A Method for Objectively Integrating Soil Moisture Satellite Observations and Model Simulations toward a Blended Drought Index

Jifu Yin$^{1,2,*}$, Xiwu Zhan$^2$, Christopher R. Hain$^3$, Jicheng Liu$^{1,2}$, Martha C. Anderson$^4$

$^1$ ESSIC/CICS, University of Maryland College Park, College Park 20740, MD, USA.
$^2$ NOAA NESDIS Center for Satellite Applications and Research, College Park 20740, MD, USA.
$^3$ Marshall Space Flight Center, Earth Science Branch, Huntsville 35801, AL, USA
$^4$ USDA-ARS, Hydrology and Remote Sensing Laboratory, Beltsville 20704, MD, USA.

*Corresponding author: Dr. Jifu Yin, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) NESDIS STAR/SMCD, 5830 University Research Court, College Park, MD 20740, USA. Email: jifu.yin@noaa.gov
Abstract: With satellite soil moisture (SM) retrievals becoming widely and continuously available, we aim to develop a method to objectively integrate the drought indices into one that is more accurate and consistently reliable. The datasets used in this paper include the Noah land surface model-based SM estimations, Atmosphere-Land-Exchange-Inverse model-based Evaporative Stress Index, and the satellite SM products from the Advanced Scatterometer, WindSat, Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity, and Soil Moisture Operational Product System. Using the Triple Collocation Error Model (TCEM) to quantify the uncertainties of these data, we developed an optically blended drought index (BDI_b) that objectively integrates drought estimations with the lowest TCEM-derived root-mean-square-errors in this paper. With respect to the reported drought records and the drought monitoring benchmarks including the U.S. Drought Monitor, the Palmer Drought Severity Index and the standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index products, the BDI_b was compared with the sample average blending drought index (BDI_s) and the RMSE-weighted average blending drought indices (BDI_w). Relative to the BDI_s and the BDI_w, the BDI_b performs more consistently with the drought monitoring benchmarks. With respect to the official drought records, the developed BDI_b shows the best performance on tracking drought development in terms of time evolution and spatial patterns of 2010-Russia, 2011-USA, 2013-New Zealand droughts and other reported agricultural drought occurrences over the 2009-2014 period. These results suggest that model simulations and remotely sensed observations of SM can be objectively translated into useful information for drought monitoring and early warning, in turn can reduce drought risk and impacts.
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1. Introduction

Of all natural disasters, the economic and environmental consequences of drought are among the most serious due to the duration varying from weeks to decades, and widespread spatial extent (Lewis et al., 2011; Mu et al., 2013; Hao et al., 2014; Anderson et al., 2015; Mazdiyasni and AghaKouchak, 2015; AghaKouchak et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017). Associated with global climate change, the frequency, duration and severity of drought events show an increasing tendency in some parts of the world (Dai, 2013; Mazdiyasni and AghaKouchak, 2015). Drought indicator development is essential for monitoring drought conditions, providing timely seasonal forecasts, and consequently reducing drought risk and impacts (Tarhule and Lamb 2003; Pozzi et al. 2013; Sheffield et al., 2014).

Agricultural drought is commonly defined as an event where root-zone soil moisture (SM) deficits result in a reduction in crop yields, plant biomass and ecologic productivity (Wilhite and Glantz 1985; Anderson et al., 2011; Bolten and Crow, 2012; McNally et al., 2015, Azmi et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017). The SM status in various soil layers is an important indicator of agricultural drought, providing more information than the rainfall anomaly alone. Modern land surface models (LSMs) offer a complex parameterization of the surface energy balance and detailed vertical water balance physics in an attempt to more accurately characterize temporal variations in root-zone soil moisture availability (Koster et al., 2000; Yang et al, 2003; Ek et al., 2003; Dai et al., 2003; Oleson et al., 2004; Kowalczyk et al, 2006; Crow et al., 2012; Yin et al., 2015a). However, these model-based estimates are typically subject to errors in the model physics and parameterizations, and in the meteorological forcing data (Reichle and Koster, 2004; Yin et al., 2014; Yin et al., 2015b). Data assimilation techniques permit the modelled soil moisture (SM) to be corrected toward the observations with the correction degree determined by the error levels.
associated with each (Reichle and Koster, 2004). With satellite SM retrievals becoming widely
and continuously available, it is consequently believed that a land data assimilation system that
merges satellite retrievals and model estimates of soil moisture may provide more reasonable
values of land surface state variables (Crow and Wood, 2003; Reichle and Koster, 2004; Koster et
al., 2009; Kumar et al. 2009; Xia et al., 2012; Hain et al. 2012; Zhan et al. 2012; Yin et al., 2015b,
2015c). In the most widely used ensemble Kalman filter (EnKF), still, satellite SM observations
need to be bias-corrected to respect the assumption that retrieval errors are Gaussian-distributed.
The current bias-correction approaches used for the EnKF data assimilation might have caused
useful information in the observations lost in the model simulations (Nearing et al., 2016).

While in situ measurements of SM provide reasonable assessments of moisture conditions
at the local scale, they are deficient in representing the soil moisture and drought dynamics at large
scales due to insufficient data coverage (Yuan et al., 2015). In contrast, microwave (MW, active
or passive) remote sensing observations can provide spatially consistent estimates of the SM state.
Although they can only sense the surface soil depth, usually within 0-5 cm (Kerr et al., 2001;
Njoku et al., 2003; Naeimi et al., 2009; Yin et al., 2015b; Wang et al., 2015), there is generally a
close relationship between surface SM and SM in the deeper soil layers at weekly and longer time
scale (Albergel et al., 2008). The SM status in surface soil layer represents the fastest response soil
moisture dynamics to meteorological anomalies and provides a measure for short-term droughts
(Yuan et al., 2015); and the surface information propagating to deeper soil layers is very important
to early warning agricultural droughts and monitoring flash droughts that can occur very rapidly
(Otkin et al., 2015). However, the MW SM products suffer from the instrument noise and
uncertainty in microwave emission modeling. Land surface temperature (LST)- and green
vegetation fraction (GVF)-based quality control of the satellite SM retrievals can decrease the
impacts of these uncertainties, but the empirical approaches are hard to be widely used (Kumar et al., 2009; Yin et al., 2014).

