehicle plus energy source (batteries or fuel) and the maximum payload the vehicle can carry (lbs)
numRotors_nonDim	INTEGER	If vehicle is a multirotor or propeller driven aircraft, indicate the number of rotors
serviceCeiling_ft	INTEGER	Maximum altitude (MSL) that aircraft can nominally operate (ft)
maxEndurance_sec	FLOAT	This value corresponds to the endurance at maximum payload for the given battery/power source(s) used by this vehicle (sec). Include 3 decimal places of precision.
maxRange_ft	FLOAT	This value corresponds to the range at maximum payload for the given battery/power source(s) used by this vehicle (ft)
maxAirspeed ftPerSec	FLOAT	(ft/s)
cruiseSpeed_ftPerSec	FLOAT	(ft/s)
maxRateOfAscent_ftPerSec	FLOAT	(ft/s)
maxRateOfDescent_ftPerSec	FLOAT	(ft/s)
minTurnRadius_ft	FLOAT	(ft)
maxBankAngle_deg	FLOAT	(deg)
maxTurnRate_degPerSec	FLOAT	(deg/s)
maxPitchAngle_deg	FLOAT	(deg)
maxPitchRate_degPerSec	FLOAT	(deg/s)
maxRollAngle_deg	FLOAT	(deg)
maxRollRate_degPerSec	FLOAT	(deg/s)
maxYawAngle deg	FLOAT	(deg)
maxYawRate degPerSec	FLOAT	(deg/s)

^{†††} see http://tfmlearning.fly.faa.gov/Publications/atpubs/AIM/Chap1/aim0102.html for descriptions of fly-by and fly-over waypoints.

payloadCapacity lb	FLOAT	(lb)
maxGlideRatio_nonDim	FLOAT	Glide ratio
maxHeadWind_ftPerSec	FLOAT	Headwind: applies to takeoff and landing only (i.e., not cruise
		flight) (ft/s)
maxCrossWind_ftPerSec	FLOAT	Crosswind: applies to takeoff and landing only (i.e., not cruise
_		flight) (ft/s)
maxGust ftPerSec	FLOAT	(ft/s)
maxRpm_rotPerMin	FLOAT	For a single rotor (rpm)
maxThrust_lbf	FLOAT	Maximum thrust at cruise speed (lbf)
maxAccel ftPerSec2	FLOAT	Maximum Acceleration (ft/s²)
posUpdateRate_hz	FLOAT	Position update rate (Hz)
attUpdateRate_hz	FLOAT	Vehicle orientation (attitude) update rate (Hz)
	FLOAT	Time from disturbance detection to command calculation
timeDist2Command_sec		(human versus autopilot) (sec). Include 3 decimal places of
_		precision.
timeCommand2Act_sec	FLOAT	Time from command calculation to actuation (sec). Include 3
		decimal places of precision.
jxx_lbFt2	FLOAT	Moment of inertia for xx (lb ft²)
jyy lbFt2	FLOAT	Moment of inertia for yy (lb ft²)
jzz_lbFt2	FLOAT	Moment of inertia for zz (lb ft²)
jxy_lbFt2	FLOAT	Moment of inertia for xy (lb ft²)
jxz lbFt2	FLOAT	Moment of inertia for xz (lb ft²)
jyz_lbFt2	FLOAT	Moment of inertia for yz (lb ft²)
rotorInertia_lbFt2	FLOAT	For a single rotor (lb ft ²)
cl0_nonDim	FLOAT	Lift coefficient for a fixed wing vehicle at steady-level flight trim condition
cd0 nonDim	FLOAT	Drag coefficient for fixed wing or representative value for a multi
_		rotor at steady-level flight trim condition
thrustCoefficient_nonDim	FLOAT	Thrust coefficient for motor/rotor propelled vehicles
kf_nonDim	FLOAT	Force coefficient for multirotor motors. kf_nonDim*PWM =
		Applied Force
km_nonDim	FLOAT	Force coefficients for multirotor motors. kf_nonDim*PWM =
		Applied Force
c2BerAircraft_hz	FLOAT	Bit Error Rate at aircraft (bit errors per sec, in Hz)
c2BerGcs_hz	FLOAT	Bit Error Rate at GCS (bit errors per sec, in Hz)

UAS State

Variable names for UAS state data (columns):

Variable Name (columns)	Type	Description
uvin	STRING Version 4 UUID obtained from prototype NASA USS	
gufi	STRING	GUFI from the USS for this flight
gpsWeek_wk	FLOAT	GPS Week
gpsSec_sec	FLOAT	GPS Time (SOW: seconds of week). Include 3 decimal places of precision.

