

### Technology Needs for LYNX: Mirrors, Coatings and Metrology

J. Gaskin, NASA MSFC

18th Annual Mirror Technology SBIR/STTR Workshop 11/05/18

# THE PEOPLE BEHIND LYNX



#### Over 300 total members!

- 22 STDT Members
- 8 Science Working Groups
- Ex-officio International Members
- Instrument Working Group

- Communications Working Group
- Lynx Calibration Working Group
- Optics Working Group

Orgs.	Effort
GSFC	HDXI IDL runs LXM IDL & costing contributed effort MDL (spacecraft)
JPL (ExEP) + X-ray Optics Community	Optics Trade Study facilitation & Evaluation Contributed effort (>35 Volunteers)
X-Ray Grating Spectrometer Team	XGS Trade Study Team (>10 Volunteers)
CAN Study Partners >50% overall contributed	Creare: LXM cryocooler study Hypres: superconducting ADC study Luxel: blocking filter fab. & test Lockheed Martin: LXM cryo-system Northrop Grumman (w/Ball & Harris): Observatory design & analysis
UAH	MBSE modeling of interfaces, requirements & Observatory error budget
Interim Report Red Team	Chair: C. Kouveliotou (GWU) Contributed effort



### MEET LYNX!



Of the 4 large missions under study for the 2020 Astrophysics Decadal, Lynx is the only observatory that will be capable of directly observing the high-energy events that drive the formation and evolution of our Universe.



### SCIENCE OF LYNX



Through a GO Program, Lynx will contribute to nearly every area of astrophysics and provide synergistic observations with future-generation ground-based and space-based observatories, including gravitational wave detectors.





# LYNX OBSERVATORY CONFIGURATION

 $2 \text{ m}^2$  of effective area at E = 1 keV is required to execute the three science pillars in under 50% of the 5-yr mission timeline. This is achieved with an outer diameter of 3-m with a focal length of 10-m.





THE TIME FOR LYNX IS NOW!



#### Enabling Technologies TRL Assessment Summary

At Decadal Studies Management Team request, the ExEP, PCOS, and COR Program Offices and the Aerospace Corp assessed the TRL of tech gaps submitted by the teams as of Dec. 2016. Assessment was presented June 2017.





### MIRROR CHALLENGES



- Large effective area is achieved by nesting a few hundred to many thousands of co-aligned, co-axial mirror pairs.
- Must fabricate <u>thinner mirrors</u> to allow for greater nesting of mirror pairs and larger effective area while reducing mass
- These thin mirrors must be better that **0.5" HPD** requirement.
- Must <u>mount and coat</u> these thin optics <u>without</u> <u>deforming the optic</u>, or must be able to correct deformations.

NASA 2018 SBIR S2.04: X-Ray Mirror Systems Technology, Coating Technology for X-Ray-UV-OIR, and Free-Form Optics

#### Science Driven Requirements

# Lynx Optical AssemblyAngular resolution (on-axis)0.5 arcsec HPD (or better)Effective area @ 1 keV2 m² (met with 3-m OD)

Effective area @ 1 keV	2 m <sup>2</sup> (met with 3-m OD)
Off-axis PSF (grasp),	
A*(FOV for HPD < 1 arcsec)	600 m <sup>2</sup> arcmin <sup>2</sup>

#### Chandra did it! And so can Lynx!





Schattenburg talk to NASA PCOS SIG, 04/2016 - Modified



# THE LYNX SYSTEM – TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE METRICS

- The quantities listed are key to achieving mission science goals and are considered key technical performance metrics (TPMs).
  - Image guality (system)
  - Effective area
  - Spectral resolution
  - Observing efficiency (related to effective area)
- All key TPMs will have a budget to manage the flow down of requirements and make an • assessment of expected performance (prediction) and the path to achieving the expected performance.
  - Gives confidence in the requirements vs. capabilities assessment
  - Gives confidence in the development path for the key payload elements



**AXAF-I Systems Error Budgets and Analysis** 

Advanced X-ray Astrophysics Facility - Imaging





IROP GRUMMA



### IMAGE QUALITY- ERROR BUDGET



- Lynx looks like Chandra (structurally)
- Lynx Mirror Assembly is 1/3 the f/# so alignment/stability is tighter



HROP GRUMMA





# LYNX MIRROR ASSEMBLY – SILICON METASHELL OPTICS



#### • W. Zhang and Team (NASA GSFC)

XMA Parameter	Requirement
Energy Range	0.3-10 keV
Angular Resolution	0.5 arcsec HPD on-axis; < 1 arcsec HPD across the FOV
Grasp (Effective Area * FOV for <1 arcsecond PSF)	~600 m <sup>2</sup> arcminutes <sup>2</sup>
Field of View	10 arcmins radius
Effective Area @ 1 keV	2 m <sup>2</sup>