Comparison of MW SM products to ground-based SM observations is the most common error estimation approach; however, the in situ observational data from low density networks in which one or two measurements are generally available per satellite footprint can lead to significant differences in the spatial sampling scale (Crow et al., 2005; Koster et al., 2009; Miralles et al., 2010). A triple collocation error model (TCEM) methodology was introduced to estimate the root mean square errors (RMSE) while simultaneously solving for systematic differences in the climatologies of a set of three independent data sources (Scipal et al., 2008; Miralles et al., 2010; Crow et al., 2015; Pan et al., 2015). Based on three separate time series assumed to approximate grid-scale SM products, the TCEM in previous reports exhibited robust capability to assess novel remotely sensed SM data sets in comparison with LSM estimations and in-situ observations in a limited number of well sampled pixels (Miralles et al., 2010; Draper et al., 2013).

Drought monitoring is a complex and multi-faceted endeavor, warranting use of multiple tools and indicators; the nature of drought monitoring efforts should thus be based on multiple variables/indicators to provide a more robust and integrated measure of drought through a convergence-of-evidence methodology (AghaKouchak et al., 2015). Current operational drought monitoring products (Svoboda et al., 2002; Heim, 2002; Xia et al., 2014) are generally produced via integrating multiple data sources and derivative products based on a synthesis of indicators/model-simulations and subjective interpretation of how different indicators/model-simulations should be merged in the final analysis. These routinely running drought monitoring products are thus sensitive to the experts’ experiences/judgment and the model uncertainties from errors in the indicators. These types of artificial and product errors can be compensated for by
objectively merging multi-sources drought evaluations through uncertainty-based optimization of remotely sensed observations and model estimations.

Additionally, to capture different drought characteristic, numerous multivariate drought indices have been recently proposed. The ordinal regression model permits to estimate the probability of each drought category, and in turn to highlight probabilistic drought characterization in the categorical form (Hao et al., 2016). Yet its properly implement is limited by optimal choice of three drought indices in different regions and seasons. Besides, other blended drought indicators including the principal component analysis-based multivariate Aggregate Drought Index (Keyantash and Dracup, 2004; Rajsekhar et al., 2015), the joint distribution of the accumulated precipitation and streamflow-based Joint Drought Index (Kao and Govindaraju, 2010) and Multivariate Standardized Drought Index (Hao and AghaKouchak, 2013) are basically based on the water balance model and multivariate analysis (Hao et al., 2015). Thus, development of a method for objectively integrating soil moisture satellite observations and model simulations toward a blended drought index is still challenging. This paper is an attempt in this direction

In this paper, we aim to objectively determine uncertainties of satellite observation- and model simulation-based drought estimations, and in turn to optimally merge any collection of drought indicators in a fully automated statistical framework. With respect to the drought monitoring benchmarks and the reported drought records, the advantages of the optimally objectively blended drought index over the traditional subjectively integrated drought indices are demonstrated. The specifics of the method are described in the next section. The results and validations are then presented in sections 3-5. The potential of applying the method in drought monitoring operation is discussed in section 6, and a brief summary is given in last section.
2.1 Data

For this study, we use 6 different SM products. The first is a land surface model estimate of SM from the Noah version 3.2 (referred to as the NLSM). The layer thickness-weighted average of SM estimates in the top three soil layer (0-10 cm; 10-40 cm; 40-100 cm) is used to characterize root zone (0-100 cm) SM. The NLSM simulations were conducted on a near-global gridded domain (from -60°S, -180°W to 90°N, 180°E) at 25 km spatial resolution. The model was spun up by cycling 50 times through the period from 2001 to 2007. Then the simulation was run over the 2008-2014 period with one half hour time-step inputs and daily outputs. Atmospheric forcing (Table 2) was taken from 3-hourly 25-km Global Land Data Assimilation System (GLDAS) precipitation (Rodell et al., 2004) and Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) meteorological data (Derber et al., 1991). Various updates to the specification of vegetation in Noah have been implemented. For example, 2007-2010 Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) collection 5 land cover maps and 8-day MODIS leaf area index (LAI)-based green vegetation fraction (GVF) were used to update the climatological fields in Noah (Yin et al., 2015a; Yin et al., 2016).

The next drought indicator (Table 2) used in the analysis is the Evaporative Stress Index (ESI), generated with the Atmosphere Land Exchange Inverse (ALEXI) model using land surface temperature data retrieved from satellite thermal infrared imagery (Anderson et al., 1997; 2011). The ESI represents temporal anomalies in the ratio of actual evapotranspiration (ET) to potential ET (PET) and requires no information about antecedent precipitation or subsurface soil characteristics (Anderson et al. 2011; Hain et al., 2012). Until recently, ALEXI ESI data production has been limited to areas with high resolution temporal sampling of geostationary sensors (Hain et al., 2016). However, our research team has developed a new and novel method of
using twice-daily observations from polar sensors such as MODIS and Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) to estimate the mid-morning rise in LST that is used to drive the energy balance estimations within ALEXI. This allows the method to be applied globally using the sensors onboard polar-orbiting satellites rather than a global composite of all available geostationary datasets. The global ALEXI ESI product is available at a spatial resolution of 5 km and a period of record from 2001 to 2014, reprocessed to weekly time-steps and 25-km resolution for this study.