Variable names for UAS state data (rows):

Variable Name	Type	Description
vehiclePositionLat_deg	FLOAT	GPS Latitude (dec. deg) Report at least seven decimal degrees
vehiclePositionLon_deg	FLOAT	GPS Longitude (dec. deg) Report at least seven decimal
		degrees
vehiclePositionAlt_ft	FLOAT	Vehicle reported GPS altitude (ft)
barometricAltitude ft	FLOAT	Pressure based altitude (ft)
barometricPressure_psi	FLOAT	Pressure sensor value used for barometric altitude (psi)
altitudeUsedByAutopilot_ft	FLOAT	Best estimate of altitude (filtered/processed) used by the
		autopilot at any given time (ft)
aboveTerrainAltitude_ft	FLOAT	Best estimate of altitude above terrain (e.g. filtered/processed)
		(ft)
laserSensorAltitude ft	FLOAT	Laser/LiDAR-sensor reported altitude above terrain (ft)
opticalSensorAltitude_ft	FLOAT	Optical/LED/infrared-sensor reported altitude above terrain
		(ft)

8

imageSensorAltitude ft	FLOAT	Camera/Image-processing-sensor reported altitude above
_		terrain (ft)
radarSensorAltitude_ft	FLOAT	Radar-sensor reported altitude above terrain (ft)
acousticSensorAltitude_ft	FLOAT	Sonar/ultrasonic-sensor reported altitude above terrain (ft)
indicatedAirspeed_ftPerSec	FLOAT	Indicated airspeed (ft/s)
trueAirspeed_ftPerSec	FLOAT	True airspeed (ft/s)
groundSpeed ftPerSec	FLOAT	Ground Speed (ft/s)
groundCourse deg	FLOAT	Ground Course (deg, True North)
hdop nonDim	FLOAT	HDOP: Horizontal dilution of precision of GPS constellation
vdop_nonDim	FLOAT	VDOP: Vertical dilution of precision of GPS constellation
	INTEGER	Number of GPS satellites to which the aircraft has line-of-sight
numGpsSatellitesInView_nonDim		to (whether acquired or not by the GPS receiver)
numGpsSat_nonDim	INTEGER	Number of GPS satellites tracked by GPS receiver
roll_deg	FLOAT	Roll (deg). Negative roll indicates left
pitch_deg	FLOAT	Pitch (deg). Negative pitch indicates nose down
yaw_deg	FLOAT	Yaw (deg). Zero-degree yaw is North.
velNorth ftPerSec	FLOAT	Velocity-North (ft/s)
velEast ftPerSec	FLOAT	Velocity-East (ft/s)
velDown ftPerSec	FLOAT	Velocity-Down (ft/s)
rollRate_degPerSec	FLOAT	Roll Rate (deg/s)
pitchRate degPerSec	FLOAT	Pitch Rate (deg/s)
yawRate_degPerSec	FLOAT	Yaw Rate (deg/s)
accBodyX ftPerSec2	FLOAT	Acceleration-Body-x (ft/s²)
accBodyY ftPerSec2	FLOAT	Acceleration-Body-y (ft/s²)
accBodyZ ftPerSec2	FLOAT	Acceleration-Body-z (ft/s ²)
motor1ControlThrottleCommand nonDim	FLOAT	, ,
motor2ControlThrottleCommand nonDim	FLOAT	Motor 1-8 control throttle command, between 0% and 100%.
motor3ControlThrottleCommand nonDim	FLOAT	If vehicle has a single engine, use motor1 variable.
motor4ControlThrottleCommand nonDim	FLOAT	
motor5ControlThrottleCommand nonDim	FLOAT	For PWM, 0%=zero width duty cycle, 100%=full width duty
motor6ControlThrottleCommand nonDim	FLOAT	cycle, where
motor7ControlThrottleCommand nonDim	FLOAT	$DutyCycle = (PulseWidth / Period) \times 100\%.$
motor8ControlThrottleCommand nonDim	FLOAT	
		For other motor control, use 0%=min.throttle setting,
	FLOAT	100%=max.throttle setting
aileronActuatorCommand_nonDim	FLOAT	-100% to 100%; where -100%= min. neg. deflection,
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	FLOAT	100%=max. positive deflection
elevatorActuatorCommand_nonDim	FLOAT	-100% to 100%; where -100%= min. neg. deflection,
a 11 a A start of Common Language	FLOAT	100%=max. positive deflection
rudderActuatorCommand_nonDim	FLOAT	-100% to 100%; where -100%= min. neg. deflection,
flon A structor Command non Dim	ELOAT	100%=max. positive deflection 0 to 100%; where 0%= fully retracted, 100%=fully extended
flapActuatorCommand_nonDim	FLOAT	
landingGearActuatorCommand_nondim	INTEGER	Either 0 = Retracted or 1 = Deployed Valida Pottory Voltage (V)
batteryVoltage_v	FLOAT FLOAT	Vehicle Battery Voltage (V)
batteryCurrent a	FLOAT	Vehicle Battery Current (A)
angleOfAttack_deg		Angle of Attack (deg)
sideSlip deg	FLOAT	Angle of Side Slip (deg)
targetWaypointLat_deg	FLOAT	Target waypoint latitude (dec. deg). Report at least seven
targetWaypointLon deg	FLOAT	decimal degrees Torget wayneint lengitude (dec. deg.) Penert at least saven
target waypointLon_deg	FLOAT	Target waypoint longitude (dec. deg). Report at least seven
torgetWeymointAlt ft	FLOAT	decimal degrees Torget wavenint WGS 84 altitude (#)
targetWaypointAlt_ft	FLOAT	Target waypoint WGS-84 altitude (ft)
aircraftControlMode_nonDim	INTEGER	Aircraft control mode, specify an integer value for either mode: Manual = 0, Automatic = 1, Mixed Mode = 2
torgetCraundSneed ADarCas	ELOAT	
targetGroundSpeed ftPerSec	FLOAT FLOAT	Target vehicle ground speed (ft/s)
targetAirSpeed_ftPerSec		Target vehicle airspeed speed (ft/s)
aircraftAirborneState_nonDim	INTEGER	Real time report based on aircraft sensor. Specify an integer
		value for current state: either Ground = 0, or Airborne = 1

