Intro to Additive Manufacturing for Propulsion Systems

AIAA Joint Propulsion Conference July 9-11, 2018

Paul Gradl Omar Mireles NASA Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC) Nathan Andrews Southwest Research Institute National Aeronautics and Space Administration



SwRI

SOUTHWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE







Additive Manufacturing is real...





Successful hot-fire testing of full-scale Additive Manufacturing Part to be flown on NASA's Space Launch System (SLS) RS-25 Pogo Z-Baffle – Used existing design with additive manufacturing to reduce complexity from <u>127 welds to 4 welds</u>



Intro to Additive Manufacturing





But...don't say we didn't warn you!











General Overview and Applications

- Intro / What is AM (focus on metals)?
- Different Techniques/Comparison and Overview
- Intro of Materials
- Applications of Techniques
- Hot Fire Testing and Flight Examples
- Intro on design for AM

Design for AM and Detailed Fabrication Cases

- Details of Fab Process and Development SLM
- Material Development
- How to Design for AM
- Analysis Techniques for Builds
- Build Failures
- Overview of Certification for AM

Introduction to Additive Manufacturing



- Additive Manufacturing process of joining materials to create objects from 3D model data
- This presentation will focus exclusively on metals
- Additive Manufacturing = AM
- Additive manufacturing is not a solve-all; consider trading with other manufacturing technologies and use <u>only</u> when it makes sense
- Complete understanding of design process, build-process, and postprocessing critical to take full advantage of AM
- Additive manufacturing takes practice!







Other metal additive processes are being developed and exist such as binder-jet, material extrusion, material jetting vat photopolymerization, although public data limited at this time

Based on Ref:

Ek, K., "Additive Manufactured Metals," Master of Science thesis, KTH Royal Institute of Technology (2014).

Gradl, P., Brandsmeier, W., Calvert, M., et al., "Additive Manufacturing Overview: Propulsion Applications, Design for and Lessons Learned. Presentation," M17-6434. 1 December (2017).

ASTM Committee F42 on Additive Manufacturing Technologies. Standard Terminology for Additive Manufacturing Technologies ASTM Standard: F2792-12a. (2012).

Why use one AM technique over another?





Cost/Schedule

Material Properties

Internal Geometry



Powder-bed based Processes







- Selective Laser Melting (SLM)
 - Basic Process: Uses a layer-by-layer powder-bed approach in which the desired component features are sintered and subsequently solidified using a laser. Used widely in combustion devices applications.
 - Advantages: Allows for high resolution, fine features, including complex internal designs to be fabricated, such as cooling channels
 - <u>Disadvantages</u>: The scale for SLM is limited and does not provide a solution for all components

Electron Beam Melting

- Basic Process: Similar to SLM, but uses an electron beam instead of a laser. Not frequently used in combustion devices applications.
- Advantages: Build is performed under vacuum, which can be useful for reactive materials such as titanium

What about scale of SLM?





Gradl, P.R., Brandsmeier, W. Alberts, D., Walker, B., Schneider, J.A. Manufacturing Process Developments for Large Scale Regeneratively-cooled Channel Wall Rocket Nozzles Paper presented at 63nd JANNAF Propulsion Meeting/9th Liquid Propulsion Subcommittee, December 5-9, 2016. Phoenix, AZ.

Directed Energy Deposition (DED)



Freeform fabrication technique focused on near net shapes as a forging or casting replacement and also near-final geometry fabrication. Can be implemented using powder or wire as additive medium.

Blown Powder Deposition / Hybrid

Melt pool created by laser and off-axis nozzles inject powder into melt pool; installed on gantry or robotic system



Laser Wire Deposition

A melt pool is created by a laser and uses an offaxis wire-fed deposition to create freeform shapes, attached to robot system





Integrated and Hybrid AM

- Combine SLM/DED
- Combine AM with subtractive
- Wrought and DED



NASA SLM/DED



*Photos courtesy DMG Mori Seiki and DM3D

Arc-Based Deposition (wire)

Pulsed-wire metal inert gas (MIG) welding process creates near net shapes with the deposition heat integral to a robot



Electron Beam Deposition (wire)

An off-axis wire-fed deposition technique using electron beam as energy source; completed in a vacuum.