Finally, we use four microwave-based SM products (Table 2), referred to as MWSM. These products include SM data from the Advanced Scatterometer (ASCAT, Wagner et al., 1999), WindSat (Li et al., 2010) the Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity (SMOS, Kerr et al, 2001) instruments, and a blended product from the NOAA Soil Moisture Operational Product System (SMOPS, Yin et al., 2015b). The SMOPS has been developed to process satellite soil moisture observational data at the NOAA National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service (NESDIS) for improving numerical weather prediction models at the NOAA National Weather Service (Yin et al, 2014). SMOPS scales the soil moisture data products from the European Space Agency SMOS satellite, ASCAT on EUMETSAT's Metop-A and Metop-B satellites, and WindSat of Naval Research Lab to the climatology of the Noah land surface model, and merges them to a blended global soil moisture data product (Yin et al, 2015b). In this study, daily ASCAT, WindSat and SMOPS blended SM products are used from 2008 to 2014, along with SMOS SM data derived during the 2011-2014 period. These global microwave SM retrievals are all at 25 km spatial resolution.

Weekly United States Drought Monitor (USDM) data sets from 2008 to 2014 are used to evaluate the performance of the various blended drought indices (BDIs) over the contiguous United States (CONUS). USDM is the drought map that policymakers and media use in
discussions of drought and for allocating drought relief, reflecting drought signals conveyed in one or more indices, and reporting impacts and observations from more than 350 contributors around the country (Svoboda et al. 2002). In addition, the global BDIs’ drought monitoring capabilities are also evaluated against the standard anomalies of the monthly Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) (against the 1985-2014 climatology) at 2.5 degree spatial resolution and the monthly 3-month standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index (SPEI) standard anomalies (against the 1985-2014 climatology) at 0.5 degree spatial resolution for the 2008-2014 time period (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010; Dai et al., 2013). As a landmark in the development of drought indices, PDSI uses readily available temperature and precipitation data to estimate relative dryness and has been reasonably successful at quantifying long-term drought (Dai et al., 2013). SPEI is similar to the standardized precipitation index (SPI), but it includes the role of temperature (Vicente-Serrano et al., 2010). SPEI was developed in 2010 and has been used in an increasing number of climatology and hydrology studies (Beguería et al., 2014).

2.2 Method

The Triple Collocation Error Model (TCEM) assumed that the uncertainties or errors of the three retrieval sources are from mutually distinct sources and are independent from each other (Janssen et al., 2007; Scipal et al., 2008; Miralles et al., 2010; Draper et al., 2013, Pan et al., 2015). In this paper, the TCEM is based on three categories of soil moisture datasets that provide 25 km grid-scale SM estimations: (1) the NLSM, which is subject to errors in the model representation and in the meteorological forcing data; (2) the ALEXI model-based ESI, which does not use any precipitation input, but is sensitive to the accuracy of the thermal infrared (TIR) satellite LST and other model inputs (e.g., vegetation cover, available energy); and (3) the microwave satellite
retrievals which is based on land surface microwave radiation physics with error sources being microwave satellite sensor signal/noise ratio and soil moisture retrieval algorithm accuracy.

All of the SM data used in this study were temporally composited over 4-week intervals. Then the uncertainty or RMSE for each of the four MW SM products was individually computed in combination with NLSM and ESI in TCEM in order to meet the error independence requirement of the three data sets used in TCEM. Meanwhile, the NLSM and ESI data sets were evaluated four times with each corresponding to a different MW SM data set. Their errors were calculated as the average of the four RMSE values respectively. The climatology of each of the above-mentioned soil moisture datasets was generated by assembling the variable values for a particular calendar week for all years of the study periods. Once the climatology was assembled, the standardized anomalies ($\psi$) were computed for week $w$, year $y$, and grid location $(i, j)$, as

$$
\psi(w, y, i, j) = \frac{X(w, y, i, j) - \overline{X}(w, i, j)}{\sigma_X(w, i, j)}
$$

(1)

where $\overline{X}$ and $\sigma_X$ are climatology and climatological standard deviations for each of the 6 retrievals. Thus, drought estimations for MWSM ($\psi_{MWSM}$), ESI ($\psi_{ESI}$) and NLSM ($\psi_{NLSM}$) are then expressed as (Janssen et al., 2007; Scipal et al., 2008; Miralles et al., 2010; Draper et al., 2013)

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{MWSM} &= \Pi + \mu \\
\psi_{ESI} &= \Pi + \omega \\
\psi_{NLSM} &= \Pi + \rho
\end{align*}
$$

(2)
where $\Pi$ indicates the true drought status, and $\mu$, $\omega$ and $\rho$ denote the unknown errors in the MWSM, ESI and NLSM cases. First we assume that the three kinds of errors are uncorrelated and:

$$\mu \rho = 0, \quad \mu \omega = 0, \quad \rho \omega = 0$$

(3)

Then the RMSE values for MWSM ($\xi_{\text{MWSM}}$), ESI ($\xi_{\text{ESI}}$) and NLSM ($\xi_{\text{NLSM}}$) are given by

(Stoffelen, 1998; Scipal et al., 2008; Miralles et al., 2010)

$$\xi_{\text{MWSM}} = (\psi_{\text{MWSM}} - \psi_{\text{ESI}})(\psi_{\text{MWSM}} - \psi_{\text{NLSM}}) = \mu^2$$

$$\xi_{\text{NLSM}} = (\psi_{\text{NLSM}} - \psi_{\text{ESI}})(\psi_{\text{NLSM}} - \psi_{\text{MWSM}}) = \omega^2$$

$$\xi_{\text{ESI}} = (\psi_{\text{ESI}} - \psi_{\text{NLSM}})(\psi_{\text{ESI}} - \psi_{\text{MWSM}}) = \rho^2$$

(4)

Thus, based on the TCEM, the monthly RMSEs for each of the data sets can be estimated grid by grid within the global domain.

