



# Dynamic Power Converter Development for Radioisotope Power Systems at NASA Glenn Research Center

*Salvatore M. Oriti and Scott Wilson  
Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio*

## NASA STI Program . . . in Profile

Since its founding, NASA has been dedicated to the advancement of aeronautics and space science. The NASA Scientific and Technical Information (STI) Program plays a key part in helping NASA maintain this important role.

The NASA STI Program operates under the auspices of the Agency Chief Information Officer. It collects, organizes, provides for archiving, and disseminates NASA's STI. The NASA STI Program provides access to the NASA Technical Report Server—Registered (NTRS Reg) and NASA Technical Report Server—Public (NTRS) thus providing one of the largest collections of aeronautical and space science STI in the world. Results are published in both non-NASA channels and by NASA in the NASA STI Report Series, which includes the following report types:

- TECHNICAL PUBLICATION. Reports of completed research or a major significant phase of research that present the results of NASA programs and include extensive data or theoretical analysis. Includes compilations of significant scientific and technical data and information deemed to be of continuing reference value. NASA counter-part of peer-reviewed formal professional papers, but has less stringent limitations on manuscript length and extent of graphic presentations.
- TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM. Scientific and technical findings that are preliminary or of specialized interest, e.g., “quick-release” reports, working papers, and bibliographies that contain minimal annotation. Does not contain extensive analysis.
- CONTRACTOR REPORT. Scientific and technical findings by NASA-sponsored contractors and grantees.
- CONFERENCE PUBLICATION. Collected papers from scientific and technical conferences, symposia, seminars, or other meetings sponsored or co-sponsored by NASA.
- SPECIAL PUBLICATION. Scientific, technical, or historical information from NASA programs, projects, and missions, often concerned with subjects having substantial public interest.
- TECHNICAL TRANSLATION. English-language translations of foreign scientific and technical material pertinent to NASA's mission.

For more information about the NASA STI program, see the following:

- Access the NASA STI program home page at <http://www.sti.nasa.gov>
- E-mail your question to [help@sti.nasa.gov](mailto:help@sti.nasa.gov)
- Fax your question to the NASA STI Information Desk at 757-864-6500
- Telephone the NASA STI Information Desk at 757-864-9658
- Write to:  
NASA STI Program  
Mail Stop 148  
NASA Langley Research Center  
Hampton, VA 23681-2199



# Dynamic Power Converter Development for Radioisotope Power Systems at NASA Glenn Research Center

*Salvatore M. Oriti and Scott Wilson  
Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio*

Prepared for the  
Propulsion and Energy Forum  
sponsored by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics  
Cincinnati, Ohio, July 9–11, 2018

National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

Glenn Research Center  
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

## Acknowledgments

This work is funded through the NASA Science Mission Directorate.

Trade names and trademarks are used in this report for identification only. Their usage does not constitute an official endorsement, either expressed or implied, by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

*Level of Review:* This material has been technically reviewed by technical management.

Available from

NASA STI Program  
Mail Stop 148  
NASA Langley Research Center  
Hampton, VA 23681-2199

National Technical Information Service  
5285 Port Royal Road  
Springfield, VA 22161  
703-605-6000

This report is available in electronic form at <http://www.sti.nasa.gov/> and <http://ntrs.nasa.gov/>

# Dynamic Power Convertor Development for Radioisotope Power Systems at NASA Glenn Research Center

Salvatore M. Oriti and Scott Wilson  
National Aeronautics and Space Administration  
Glenn Research Center  
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

## Summary

The Thermal Energy Conversion Branch at NASA Glenn Research Center is supporting the development of high-efficiency power convertors for use in radioisotope power systems (RPS). Significant progress was made toward such a system that utilized Stirling conversion during the 2001 to 2015 timeframe. Flight development of the Advanced Stirling Radioisotope Generator (ASRG) was canceled in 2013 by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA Headquarters primarily due to budget constraints, and the Advanced Stirling Convertor (ASC) technology contract was subsequently concluded in 2015. A new chapter of technology development has recently been initiated by the NASA RPS Program. This effort is considering all dynamic power convertor options, such as Stirling and Brayton cycles. Four convertor development contracts supporting this effort were awarded in 2017. The awarded contracts include two free-piston Stirling, one thermoacoustic Stirling, and one turbo-Brayton design. The technology development contracts each consist of up to three phases: design, fabrication, and test. As of May 2018, all contracts have completed the design phase, and each underwent a design review with an independent review board. Three of the contracts are planned to execute the phase 2 option for fabrication. Convertors manifesting from these development efforts will then undergo independent validation and verification (IV and V) at NASA facilities, which will consist of convertor performance and RPS viability demonstrations. Example tests include launch vibration simulation, performance mapping over the environmental temperature range, and static acceleration exposure. In parallel with this renewed development effort, Glenn is still demonstrating free-piston Stirling convertor technology using assets from previous projects. The Stirling Research Laboratory (SRL) is still operating several convertors from previous development projects, which have similarities and relevance to current contract designs. Four of these are flexure-bearing based and another six are gas bearing based. One of the flexure-bearing convertors has accumulated over 110,000 h of operation and holds the current record for maintenance-free heat-engine run time. Another flexure-bearing convertor was recently manually shut down after 105,620 h of operation, then disassembled and inspected. This inspection produced a wealth of information about the effects of this amount of run time on the technology's components. One of the engineering unit flexure-bearing convertors recently underwent a launch simulation vibration test, a static acceleration exposure up to 20 g, and was then placed on extended operation. Among the gas-bearing convertors, the longest running unit has accumulated over 70,000 h of operation. Four high-fidelity gas-bearing convertors from the ASRG project are still operating continuously for which the longest run time has reached 28,000 h.

## 1.0 Introduction

Radioisotope power systems (RPS) are an enabling technology for unique missions orchestrated by the Science Mission Directorate (Figure 1). With a half-life of 88 yr, the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE's) 250-W<sub>th</sub> Pu-238 heat source module provides 40 MWh of thermal energy over a 20-yr mission in



Figure 1.—Example mission proposals that incorporated dynamic radioisotope power systems. (a) Comet Hopper (CHopper) concept of operations. Image from Jessica Sunshine, University of Maryland (UMD). (b) CHopper concept depiction. Image from Jessica Sunshine, UMD. (c) Titan Mare Explorer.

a launch-capable package with a mass of just 1.5 kg (Ref. 1). Heat is generated from the radioactive decay of the Pu-238 and requires no control system to modulate. RPS eliminates the dependence of spacecraft power on sunlight, and thus enables missions where solar energy is not available, such as deep space or a shadowed planetary surface. Pu-238 is not naturally occurring and requires sophisticated nuclear engineering infrastructure to produce (via irradiation) from raw materials mined from the crust of the Earth (Ref. 2). The currently available RPS, the multi-mission radioisotope thermoelectric generator (MMRTG), operates with a beginning-of-life conversion efficiency of 6.3 percent (Ref. 3). To best utilize the expensive and limited Pu-238 resource, higher conversion efficiency is desired. Dynamic power conversion technologies offer a significant increase in efficiency while maintaining capability for long-life continuous operation. The Thermal Energy Conversion Branch at Glenn has been supporting the development of high-efficiency, long-life, reliable energy conversion based on heat engines. Heat engine thermodynamic cycles useful for space application include Stirling, Brayton, Rankine, and Ericsson. Heat engines based on these cycles can be coupled to alternators to provide a convertor that converts radioisotope heat source energy to electricity for spacecraft. The perceived disadvantage of dynamic power conversion is the presence of moving parts, which (in typical terrestrial applications) do not have long maintenance-free operating lives. However, analytical and experimental evidence suggests the

presence of moving parts does not preclude long design life. These types of gas cycles can be implemented in machines without any wear mechanisms, via design of noncontacting bearings and seals. The elimination of wear mechanisms is a prerequisite for long-life continuous operation necessary for NASA missions. With this, the design of components falls under the umbrella of standard engineering challenges for which methods exist to achieve the required life (Ref. 4).