Directed Energy Deposition



Material properties are dependent on a number of processing parameters (material, build rates, environment, orientation...) => highly variable



Inco 625 As-Built - Axial





Materials continually being evolved



Materials developed for SLM and DED processes*











Actual Process Flow





Each process step also includes a series of additional tasks in order to properly design, build, or complete post-processing

Additively-Manufactured combustion chambers Swr

- MSFC has developed over 10 unique AM chambers between 2013-2018
 - Materials: Inco 625, Inco 718, GRCop-84, C-18150, Monel K-500
 - Propellants: LOX/GH2, LOX/LCH4, LOX/RP-1
 - Additive Process: SLM and SLM/DED
 - Over 110 starts and 6100+ seconds of hot fire test .
- Chambers have been fabricated using SLM powder bed AM technique, with a few test articles incorporating DED techniques for a bimetallic end product.



Examples of Chambers



- Additive manufacturing is enabling materials that were historically difficult to process or expensive
 - GRCop-84 (currently working with GRCop-42, C-18150)



Ref: Chris Protz, Sandy Greene, Ken Cooper/ NASA MSFC



Bimetallic Components using Additive



- NASA has developed bimetallic combustion chambers using Copperalloy liners and Inconel structural jacket (GRCop-84 to Inco 625)
 - SLM to fabricate the liner and DED for structural support
 - Similar processes used for Spark Ignition Systems with bimetallic but using wrought material and DED (C-18150 to Inco 625)





Examples of Injectors







1.2K LOX/Hydrogen Injector First Tested in June 2013. >7200 seconds hotfire

100lbf LOX/Propane Nanolaunch Injector. Built 2012. Tested 2013.



Methane 4K Injector with printed manifolds, parametric features. Tested Sept 2015.

20K LPS Subscale Injector. Tested August 2013



35K AMDE Injector with Welded Manifolds, Tested 2015



LOX/Methane Gas Generator Injector, Tested Summer 2017

- MSFC has developed a total of 10 unique AM injectors between 2012-2018
 - Materials: Inco 625, Inco 718, Monel K-500
 - Element Types: swirl coax, shear coax, FOF
 - Number of Elements: ranging from 6 to 62
 - Diameters: ranging from 1.125" to 7.5"
 - Hot fire tests performed on 7 of these 10 AM injectors
- To date, all MSFC injector designs have been manufactured with a powder-bed process.
- Advantages of AM application to injectors:
 - Reduction of reducing part count, braze/weld operations, cost, and schedule
 - Allows non-conventional manifolding schemes and element designs
- Challenges of AM fabrication of injectors:
 - Feature size resolution (particularly radial to the build direction)
 - Excessive surface roughness
 - Removing powder prior to heat treatments (even stress relief) is both necessary and challenging





Selective Laser Melting

- Diameter is limited
- High resolution features
- Slow deposition rates



Laser Wire Deposition

Directed Energy Deposition

- Scale is not limited
- High deposition rates
- Loss of resolution (compared to SLM)
- (3) DED techniques being evolved
- Potential for casting and forging replacements



Arc-Wire Deposition





Blown Powder Deposition



NASA Low Cost Upper Stage Propulsion



Examples of AM Turbomachinery







Basic Consideration in Design and Printing Sur

- The printer is going to (attempt to) print geometry based on the CAD model
- Most 3D printers use .stl files (stereolithography)
 - .stl files are flat triangles used to approximate CAD geometry
 - The .stl file is sliced into layers to generate the laser toolpath / code
- Have observed significant differences in surfaces, although based on geometric features
- Finer resolution files are significantly larger and machines can be limited on toolpath code

Same CAD file with different export parameters



Considerations in Design in Printing



- Angled feature designs are limited (measured from horizontal)
 - Features <45° normally require support
 - Features >45° normally do not require support
 - Consider features in all dimensions
- Holes cannot be printed as true holes if larger diameter
 - Largest unsupported hole ~ .250"
 - Smallest hole/feature ~.030"

Overhangs can be created, but require supports (and subsequent removal)



Angled wall design example







Considerations in Design and Printing

- Design and analysis needs to consider surface finishes for internal and external features
- Internal passages may need to be oversized to account for burn-thru or undersized hole
- Support material should be understood in design phase
 - Placement of support material is important
 - How support material is removed is equally important
 - Ask your operator or vendor
 - Support material highly dependent on print orientation