3. Blended Drought Index (BDI)

Three techniques for combining the available retrievals into a blended index were evaluated. These include an equal weighted-average blending, an objectively weighted approach, and an optimal integration technique. Three blended drought indices are all generated on a near-global gridded domain (from -60ºS, -180ºW to 90ºN, 180ºE) at 25 km spatial resolution over 2008-2014 time period.

3.1 Simple Equal Weighted-Average Blended Drought Index (BDI_s)

BDI_s samples all SM products with equal importance. To increase the spatial coverage of drought estimations, BDI_s integrates all of the six SM retrievals using a weighted-average blending technique. For the BDI_s, all of the available data sets are assigned the same weight,
where the weightings determine the relative importance of each quantity on the average. When the
six SM retrievals are all available, the BDI_s for each pixel within the global domain is

\[
BDI_s = \frac{NLSM + ESI + SMOPS + SMOS + ASCAT + WindSat}{6}
\]  

(5)

If an index is missing at a given pixel, the BDI_s is computed as an average of the available
drought estimations.

3.2 Objectively Weighted Blended Drought Index (BDI_w)

Relative to the BDI_s, the BDI_w treats SM products with lower RMSE as higher quality
data and assigns that dataset a greater weight. Thus, the BDI_w is objectively developed according
to monthly TCEM-based RMSE values computed in Equation (4). And a weight \( f(x) \) for an
available index is

\[
f(x) = \frac{1}{\sum_{x=1}^{N} \frac{1}{RMSE_x}} \quad N \in [1,6]
\]  

(6)

When the drought assessments are all available, then \( N \) is 6, and the BDI_w for each pixel over
the global domain is

\[
BDI_w = f(NLSM) \times NLSM + f(ESI) \times ESI + f(SMOPS) \times SMOPS
+ f(SMOS) \times SMOS + f(ASCAT) \times ASCAT + f(WindSat) \times WindSat
\]  

(7)

Given \( N \) values from 1 to 5 in Equation (6), the BDI_w in Equation (7) will be the summation
without counting the unavailable drought estimations.

3.3 Optimal Blended Drought Index (BDI_b)
The procedure of generating BDI_b for each pixel in the global domain is described in Figure 1. Each pixel is filled by the retrieval that is estimated to have the lowest RMSE based on its TCEM estimate, which ensures that all pixels across the global domain can be covered by the optimal drought estimation information, instead of integrating the evaluations by building their weights. The monthly TCEM-based RMSE for each of the 6 retrievals used here can characterize their time series throughout the year.

---

*Please Insert Figure 1 here.*

---

4. Evaluation with Benchmark Drought Monitor Products

Drought intensity is classified in the USDM into five categories (Table 1) including D0, abnormally dry (percentile < 30%); D1, moderate drought (percentile < 20%); D2, severe drought (percentile < 10%); D3, extreme drought (percentile < 5%); and D4, exceptional drought (percentile < 2%). The statistics of frequency probability for each case here was collected on the global domain over the study period. The large sample size indicates the statistical results here are qualitatively stable and high likely representative of common conditions. Thus, all the indices are classified into 5 categories using the thresholds in Table 1.

---

*Please Insert Table 1 here.*

---

Based on the assumptions that the drought categories are continuous numbers, Figures 2 and 3 show maps describing the temporal correlation between the USDM and each of the drought indices classified using the thresholds in Table 1, which are considered in the inter-comparison of
linear correlation in weekly climate-division-based ranking of moisture conditions. The CONUS domain-averaged correlation coefficients (R) for the ASCAT (sample size N = 364, there are 364 weeks during the period 2008-2014), SMOS (N = 208, there are 208 weeks during the period 2011-2014), WindSat (N = 364), SMOPS (N = 364), NLSM (N = 364) and ESI (N = 364) retrievals are 0.38, 0.11, 0.18, 0.28, 0.40 and 0.35, respectively. The spatial patterns of the correlations between the USDM and the three BDIs agree well (Figure 3). Stronger correlations are observed over the Great Plains and the northeastern United States. These are areas of LST and vegetation indices tending to be anticorrelated, which indicates moisture-limiting vegetation growth conditions (Karnieli et al., 2010). The soil moisture-based BDIs are more sensitive to moisture condition changes. Reduced correlations between USDM and each BDI are observed over parts of the western and eastern US. In southwestern and southeastern US, the moisture changes are driven more by radiation and climate, and thus less tightly coupled with moisture-drought (Anderson et al., 2011). And in northwestern US, the short term precipitation indices used in the USDM may become desynchronized from land surface moisture conditions, because of the hydrologic delays in snowpack-forming regions (Shukla and Wood, 2008). In comparison with the USDM, the average temporal correlation coefficients for BDI_s and BDI_w are 0.36 and 0.34; while the BDI_b yields the highest correlation (R=0.43) in all of the drought estimations.

Please Insert Figures 2 and 3 here.

