

Heat transfer model of the SUBSA furnace

V. Riabov¹, A. G. Ostrogorsky¹, M. P. Volz² and A. Croell³

¹Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL 60616, [AOstrogo@IIT.edu](mailto:A.Ostrogo@IIT.edu)

²NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL35812

³University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL35899


1996 - 2002




2016 - present

- The SUBSA (Solidification Using a Baffle in Sealed Ampoules) furnace was designed in 2002 for growth of indium antimonide in the Microgravity Science Glovebox at the International Space Station.
- The furnace features a transparent section, which allows a side view of the melt and growing crystal.
- At present, the SUBSA furnace is being used to grow the radiation detector materials InI and $\text{Cs}_2\text{LiYCl}_6\text{:Ce}$ (CLYC)
- Heat transfer models of the SUBSA furnace have been developed using the modelling programs CrysMAS to help optimize the design of the experiments for these new materials.

SUBSA Furnace (left) and Microgravity Science Glovebox (MSG) Unit (right)



Temperatures measured by the sample thermocouples during growth of InSb




- Transparent section was feasible, because of the low melting point (512 C) and high thermal conductivity of InSb ($10 \text{ W/m} - \text{K}$ for liquid and $18 \text{ W/m} - \text{K}$ for solid InSb)
- During “seeding” i.e. peak temperature, $T_{TC4} - T_{TC3} = 120 \text{ K}$. $T_{TC3} - T_{TC2} = 100 \text{ K}$. Thus, $dT/dx > 100 \text{ K/cm}$

Heat transfer in the transparent zone

Radiation shields

The radiation shields are effective if they:

- reflect radiation back towards the ampoule (are cylindrical and coaxial)
- have high reflectivity and low emissivity
- Are small and close to the heat source to be relatively “hot” (to reduce ΔT^4).
- Are not transparent, $\tau=0$ (except in the visible range).



The radiation shield geometry used in the SUBSA furnace uses the radiation reflectors that are flat and far from the ampoule (Fig. 2 b), and the conditions i, and iii are not satisfied. Only a small fraction of radiation is reflected back to the ampoule. Instead, it is absorbed in the shields after multiple reflections. **As a result dT/dr and dT/dx are high.**

Convection and Radiation heat transfer coefficient in the transparent zone on Earth in microgravity (ISS)

$$q_{conv} = h_{conv}(T - T_{fluid});$$

$$q_{rad} = h_{rad} * (T - T_{sur})$$

h_{conv} – empirical model

Type equation here.

g	Gr_D	Gr_L	h_Hori	h_Vert	h_rad	h_Total
			W/m2-K	W/m2-K	W/m2-K	W/m2-K
9.81E-06	0.01585	0.2477	1.306	1.221	18.16	19.46
9.81E-05	0.1585	2.477	1.57	1.493	18.16	19.73
9.81E-04	1.585	24.77	2.04	1.943	18.16	20.2
9.81E-03	15.85	247.7	2.876	2.709	18.16	21.03
9.81E-02	158.5	2477	4.363	4.065	18.16	22.52
9.81E-01	1585	24771	7.006	6.551	18.16	25.16
9.81E+00	15853	247710	11.71	11.27	18.16	29.86

Conclusions:

- On Earth, $h_{convection} = 11 \text{ W/m-K}$ and $h_{rad} \sim 18 \text{ W/m-K}$. $h_{Total} \sim 30 \text{ W/m-K}$.
- At $10^{-6}g_0$, $h_{convection} = 1.3 \frac{W}{m} - K$, $h_{Total} \sim 20 \text{ W/m-K}$.
- Heat transfer is dominated by radiation

Experiments with InI and CLYC in 2016-present

- At present, the SUBSA furnace is being used to grow the radiation detector material InI and scintillator $\text{Cs}_2\text{LiYCl}_6:\text{Ce}$ (CLYC) both of which have low thermal conductivity, $k \sim 0.5 \text{ W/m-K}$ ($\sim 10\times$ lower than the thermal conductivity of InSb).

InI detector



CLYC crystal

Image source:
<https://www.nasa.gov/sites/default/files/thumbnails/image/imclyc.jpg>




- Both salts have low thermal conductivity, $k \sim 0.5 \text{ W/m-K}$ ($\sim 10\times$ lower than the thermal conductivity of InSb).
- At the ISS in 2002, one experiment failed, because 0.503 g of molten salt LiCl-KCl eutectic was present. LiCl-KCl has similar properties as InI or CLYC ($k \sim 1 \text{ W/m-K}$)

Axial temperature gradient dT/dx as a function of melt thermal conductivity


Using the “fin” model we show that the resulting the temperature profile $T(x)$ and gradient dT/dx , depend on the the thermal conductivity of the charge in the ampoule.

- $dT_1/dx \sim 100$ K/cm, is in excellent agreement with the data from InSb-SUBSA experiments
- $dT_2/dx \sim 300$ K/cm. One must overheat the melt by 300 C, for 1 cm to be visible in the transparent zone



Axial profile in SUBSA SAA. T_1 for InSb, $k_1=10$ W/m-K and T_2 for a salt, $k_2=1$ W/m-K,


Modeling for optimization




- Using CrysMas software package, Axi-Symmetric Heat conduction and radiation model was developed to explore the application of highly conductive sleeve on SAA to help supply heat from the heater core into the transparent zone and to **reduce dT/dx**

Axial temperature profile and field in the model

- CrysMass calculations show significant reduction of $dT(x)/dx$ when 15 cm long, OD=17.6 mm, ID=16 mm sapphire pipe is used




Comparison of temperatures measured by sample TC's in experimental run Inl-G1 with values obtained by simulation



Conclusion

- ***The step change in temperature, between the heated and the unheated zone, causes large temperature gradients, dT/dx , which are***
 - (a) undesirable for single crystal growth
 - (b) may cause convective interference with diffusion in microgravity experiments.
 - (c) For InI, CLYC and there non-metallic melts, ~1 cm of melt is visible.
- temperature gradient in the SAA should be reduced to a value of 20 to 50 K/cm in order to:
 - reduce overheating the melt
 - reduce thermal stresses and stress induced dislocations.
 - reduce convection on Earth and in microgravity.
 - allow 3 to 4 cm of the melt to be visible (as this was the case with InSb).
- Using a sapphire (or other highly conductive material) tube will significantly reduce the step change in the boundary conditions

Flight samples – Melt growth



- The design of SAA featuring highly conductive silver and sapphire sleeves was implemented in the flight experiment samples
- Four melt growth samples of InI were delivered to the ISS in March 2017

Vapor growth of InI

Over the course of this investigation a bulk crystals of InI were produced by physical vapor transport for the first time



Flight samples – vapor growth

Two vapor growth samples of InI were delivered to the ISS in March 2017



Acknowledgement

- This work was supported by CASIS under Grant Number GA-2015-207/NASA NNH11CD70A, the National Science Foundation under Grant Number CMMI-1853512, and by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Space Life and Physical Sciences Research and Applications Division under cooperative agreement NNM11AA01A.