Ref: Will Brandsmeier, Kevin Baker, Dwight Goodman / NASA MSFC ER34





Considerations in Design and Printing



- Print orientation is critical evolve the CAD design with AM machine operator or vendor
 - Print orientation is not always obvious; supports may be minimized in a complex angled orientation
- Print volume should be considered
 - Bolt holes required for the build plate
 - Build plate (~1" thick) takes up part of the build height
- Test print in plastic during design phase
 - Inexpensive method to identify issues with design and model
 - Determine design issues, bad design features and actual feature issues can be resolved with test prints





Considerations during Pre-processing and Printing



- Heat control is critical and can cause significant deformations or failures
 - May be driven by original design (too thick or thermal gradients too high across varying cross sections)
 - May be impacted by adjacent parts or witness specimens
- Material curl caused by coater arm damage
 - Based on knife edges during design
- Stops and starts are also common in 3D prints, causes knit lines
 - Refill of powder in dose chamber
 - Issue observed that requires visual







Considerations during Design and Post-Processing



- Geometric Dimensioning and Tolerancing (GD&T) needs to be considered during design for ease of post-processing
 - Cylinders for better positional tolerance at feature level
 - Grooved for axial location
 - Flat surfaces for datums
 - Extra holes for powder removal
 - Additional stock material for critical features that will be post-machined
- Holes only when required or in softer materials
 - Existing printed holes can cause machine tools to "walk"
 - Do not print threads; post-machine
 - Undersize holes for reaming and tapping





Intro to Additive Manufacturing for Propulsion Systems

AIAA Joint Propulsion Conference July 9-11, 2018

> Omar Mireles Paul Gradl NASA Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC) Nathan Andrews Southwest Research Institute

National Aeronautics and Space Administration



SwRI

SOUTHWEST RESEARCH INSTITUTE







Additive Manufacture (AM)

• NASA AM Objectives

- Design optimized components & test at relevant conditions
- Decrease production lead time & costs
- Develop Flight Certification Standards

Appropriate Application

- High complexity & difficult to manufacture
- Low production rate
- Long lead time & high cost

Advantages

- Increased design freedom and customization
- Near net-shape complex geometry
- Part count reduction
- Performance improvement (i.e. weight reduction)
- One-off and discontinued parts
- Shorter lead times
- Properties better than cast, 10-15% below wrought

AMDE Ox TurbopumpAMDE Fuel Turbopump TeStator. Courtesy DerekCourtesy Marty Calvert.

Cryo Heat Exchanger, Injector, Condenser





O'Neal.





Disadvantages



- Misconceptions
 - <u>MORE</u> expensive than traditional manufacturing (high hourly rates offset by reducing labor costs).
 - Waste generation: spent powder, build plates, failed builds.
 - Substantial touch labor.
- Disadvantages:
 - Powder Bed Fusion (PBF) limited to weldable alloys
 - Build envelope size limits
 - Design constraints: overhang surfaces, minimum hole size
 - Surface roughness
 - As built microstructure will require post processing
- Property Variability
 - Properties dependent on starting powders, parameters, and post-processing
 - Anisotropic properties in the build direction (Z)
 - Size: small-scale vs. full-scale builds
 - Build volume spatial location



Spent build plates and oversized powder



Vacuumed power





COMPLEXITY IS NOT FREE

Think instead: Conservation of Complexity



SLM Operations







Advantages to Rocket Engine Development



Injector

Cost Reduction by 30% Reduced parts from 252 to 6 Eliminated braze joints Successfully tested to 100%



Main Oxidizer Valve Reduced parts from 6 to 1 Successfully tested



Fuel Turbopump Schedule Reduction by 45% Reduced parts from 40 to 22 Successfully tested - 90,000 RPM



Main Fuel Valve Reduced parts from 5 to 1 Successfully tested



Combustion Chamber Schedule Reduction > 50% Bimetallic SLM/DED Successfully tested to 100%



Oxidizer Turbopump Reduced parts from 80 to 41 Currently being tested





MSFC Metal AM Machines





Concept Laser M2 250x250x280 mm Power 400 W Laser Diameter: 70 μm Material: GRCop84, GRCop42





- **Concept Laser M1** 250x250x250 mm Power: 400 W Laser Diameter: 70 μm Material: IN718, IN625, Monel K500.
 - **Concept Laser X-Line 1000R** 630x400x500 mm Power 1000 W Laser Diameter : 70 μm Material: IN718



EOS M290 250x250x325 mm Power: 400 W Laser Diameter : 80 μm Materials: IN718, IN625.