Based on 30-year (1985-2014) PDSI means, the correlation coefficients between PDSI standard anomalies and the drought assessments for each of the three BDIs can be found in Figure 4. The sample size for each BDI is 84, because there are 84 months during the 2008-2014 period.
The higher correlation coefficients for each BDI are found in the areas where the weather stations are relatively dense, such as in the eastern U.S, Australia and portions of Eurasia (Chen et al., 2002; Mu et al., 2013). The correlation coefficients for BDI_s, BDI_w and BDI_b in CONUS (23°~48°N, -125°~65°E) are 0.45, 0.47 and 0.47, respectively, and in Australia (-40°~10°N, 115°~165°E) are 0.50, 0.53, and 0.59, respectively. The BDI_b (0.48) also yields the highest correlation coefficient in South Africa (-35°~50°N, -20°~165°E) in comparison with the BDI_s (0.42) and BDI_w (0.44). Relative to BDI_s (0.36) and BDI_w (0.38), the BDI_b (0.40) presents successful to increase the correlation in Eurasia (-10°~55°N, -20°~175°E). In South America (-55°~10°N, -90°~30°E), the BDI_s (0.35) and BDI_w (0.43) exhibit relatively low correlations with respect to the PDSI standard anomalies, while this situation is significantly improved by the BDI_b (0.48). However, in the areas with weather stations and rain gauges sparsely distributed, the correlations between PDSI and BDIs are relatively low, such as northern Africa and the high latitude areas (Chen et al., 2002; Mu et al., 2013).

With respect to the monthly 0.5 degree 3-month SPEI standard anomalies (against 1985-2014 averages) during the period 2008-2014 (sample size is 84), the correlation coefficients over global domain for each of the three BDIs are exhibited in Figure 5. The higher correlation coefficients for each BDI are shown in CONUS, Europe, Australia, the eastern China and southern South America, where the rain gauges are relatively dense (Chen et al., 2002). The correlation coefficients for BDI_s, BDI_w and BDI_b in CONUS are 0.46, 0.48 and 0.56, respectively, and
in Australia are 0.54, 0.58, and 0.59, respectively. Relative to BDI_s (0.33) and BDI_w (0.37), the BDI_b (0.41) presents successful to increase the correlation in Eurasia. The BDI_b (0.40) also yields the highest correlation coefficient in South Africa in comparison with the BDI_s (0.33) and BDI_w (0.37). In South America, the BDI_s (0.27) and BDI_w (0.32) exhibit relatively low correlations with respect to the SPEI standard anomalies, while this situation is improved by the BDI_b (0.37). Similar to Figure 4, the low correlations between SPEI and BDIs can be found in the areas where the weather stations and rain gauges are sparsely, such as Amazon basin, northern Africa and the high latitude areas (Chen et al., 2002; Mu et al., 2013).

5. Evaluation of Drought Events using BDIs

BDI performance was also evaluated in relation to reported drought events over the 2009-2014 period (Figure 6). In general, the major annual drought patterns are captured by each BDI product at this coarse time scale. All of the three BDIs can well capture the western Russian drought of 2010 that was very long and intensive, and caused serious damage to the environment and economy (Kogan et al., 2013; Mu et al., 2013) with BDI_s showing a relatively weak signal. And both 2011 Texas drought and the US-Great Plains drought in summer 2012 (Hoerling et al., 2014; Otkin et al., 2015) are reasonably represented by the three BDIs, while major differences are noted in 2012 with BDI_s and BDI_w missing drought signals in the Eastern and Southern U.S.

According to Australian National Climate Centre (NCC) records (2009a, 2009b), an exceptional drought hit Australia in 2009, which was mitigated by the widespread heavy rainfall
throughout northern and central Australia in 2010, while the remaining drought was found in the western Australia (NCC, 2010). Frequent heavy rain events from spring 2010 to autumn 2011, and again in late 2011, lead to Australia's wettest two-year period on record, which was heavily influenced by La Niña conditions (NCC, 2012). During 2013, serious rainfall deficiencies created significant drought conditions that began to develop again and lasted over 2013-2014 period (NCC, 2013, 2014). These documented dry and wet conditions in Australia over 2009-2014 period are effectively exhibited by the annual BDIs (Figure 6) with both BDI_s and BDI_w exhibiting slight drought intensity.

Several other extreme droughts, such as 2010 Amazon drought (Lewis et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2011; Atkinson et al., 2011) and the continuous droughts during 2009-2012 period in East Africa (Lyon and DeWitt, 2012), are all well captured by the BDI_b [Figure 6(c)]. However, BDI_s tends to reduce drought intensity for above drought episodes and BDI_w cannot reasonably reflect the East Africa drought. In addition, Figure 6(c) illustrates how the western U.S. experienced abnormally dry conditions during the 2013-14 period with the most severe conditions in California, which had been experiencing its worst drought in more than a century (AghaKouchak et al., 2015; Cheng et al., 2015); yet both BDI_s and BDI_w basically miss the drought signals for the California drought event [Figures 6(a) and 6(b)].

The severe drought caused by the great Russian heat wave of 2010 lead to extensive wildfires and thousands of human deaths (Barriopedro et al. 2011). The 2010 western Russia drought started in May and lasted through November with response to the record-breaking high
temperature caused by a very strong La Niña event (Barriopedro et al. 2011; Kogan et al., 2013; Mu et al., 2013). Both BDI_s and BDI_w show the drought event ends in October 2011 with BDI_s showing lower intensity [Figures 7(a) and 7(b)]; while the monthly BDI_b results effectively capture the documented droughts in western Russia in 2010 [Figure 7(c)].

Please Insert Figure 7 here.

The 2011 drought over the U.S. Southern Great Plains seriously affected agriculture, severely impacted crop and livestock sectors and significantly influenced food prices at the retail level (Grigg, 2014; Arndt and Blunden, 2012; Tadesse et al., 2014) with the state of Texas experiencing its driest year since 1895 (Combs, 2012; Hoerling et al., 2013). This severe drought started in November 2010 and lasted through October 2011, and the dry situation was mitigated across the southeast Texas Panhandle and eastern Rolling plains in November 2011 by heavy precipitation (Combs, 2012; Tadesse et al., 2014). The BDIs are shown to the capture the evolution of the 2011 U.S drought with BDI_b providing a more reasonable representation of the observed drought conditions in in October and November 2011 [Figure 8].