minDistToDefinedAreaLateralBoundary_ft	FLOAT	Orthogonal minimum lateral distance between UAS and the boundary of the flight geography (defined area: allocated airspace) (ft)
minDistToDefinedAreaVerticalBoundary_ft	FLOAT	Orthogonal minimum vertical distance between UA and the boundary of the flight geography (defined area: allocated
		airspace) (ft)
c2RssiAircraft_dBm	FLOAT	C2 link RSSI measured in dBm at aircraft
c2RssiGcs_dBm	FLOAT	C2 link RSSI measured in dBm at GCS
c2NoiseAircraft_dBm	FLOAT	Sum of Thermal noise power and RF interference power,
		measured in dBm at aircraft
c2NoiseGcs_dBm	FLOAT	Sum of Thermal noise power and RF interference power, measured in dBm at GCS
c2PacketLossRateAircraftPrct_nonDim	FLOAT	Packet loss rate at aircraft (0 to 100% of packets lost)
c2PacketLossRateGcsPrct_nonDim	FLOAT	Packet loss rate at GCS (0 to 100% of packets lost)
lateralNavPositionError_ft	FLOAT	Current navigation system lateral error (ft)
verticalNavPositionError_ft	FLOAT	Current navigation system vertical error (ft)
lateralNavVelocityError_ftPerSec	FLOAT	Current navigation system lateral velocity error (ft/s)
verticalNavVelocityError_ftPerSec	FLOAT	Current navigation system vertical velocity error (ft/s)

Auxiliary UAS Operation

Variable names for auxiliary UAS operation data (columns):

Variable Name (columns)	Type	Description
uvin	STRING	Version 4 UUID obtained from prototype NASA USS
gufi	STRING	GUFI from the USS for this flight

Variable names for auxiliary UAS operation data (rows):

