Assimilation of GMI and ATMS observations in the rainbands of hurricanes

Isaac Moradi^{1,2} Frank Evans³, William McCarty¹ 1. GMAO/GSFC/NASA, 2. ESSIC, University of Maryland, 3. U. of Colorado

Fall 2018 NASA Sounder Science Team Meeting October 1, 2018 - October 5, 2018 Greenbelt, Maryland

October 3, 2018





・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ モ ト ・

This work is supported by the NASA Data for Operation and Assessment program, grant# NNX17AE89G. Many thanks to NDOA Program Manager, Dr. Tsengdar Lee.

Overview



- Due to limitations in directly assimilating microwave cloudy observations in the rain-bands of hurricanes, a new technique named Bayesian Monte Carlo Integration (BMCI) is introduced.
- The BMCI technique is used to retrieve T, q, wind speed and several other parameters from microwave radiances.
- These retrievals can be either directly used by forecasters to evaluate the structure of hurricanes or be assimilated into NWP models.



The relation between the observations (*O*) and the forward operator (*H*) can be expressed as: $O = H(\vec{x}, \vec{p_b}, \vec{p_s}) + \epsilon$

 \vec{x} state vector, $\vec{p_b}$ parameters such as shape and size distribution of hydrometers, $\vec{p_s}$ indicates the scattering parameters (e.g., phase function)



The relation between the observations (*O*) and the forward operator (*H*) can be expressed as: $O = H(\vec{x}, \vec{p_b}, \vec{p_s}) + \epsilon$

 \vec{x} state vector, $\vec{p_b}$ parameters such as shape and size distribution of hydrometers, $\vec{p_s}$ indicates the scattering parameters (e.g., phase function) **Non-linearity in the forward model:** \vec{x} is the mean value of the model variables within grid-box and because H is a non-linear: $\overline{H(\vec{x})} \neq H(\vec{x})$.



	H(X)	H(X)
tion Off		



The relation between the observations (*O*) and the forward operator (*H*) can be expressed as: $O = H(\vec{x}, \vec{p_b}, \vec{p_s}) + \epsilon$

 \vec{x} state vector, $\vec{p_b}$ parameters such as shape and size distribution of hydrometers, $\vec{p_s}$ indicates the scattering parameters (e.g., phase function)

Non-linearity in the forward model: \vec{x} is the mean value of the model variables within grid-box snf because H is a non-linear: $\overline{H(\vec{x})} \neq H(\vec{x})$. **Inaccuracy in the first-guess:** the models do not provide a close first guess for cloud parameters or clouds are often displaced.



The relation between the observations (*O*) and the forward operator (*H*) can be expressed as: $O = H(\vec{x}, \vec{p_b}, \vec{p_s}) + \epsilon$

 \vec{x} state vector, $\vec{p_b}$ parameters such as shape and size distribution of hydrometers, $\vec{p_s}$ indicates the scattering parameters (e.g., phase function)

Non-linearity in the forward model: \vec{x} is the mean value of the model variables within grid-box snf because H is a non-linear: $\overline{H(\vec{x})} \neq H(\vec{x})$. **Inaccuracy in the first-guess:** the models do not provide a close first guess for cloud parameters or clouds are often displaced.

Lack of required RT inputs: $\vec{p_s}$ are neither provided by the model nor fully measurable in real world thus are estimated from limited in-situ and aircraft measurements.



The relation between the observations (*O*) and the forward operator (*H*) can be expressed as: $O = H(\vec{x}, \vec{p_b}, \vec{p_s}) + \epsilon$

 \vec{x} state vector, $\vec{p_b}$ parameters such as shape and size distribution of hydrometers, $\vec{p_s}$ indicates the scattering parameters (e.g., phase function)

Non-linearity in the forward model: \vec{x} is the mean value of the model variables within grid-box snf because H is a non-linear: $\overline{H(\vec{x})} \neq H(\vec{x})$. **Inaccuracy in the first-guess:** the models do not provide a close first guess for cloud parameters or clouds are often displaced.

- **Lack of required RT inputs:** $\vec{p_s}$ are neither provided by the model nor fully measurable in real world thus are estimated from limited in-situ and aircraft measurements.
- **Simplified RT models:** Operational RT models that use a simplified RT framework, such as spherical hydrometeors, which is not appropriate at higher microwave frequencies where ice scattering is important.