Please Insert Figures 8 here.

The 2013 drought in New Zealand was one of the most extreme on record for this country. During the period of 2012-2013, the dry conditions were unusually widespread across New Zealand, and particularly serious in the North Island (National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, 2013a); which reduced agricultural production and cost the national economy at least US$1.3 billion (Herring et al., 2014). The New Zealand Drought Monitor shows the progression
and recession of the drought from October 2012 to May 2013 with the entire New Zealand experiencing the severe drought in March 2013 (National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research, 2013b). Figures 9(a) and 9(b) show both BDI_s and BDI_w cannot correctly capture the situations of 2012-2013 New Zealand drought events; while the BDI_b in Figure 9(c) perfectly exhibits the drought episodes.

-----------------------------------------------------------
Please Insert Figure 9 here.
-----------------------------------------------------------

6. Discussion

The results shown in Sections 4 and 5 indicate that the BDI_b technique, which objectively integrates drought estimations with the lowest TCEM-based RMSEs, can present more robust capability to track drought development with respect to historical records. However, there are several considerations relevant for interpreting these results. The challenges and opportunities are discussed further here associated with integration approaches and drought characteristics.

6.1 Shallow Sensing Depth of Microwave Soil Moisture

One issue that must be considered is the shallow sensing depth afforded by the microwave SM products used in this paper. The LSM modeled drought estimates are based on 0-100 cm averages which are much deeper than the top few centimeters sampling depth of the microwave SM-based retrievals. And the ESI represents temporal standardized anomalies in the ratio of actual ET to potential ET (PET), which is also dependent on the root zone SM content related to the rooting depth of the active vegetation (Hain et al., 2009; 2011; Anderson et al., 2015; Otkin et al., 2015). In fact, using the surface-only microwave remote sensing product over sparsely vegetated
areas is consistent with the properties of NLSM and ESI proxy (Yilmaz et al., 2012); and the potential vertical inconsistencies over densely vegetated areas can be effectively resolved at weekly time scales in terms of the strong linear relation between the surface and the vegetation-adjusted soil moisture simulations in Noah land surface model (Albergel et al., 2008; Yilmaz et al., 2012). Although the satellite SM retrievals can only penetrate a few centimeters depth, they represent the fastest response SM dynamics to meteorological anomalies and provide a measure for short-term droughts (Yuan et al., 2015).

6.2 Uncertainties from Defining the Errors and the Use of Standardized Anomalies

TCEM has been implemented in previous studies using in situ observations, and it shows a surprisingly robust ability of accurate evaluation on the time series (Janssen et al., 2007; Scipal et al., 2008; Miralles et al., 2010; Draper et al., 2013, Pan et al., 2015). The three retrieval sources in this study sufficiently meet the assumption that their errors should be from mutually distinct sources and are not cross-correlated. Prior to the application of TCEM, we transform all the SM time series into standardized anomalies; and their error variances thus are transformed into the same scale, satisfying the assumptions used in the TCEM to quantify the original accuracy for all of the SM retrievals (Miralles et al. 2010; Yilmaz et al., 2012; Yilmaz and Crow, 2013). However, with narrowing our focus to drought assessments in this paper, the information content of the SM-based drought estimates can absolutely reflect the possibility that certain products are of higher quality than others (Miralles et al. 2010).

6.3 Timescale of Compositing Window and Length of Record

For this study, composites are generated at 28-day time steps over 4-week moving windows for each of 6 SM retrievals. Across 2011-2014 (SMOS) and 2008-2014 (ASCAT, WindSat and SMOPS) years, the climatologies are based on samples of 112 (28 days × 4 years) for SMOS and
196 (28 days × 7 years) for ASCAT, WindSat and SMOPS. Additionally, the SM-based BDIs are also validated against PDSI and SPEI standardized anomalies with respect their 1985-2014 averages that should well capture climatological distributions. The large sample size and the regarded 30-year PDSI and SPEI averages indicate that the results shown in this paper are qualitatively stable and high likely representative of longer period, although the research periods for SMOS and other three MW SM products are 4-year and 7-year, respectively.

6.4 Errors Specific to Individual MW SM Products

Microwave remote sensing SM products suffer from the instrument noise and uncertainty in microwave emission modeling, which hampers their use in operational drought monitoring. The ASCAT SM-based drought estimations exhibit higher correlations with the USDM data sets at the regional scale and the PDSI and SPEI products on a global domain in comparison with the passive microwave SM products including WindSat and SMOS. This suggests that the weights of the active SM signals should be increased to enhance the drought monitoring capabilities of the blended products that integrate satellite SM retrievals from multiple single sensors. However, active microwave sensors such as ASCAT, have been shown to have greater uncertainty over high-elevation areas (Wagner et al., 2013), which leads to the modest ASCAT performance (e.g., central Asia). The error propagation for the remotely sensed SM products can be easily tracked in the weighting-based BDI_s and BDI_w datasets with BDI_s being significantly impacted, while this kind of uncertainty is unreasonably identified in BDI_b maps. Using uniform weighting, the BDI_s is determined by the relative importance of each quantity on the average. The improvements related to the use of high quality data and degradations related to datasets with poor retrieval quality have equal opportunities to impact the BDI_s capabilities in monitoring drought events. Although BDI_w is objectively developed according to TCEM RMSE-based weights and the
fractions of high (low) quality signals are increased (decreased), the lower weights of drought
evaluations that have larger uncertainties can still strongly degrade BDI_w’s performance.
Relative to weights-based BDI_s and BDI_w, the BDI_b can merge the drought estimation that
has lower uncertainty with ignoring the poor representation of the soil moisture condition.