Variable Name (rows)	Type	Description
typeOfOperation	STRING	Use either "Live", Simulated" or "Test"
flightTestCardName	STRING	Name of the flight test card. 280 characters maximum, between quote marks " ",
		all characters in between are valid except for quote marks as they signal the
		beginning and end of the string.
takeOffWeight_lb	FLOAT	Vehicle weight at takeoff (lb)
takeOffTimeGpsWeek_wk	INTEGER	GPS time (week) of takeoff, defined as the moment the vehicle leaves the
		ground/launching device
takeOffTimeGpsSec_sec	FLOAT	GPS time (SOW: seconds of week) of takeoff, defined as the moment the vehicle
		leaves the ground/launching device. Include 3 decimal places of precision.
takeoffPosLat_deg	FLOAT	Takeoff position latitude (dec. degree). Report at least seven decimal degrees
takeoffPosLon_deg	FLOAT	Takeoff position longitude (dec. degree). Report at least seven decimal degrees
takeoffPosAlt_ft	FLOAT	Takeoff position WGS-84 altitude (ft)
landingPosLat_deg	FLOAT	Landing/recovery position latitude (dec. degree). Report at least seven decimal
		degrees
landingPosLon_deg	FLOAT	Landing/recovery position longitude (dec. degree). Report at least seven decimal
		degrees
landingPosAlt_ft	FLOAT	Landing/recovery position WGS-84 altitude (ft)
gcsPosLat_deg	FLOAT	Ground Control System (GCS) position latitude (dec. degree). Report at least
		seven decimal degrees
gcsPosLon_deg	FLOAT	GCS position longitude (dec. degree). Report at least seven decimal degrees
gcsPosAlt_ft	FLOAT	GCS position WGS-84 altitude (ft)

Appendix C: Questions in the NC II Online Off-nominal Report Form

- 1. If you were the Pilot In Command (PIC), were you the... $\mbox{\scriptsize \bigcirc} \mbox{\scriptsize RC Pilot}$

- O GCS Operator

 2. If you were NOT the PIC, what was your role?

 O Payload System Operator

 O Range Safety Officer (RSO)