## 2.0 Dynamic Power Convertors

Dynamic energy conversion has been studied for space power systems for many decades, but has never been used in space. Two recent projects focused on developing free-piston Stirling convertors for spaceflight. The 110-W<sub>e</sub> Stirling Radioisotope Generator (SRG-110) used a flexure-bearing convertor. The project was approaching the engineering-unit generator-build stage when it was redirected to change to a gas-bearing convertor to improve overall performance (in terms of efficiency and specific power). This redirection resulted in a name change to the Advanced Stirling Radioisotope Generator (ASRG). Images of the convertors are shown in Figure 2. The distinction between a convertor and generator is that a convertor is a subset of a generator. An RPS generator must integrate a conversion device with heat input and heat rejection systems, in addition to any necessary power conditioning electronics. The SRG-110 was under development between 2001 to 2006, and the ASRG was developed between 2006 and 2013. The ASRG project was cancelled in 2013 due to budget constraints, but smaller follow-on efforts focused on convertor and controller advancements followed for a few years after the 2013 flight project cancellation.

Similar thermodynamic devices, cryocoolers based on the same operating principles and mechanical components, have been widely adopted for various space missions. Long life via the elimination of wear mechanisms relies on noncontacting bearings, such as flexures or gas bearings. The longest-running space cryocooler has surpassed 178,000 h of operation and utilizes flexure bearings in its compressor. A gas-bearing cryocooler has operated in a space application for over 142,000 h (Ref. 5).

There are several advantages that dynamic power conversion offers over existing solid-state thermoelectric technology. First, higher efficiency can be achieved. Glenn researchers have demonstrated 25- to 38-percent conversion efficiency in flight-like free-piston Stirling convertors based on both flexures and gas bearings (Ref. 6). The higher conversion efficiency allows RPS to be designed that either produce more power for a given amount of Pu-238 fuel or require less fuel to produce a desired amount of power. Higher conversion efficiency also reduces the amount of waste heat that must be dissipated from the RPS. In cases where the RPS is in close proximity to the spacecraft, the lesser waste heat permits more spacecraft design options, as there is less waste heat to interfere with spacecraft science functions. Another advantage is the ability for no degradation (other than fuel decay) in a multitude of operating environments. Convertor designs with no wear mechanisms do not degrade and are compatible with both deep space vacuum and planetary atmospheres. With this, the mission would not have to be designed for any degradation of power system output beyond the Pu-238 fuel decay. At a fuel decay rate of 0.8 percent per year, and after a 20-yr cruise, the heat source would provide approximately 85 percent of its original thermal power. Dynamic convertors can be designed to maintain almost the same efficiency as thermal input declines by 15 percent (Ref. 7). The ability to implement convertor-level redundancy is still available with dynamic power convertors. Convertors can be built to a size suitable for including spare units in the system design. Studies have shown 100-percent redundancy plausible, which has a significant effect on increasing overall RPS reliability (Refs. 8 and 9). Another option that has been considered by mission designers is to include a redundant RPS on the spacecraft. However, this method is effectively




Figure 2.—Recent examples of Stirling convertor development for radioisotope power systems. (a) Stirling Radioisotope Generator (SRG)-110 utilizing flexure-bearing convertor from Stirling Technology Company. (b) Advanced Stirling Radioisotope Generator utilizing gas-bearing convertor from Sunpower Inc.; ACU, ASC controller unit; ASC, Advanced Stirling Converter; CSAF, cold-side adapter flange; GMV, gas management valve; HEPA, high-efficiency particulate air; PRD, pressure relief device; SDU, shunt dissipator unit; SV, space vehicle TLM, telemetry.

implementing redundancy at the convertor and fuel level. The Pu-238 module reliability is not of concern, but it is expensive to a mission, so it makes sense to implement redundant convertors in the generator if reliability of dynamic conversion is of concern.

Following the cancellation of the ASRG flight development project in 2013, the RPS Program office initiated a revised approach to dynamic power convertor technology development, one that mirrored that formulated for their parallel thermoelectric development (Ref. 10). This process consists of two important decision gates. Decision gate 1 evaluates candidate dynamic convertor designs and identifies those suitable for hardware builds. The second decision gate further examines the technology options after prototype convertor fabrication and relevant environmental testing. The second decision gate will identify convertor options that are suitable for flight development and ultimate use in an RPS.



After an examination of the technology landscape, a contract framework for dynamic power convertor development was formulated. The contracts consist of up to three phases consisting of design, fabrication, and test activities. RPS decision gate 1 follows phase 1 (design) and identifies options that can continue on to phase 2 (fabrication), then phase 3 (test). RPS decision gate 2 follows phase 3. A Request for Proposal (RFP) was released in October 2016 (Ref. 11). The convertor performance goals put forth in the RFP are summarized in Table I.

These goals were formulated via the examination of anticipated RPS missions and generator environments. The longest foreseeable mission being considered requires a 20-yr life. Based on various mission studies, the majority of science missions require between 200 and 500  $W_e$  (Ref. 12). The power level of the convertor was not specified in the RFP. Instead, the goal is to demonstrate that the chosen convertor power level can be integrated into a generator with the desired total power output. This leaves the design space unconstrained so that system integration concepts can consider a wide array of options, notably redundant arrangements of convertors. A conversion efficiency of 24 percent, with 90-percent efficient alternating current to direct current electrical power conditioning, would offer a generator with at least a threefold improvement over existing RPS that use thermoelectric conversion. Since all thermodynamic cycle efficiencies are dependent on cold-side temperature, the efficiency goal had to be specified alongside a cold-side temperature. Without this, the desired conversion efficiency could be achieved at an unrealistically low cold-side temperature. This would impose an unreasonable burden on a system integrator to implement a large massive radiator to achieve this cold-side temperature. System engineering studies have shown that operating above 100 °C results in an optimal system radiator (Ref. 13), so the cold-side minimum for the efficiency metric was set there. Examination of mission thermal environments also suggested that a nominal cold-side operating temperature greater than 100 °C would be necessary. System engineering studies were also used to set the bar for specific power of the convertor. At a convertor specific power of 20  $W_e/kg$ , a reasonable generator specific power can be achieved. The RPS Program does not have a minimum bar for generator specific power, but the existing technology (MMRTG) achieves 2.4  $W_e/kg$ . A goal for conversion efficiency at lower thermal input was set to enable redundant convertor arrangements. In the case of a generator design with 100-percent convertor redundancy, each convertor would be receiving half its designed thermal input. This is referred to as “operation at 50-percent throttle.” Since this could be the operating mode for the entirety of a mission, it was important to set a goal here so that overall system efficiency would still be satisfactory. This goal also encompasses throttling that will occur due to fuel decay, which would be only 15 percent at the end of a 20-yr mission, when the convertor would be operating at 85-percent throttle. In

TABLE I.—DYNAMIC POWER CONVERTOR PERFORMANCE GOALS FOR RADIOISOTOPE POWER SYSTEMS AND PLANETARY SCIENCE

| Item                           | Description  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Life .....                     | 20 yr  |
| Power.....                     | suitable for a generator in the range of 200- to 500- $W_e$ output |
| Efficiency .....               | $\geq 24$ percent at $T_{cold} > 100$ °C                           |
| Specific power .....           | 20 $W_e/kg$ (convertor only)                                       |
| Partial power.....             | maintains 20-percent conversion efficiency at 50-percent throttle  |
| Atmosphere compatibility ..... | Earth, vacuum, Mars, Titan, and Triton                             |
| Hot-end temperature .....      | $< 1,000$ °C   |
| Cold-end temperature .....     | 20 to 175 °C   |
| Random vibration .....         | launch qualification   |
| Static acceleration.....       | 20 g for 1 min, 5 g for 5 d  |

order to maintain capability for a wide array of missions, a goal for compatibility with important destination atmospheric compositions was set. The option for isolating the convertor from the atmosphere still exists and depends on the generator design. However, designing the convertor to be compatible with these gases is plausible and offers the widest design space for system integrators. A hot-side temperature limit was imposed by examining the upper temperature limit of the Pu-238 heat source module and with a realistic estimate of the temperature from the module to the convertor across the thermal input interface. The goal for the cold-side temperature operating range was derived from the range of thermal environments. Operation on Titan, with its thick cryogenic atmosphere, would result in a cold-end temperature near 20 °C (depending on spacecraft design). Certain planetary protection treatments of the spacecraft could drive the cold-side temperature up to 175 °C. Operation on the Moon and in proximity of Venus also results in temperatures near this upper bound. It is important that the convertor technology be capable of this range of temperatures without any permanent effect on performance. The convertor must be capable of withstanding random vibration during launch vehicle ascent. The various launch vehicles were examined and a random vibration profile was formulated. This profile encompasses frequencies between 20 and 2,000 Hz, and has a maximum value of 0.1 g<sup>2</sup>/Hz. Qualification-level random vibration testing would impose up to 0.2 g<sup>2</sup>/Hz. Certain methods for launch could impose static acceleration on the RPS. Entry, descent, and landing loads typically have included phases where the deceleration was on the order of 20g for 1 min. Certain spin stabilization methods may be used on RPS missions during launch or cruise. With the RPS mounted out on a boom away from the center of rotation, the generator would experience centrifugal acceleration. Dynamic convertors, with their moving pistons or rotating turbomachinery, would have to tolerate this with no detriment. In the case of launch-level random vibration or 20g static acceleration, temporary losses of output power could occur, and may be tolerated by the spacecraft electrical bus design. However, it is necessary that the convertor experience no permanent degradation nor loss of reliability. Similar to the convertor power level, the overall convertor size goal was not specified. It is important, however, that the generator that makes use of this convertor fit within the approved U.S. DOE RPS shipping container, which is the mode of transportation to the launch site after fueling.