EOS M100

SO

Ø100x95 mm
Power: 200 W
Laser Diameter: 40 μm
Material: Ti64, 316L, CoCr, W, Haynes 230.
In-development: Monel K500, Haynes 282,
Ta, W-25Re, Mo, Mo-41Re, Mo-47.5Re,
C103, etc.



AM Process Flow



DESIGN & ANALYSIS

- Performance Requirements -Design for AM, GD&T, export .stl



BUILD PREPARATION

- Repair .stl
- Build placement & orientation
- Thermal stress/distortion prediction
- Support generation

SlicingScan strategy



BUILD OPERATIONS

- Machine preparation
- Build via parameters
- Process Controls
- Powder refill
- Lens cleaning
- Restarts

POST-PROCESS

- Powder Removal
- Stress Relieve
- Support Removal
- Plate Separation
- HIP
- Heat Treatments
- Machine/Surface mod
- Mechanical Testing







IMPLEMENTATION

- Test & post-ops inspection
- NDE / Destructive evaluation





- Holes & Passages
 - Size limits (Horizontal: Min: 0.4 mm, Max: 8 mm; Vertical: Min: 0.4 mm, Max: unlimited).
 - Channel surface roughness variable on size: powder sintering for smaller OD and overhang angle for larger OD.
 - Hole sag in the Z-axis: circular hole becomes a horizontal ellipse, vertical ellipse becomes near-circular hole.





- Stainless steels: 30 degrees
- Inconels: 45 degrees
- Titanium: 20-30 degrees
- Aluminium: 45 degrees
- Cobalt Chrome: 30 degrees

Self-Supporting Angles



1 mm hole array micrographs (45°)



Courtesy Melissa Orme, Morf3D

Hole size & surface roughness

The design engineer of the 21st century is successful if parts can be repeatedly and economically manufactured.


Advanced Design for AM



Topology Optimization

- Designer provides a design then specifies no-mod zones, constraints, loads, material, and FS.
- Program generates a design by subtracting unnecessary mass regions.
- Apply when interface, flow, or thermal features are required but mass reduction is desired.



Topology Optimization FDM Tool Rack. Courtesy Zach Jones.



Generative Design

- Define interface geometries, enclosure, constraints, loads, material and FS.
- Software generates numerous point designs and displays an an Ashby chart.
- Select and prioritize optimized designs: mass, strength, stiffness.
- Apply when mass and structure dominate.

Generative Design. Courtesy Autodesk.



Lattice Structure Applications



- Relative density & surface area gradients.
- Reduce weight, retain stiffness.
- Gas/liquid permeable solid: porous foam & Regimesh replacement.
- Metal Matrix Composite (infiltrate).
- Custom property potential: mimic properties of different materials in the same part using the same material in adjacent regions.
- Computationally expensive.



CFM Magnetically Coupled Rotor, Heat Exchanger, LAD demos



Lattice Regen

Chamber Demo

ECLSS 4-Bed Molucular Sieve (4BMS-X) Heater Plate



Green Propulsion Thruster & Stand-Off



Cryo Heat Exchanger-Injector-Condenser Demo



KSC O₂ Generator Cold-Head

Part Orientation, Supports, Slicing, Parameter

The purpose of support structures in metal AM are to hold down the part to the build plate, preventing upward distortion. Supports are sacrificial and are built to be less dense and thin.



Supports examples



AMPed LOX Impeller Iterations vs. overhang surfaces. Courtesy Marty Calvert.



Hybrid crown & perforated block support



Powder Removal Features



Machine interfaces

Build Simulation: Residual Stress & Distortion Failure Prediction



AMPd Engine LOX Impeller (Shrouded) V1 on EOS M290. Build time - \$0.3k (3 hrs), Powder - \$0.01k (0.25 kg), Saw - \$0.2k, Plate resurface - \$0.2k, Total - \$0.71k



MET1 Injector V1 on EOS M290. Build time - \$5.5k (55 hrs), Powder - \$0.32k (5.82 kg), Saw - \$0.2k, Plate resurface - \$0.2k, Unsuccessful total - \$6.22k. Successful total \$6.22k. Total Cost \$12.44k. 15 minute long simulation.





Your widget will change the world......how can you print it?

















What happened????





Improvements to build plan.



Successful build!