The relation between the observations (*O*) and the forward operator (*H*) can be expressed as: $O = H(\vec{x}, \vec{p_b}, \vec{p_s}) + \epsilon$

 \vec{x} state vector, $\vec{p_b}$ parameters such as shape and size distribution of hydrometers, $\vec{p_s}$ indicates the scattering parameters (e.g., phase function)

Non-linearity in the forward model: \vec{x} is the mean value of the model variables within grid-box snf because H is a non-linear: $\overline{H(\vec{x})} \neq H(\vec{x})$. **Inaccuracy in the first-guess:** the models do not provide a close first guess for cloud parameters or clouds are often displaced.

Lack of required RT inputs: $\vec{p_s}$ are neither provided by the model nor fully measurable in real world thus are estimated from limited in-situ and aircraft measurements.

Simplified RT models: Operational RT models that use a simplified RT framework, such as spherical hydrometeors, which is not appropriate at higher microwave frequencies where ice scattering is important.
Assuming Gaussian Errors: DA systems assume Gaussian error statistics, examined using the departures, but in the case of cloudy radiances the departures are likely to be non-Gaussian

Global Modeling and a gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov

The BMCI technique can be summarized in three steps:

• generation of a retrieval database of atmospheric state and cloud variables using a-priori information. The database should also include extreme cases as the extrapolation is not allowed.

The BMCI technique can be summarized in three steps:

- generation of a retrieval database of atmospheric state and cloud variables using a-priori information. The database should also include extreme cases as the extrapolation is not allowed.
- the atmospheric state and cloud variables are fed into the RT model to generate the synthetic observations. In addition to the state variables such as temperature, water vapor, and cloud profiles, cloud microphysics and parameterization such as particles' shape and size distribution are also utilized as input.



The BMCI technique can be summarized in three steps:

- generation of a retrieval database of atmospheric state and cloud variables using a-priori information. The database should also include extreme cases as the extrapolation is not allowed.
- the atmospheric state and cloud variables are fed into the RT model to generate the synthetic observations. In addition to the state variables such as temperature, water vapor, and cloud profiles, cloud microphysics and parameterization such as particles' shape and size distribution are also utilized as input.
- real measurements along with the generated database are given to the retrieval package, then the retrieval package will select the cases which are close to the real measurements and integrate them according to the Bayes' theorem to give the estimate of the mean and uncertainty of the state and cloud variables.

BMCI technique





Evans et al., 2012

Global Modeling and Assimilation gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov

Retrieval Database







$$p_{post}(\vec{x}|\vec{y}) = \frac{p_f(\vec{y}|\vec{x})p_p(\vec{x})}{\int p_f(\vec{y}|\vec{x})p_p(\vec{x})d\vec{x'}} = > Posterior = \frac{Likelihood \times Prior}{Marginal \ Likelihood}$$

The retrieved values for atmospheric or cloud state (\hat{x}) can be computed by integrating over the posterior pdf:

$$\hat{x} = \int \vec{x} p_{post}(\vec{x}|\vec{y}) dx$$

$$\hat{x} = \frac{\sum_{i} x_{i} p_{f}(\vec{y} | \vec{x_{i}})}{\sum_{i} p_{f}(\vec{y} | \vec{x_{i}})}$$

The variance (error) of the posterior pdf is calculated as:

$$\sigma_x^2 = \int (x - \hat{x})^2 p_{post}(\vec{x}|\vec{y}) dx$$

NASA

The conditional pdf can be defined using the probability density of the measured vectors for any given atmospheric state (j channel number):

$$P(\vec{y}|\vec{x}) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_j^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{[\vec{y_j} - H_j(\vec{x})]^2}{2\sigma_j^2}\right)$$

 σ is the noise in the measurements and \hat{x} now can be calculated as:

$$\hat{x} = \frac{\sum_{i} \vec{x_{i}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\chi^{2}\right)}{\sum_{i} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\chi^{2}\right)}$$
$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{[\vec{y_{j}} - H_{j}(\vec{x})]^{2}}{\sigma_{j}^{2}}$$



• Adding temperature profile retrieval capability as well as the ocean skin temperature and near surface wind speed



- Adding temperature profile retrieval capability as well as the ocean skin temperature and near surface wind speed
- Computing ice particle scattering properties at new frequencies and generating new scattering tables



- Adding temperature profile retrieval capability as well as the ocean skin temperature and near surface wind speed
- Computing ice particle scattering properties at new frequencies and generating new scattering tables
- Implementing the FASTEM microwave ocean surface emissivity model, both forward and adjoint, in the BMCI code