Robustness was also included in the convertor goals, but resides in a category difficult to express numerically in table form. In the engineering context, robustness metrics are usually subjective and do not lend themselves to quantifiable requirements. The project has adopted a definition of robustness as the ability of the device to tolerate events outside expected conditions. With this, several robustness goals were defined. A design that has more margin is considered more robust. For example, if the designed hot-end temperature is 650 °C, but the convertor could operate at 750 °C without any detriment, this is an element of robustness. Designs with few single-point failure modes are also considered more robust. Tolerance of some events specific to dynamic power convertors were also defined. Tolerance to loss of electrical load would be a significant element of robustness. In the case of a linear motion machine, losing the electrical load across the alternator would result in a rapidly increasing piston amplitude to the point of contact. User operational error is also a possibility during convertor testing and integration. If the design has mechanisms to prevent damage, or can tolerate these errors, that would also be a significant element of robustness.

This set of goals provided a context for convertor development different from those of past efforts. Previous efforts focused solely on convertor technology and little attention was paid to tailoring interfaces for use in a generator with a Pu-238 heat source and radiative heat rejection. For example, the convertor from the SRG-110 project originated in a Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) program for which the design was frozen and only its external interfaces tailored for integration into a generator. The Advanced Stirling Convertor (ASC) resulted from a NASA Research Announcement (NRA) that was

intended to push the performance limits of advanced conversion technologies. This convertor design was used as is (with minimal external interface adjustments) and then instructed for use in the ASRG. These previous convertor designs also did not have a comprehensive set of requirements imposed on them that considered all mission environments. The 2016 RFP instead demoted the focus on performance and emphasized robustness and usefulness in a system. Also, the goals were formulated by amalgamating a wide range of input from all RPS entities, including mission designers, spacecraft designers, Pu-238 fuel producers, and convertor technologists. The intent is to drive technology development with a perspective better than previous efforts, to increase the probability of success for dynamic power conversion.

As a result of the 2016 RFP, four dynamic power convertor contracts were awarded. As of May 2018, all four have completed the phase 1, 6-month design stage. Three of the contracts are executing, or will be awarded the phase 2 fabrication portion of effort. A summary of the four-convertor design is shown in Table II, and each is discussed in further detail in the following sections.

### 3.0 American Superconductor (AMSC) Flexure Isotope Stirling Convertor (FISC)

Infinia Technology Corp. (ITC) of Richland, WA, was awarded a contract for a convertor based on their previous involvement with the SRG-110 project when they were named “Stirling Technology Company (STC).” Since the contract award, ITC has been acquired by AMSC. The SRG-110, a 110- $W_e$  RPS design with dynamic conversion had reached the engineering unit stage before redirection of the project in 2006 (Ref. 14). The SRG-110 design integrated two free-piston Stirling convertors with flexure-bearing technology. The convertor design originated during a 1990s SBIR and was deemed the Technology Demonstration Convertor (TDC). AMSC’s design is a derivative of the TDC baseline and has been deemed the FISC. The FISC is designed for a nominal power output of 70  $W_e$  while operating at a hot-end temperature of 650 °C and a cold-end temperature of 100 °C. At this condition, the design analysis reports a conversion efficiency of 31 percent, which achieves a 0.52 fraction of Carnot. The convertor mass, estimated to be 3.3 kg (without the heat collector), achieves a specific power of 21  $W_e/kg$ . With this convertor building block, one convertor could accept heat from a single general-purpose heat source (GPHS) module while operating at nominal power, or two convertors could share the heat from a single module while operating at half of their nominal power output.

TABLE II.—DYNAMIC POWER CONVERTOR DESIGN SUMMARY

| Item                     | Flexure Isotope Stirling Convertor (American Superconductor, Inc.) | Turbo-Brayton convertor (Creare LLC) | Thermoacoustic power convertor (Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems) | Sunpower Robust Stirling Convertor (Sunpower Inc.) |
|--------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Power, $W_e$             | 70   | 355                                  | 110   | 65   |
| Efficiency, percent      | 31   | 26                                   | 26  | 29   |
| Hot-end temperature, °C  | 650  | 730                                  | 700   | 720  |
| Mass, kg                 | 3.3  | 15.5                                 | 6.4   | 2.0  |
| Specific power, $W_e/kg$ | 21   | 22                                   | 17  | 33   |

The design (Figure 3) is still based on flexure-bearing technology. Flexure bearings permit large deflections in one axis, necessary for component motion in a heat engine, while providing significant stiffness in the radial direction. This is useful for suspension of a piston within a cylinder with a close-clearance seal. With the use of noncontacting bearings, the engineering challenges for achieving continuous long-life operation are reduced to the areas of high-cycle fatigue, high-temperature materials under constant stress, and long-life organics. The flexure bearings do not experience high temperature, so there are several material options, which exhibit an effectively infinite fatigue life if the oscillating stress is designed below the material's endurance limit. The hot end of the convertor must contain the high-pressure working gas and operate at the heat input temperature for the duration of the mission, making this a material creep challenge. The performance requirements are such that the necessary hot-end operating temperature (650 °C) is in a region for which there are several material options. However, long-term material creep data out to 20 yr is not available for any of the material choices, but several materials have been identified that have tens of thousands of hours of data. The FISC design will use INCONEL<sup>®</sup> alloy 740H<sup>®</sup> (Special Metals Corporation), for which data are available out to at least 50,000 h, and a Larson-Miller parameter that has been extrapolated out to 100,000 h (Ref. 15). The samples used to generate these data do not perfectly represent the use case for the FISC. Effects of sample thickness, grain size, and stress state must be considered when using available data. Given the strong dependence of creep behavior on these variables, more data may be required to properly validate the engineering of the high-temperature heater head. Engineered organics options, such as epoxies for magnet bonding, have been identified and processing procedures are being developed to achieve the life requirement. The FISC building block, being a linear-motion free-piston Stirling convertor, would require an opposed convertor, or a balancer, to counteract the motion of the moving mass. This convertor building block offers the potential to design a generator with parallel redundant sets of convertors, which would tolerate complete failure of at least one convertor. The notional generator concept shown in Figure 3 contains 12 FISCs each operating at half nominal power. This design would tolerate up to six convertor failures, and would still produce the same amount of power as the surviving convertors could be throttled up to their full power.

The FISC team at AMSC has completed their 6-month design phase. During the design activity, several trades were studied and choices made that improve upon the TDC baseline. The FISC will implement a moving magnet alternator, rather than the moving-iron style from the TDC. This decision was made because the baseline TDC performance would not meet all the requirements, notably the need for 24 percent conversion efficiency at a cold-end temperature of 100 °C. The mechanical design also improves upon the shortcomings of the TDC. The displacer and alternator subassemblies are being designed so that they can be individually validated prior to top-level convertor assembly. The thermal interfaces of the FISC were designed in anticipation of future RPS system integration. Even at this early prototype level, the heat input and heat rejection surfaces resemble a device that could be attached to a housing for heat rejection and accept heat from a flat-surface source such as the GPHS module. The FISC design successfully passed its phase 1 design review and the AMSC contract is now in the beginning months of phase 2. This phase includes a few months for design adjustments per the review, after which hardware fabrication will begin. AMSC will fabricate and validate the performance of two FISC prototypes. The prototypes will be nonhermetically sealed to permit later disassembly and inspection.