Build Artifacts & Defects





Witness marks on the surface and interior





Edge Porosity can result from an excessive beam offset.



Build Failure Examples





Short feed where insufficient/non-uniform powder distribution occurs. Over time the powder layer will be excessively thick when corrected and the laser melt pool will not be sufficiently deep to bond the thick layer to substrate underneath. The re-coater blade is eventually damaged by curling.



Swelling (curling) results from geometries that taper (overhangs) to thin segments and are susceptible to local overheating then swelling. The thin segment can then be curled by the re-coater blade resulting in downstream short feeds. This can result in part delamination.



Build Failure Examples





Unsupported overhanging surface. Courtesy Travis Davis.



Part separation from support structure



Corrupted build file



Machine to machine variation





Damaged re-coater blade



Build Failure Examples





Horizontal Lack of Fusion (LOF) defect from ejecta.



H-LOF defect from insufficient laser power (set point or attenuation).



Vertical-LOF defect from wide hatch spacing.



LOF defects decrease mechanical properties such as tensile strength, elongation, high cycle fatigue.

Courtesy Arthur Brown















What happened? ???























What happened? ???







What happened???!...Another Clue





- Large amounts of sintered material -> Thermal stresses in build plate
- Bolt broke
- Corner elevated resulting in offset of parts
 - Laser doesn't know (or care) so it keeps printing original coordinates onto "new shifted datum"















What happened? ???







- Root Cause: Second bolt broke causing an additional shift in build plate
 - Symptom 1: Offset in laser/part datum
 - Symptom 2: Newly created layers now "overhung" and were able to curl and separate
 - Symptom 3: Recoater blade strikes deformed layers and is damaged
 - Symptom 4: Complete recoater mayhem





- Use a thicker build plate
- Increased dosage factor on build setup









What happened?!?!

- Residual stresses in part were allowed to remain (part not removed from plate, no heat treat, etc.)
- Crack initiated and eventually spread through part.









Packing density & PSD. Courtesy Metal AM, Winter 2017.



Broken Agglomerated Irregular Satellited Powder Morphology. Courtesy Metal AM, Winter 2017.



Build Process





Courtesy Concept Laser.



Scan Strategy & Microstructure





Porosity & weld pool path in AlSi10Mg



Weld pool path in AlSi10Mg



Weld pool depth of IN718



Gas porosity in AlSi10Mg. Trace H_2O reacts with Al to form H_2 bubbles in the melt pool that are trapped upon solidification.



Shrinkage (keyhole) porosity in IN718 results from high laser power or fast scan speed.



Post-Processing





Unpack & Vacuum





Downdraft Table

Compressed Air



Sintered Powder



Stress Relief



Plate removal (band saw or wire EDM)



Support Removal

Sieve Powder



Stress Relief



63

- Stress Relief Reduces residual stress as a result of the SLM process.
 - IN718: 1065 ± 14 °C, 1.5 hrs -5/+15 min in argon, furnace cool venting to air as soon as allowable.
- *Recrystallization* Microstructure change from dendritic (stressed) to equiaxed grains (stress free).



Cooling shrinkage behavior.







Microstructure of IN718

 $\gamma + \gamma'' + OSP^*$

 $\gamma + \gamma'' + OSP$

- IN718 is a precipitation strengthened alloy^{1,2}
 - $-\gamma$ matrix solid solution: Ni-Cr, face-centered cubic (FCC).
 - $-\gamma'$ phase: Ni₃(Al, Ti, Nb), FCC.
 - $-\gamma''$ phase: Ni₃Nb, body centered tetragonal (BCT).
 - $-~\delta$ phase: Ni₃Nb, orthorhombic (needle-like).
 - MC-type carbide phase: (Nb,Ti)C, FCC.
 - Laves phase: (Fe,Ni)₂Nb, hexagonal close packed (C14). Intermetallic prone to cracking.
- Solidification sequence^{1,2}
 - $L \rightarrow L + \gamma$ (1359 °C), $L \rightarrow \gamma + MC$ (1289 °C), $L \rightarrow \gamma + Laves$ (1160 °C).
 - $-~\delta$ phase precipitate (solid state reaction) at 1145 \pm 5 °C.
 - $-~\gamma^\prime$ and $\gamma^{\prime\prime}$ phases precipitate at 1000 \pm 20 $^\circ C.$









IN718 Microstructure. Courtesy Reed.