- Adding temperature profile retrieval capability as well as the ocean skin temperature and near surface wind speed
- Computing ice particle scattering properties at new frequencies and generating new scattering tables
- Implementing the FASTEM microwave ocean surface emissivity model, both forward and adjoint, in the BMCI code
- Modifying the original CloudSat reflectivity profile based CDF/EOF program to also use GPM Dual-frequency Precipitation Radar (DPR) reflectivity profiles



- Adding temperature profile retrieval capability as well as the ocean skin temperature and near surface wind speed
- Computing ice particle scattering properties at new frequencies and generating new scattering tables
- Implementing the FASTEM microwave ocean surface emissivity model, both forward and adjoint, in the BMCI code
- Modifying the original CloudSat reflectivity profile based CDF/EOF program to also use GPM Dual-frequency Precipitation Radar (DPR) reflectivity profiles



• Analyzing in situ warm cloud and rain microphysical data from the Hurricane Research Division (HRD) and generating stochastic profiles of warm liquid cloud profiles

Improvments to the BMCI Retrievals



- Analyzing in situ warm cloud and rain microphysical data from the Hurricane Research Division (HRD) and generating stochastic profiles of warm liquid cloud profiles
- Adding ERA-Interim profiles of stratospheric temperature and water vapor matched to CloudSat times and locations to complement the CloudSat ECMWF-AUX profiles (which only reach 24 km).

Improvments to the BMCI Retrievals



- Analyzing in situ warm cloud and rain microphysical data from the Hurricane Research Division (HRD) and generating stochastic profiles of warm liquid cloud profiles
- Adding ERA-Interim profiles of stratospheric temperature and water vapor matched to CloudSat times and locations to complement the CloudSat ECMWF-AUX profiles (which only reach 24 km).
- Modifying the CDF-EOF algorithm to allow for clear layers using a hydrometeor masking procedure for ice, rain, and liquid cloud



- Analyzing in situ warm cloud and rain microphysical data from the Hurricane Research Division (HRD) and generating stochastic profiles of warm liquid cloud profiles
- Adding ERA-Interim profiles of stratospheric temperature and water vapor matched to CloudSat times and locations to complement the CloudSat ECMWF-AUX profiles (which only reach 24 km).
- Modifying the CDF-EOF algorithm to allow for clear layers using a hydrometeor masking procedure for ice, rain, and liquid cloud
- Modifying the 1D Bayesian retrieval program to input the new CDF-EOF a priori file and generate consistent profiles of temperature, relative humidity, and ice particle, raindrop, and cloud droplet size distribution parameters to use in the Bayesian profile retrievals.

Beam filling



Beam filling was calculated as the difference between the brightness temperatures weighted according to an elliptical Gaussian beam pattern and Tbs calculated using the average profiles. The profiles were generated with 5km resolution using stochastic statistics derived from GPM DPR and central





Beam filling CML instrument







Selected Hurricanes

Hurricane Sandy CloudSat overpassed it on October 27, 2012

- Hurricane Iselle CloudSat overpassed the hurricane on August 4, 2014
- Hurricane Amanda CloudSat overpassed Hurricane Amanda on May 25, 2014
- Hurricane Joaquin In an early stage of the formation of Hurricane Joaquin, on September 29, 2015, CloudSat passed over the center of the hurricane in the Caribbean.
- Image credit:
- cloudsat.atmos.colostate.edu





Collocating Satellite and TCVital















ATMS 20140803 retrieved surface wind speed





ATMS 20140803 relative humidity profile

Top: SkinTemp (left), IWP (right), Bottom: Rain WP (left), Surface Wind Speed (right)

Correlated observation errors



Retrieved Uncertainty Correlation Matrices

Data Assimilation Results - Intensity





Data Assimilation Results - Track











- 3

Data Assimilation Results - Track and Intensity Error





Conclusions



- Conventional data assimilation schemes cannot properly assimilate satellite radiances in the rainband of tropical cyclones due to inaccuracy in RT scattering parameters as well as inaccuracy in the first guess provided by NWP models
- A new technique is proposed that does not depend on the minimization of the cost function.
- Preliminary results from BMCI technique are encouraging but require extensive validation, though validation itself is challenging
- These retrieved profiles are valuable for both analyzing the structure of the hurricanes as well as to provide more accurate initial conditions for the NWP models

Thank you for your attention!

This work is supported by the NASA Data for Operation and Assessment program, grant# NNX17AE89G. Many thanks to NDOA Program Manager, Dr. Tsengdar Lee.