Figure 3.—Phase 1 final review designs: 70- $W_e$  Flexure Isotope Stirling Converter (FISC) and notional generator with stack of heat source modules in center surrounded by FISCs, where  $P_{elec}$  is electrical power output,  $Q_{in}$  is heat input,  $Q_{out}$  is heat rejected,  $T_{acc}$  is acceptor temperature, and  $T_{rej}$  is rejector temperature. Image from American Superconductor.

#### 4.0 Creare LLC Turbo-Brayton Convertor (TBC)

Creare LLC of Hanover, NH, is pursuing a small Brayton-cycle machine for integration with a radioisotope heat source. This design leverages previous projects, such as their RPS-sized design from a 2002 research announcement and NASA small-business contracts. The design takes inspiration from the 1990s Dynamic Isotope Power System (DIPS) concept from Rocketdyne and AlliedSignal. Noncontacting operation is achieved by the use of hydrodynamic journal and thrust bearings acting on the rotor assembly, and close clearance seals at the impellers. Creare has previously demonstrated hydrodynamic gas bearings and close clearance seals of this size on previous projects, notably the cryocooler on Hubble’s Near Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrometer (NICMOS) instrument. Creare’s design has been deemed the TBC. Creare’s technology consists of a single high-speed rotating shaft assembly with an integral turbine and compressor. The TBC is designed to output 355  $W_e$  from a six-GPHS heat source, while operating at a turbine inlet temperature of 730 °C and a compressor inlet of 100 °C. Design analysis reports a conversion efficiency of 26 percent at this operating condition, which achieves a 0.41 fraction of Carnot. The total convertor mass is estimated to be 15.5 kg, which achieves a specific power of 22  $W_e/kg$ . This convertor also has the ability to operate at half its nominal design output power, which enables redundancy within a generator.

The TBC and a notional generator design are shown in Figure 4. The only life-limiting engineering design challenge is high-temperature material creep of the turbine impeller. This component must operate at high temperature near that of the turbine inlet (730 °C) for the duration of the mission while enduring centrifugal stress associated with the high-speed rotation of the shaft. Estimates of this operating stress have been made and several material options have been identified. A final decision on turbine material is still pending trade study completion. While there is the potential for oscillating stress due to a rotating shaft, the rotating components can be balanced such that cyclic stress becomes negligible, and thus well below any material endurance limits. Options exist for negating the angular momentum of a single, low-mass, high-speed rotor, via the use of two counter-rotating units on a spacecraft.

In the turbomachinery portion, a magnet is installed inside the rotating shaft and surrounded by the stationary coil and laminations, forming a three-phase alternator. As can be seen, the turbomachinery section is only a portion of the working gas volume. The gas must be coupled to input and rejection heat

exchangers. A counterflow recuperator must also be used to achieve high thermodynamic efficiency. Two counter-rotating units of this size could be implemented in a power system to achieve convertor redundancy. This would also nullify net angular momentum, which is important when considering spacecraft attitude maneuvers. Analysis suggests that both convertors could be operated at 50-percent throttle in a default state. If one were to fail, the surviving convertor could ramp up its speed to 100 percent and maintain thermal energy draw from the GPHS modules. In contrast to Stirling-cycle conversion machines, the TBC implements a closed-loop, continuous-flow, Brayton thermodynamic cycle. Continuous-flow cycles make use of large surface area heat exchangers and do not suffer loss of performance due to dead spaces. With this, the heat input and rejection devices can be designed to reduce heat flux at the cost of mass and volume. This offers an advantage in that the temperature gradients through heat input and rejection devices can be reduced, and do not rely solely on conduction paths. For example, the TBC could make use of a flow-through radiator, where the working gas is sent through parallel tube paths that are directly coupled to the facesheets of the radiator. This would eliminate the need for heat pipe spreading, but does increase the area prone to micrometeoroid damage. In contrast, a Stirling-cycle machine optimizes when its heat exchanger areas are minimized (to minimize dead space), resulting in high heat flux and reduced area to attach a heat rejection device. Previous systems utilizing Stirling machines required attention to extract and spread the heat from the rejection zone of the convertor, usually leading designers to implement heat pipes or conduction devices with ultra-high thermal conductivity. The closed-loop Brayton cycle also offers system arrangement flexibility, as the components can be separated in space and only require connection via gas tubing elements. This may widen spacecraft design space as the components could be distributed.

During phase 1, Creare traded various design parameters, such as power output level, recuperator effectiveness, and rotational speed. The TBC design has successfully passed its phase 1 design review, and Creare is in the beginning months of phase 2. During this phase, they will spend the first few months adjusting the design, then initiate prototype fabrication. In phase 2, Creare will fabricate and demonstrate the performance of one convertor. Experimental tests will include performance mapping over the range of required cold-end temperatures, performance at 50-percent throttled condition, and capability for at least 10 start-stop thermal cycles.




Figure 4.—Phase 1 final review designs: 355-W<sub>e</sub> turbo-Brayton convertor (TBC) and notional generator design with six-general-purpose heat source assembly and two TBCs. Image from Creare LLC.

## 5.0 Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems (NGAS) Thermoacoustic Power Converter (TAPC)

NGAS of Redondo Beach, CA, is pursuing their thermoacoustic heat engine concept. This design builds on previously flown NGAS pulse-tube cryocoolers and previous heat engine work for NASA from 2003 (Ref. 16).

The TAPC (Figure 5) consists of a centrally located thermoacoustic heat engine driving a dual-opposed pair of alternators that utilize flexure bearings. The TAPC is designed to output a nominal  $110\text{ W}_e$  at a hot-end temperature of  $700\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  and a cold-end temperature of  $100\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . At this condition, the conversion efficiency is estimated by analysis to be 26 percent, achieving a 0.42 fraction of Carnot. The convertor mass is estimated to be 6.4 kg, which achieves a specific power of  $17\text{ W}_e/\text{kg}$ . This is lower than the requirement of  $20\text{ W}_e/\text{kg}$ , but options have been explored to reduce the mass of some of the convertor's heavy components.

In the thermoacoustic implementation, an acoustic wave can be set up to displace gas between the two temperature zones with the desired phase relative to the piston motion. This has the advantage of eliminating the displacer, a component in the hot end, which is used in traditional free-piston Stirling machines. With this, the mechanical design challenge of oscillating the displacer within a close clearance seal goes away, and the only seals left to engineer are those of the pistons residing in the relatively cool alternators. The lack of a displacer does increase the radiation link between the hot and cold temperatures, as a radiation shield cannot be installed here. This introduces an element of efficiency loss, but preliminary estimates performed during phase 1 show that the design still meets the 24-percent requirement. This design still requires attention to high-cycle fatigue, as the alternator utilizes flexure bearings. The hot-end material creep must also be engineered to achieve the 20-yr life requirement. The dual-opposed piston building block offers an advantage of inherent balance. While there could still be residual imbalance, due to moving components with different amplitudes, masses, or nonzero phase, there are options for minimization of this residual via individual control of the piston motions. This convertor building block also lends itself to redundant arrangements within a generator design.

The TAPC underwent a phase 1 design review in April 2018. However, a decision was made by the RPS Program to not award the phase 2 fabrication option of the contract.




Figure 5.—Phase 1 final review designs:  $110\text{-W}_e$  thermoacoustic power converter (TAPC) and notional generator design with four TAPCs. Image from Northrop Grumman.

## 6.0 Sunpower Inc. Robust Stirling Convertor (SRSC)

Sunpower Inc. of Athens, OH, is pursuing a convertor based on their previous involvement with RPS. The ASRG project reached the point of flight-unit convertor fabrication before its cancellation in 2013. The design has been deemed the SRSC, as it is derived from the ASRG's ASC, with a focus on improving several areas Figure 6.