Microstructural change & phase evolution of IN718¹.

Iomogenization

1100°C, 1h Furnace coolin

γ+δ+MC

 $\gamma + \gamma'' + \delta + MC$

¹Courtesy Mostafa et. al, 2017.
²Manikandan, 2015.
³Courtesy Bhadeshia, 2018.4



Hot Isostatic Press (HIP)



HIP – Closeout porosity and potential to heal defects.



COMPO 20.0kV X500 WD 10.1mm 10µm UufAL SEI 20.0kV X1.600 WD 10.1mm Monel K500 SEM BSE micrographs 500x (L) and 1600x (R) showing porosity along grain boundaries. Courtesy UA Senior Materials Team.



HIP pore close-out. Courtesy Metal AM, Winter 2017.



MSFC HIP Furnace



large machined cavity in a 1" diameter In625 bar that they were able to close with HIP; Courtesy Mark Battison (Quintus)



SLM IN718 Tensile Strength vs. Condition. Courtesy Hazeli.



Homogenization: Solutionize & Age



- Solutionize: Creates γ as the only stable phase in solution then quench to supersaturate the solution.
 - AMS 5664: 1066 ± 13°C, time thickness dependent, air quench.
- Age: γ " nucleate uniformly in the microstructure and grown to an optimal size.
 - AMS 5664: 760°C for 8h (γ" forms), cool to 650°C, hold for 20 h (γ" grow), air cool.



MSFC Vacuum Furnace









- Structured Light Scanning
 - Surface mapping
 - Geometric distortion/deviation
 - Limited spatial resolution
 - Equipment expensive but operation relatively inexpensive
- X-ray radiography & CT
 - Detect trapped powder
 - Large flaws
 - Limited spatial resolution (excludes micro-focus CT)
 - Material determines scan time/resolution
 - Expensive & time consuming
- Other
 - Visual / Borescope
 - In-situ
 - Ultrasonic
 - Penetrant
 - Infrared



Visual Borescope



Structured Light Scanning



CAD-scan data comparison



Radiograph showing powder filled channels



In-situ Inspections



CT showing trapped powder in a manifold



Known flaws in AlSi10Mg block. Left: Regular CT. Right: Micro-CT 6



Surface Finish Modification



• As built roughness

- PSD & parameters influence Ra.
- High cycle fatigue (HCF) knock down due to near-surface porosity.
- Surface finish modification
 - Shot peen
 - Tumble
 - Machine
 - Extrude/slurry hone
 - MicroTek (removes 0.05 mm)
 - Electro-polish



Software induced tesselation







Measurement result







As-built surfaces of AlSi10Mg on Concept Laser X-Line.



No. Measurement name Measured value Unit

5.4351 µm



Material	R _a (μm)
Inconel 718	5.05
GRCop-84	5.44
AlSi10Mg	3.29

Typical as-built surface roughness (SLM)





I want to try something I'd actually use...









Closed Centrifugal Compressor Impellors









Closed Centrifugal Compressor Impellors







Print and Remove Part

Looks Good So It Must Be Right? How Can We Make Sure?



Post Process







Destructive Evaluation

Impeller

Table 2. Dimensional Accuracy of Manufactured Impellers

Impeller Exit Width

Flow Path



NDE

Support material remains after extrude hone finish



Figure 12. Magnified View of Fillet Region Between Impelle Blade and Shroud





Figure 13. Comparison of Grain Size Between Wrought Inconel Figure 14. Magnification of DMLS Inconel 718 Sample Showing Micro-Porosity 718 and DMLS Inconel 718

Application Testing



Test (MU2=0.5

0.05

0.09

Flow Coefficient Figure 17. Comparison of Predicted and Tested Head vs. Flow Coefficient

0.11

0.13

03

0.2

0.03



Allison, T.C., Moore, J.J., Rimpel, A.M., Wilkes, J.C., Pelton, R., Wygant, K., "Manufacturing and Testing Experience with Direct Metal Laser Sintering for Closed Centrifugal Compressor Impellers," Proceedings of 43rd Turbomachinery Symposium, Houston, TX, September 2014.




- Covered impeller for a compressor operating near the critical point in sCO2 cycle.
- Made using DMLS using Inconel 718
- Hanwha Techwin and SwRI have tested several impellers manufactured using this process
 - Internal testing has shown very good material properties can be achieved
- Passed spin testing for balance, overspeed, and performance
 - Geometry scaled up and performed in air.
- The resulting design is expected to achieve a significant range improvement over a traditional stage design.