- O Visual Observer

	Mission Manager Principal Investigator (PI)
	Test Site Coordinator
	Other:
	What was the Test Site Location
	University of Alaska – Alaska
	NIAS – Nevada
_	Griffiss – New York
	NPUASTS – North Dakota
	Lone Star UAS Center – Texas Mid Atlantic Partnership – Virginia
	What was GCS Launch Location/Identification (as used in the Flight Plan); e.g. GCS-2, etc.
	What were the environmental conditions at the location and time of the event?
	ind
W	ind Direction: (degrees magnetic)
W	ind Direction: (degrees magnetic) ind Speed: (in knots)
	cation of weather measurement: (i.e., GCS location or other)
	At what time were these measurements taken (local time)?
	What was the density altitude (calculated in feet MSL) at the location and time of the event?
	ensity altitude is the indicated altitude adjusted for the barometric setting (e.g., 29.92"), and outside air temperature (e.g., 30.00 for the particular of 20.00 for the
	grees C.) For example, an aircraft at 6,000 feet MSL, with an altimeter setting of 29.89 inches of mercury, at an outside at
	nperature of 30° Centigrade (86° Fahrenheit) is operating at a density altitude of 8,020 feet. What are the Aircraft & Associated Control Systems?
	A Vehicle Registration (e.g., N65SR, or FA number, etc.)
	hicle Make & Model
	topilot Make & Model
	topilot Software Version
	ound Control Station Software Program
Gr	ound Control Station Software Version
	ght hours on platform
Ti	
	me since last maintenance
9.	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload?
9. 10	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? . Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS a
9. 10 the	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS as time of the event? Explain if any.
9. 10 the 11	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS as time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)?
9. 10 the 11	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS as time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch?
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS as time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local)
9. 10 the 11 12 13	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS as time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet)
9. 10 the 11 12 13 14	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS are time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY - hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred?
9. 10 the 11 12 13 14	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS are time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS are time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication Loss/Degradation of GCS to vehicle communication
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS are time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication Loss/Degradation of GCS to vehicle communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS are time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication Loss/Degradation of GCS to vehicle communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS are time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication Loss/Degradation of GCS to vehicle communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure Lateral Deviation from flight geography
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS are time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of Vehicle to GCS communication Loss/Degradation of GCS to vehicle communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure Lateral Deviation from flight geography Vertical Deviation from flight geography
9. 10 the 11 12 13 14	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS are time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication Loss/Degradation of GCS to vehicle communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure Lateral Deviation from flight geography Vertical Deviation from flight geography What Navigation Geofence Limitation was Triggered or Breached?
9. 10 tho 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS are time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication Loss/Degradation of GCS to vehicle communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure Lateral Deviation from flight geography Vertical Deviation from flight geography What Navigation Geofence Limitation was Triggered or Breached? Lateral Geofence
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS are time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication Loss/Degradation of GCS to vehicle communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure Lateral Deviation from flight geography Vertical Deviation from flight geography What Navigation Geofence Limitation was Triggered or Breached? Lateral Geofence Vertical Geofence (climb or descent above/below flight geography)
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS are time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure Lateral Deviation from flight geography Vertical Deviation from flight geography What Navigation Geofence Limitation was Triggered or Breached? Lateral Geofence Vertical Geofence (climb or descent above/below flight geography) No Geofence Enabled
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS at time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication Loss/Degradation of GCS to vehicle communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure Lateral Deviation from flight geography Vertical Deviation from flight geography What Navigation Geofence Limitation was Triggered or Breached? Lateral Geofence Vertical Geofence (climb or descent above/below flight geography) No Geofence Enabled None
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS at etime of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure Lateral Deviation from flight geography Vertical Deviation from flight geography What Navigation Geofence Limitation was Triggered or Breached? Lateral Geofence Vertical Geofence (climb or descent above/below flight geography) No Geofence Enabled None What Navigation Geofence SYSTEM was Triggered or Breached?
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS at a time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication Loss/Degradation of GCS to vehicle communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure Lateral Deviation from flight geography Vertical Deviation from flight geography What Navigation Geofence Limitation was Triggered or Breached? Lateral Geofence Vertical Geofence (climb or descent above/below flight geography) No Geofence Enabled None What Navigation Geofence SYSTEM was Triggered or Breached? Vehicle Geofence
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS at etime of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure Lateral Deviation from flight geography Vertical Deviation from flight geography What Navigation Geofence Limitation was Triggered or Breached? Lateral Geofence Vertical Geofence (climb or descent above/below flight geography) No Geofence Enabled None What Navigation Geofence SYSTEM was Triggered or Breached?
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS at etime of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure Lateral Deviation from flight geography Vertical Deviation from flight geography What Navigation Geofence Limitation was Triggered or Breached? Lateral Geofence Vertical Geofence (climb or descent above/below flight geography) No Geofence Enabled None What Navigation Geofence SYSTEM was Triggered or Breached? Vehicle Geofence GCS Geofence Not Applicable
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS at a time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure Lateral Deviation from flight geography Vertical Deviation from flight geography What Navigation Geofence Limitation was Triggered or Breached? Lateral Geofence Vertical Geofence (climb or descent above/below flight geography) No Geofence Enabled None What Navigation Geofence SYSTEM was Triggered or Breached? Vehicle Geofence GCS Geofence
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS at etime of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure Lateral Deviation from flight geography Vertical Deviation from flight geography What Navigation Geofence Limitation was Triggered or Breached? Lateral Geofence Vertical Geofence (climb or descent above/below flight geography) No Geofence Enabled None What Navigation Geofence SYSTEM was Triggered or Breached? Vehicle Geofence GCS Geofence Not Applicable
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS at etime of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication Loss/Degradation of GCS to vehicle communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure Lateral Deviation from flight geography Vertical Deviation from flight geography What Navigation Geofence Limitation was Triggered or Breached? Lateral Geofence Vertical Geofence (climb or descent above/below flight geography) No Geofence Enabled None What Navigation Geofence SYSTEM was Triggered or Breached? Vehicle Geofence GCS Geofence Not Applicable What Loss of Aircraft Control Occurred
9. 10 the 11 12	What Communications Frequencies were in use for GCS, RC Controller, and Payload? Are you aware of any frequency interference due to communication radio or cellphone use in proximity to the GCS are time of the event? Explain if any. Was the flight within Visual Line Of Sight (VLOS), or Beyond Visual Line Of Sight (BVLOS)? What was the Date and Time at the time of Launch? (MM/DD/YYYY – hh:mm local) What was the distance of the vehicle from the launch site of the time of the event? (distance in feet) Which of the following occurred? Loss/Degradation of vehicle to GCS communication Loss/Degradation of SCS to vehicle communication GPS Satellite or other navigation system signal loss/degradation Other navigation system failure Lateral Deviation from flight geography Vertical Deviation from flight geography What Navigation Geofence Limitation was Triggered or Breached? Lateral Geofence Vertical Geofence (climb or descent above/below flight geography) No Geofence Enabled None What Navigation Geofence SYSTEM was Triggered or Breached? Vehicle Geofence GCS Geofence Not Applicable What Loss of Aircraft Control Occurred Power (battery) failure