The SRSC is designed to produce 65  $W_e$  at a hot-end temperature of 720 °C and a cold-end temperature of 100 °C. At this operating condition, the conversion efficiency is estimated to be 29 percent, achieving a 0.46 fraction of Carnot. The convertor mass is estimated to be 2.0 kg, achieving a specific power of 33  $W_e/kg$ . Noncontacting operation is achieved via the use of gas bearings for support of the piston and displacer, along with close clearance seals. The gas bearings are energized by extracting a small portion of the energy from the thermodynamic cycle's pressure wave. The use of gas bearings enables a comparatively compact design with a concomitant increase in specific power, relative to flexure-bearing designs. The piston requires no mechanical spring, as it is designed to resonate using the gas springs of the working and bounce spaces. The displacer, however, requires a mechanical planar spring to effect displacer resonance. The design's pressure boundary must contain high-pressure working gas while operating at high temperature in the area of heat input. Thus, the engineering challenges here are high cycle fatigue, for the planar spring, and high-temperature material creep, for which well-understood engineering methods are available. The gas-bearing design offers an engineering design challenge as well. Sunpower has previously demonstrated gas-bearing engineering methods on cryocoolers and the ASC from the ASRG project (Ref. 17). Sunpower has identified Haynes® 230® alloy (Haynes International) as the hot-end material, which has a substantial creep database, but may need to be supplemented to finalize the heater head design. This convertor building block also enables a wide generator design space, including redundant arrangements.

During phase 1, Sunpower traded several design changes to address identified areas of improvement relative to the ASC. These areas were identified for potential improvement during the ASRG project, in addition to pursuit of the latest goals levied in the RFP. Included are magnet encapsulation, displacer gas-bearing capacity, static passive centering of the piston, overstroke tolerance, and robust regenerator designs. Magnet encapsulation improves convertor robustness, as the magnets are now protected from inadvertent contact with the surroundings. Magnet contact due to operational error was determined as a proximate cause during previous convertor failure investigations (Ref. 18). Displacer gas-bearing capacity has been increased, which gives the SRSC additional margin for static acceleration environments. The SRSC includes provisions for static centering of the piston, which was absent in the ASC and required operational steps to position the piston in a proper starting location. With this, the concept of operations steps for manual centering disappear, simplifying the process and making it less prone to error. Phase 1 efforts also focused on regenerator options. Prior convertor long-term operation revealed the convertor to be vulnerable to debris from regenerator shedding. Regenerator options have been identified to reduce the likelihood of debris generation as well as to make the internal components immune to small amounts of debris. Tolerance of overstroke conditions will be implemented in the SRSC hardware. The SRSC will have bumpers that will become engaged if the piston or displacer amplitudes grow too large. Such a situation could result from improper electrical loading at the alternator terminals while a temperature difference is present. Thus, overstroke tolerance adds an element of robustness that addresses operational error. Sunpower has also implemented several mechanical design changes, improving the dimensional stability of internal components relative to the ASC.






Figure 6.—Phase 1 final review designs: 65-W<sub>e</sub> Sunpower Robust Stirling Converter (SRSC) and notional generator design with several redundant SRSCs. Image from Sunpower, Inc.

The SRSC underwent a phase 1 design review in April 2018. The decision has been made to award phase 2 of the contract. During phase 2, Sunpower will make any final design adjustments, then fabricate and test two convertor prototypes.

## 7.0 Path to Flight

The task of proving a 20-yr design life is unique and atypical in the realm of reliability engineering. Typically, spaceflight product qualification protocols involve demonstrating a multiple of the design life via ground testing. Such a demonstration is not feasible, even for a one-time demonstration of design life. There are also no feasible methods for performing accelerated life testing at the convertor level. Accelerated life testing can be, and has been performed, at the component level (Ref. 19). Hot-end materials can be subjected to higher temperature and stress than the convertor operating design point. Flexure bearings and planar springs can undergo cyclic operation at a higher frequency and stress amplitude than the conditions during convertor operation. However, when assembled at the convertor level, the convertor is designed to operate at a specified frequency, which can only vary by a few percent. Thus, reliability verification will have to rely on analysis. Reliability analyses typically rely on establishing a statistical database composed of as many hardware data points as possible (Ref. 20). This is the method employed for mean-time-between-failure metrics. In the case of the aforementioned dynamic power convertors, the number of hardware data points will always be limited, given its narrow applicability to space missions. Previous reliability methods for Stirling convertors suggested on the order of tens of identical units would need to be placed on extended operation and exposed to the full range of environmental conditions. Fabrication of this number of units is also not feasible in the current timeline. It is unlikely the flight development phase would have the funding or schedule available to build such a statistical database before developing the RPS. Robustness tests could be performed, but these only demonstrate the ability of the design to handle temporary off-nominal environments. Such results could bolster confidence in reliability by demonstrating margin, but this would only be one element of any reliability analysis. As a result, the first use of any new power conversion in spaceflight will have to either accept the risk of an imperfect reliability database or formulate a novel reliability method that uses few data points. One method to significantly improve generator reliability is to incorporate convertor redundancy. For example, if a convertor is at least 85-percent reliable, and the generator implements 100-percent convertor redundancy (twice as many convertors as necessary to provide

the desired power output), the generator reliability would be in excess of 99 percent. Redundant convertor arrangements have been studied on several occasions in the past (Ref. 21) and were considered during the latest chapter of convertor development. The disadvantages of convertor redundancy include additional mass (and reduction in generator specific power), greater control complexity (potentially more control electronics components), and limitations in physical arrangement to accommodate heat flows. A generator with redundant convertors must be designed in such a way that when a convertor fails, heat flow from the thermal source can reach the working convertors without violating a fuel temperature limit. The heat rejection device must also be designed to accommodate this scenario in a similar fashion.

To address the issue of flight mission adoption, the RPS Program has chartered a Surrogate Mission Team (SMT) (Ref. 10). The team consists of RPS community parties, including NASA, the U.S. DOE, and flight centers (Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Applied Physics Laboratory (APL), and NASA Goddard Space Flight Center). The purpose of the team is to provide a stand-in for an actual mission, which is necessary to drive requirements. The SMT provided the insight necessary to drive the performance goals that were written into the 2016 RFP. This included examination of several historical and candidate missions. For example, the requirement for static acceleration tolerance was not in place during previous development efforts, but several planetary science missions involve aerobraking procedures, or entry-descent-landing events, which would expose the entire spacecraft to static accelerations on the order of 20 g for 1 min. Several workshops were held to formulate the surrogate mission requirements. The intent was to encompass all relevant missions, such that a generator built to those requirements would be adoptable by a wide range of missions. As such, the requirements trend toward multimission. If this exercise was performed properly, the dynamic RPS flight system will be usable on any foreseeable mission, rather than uniquely tailored for a specific environment.

As stated earlier, the nature of RPS design lives mean that use of any new conversion technology will have to accept some amount of risk. Every technology encounters a similar conundrum when readying for flight. Stated simply, this question must be answered: What is necessary to convince the mission community that the technology is reliable? In the absence of a wealth of hardware data points to establish a statistical database, establishing the readiness of the technology is resigned to using available hardware resources. For this purpose, the SMT is also tasked with formulating a technology readiness level (TRL) assessment method for dynamic RPS. NASA TRL definitions usually require interpretation, especially for new technologies without established analogs or precedents. Significant effort was put forth during the ASRG project to formalize the protocol and definitions for ranking TRL of the power system and subassemblies (Ref. 22). This ranking considered all components of the ASRG (convertors, controller, and balance of plant). This method was used as a launch point for development of the SMT's TRL assessment method. A common practice for spaceflight technology advancement is to reach TRL 6 before proceeding to flight development. TRL 6 is defined by NASA/SP—2007-6105 as "System/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment (Ref. 23)." With this, the relevant environments were examined to assert those that are most important for demonstration. The relevant environments include thermal extents, deep space, random vibration due to launch, and static acceleration. Known methods exist to duplicate these environments in laboratories within a reasonable cost and schedule. The environment experienced by the convertor can be duplicated even without a generator design. The thermal interfaces are defined sufficiently independent of the specific generator details, such that the critical temperatures and forces can be reproduced on the convertor. The convertor can then be exposed to the range of expected temperatures and thermal input loads. The deep space environment is actually the most benign of the list. The thermal radiation sink temperature produces a low heat rejection temperature. The absence of atmosphere induces a negligibly higher pressure difference across the working gas pressure boundary. The lack of oxygen means operation in space is actually more benign than laboratory operation. Deep space can be simulated in a

thermal vacuum chamber, but it is not necessary to induce that relevant environment on the convertor. Thermal heat flow paths and temperatures can be arranged to emulate the conduction and radiation heat transfer that would exist in a vacuum environment. Structural interfaces to the convertor depend on generator design details. However, these can all be encompassed by exposing the convertor to the launch random vibration spectrum directly. The generator structure could amplify or attenuate portions of the spectrum, so this must be considered when generators are designed. Static acceleration environments can be, and have been, simulated with a centrifuge facility (Ref. 24). Nothing precludes full-power convertor operation during these demonstrations, which is the most likely situation during these phases of a mission. It can also be claimed that continuous operation for many years on a planetary surface is a relevant environment. This too is straightforward to implement. Even cyclic temperature fluctuations for many years, such as those that would be present on a Mars or Moon mission, can be simulated.