#### Direct polished mono-crystalline silicon



#### $./SMD06\_171129\_928\_Full\_F+20mm\_P20810\_Y+1.00\_T300.TIF$









# FEASIBLE ALTERNATES – FULL SHELL & ADJUSTABLE OPTICS

- G.Pareschi, M.Civitani, S.Basso & INAF Team (INAF-OAB)
- K. Kiranmayee , J. Davis, R. Elsner D. Swartz & MSFC Team (MSFC/USRA)



#### Direct Polished Fused Silica or Similar



- P. Reid
- SAO Adjustable Optics Team
- PSU Adjustable Optics Team





Slumped glass with sputter deposited piezoelectric material









# State-of-the-art metrology is required to determine the mirror quality over the entire range of spatial frequencies.

### Highest spatial frequency (microroughness) (sub-nm accuracy required) Accessed with a phase, shifting interferemeter with a millimeter

#### • Assessed with a phase-shifting interferometer with a millimeter-class FOV

- Or, Atomic Force Microscope
- Lowest spatial frequency (figure error) (several-nm accuracy required)
  - Assessed with a phase-shifting interferometer with a much larger FOV
    - For full-shell cylindrical optics, the optic must be extremely precisely rotated about its axis to allow stitching of multiple FOV's
    - For segmented optics, the interferometer may cover the entire segment, but there are then additional, difficult alignment metrology requirements
      - For segmented optics with active figure correction, the correctors' influence functions must also be precisely measured
- Mid-spatial frequency (few-nm accuracy required)
  - Usually assessed interferometrically, either by
    - Swapping the objective in the microroughness interferometer to expand the FOV
    - Taking great care to get very high resolution and excellent sensitivity and calibration accuracy in the low spatial frequency interferometer
    - (or both, for greatest spatial frequency overlap!)

Slide Provided by Paul Glenn (Bauer, Inc.)

XMA has a 3-m outer diameter, and contains hundreds or thousands of mirror elements!



### ALIGNMENT METROLOGY

- The traditional dividing line between metrology and alignment is blurred for Lynx, because of
  - The large number of mirrors
  - The possibility of a segmented architecture
  - The flexibility of the thin mirrors
- For full-shell cylindrical optics:
  - The flexibility puts strenuous requirements on the transition from holding the optics for metrology, and ultimately attaching them to the structure while monitoring the alignment and aggregate image quality over the full aperture
- For segmented optics:
  - Precision metrology is required as the mirror elements are assembled into modules
  - This metrology may combine direct measurements of the surface figure with indirect measurements of a module's image quality
  - Multiple modules must ultimately be coaligned, either by
    - Monitoring the alignment and aggregate image quality over the full aperture, or
    - Introducing transfer optics to each module that can generate an alignment reference to be compared against those of other modules

In all these cases, sub-arc-second accuracy is required, which at a focal length of 10 meters implies detection of image shifts or distortions of only a few microns.



Lester Levitator. Credit: Lester Cohen, SAO

Slide Provided by Paul Glenn (Bauer, Inc.)



# LYNX THIN FILM COATING REQUIREMENTS



- Low stress thin film coatings (~ 10 MPa) needed to preserve underlying sub-arcsecond figure of thin (~400 μm) substrates.
- High X-ray reflectance for a range of grazing incidence angles (~0.4° (innermost shell)–2.0° (outermost shell)).
  - Although the 2 m<sup>2</sup> effective area requirement is specified @ 1keV, a spectral response up to 10–15keV is desired.
  - Single layer coatings such as Pt, Au, and Ir provide good spectral response up to 10 keV for incidence angles less than the critical angle (i.e. the inner shells).
  - In this regime, (100–150 Å) single layer of Iridium offers best X-ray reflectivity, but coating stress is significant (i.e. ~4GPa (compressive))
  - Interference based coatings (such as multilayers) might be utilized on the outer shells to extend the spectral response at incidence angles beyond the critical angle.
- Low surface roughness to minimize X-ray light scattering (< 5-6Å).
  - Most stress mitigation techniques result in a film microstructure that causes some degree of increase in high frequency surface roughness

Slide Provided by David Broadway (MSFC)

### CURRENT STRESS MITIGATION TECHNIQUES





# THANK YOU!



Jessica.Gaskin@nasa.gov

#### Lynx Websites

https://www.lynxobservatory.com/



- Large effective area is achieved by nesting a few hundred to many thousands of co-aligned, co-axial mirror pairs.
- Must fabricate <u>thinner mirrors</u> to allow for greater nesting of mirror pairs and larger effective area while reducing mass
- These thin mirrors must be better that **0.5" HPD** requirement.
- Must <u>mount and coat</u> these thin optics <u>without deforming the optic</u>, or must be able to correct deformations.