6.5 Seasonal Issues

Drought monitoring and warning studies are generally focused on the drought events
occurred during the growing season; however, recent studies have claimed that much more
attention should be paid to cold season droughts since their occurrence and intensity are increasing,
such as the California drought during November-April winters of 2011/12–2013/14, the 2010-
2012 China Southwest drought, and consecutive and worsening winter drought conditions in Nepal
during 2000-2009 period (Wang et al., 2013; Yin et al., 2015a; Seager et al., 2015). However, the
remotely sensed observations used in drought monitoring are greatly hampered by the frozen soil
and low evapotranspiration, which can lead to the poor performance of weights-based BDI_s and
BDI_w in cold season with missing the drought signals. This situation can be significantly
improved by BDI_b with integrating the drought assessments that can exhibit the lowest TCEM-
based RMSE values. The statistical results show that the satellite SM signals assembled into BDI_b
are around 12%, 22%, 29% and 25% in winter (December, January and February), spring (March,
April and May), summer (June, July and August) and autumn (September, October and
November), respectively with shifting their detection toward North in the warm season (April-
September) and toward South during October-March period.

6.6 Additional future works

a. Development of Finer Resolution BDI_b
Microwave satellite sensors have proven to be effective for remotely-sensed SM because of the large contrast of dielectric properties between liquid water and dry soil (Wang et al., 1980; Njoku and Kong, 1997). However, because of the current limitation of satellite antenna technology, the spatial resolutions of the microwave SM products are generally tens of kilometers. To overcome the coarse spatial scale limitation of relatively accurate microwave SM data, several downscaling algorithms have been proposed in recent literatures (Merlin et al., 2006; Narayan et al, 2006; Zhan et al, 2006; Piles et al, 2011; Parinussa et al, 2014, Peng et al, 2016). Additionally, the land surface temperature can be retrieved from thermal infrared imagery over a broad range of spatiotemporal resolutions from several meters to couple kilometers, which allows developing the finer spatial resolution ESI product on the whole global domain (Anderson et al., 2014; Hain et al., 2017). Based on the downscaled satellite SM products and the tens of meters ESI data, the finer spatial resolution BDI_b in drought occurrence areas, which can provide much more details for decision makers, is expected to be developed in near future.

b. Integrating More Available Drought Evaluations

We proposed to objectively integrate the SM satellite observations and model simulations based on quantitative evaluations of their uncertainties derived from the TCEM. TCEM requires three data sets with their errors totally independent from each other. This requirement will be met by selecting two independent data sets as anchors and use them to evaluate other data sets that are independent from the two anchor data sets and probably similar to each other. Thus we will have the general form for Equations (2-4):

\[
\psi_{a1} = \Pi + \mu^* \\
\psi_{a2} = \Pi + \omega^* \\
\psi_e = \Pi + \rho^* 
\]

(5)
where \( \psi_{a1}, \psi_{a2} \) and \( \psi_e \) are the standardized anomalies of the two anchor data sets and the evaluating product, respectively; and \( \mu^*, \omega^* \) and \( \rho^* \) are the corresponding unknown errors. With assumption the three kinds of errors are uncorrelated (\( \mu^*\rho^* = 0, \mu^*\omega^* = 0, \rho^*\omega^* = 0 \)), their RMSE values can be given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{\xi}_{a1} &= (\psi_{a1} - \psi_{a2})(\psi_{a1} - \psi_e) = \mu^2 \\
\bar{\xi}_{a2} &= (\psi_{a2} - \psi_{a1})(\psi_{a2} - \psi_e) = \omega^2 \\
\bar{\xi}_e &= (\psi_e - \psi_{a1})(\psi_e - \psi_{a2}) = \rho^2
\end{align*}
\]

(6)

Specifically, for agricultural drought—the water deficit is the negative soil moisture anomaly that crop could not tolerate (Wilhite and Glantz, 1985; Anderson et al., 2011), the LSM simulations and the thermal infrared/near-infrared satellite observations-based ESI/Vegetation Health Index (Kogan, 1997) can be used as the anchors. Current existing and upcoming microwave SM products and in situ SM measurements are thus able to be quantitative evaluated, and in turn to be objectively integrated toward the BDI\_b.

In recent years, increased attention has also been paid to the role of previously neglected water source (e.g., irrigation, water storage) processes on the surface energy balance, since traditional soil water balance modeling is only based on vertical water flow and neglecting secondary water source due to processes (Hain et al., 2015; Kumar et al., 2016). Thus time series datasets of existing meteorological (e.g., satellite precipitation) and hydrological (e.g., satellite irrigation/water storage retrievals) drought monitoring indicators will be scaled to their standard anomalies. Based on quantitative evaluations of the TCEM-based uncertainties, short- and long-term BDI\_b products are expected to be further improved with integrating meteorological and hydrological drought assessments, respectively.
7. Conclusions