The deliverables of the contracts, and the activities to take place during the various phases, were tailored to support TRL advancement. During phase 1, the convertor design and analysis support TRL 4. During phase 2, the contractors will complete physics-based models and build functioning convertor prototypes. The performance testing and model validation during this stage will support TRLs 4 and 6, but this alone will not satisfy all elements to achieve TRL 6, as the emulation of all the relevant environments is outside the scope of the contracts. Phase 3 will focus exclusively on independent validation and verification (IV and V), during which attention to all relevant environments can be paid. Phase 3 will have an emphasis on experimental environmental testing, but will also focus on independent modeling and analysis. Following the completion of phase 3, the goal is to have formal recommendations to the RPS Program regarding which convertor technologies are viable for flight development. In the currently conceived timeline, flight development could begin as early as 2021. Since the contracts are working toward pseudoflight requirements, the valuable hardware produced here could continue to be used and provide valuable data to system integrators during the flight development stage.

## **8.0 Ongoing In-House Dynamic Power Convertor Validation**

The Thermal Energy Conversion Branch at Glenn is responsible for the execution of the contracts, having authored the originating RFP. Glenn also supports dynamic convertor development via additional activities. Glenn continues to contribute dynamic conversion expertise to the SMT, having provided input into generator requirements and TRL assessment methods. Glenn has coordinated extensively with the SMT to flow information and products from convertor contracts. This collaboration played an integral role in the genesis of the RFP, as many of the requirements were extrapolated from candidate mission needs, which were conceptualized by the mission center representation on the SMT. The contract meeting schedule and deliverables were tailored to flow information back to the SMT. The SMT participates in person in all the contract technical interchange meetings. To assist with Glenn's execution and contractor progress review, an integrated product team (IPT) has been assembled. This team is composed of various technical discipline representatives from within the branch and other branches at Glenn. Disciplines covered here include tribology, materials, and systems engineering. The IPT also includes outside organization representatives from the U.S. DOE and APL, to provide the system integration and mission perspectives. This team is responsible for examining monthly progress and technical direction of the contracts, and providing recommendations and feedback.

Glenn also maintains unique capability for convertor technology research. The Stirling Research Laboratory (SRL), established in 2000, is still active and continuing long-term operation of several convertors, in addition to performing specialized experiments. A summary of convertor hardware run time is shown in Table III.

TABLE III.—SUMMARY OF FREE-PISTON STIRLING CONVERTOR EXTENDED OPERATION AT GLENN

| Project and provider                                     | Test article          | Bearing technology | Years of operation | Status                                  |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---|
| SRG-110 <sup>a</sup> : Infinia Technology Corp.          | TDC <sup>b</sup> 13   | Flexure            | 12.6               | Ongoing                                 |
|  | TDC 14                |                    | 12.1               | Shutdown for disassembly and inspection |
|  | TDC 15                |                    | 11.6               | Ongoing                                 |
|  | TDC 16                |                    | 11.6               | Ongoing                                 |
|  | SES <sup>c</sup> 2    |                    | 0.3                | Ongoing                                 |
| Advanced Stirling Radioisotope Generator: Sunpower, Inc. | ASC <sup>d</sup> -0 3 | Gas                | 8.3                | Ongoing                                 |
|  | ASC-E3 3              |                    | 2.5                | Shutdown for disassembly and inspection |
|  | ASC-E3 4              |                    | 3.1                | Ongoing                                 |
|  | ASC-E3 6              |                    | 2.4                | Ongoing                                 |
|  | ASC-E3 8              |                    | 1.9                | Ongoing                                 |
|  | ASC-E3 9              |                    | 1.6                | Ongoing                                 |
|  | ASC-L                 |                    | 4.0                | Ongoing                                 |

<sup>a</sup>110-W<sub>e</sub> Stirling Radioisotope Generator .

<sup>b</sup>Technology Demonstration Converter.

<sup>c</sup>110-W<sub>e</sub> Stirling Radioisotope Generator Engineering Unit Stirling Converter Assembly.

<sup>d</sup>Advanced Stirling Converter.

The SRL is currently operating four of the flexure-bearing FISC-design predecessor units. The maximum per-unit run time has reached over 12.6 yr at full temperature and power. TDC 13 has operated for over 12.6 yr at full power and temperature, with no degradation. This unit now holds the record for the longest-running free-piston Stirling machine. It also holds the record for longest-running maintenance-free heat engine in the history of civilization. This encompasses all automobile engines, gas turbines for terrestrial power plants, remote backup generators, and aerospace turbine engines. This convertor has accumulated over 32 billion cycles of operation. Throughout its life, no parts have required replacement. This includes the flexures, alternator, and high-temperature components. Only periodic small replenishments of the He working gas were required, which was due to imperfect hermetic welds at the pressure boundary joints. A flight convertor with proper hermetically sealed joints would not experience any measurable working gas loss. This valuable data point supports the engineering methods behind free-piston Stirling convertor designs. The nickel-based super alloy, INCONEL<sup>®</sup> 718, was designed to withstand the operating temperature of 650 °C and stress due to the internal working gas pressure. Data for INCONEL<sup>®</sup> 718 were only available out to 10 yr. The hot-end component was engineered via extrapolation of this data to a design life of over 17 yr, with failure defined as the onset of tertiary creep. Given that no convertor degradation has been observed, this validates the method for designing a high-temperature component in the absence of sufficient creep material data. Given that no components have suffered fatigue failure, this suggests that the components were properly engineered to withstand the oscillating stress amplitude, notably the flexures. The degradation-free performance from this convertor also proves the engineering behind the alternator for which the permanent magnets must sustain their magnetic flux in the presence of oscillating reaction force from the coil current generation. Similarly, the engineering of the bonding methods for the magnets and other alternator components has been validated by TDC 13. Performance data from this convertor have been examined closely throughout its operational life. Changes in performance have been explained by either oxygen ingress through its original

nonhermetic joints or variation in the facility support equipment that upset the control of the operating point. A recent 6-yr span was examined closely, during which no changes to the facility setup were made. This was useful for isolating the effects of convertor degradation from facility disturbances. The performance of the convertor was found to be a flat line across this 6-yr span to within 0.1  $W_e$  of power output and to within 0.2 percentage points of gross efficiency. Even the small efficiency difference could be due to changes in the hot-end insulation and not any change in the convertor. Insulation losses and the stability of such losses are difficult to quantify to the resolution necessary to explain small deviations. It was discovered concurrently during this time that the coolant used to control the cold-end temperature of the convertor had corrosion inhibitors that fouled the heat rejection surfaces. The surfaces were inspected with a borescope and significant fouling was discovered that would interfere with the heat transfer in this area. No instrumentation would have indicated this fouling, and the effect would show up as a change in convertor performance, as the fouling would disrupt the cold-end temperature of the cycle. This is a prime example of the need to examine all possible sources of data disturbances when examining long-term performance. TDC 13 will continue extended operation at its full-temperature and power operating point for the foreseeable future. Discussions are currently underway to assess what component will exhibit any life-limiting effect, and when that may occur. From an engineering perspective, the hot-end material will eventually creep enough to disrupt the geometry such that the thermodynamic cycle will be disturbed. With the current instrumentation, this will be detected long before any rupture occurs.