	Structural failure
	Other (please specify)
18.	What Action was Taken and what was the Result?
	Regained Communication
	Regained Navigation Capability
	Regained Aircraft Control
	Flight Returned to Planned Track or Heading
	Return to flight geography (authorized airspace)
	Return To Base (Return To Launch Site)
	Land In Place
	Loiter
	Terminate
	Vehicle lost
	Vehicle impacted terrain
	None
	Other (please specify)

Section 8 – Reporter Narrative

Keeping in mind the topics shown below, discuss those that you feel are relevant and anything else you think is important. Include what you believe really caused the problem, and what can be done to prevent a recurrence, or correct the situation.

CHAIN OF EVENTS

How the problem arose • How it was discovered • Contributing factors • Corrective actions

HUMAN PERFORMANCE CONSIDERATIONS

Perceptions, judgments, decisions • Actions or inactions • Factors affecting the quality of human performance

Acknowledgement

F. A. Authors thank the UTM project systems engineering team, software team and AOL persons for their support in ingesting and validating the NC II digital data for analysis.

References

- [1] FAA Aerospace Forecast Fiscal Years 2017-2037, Publication, FAA, Washington, D.C., 2016.
- [2] Small Unmanned Aircraft Rule, The Federal Aviation Regulation (FAR) Part 10, FAA, Washington, D.C., 2016.
- [3] Request a Part 107 Waiver or Operation in Controlled Airspace [online], URL: https://www.faa.gov/uas/request_waiver/ [accessed 24 October 2017].
- [4] Kopardekar, P., Rios, J., Prevot, T., Johnson, M., Jung, J., and Robinson, J. E., "Unmanned Aircraft System Traffic Management (UTM) Concept of Operations," 16th AIAA Aviation Technology, Integration, and Operations Conference, Washington, D.C., 13-17 June 2016.
- [5] Kopardekar, P., "Unmanned Aerial System Traffic Management (UTM): Enabling Low-altitude Airspace and UAS Operations," Tech. Rep. NASA TM-2014-21829, 2014.
- [6] UAS Traffic Management (UTM) Research Transition Team (RTT) Plan, Publication, FAA, Washington, D.C., 2017.
- [7] The FAA UAS Test Sites [online], URL: https://www.faa.gov/uas/research/test_sites/ [accessed 13 April 2018].
- [8] Aweiss, A., Owens, D., Rios, J., Homola, J., and Mohlenbrink, C., "Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) Traffic Management (UTM) National Campaign II," AIAA SciTech Forum, Kissimmee, FL, 8-12 January 2018.
- [9] Aviation Safety Information Analysis and Sharing [online], URL: https://portal.asias.aero/web/guest [accessed 24 October 2017].
- [10] Halford, C. and Harper, M., "ASIAS: Aviation Safety Information Analysis and Sharing", 27th IEEE/AIAA Digital Avionics systems Conference, St. Paul, Minnesota, 26-30 October 2008.
- [11] NASA Aviation Safety Reporting System [online], URL: https://asrs.arc.nasa.gov/ [accessed 24 October 2017].
- [12] Withrow, C. A. and Reveley, M. S., "Analysis of Aviation Safety Reporting System Incident Data Associated with the Technical Challenges of the System-Wide Safety and Assurance Technologies Project", Publication, NASA/TM-2015-217899, NASA, Washington, D.C., 2015.
- [13] ASRS: The Case for Confidential Incident Reporting Systems, Publication, NASA, Washington, D.C., 2001.