Pelton, R., Allison, T.C., Smith, N., Jung, J., "Design of a Wide-Range Centrifugal Compressor Stage for Supercritical CO2 Power Cycles," *Proceedings of ASME Turbo Expo 2017: Turbomachinery Technical Conference and Exposition*, Charlotte, NC, June 2017.

Extend Into New Applications











What is my material.....really?













1400

1600

1800



Figure 2: Cast Inconel 738 Creep Sample Data and Associated Test Points (Denoted by Green Accent), Heat Treat - 2050F, 2 hrs, AC +1550F, 24 hrs, AC (data taken from [8])

Specimen ID	Test	Diameter	Ulumate	riela	Elongation	Reduction	Fracture
	Temper	(Inches)	Strength	Strength	(%)	Of Area (%)	Location
S1	1330	0.2507	162,000	113,000	17.5	27.1	Gage
S2	1330	0.2493	161,100	113,000	16.8	23.9	Gage
S3	1100	0.2498	190,600	111,600	15.4	23.5	Gage
S4	1100	0.2496	191,400	113,100	15.6	22	Gage
R1	1330	0.2507	161,300	114,300	21.6	34.1	Gage
R2	1330	0.2507	161,700	115,200	23.4	37.3	Gage
R3	1100	0.2509	185,800	113,600	15.2	23.1	Gage
R4	1100	0.251	185,700	112,800	14.6	22.1	Gage









MSFC AM Flight Certification Standard



- Standardization is essential for consistent and reliable production of flight critical AM components.
- NASA cannot wait for organizations to issue standards since human spaceflight programs already rely on AM:
 - Commercial Crew
 - SLS
 - Orion
- Objective: Develop an appropriate AM standard
 - MSFC-STD3716 & MSFC-STD-3717.
 - Draft released in 2015 for peer review.
 - Final revision released October 2017.
 - Iterative (living) document.



Process specification: From powder to acceptance





MSFC-STD-3716 & -3717



Standard for Additively Manufactured Spaceflight Hardware by Laser Powder Bed Fusion in Metals.













Contact: Paul Gradl NASA MSFC 256.544.2455 Paul.R.Gradl@nasa.gov Contact: Omar Mireles NASA MSFC 256.544.6327 Omar.R.Mireles@nasa.gov





Contact: Nathan Andrews Southwest Research Institute 210.522.3543 Nathan.Andrews@swri.org



Acknowledgements



- Ken Cooper
- Zach Jones
- Jim Lydon
- Will Brandsmeier
- Chris Protz
- Sandy Greene
- Jim Hulka
- Adam Willis
- Bob Witbrodt
- Dave Reynolds
- Chance Garcia
- Jessica Wood
- Dave Ellis
- Dave Eddleman
- Travis Davis
- Kevin Baker
- Dwight Goodman
- Darron Rice
- Cory Medina
- Ian Johnston
- John Forbes
- Robin Osborne
- Gregg Jones
- Greg Barnett
- Brad Bullard
- John Fikes

- Phillip Steele
- Brian West

٠

- John Ivester
- James Walker
- David Myers
- Ron Beshears
- Majid Babai
- MSFC ER34, ER36
- MSFC EM42
- Nick Case
- Graham Nelson
- Cynthia Sprader
- David Olive
- MSFC Test Stand 115 and 116
 Crews
- MSFC ET10
- NASA Liquid Engines Office
- Steve Wofford
- Carol Jacobs
- Andy Hardin
- Mike Shadoan
- Mike Kynard
- Kristin Morgan
- John Vickers
- Doug Wells
- Timothy Allison

- Jason Wilkes
- Kevin Hoopes
- John Helfand
- Carl Popelar
- Aaron M. Rimpel
- J. Jeffrey Moore
- Natalie Smith
- Robert Pelton
- Karl Wygant
- Sewoong Jung
- Several Industry Partners: Keystone, Laser Tech Assoc, DM3D, ASRC Federal Astronautics, Stratasys, ProCAM, Formalloy, Joining Tech, Alabama Laser, Fraunhofer, Metal Research, Linear AMS/Moog, EOS, Concept Laser, 3DMT Samsung Techwin, Hanwha Techwin