TDC 14 operated alongside TDC 13 until it was recently manually shut down for disassembly and inspection. After accumulating 12 yr of operation, TDC 14 was manually shut down and removed from the test station. The convertor was disassembled for inspection to assess the state of the internal components. The heater head was removed to inspect the displacer state. There were signs of mild oxidation on the hot surfaces of the heater head and displacer, which was expected (Figure 7). During initial operation of this convertor, the pressure boundary joints were not hermetically sealed, and oxygen ingress was observed. It is possible that elimination of oxygen from the onset of operation would leave oxidation-free surfaces. Nonetheless, the surface oxidation on these components is cosmetic and did not disrupt convertor operation. Scratches were observed on the hot-end of the displacer. It has been hypothesized that these are from fibers shed by the random-fiber regenerator, which broke loose and were pulverized by the oscillation motion of the displacer. If true, the 22- $\mu\text{m}$  fiber was reduced to dust form, as no bulk fibers were observed. Only evidence of iron-oxide dust was found on various surfaces, which again did not degrade performance. Plans are being made to extract the regenerator from TDC 14's heater head, which is currently captured between brazed-in components. One of these braze joints must be removed in order to access the regenerator, which will render the heater head permanently disassembled. Ideally, a regenerator would not shed debris. It is further hypothesized that early operation of this convertor, during which there was known oxygen ingress, compromised the hot portion of the stainless steel regenerator. Investigation of this hypothesis is planned. It is possible that proper sealing from the onset and purification of the working gas would have eliminated any such damage to the regenerator due to oxidation embrittlement. Since the design of the TDC (which dates to the mid-1990s), advancements in regenerator material options have been made and demonstrated during the ASRG project. Materials with a greater oxidation resistance than 316L stainless steel are available, so the regenerator shedding is not an insurmountable problem for Stirling convertor flight development. No signs of rubbing were evident on the displacer seal area, suggesting the accurate close clearance seal in this area remained stable over the operating life.




Figure 7.—Technology Demonstration Converter 14 displacer assembly after 12 yr of operation. Some oxidation was observed as expected. Scratch marks on hot end could be from compromised regenerator shedding debris. (a) 0°. (b) 90°. (c) 180°. (d) 270°.




Figure 8.—Technology Demonstration Converter 14 piston assembly after 12 yr of operation. Light scratches on Xylan surface were observed and thought to be due to regenerator debris. (a) 0°. (b) 90°. (c) 180°. (d) 270°.

The piston was removed from the moving portion of the alternator and examined (Figure 8), along with the cylinder in which it resides. Light axial scratches were evident in the Xylan (Metal Coatings Corporation) outer diameter of the piston. Particles were found embedded in the Xylan surface and chemical analysis revealed them to be composed of Fe and Cr. Again, this is thought to be due to shedding of material from the regenerator, but it did not degrade convertor operation. This convertor suffered sustained overstroke (on the order of several seconds) at the 3.8-yr mark, due to temporary electrical load disconnection. The convertor operated thereafter until the time of shutdown for disassembly. The only effect of this overstroke observed upon internal inspection was mild impact marks on the piston face Tefzel™ bumper (Chemours™), which was implemented for such an event. This suggests that polymer bumpers are a viable method for increasing convertor robustness, making them immune to operational errors. Portions of the alternator section were also inspected, but this is limited until this section is disassembled further. The flexures that could be seen showed no signs of damage or initiation of cracks. Accurate dimensional inspection of components was conducted using a coordinate measuring machine. The results showed that, compared to original drawing specifications, the parts

maintained dimensional stability and engineering clearances. Further disassembly of TDC 14 is planned to gather more information. The alternator section will be disassembled so the flexures may be inspected more closely. Various examination techniques will reveal what cannot be seen with the unassisted eye. Microscopic imaging and dye testing can reveal flaws or crack initiation points. This will also allow close inspection of the organics and magnets of the alternator.

A static acceleration exposure test was recently performed on an engineering unit flexure-bearing convertor from the SRG-110 project. The engineering unit convertors from the SRG-110 project were deemed 110-W<sub>e</sub> Stirling Radioisotope Generator Engineering Unit Stirling Convertor Assembly (SES). This test was pursued because static acceleration is a likely requirement, and such a test was never performed on a flexure-bearing convertor. The test article installed in the centrifuge is shown in Figure 9. The forward-looking requirement demands tolerance up to 20g in every axis. However, as the SES was not designed with static acceleration in mind, the test matrix had to take this into account. The convertor was exposed to 5g in the axial orientations (those in line with the piston motion), and 20g in the lateral orientation. The duration for each exposure was 1 min at the full level. The centrifuge facility maximum spin-up rate was such that several minutes were required to reach the 20g level, so the cumulative static acceleration was higher than simply 1 min at 20g. A total of four tests were run: two axial and two lateral. The convertor was operated at full design power and temperature during each exposure. The convertor behaved as expected during 5g and 20g accelerations. There was a temporary reduction in power as slight friction between the piston and its cylinder occurred (Figure 10), which disrupted thermodynamic cycle operation. There appears to be a point where the displacer motion is also disrupted due to friction, above 18g. Structural analyses performed prior to the test indicated contact could occur at these levels in the lateral direction. The reduction in power output was temporary, and performance returned to nominal after the centrifuge was halted. This convertor will continue extended operation for the foreseeable future, as this unit has now undergone launch random vibrate as well as static acceleration. The long-term effect, if any, of these environmental exposures will be evaluated over several thousands of hours of operation.



Figure 9.—110-W<sub>e</sub> Stirling Radioisotope Generator Engineering Unit Stirling Convertor Assembly 2 installed in centrifuge ready for axial static acceleration exposure.






Figure 10.—Example Stirling Radioisotope Generator 110 Engineering Unit Stirling Convertor Assembly (SES) 2 power output while undergoing 20g of static acceleration in lateral orientation, Wednesday, April 25, 2017, from 07:05:52 to 12:10:40.

The SRL is also still operating several units from Sunpower, including the last design iteration from the ASRG project, the ASC–E3, for which the longest running unit has accumulated over 3.1 yr of operation at full temperature and power. The ASC–E3 design was equivalent to the flight convertor developed under ASRG. A predecessor design with INCONEL<sup>®</sup> 718 for the hot-end material, deemed the ASC–0, has reached 8.3 yr of operation. Several of the ASC units have undergone launch random vibration exposure, and then continued extended operation. A static acceleration exposure was also performed on an ASC in the 2012 timeframe (Ref. 24). The lab has cultivated a performance tracking methodology to support this long-term operation analysis, one in which the test article parameters are constantly recorded. Methods have been implemented to organize and parse this large dataset for on-demand analysis. Capability also exists to continuously store high-rate signal data, up to 6 ksamples/s.

The SRL has initiated preparations for up to three dynamic power converter (DPC) prototype designs, which are scheduled to arrive for government independent validation and verification (IV and V) in 2019. Glenn also has analysis capability to interrogate products from the contractors. There exists capability to examine physics-based models, such as finite element analysis (FEA) and computational fluid dynamics (CFD), solid computer-aided design (CAD) models, and thermodynamic models such as those from Sage. The Thermal Energy Conversion branch also maintains a unique System Dynamic Model (SDM) that can be tailored for the next generation of DPC design. This tool accurately models the physics-based dynamics of components of dynamic convertor operation (Ref. 25). It is useful for transient as well as steady-state analysis. Thus, it can be engaged when examining convertor behavior under launch vibration, static acceleration, or to evaluate controller behavior.

## 9.0 Conclusions

A recent endeavor to develop high-efficiency power converters for NASA’s radioisotope power systems (RPS) needs has begun. A Request for Proposal (RFP) from 2016 has resulted in the award of four dynamic convertor development contracts. Three of the contracts were for Stirling-cycle devices, while the fourth is pursuing a Brayton-cycle device. The contracts consist of design, fabrication, and test phases. Three of the contract have either begun or are about to being the fabrication phase. These three

contracts may each result in operable prototype hardware that will undergo government independent validation and verification (IV and V). Glenn is responsible for the coordination of these contracts and is currently exercising protocols for ongoing contract evaluation. Glenn is also preparing for the eventual IV and V phase, during which the prototype hardware will undergo a series of requirements validation tests for which the goal is to identify which convertor options are suitable for flight development. Glenn also supports development and demonstration of dynamic power convertor technology for eventual use on RPS. Several flight-like hardware data points have shown the capability of free-piston Stirling convertors to meet the requirements of spaceflight.