We integrated the commonly used satellite SM products, ALEXI-based ESI and LSM simulations into a subjective BDI_s and two objective BDIs (BDI_w and BDI_b) based on quantitative evaluations of the relative uncertainties of these products derived from a TCEM. Performance of the three BDIs was analyzed in comparison with drought monitoring benchmarks and the official drought records. BDI_s using the subjective weighting exhibits modest performance with trending to underestimate drought intensity. Relative to the weighting-based BDI_s and BDI_w, the BDI_b can more reasonably measure drought severity according to intensity and duration, and can provide better capability to identify the onset and end of drought episodes. Over the BDI_s and BDI_w, the BDI_b presents an advantage of higher consistence with the climatological PDSI and SPEI datasets and current operational USDM product. In addition to operational insights, the BDI_b is recommended as an indicator which can merge new upcoming satellite SM products and more available drought evaluations when they can respect to the TCEM assumptions.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>NLSM</th>
<th>ESI</th>
<th>ASCAT</th>
<th>SMOS</th>
<th>SMOPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D0</td>
<td>0 to -0.56</td>
<td>0 to -0.81</td>
<td>0 to -0.58</td>
<td>0 to -0.63</td>
<td>0 to -0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>-0.57 to -0.90</td>
<td>-0.82 to -1.12</td>
<td>-0.59 to -0.84</td>
<td>-0.64 to -1.00</td>
<td>-0.58 to -0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>-0.91 to -1.18</td>
<td>-1.13 to -1.37</td>
<td>-0.85 to -1.04</td>
<td>-1.01 to -1.23</td>
<td>-0.86 to -1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>-1.19 to -1.48</td>
<td>-1.38 to -1.67</td>
<td>-1.05 to -1.27</td>
<td>-1.23 to -1.42</td>
<td>-1.07 to -1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>-1.49 or less</td>
<td>-1.68 or less</td>
<td>-1.27 or less</td>
<td>-1.43 or less</td>
<td>-1.3 or less</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>WindSat</th>
<th>BDI_s</th>
<th>BDI_w</th>
<th>BDI_b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D0</td>
<td>0 to -0.58</td>
<td>0 to -0.34</td>
<td>0 to -0.31</td>
<td>0 to -0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>-0.59 to -0.91</td>
<td>-0.35 to -0.56</td>
<td>-0.32 to -0.47</td>
<td>-0.52 to -0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>-0.92 to -1.18</td>
<td>-0.57 to -0.87</td>
<td>-0.48 to -0.68</td>
<td>-0.78 to -1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Data Type</td>
<td>Spatial Resolution</td>
<td>Spatial Resolution</td>
<td>Period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLDAS Prep</td>
<td>Forcing data</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>3-hourly</td>
<td>2001-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESI</td>
<td>Drought Index</td>
<td>0.05°</td>
<td>weekly</td>
<td>2001-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCAT</td>
<td>Microwave SM</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>daily</td>
<td>2008-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WindSat</td>
<td>Microwave SM</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>daily</td>
<td>2008-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMOS</td>
<td>Microwave SM</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>daily</td>
<td>2008-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMOPS</td>
<td>Microwave SM</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>daily</td>
<td>2008-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDSI</td>
<td>Drought Index</td>
<td>2.5°</td>
<td>monthly</td>
<td>1985-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEI</td>
<td>Drought Index</td>
<td>0.5°</td>
<td>monthly</td>
<td>1985-2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Summary of the commonly used data sets in this paper.
Figure 1 The procedure for constructing the BDI_b using the RMSEs estimated from the Triple Collocation Error Model implemented for each grid in each calendar month. $\text{RMSE}_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum RMSE for a grid. And $\text{RMSE}_{\text{SMOPS}}$, $\text{RMSE}_{\text{NLSM}}$ and $\text{RMSE}_{\text{ESI}}$ are the monthly RMSE values for soil moisture data sets from SMOPS, NLSM and ESI cases, respectively.
Figure 2 Correlation coefficients (R) between USDM and (a) ASCAT, (b) SMOS, (c) WindSat, (d) SMOPS, (e) NLSM and (f) ESI. The grey color indicates insignificant correlations.

Figure 3 Correlation coefficients (R) between USDM and BDIs over the 2008-2014 period. The grey color indicates insignificant correlations.
Figure 4 Correlation coefficients between PDSI standard anomalies (against 1985-2014 averages) and BDIs over 2008-2014 period. The grey color indicates insignificance.
Figure 5 Correlation coefficients between SPEI standard anomalies (against 1985-2014 averages) and BDIs over 2008-2014 period. The grey color indicates insignificance.
Figure 6(a) Annual global terrestrial BDI_s patterns over the 2009-2014 period. The BDI_s ranges from negative (red) to positive (green) values indicating dry to wet conditions.
Figure 6(b) Annual global terrestrial BDI_w patterns over the 2009-2014 period. The BDI_w ranges from negative (red) to positive (green) values indicating dry to wet conditions.

Figure 6(c) Annual global terrestrial BDI_b patterns over the 2009-2014 period. The BDI_b ranges from negative (red) to positive (green) values indicating dry to wet conditions.
Figure 7(a) Monthly BDI_s on the sub-region (from 40°N, 20°E to 70°N, 80°E) domain in 2010.

Figure 7(b) Monthly BDI_w on the sub-region (from 40°N, 20°E to 70°N, 80°E) domain in 2010.
Figure 7(c) Monthly BDI_b on the sub-region (from 40ºN, 20ºE to 70ºN, 80ºE) domain in 2010.
Figure 8(a) Monthly BDI_s on the sub-region (from 25°N, -115°W to 40°N, -90°W) domain in 2011.
Figure 8(b) Monthly BDI_w on the sub-region (from 25°N, -115°W to 40°N, -90°W) domain in 2011.

Figure 8(c) Monthly BDI_b on the sub-region (from 25°N, -115°W to 40°N, -90°W) domain in 2011.
Figure 9(a) Monthly BDI_s across the New Zealand domain (from 48°S, 165°E to -33°S, 180°E) from August 2012 to July 2013.
Figure 9(b) Monthly BDI_w across the New Zealand domain (from 48ºS, 165ºE to -33ºS, 180ºE) from August 2012 to July 2013.

Figure 9(c) Monthly BDI_b across the New Zealand domain (from 48ºS, 165ºE to -33ºS, 180ºE) from August 2012 to July 2013.