## Appendix—Nomenclature

|          |   |
|----------|---|
| ACU      | Advanced Stirling Convertor controller unit   |
| AMSC     | American Superconductor   |
| APL      | Applied Physics Laboratory  |
| ASC      | Advanced Stirling Convertor   |
| ASRG     | Advanced Stirling Radioisotope Generator  |
| CAD      | computer-aided design   |
| CFD      | computational fluid dynamics  |
| CHopper  | Comet Hopper  |
| CSAF     | cold-side adapter flange  |
| DIPS     | Dynamic Isotope Power System  |
| DOE      | Department of Energy  |
| DPC      | dynamic power convertor   |
| FEA      | finite element analysis   |
| FISC     | Flexure Isotope Stirling Convertor  |
| GMV      | gas management valve  |
| GPHS     | general-purpose heat source   |
| HEPA     | high-efficiency particulate air   |
| IPT      | integrated product team   |
| ITC      | Infinia Technology Corp.  |
| IV and V | independent validation and verification   |
| MMRTG    | multi-mission radioisotope thermoelectric generator   |
| NGAS     | Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems  |
| NICMOS   | Near Infrared Camera and Multi-Object Spectrometer  |
| NRA      | NASA Research Announcement  |
| PRD      | pressure relief device  |
| RFP      | Request for Proposal  |
| RPS      | radioisotope power systems  |
| SBIR     | Small Business Innovation Research  |
| SDM      | System Dynamic Model  |
| SDU      | shunt dissipator unit   |
| SES      | 110-W <sub>e</sub> Stirling Radioisotope Generator Engineering Unit Stirling Convertor Assembly |
| SMT      | Surrogate Mission Team  |
| SRG      | Stirling Radioisotope Generator   |
| SRL      | Stirling Research Laboratory  |
| SRSC     | Sunpower Robust Stirling Convertor  |
| STC      | Stirling Technology Company   |
| SV       | space vehicle   |
| TAPC     | thermoacoustic power convertor  |
| TBC      | turbo-Brayton convertor   |
| TDC      | Technology Demonstration Convertor  |
| TLM      | telemetry   |
| TRL      | technology readiness level  |
| UMD      | University of Maryland  |

## Symbols

|                   |                         |
|-------------------|-------------------------|
| $P_{\text{elec}}$ | electrical power output |
| $Q_{\text{in}}$   | heat input              |
| $Q_{\text{out}}$  | heat rejected           |
| $T_{\text{acc}}$  | acceptor temperature    |
| $T_{\text{cold}}$ | cold temperature        |
| $T_{\text{hot}}$  | hot temperature         |
| $T_{\text{rej}}$  | rejector temperature    |
| $W_{\text{e}}$    | electrical watts        |
| $W_{\text{th}}$   | thermal watts           |

## References

1. Radioisotope Power Systems: Sources Sought Notice for the Next-Generation RTG Systems for Space Power Generation. 2018. <http://rps.nasa.gov> Accessed Aug. 20, 2018.
2. Wham, R.M., et al.: Reestablishing the Supply of Plutonium-238. AIAA 2015–4115, 2015.
3. Woerner, David, et al.: The Mars Science Laboratory (MSL) MMRTG In-Flight: A Power Update. Proceedings of Nuclear and Emerging Technologies for Space 2013, Paper 6748, 2013.
4. Schreiber, Jeffrey G.: Developmental Considerations on the Free-Piston Stirling Power Convertor for Use in Space. AIAA 2006–4015, 2006.
5. Ross, R.G., Jr.: Cryocoolers for Space Applications. 2015 CEC Cryocooler Short Course, 2015, p. RGR 1–36. [https://www.jlab.org/IR/Cryocooler\\_Fundamentals\\_Course\\_Notes/CEC-RGR\\_1\\_200dpi-final.pdf](https://www.jlab.org/IR/Cryocooler_Fundamentals_Course_Notes/CEC-RGR_1_200dpi-final.pdf) Accessed Aug. 31, 2018.
6. Oriti, Salvator M.: Performance Measurement of Advanced Stirling Convertors (ASC–E3). AIAA 2013–3813, 2013.
7. Schreiber, Jeffrey G.: Status of the NASA Stirling Radioisotope Project. NASA/TM—2007-214804, 2007. <http://ntrs.nasa.gov>
8. Schmitz, Paul C.; Mason, Lee S.; and Schifer, Nicholas A.: Modular Stirling Radioisotope Generator. AIAA 2015–3809, 2015.
9. Bolotin, Gary, et al.: The Use of Redundancy to Improve Reliability of Deep Space Missions Using Stirling Radioisotope Generator Power Sources. AIAA 2014–3963, 2014.
10. Hibbard, Kenneth E., et al.: Stirling to Flight Initiative. Presented at the 2016 IEEE Aerospace Conference, Big Sky, MT, 2016.
11. National Aeronautics and Space Administration: Dynamic Power Convertors for Radioisotope Power Systems. NASA Research Announcement NNH16ZDA001N–DPC4RPS Under the Research Opportunities for Space and Earth Sciences (ROSES–2016), 2016. <https://nspires.nasaprs.com/external/solicitations/summary.do?method=init&solId=%7B6352B2ED-E22A-20BB-129D-BD0993BE2AD8%7D&path=open> Accessed Aug. 20, 2018.
12. McNutt, R.L.; and Ostdiek, P.H.: Nuclear Power Assessment Study Final Report. Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory Contract Report TSSD–23122, 2015.
13. Mason, Lee S.: Realistic Specific Power Expectations for Advanced Radioisotope Power Systems. J. Propul. Power, vol 23, no. 5, 2007.
14. Schreiber, Jeffrey G.; and Thieme, Lanny G.: Final Results for the GRC Supporting Technology Development Project for the 110-Watt Stirling Radioisotope Generator (SRG110). NASA/TM—2007-214839, 2007. <http://ntrs.nasa.gov>
15. Tortorelli, P.F., et al.: Ni-Based Alloys for Advanced Ultrasupercritical Steam Boilers. Oak Ridge National Laboratory Presentation at the Fossil Energy Crosscutting Research Program Review, Pittsburgh, PA, 2014. [https://www.netl.doe.gov/File%20Library/Events/2014/crosscutting/Crosscutting\\_20140522\\_1600B\\_ORNL.pdf](https://www.netl.doe.gov/File%20Library/Events/2014/crosscutting/Crosscutting_20140522_1600B_ORNL.pdf) Accessed Aug. 20, 2018.
16. Petach, Michael; Tward, Emanuel; and Backhaus, Scott: Design and Testing of a Thermal to Electric Power Converter Based on Thermoacoustic Technology. AIAA 2004–5518, 2004.
17. Wong, Wayne A., et al.: Advanced Stirling Convertor (ASC) Technology Maturation. AIAA 2015–3806, 2015.
18. Lewandowski, Edwin J.; Dobbs, Michael W.; and Oriti, Salvatore M.: Advanced Stirling Radioisotope Generator EU2 Anomaly Investigation. AIAA 2016–4816, 2016.

19. Wilson, Scott D.; and Wong, Wayne A.: NASA Glenn Research Center Support of the Flight ASRG Project. AIAA 2014–3859, 2014.
20. Ha, Chuong, et al.: Reliability Demonstration Approach for Advanced Stirling Radioisotope Generator. AIAA 2010–7174, 2010.
21. Schmitz, Paul C.; Mason, Lee S.; and Schifer, Nicholas A.: Modular Stirling Radioisotope Generator. AIAA 2015–3809, 2015.
22. Frerking, Margaret A.; and Beauchamp, Patricia M.: JPL Technology Readiness Assessment Guideline. Presented at the 2016 IEEE Aerospace Conference, Big Sky, MT, 2016.
23. National Aeronautics and Space Administration: NASA Systems Engineering Handbook. NASA/SP—2007-6105, 2007. <http://ntrs.nasa.gov>
24. Meer, David W.; and Oriti, Salvatore: Advanced Stirling Convertor Durability Testing: Plans and Interim Results. AIAA 2012–4249, 2012.
25. Meer, David W.; and Lewandowski, Edward J.: Incorporating Vibration Test Results for the Advanced Stirling Convertor Into the System Dynamic Model. AIAA 2010–7094, 2010.



