

# **DebriSat Project Status**

#### Heather Cowardin, Ph.D. Orbital Debris Research & Science Operations Manager ODRSO Lead R&D JACOBS JETS Contract In support of the NASA Orbital Debris Program Office



Presented at 37<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the IADC 7 to 10 May 2019 Rome, Italy

## Outline



- DebriSat Project Overview
- Status
- Plan Forward



## **DebriSat Project Team**





- NASA Orbital Debris Program Office (ODPO)
  - Co-sponsor, project and technical oversight, data collection, data analyses, NASA model improvements



- AF Space and Missile Systems Center (SMC)
  - Co-sponsor, technical oversight



#### The Aerospace Corporation (Aerospace)

 DebriSat design support, DebrisLV design & fabrication, data collection, data analyses, DoD model improvements



- University of Florida (UF)
  - DebriSat design & fabrication, data collection, fragment processing and characterization



- AF Arnold Engineering Development Complex (AEDC)
  - Hypervelocity impact tests

# **Brief Intro**



• The purpose of the DebriSat project is to replicate a hyper-velocity fragmentation event using modern-day spacecraft materials and construction techniques to better improve the existing DoD and NASA breakup models





## **DebriSat Project Motivation**



- The need for laboratory-based impact tests was recognized by DoD and NASA decades ago
- Key impact test series, Satellite Orbital Debris Characterization Impact Test (SOCIT) was conducted by the Department of Defense (DOD) and NASA at AEDC in 1992 to support the development of satellite breakup models
- Breakup models based on SOCIT have supported many applications over the years
- As new materials and construction techniques are developed for modern satellites, there is a need for new laboratory-based tests to acquire data to improve the existing DoD and NASA breakup models and support space situational awareness (SSA) applications

# **Fragment Characterization Plan**

- Collect, measure, and characterize all fragments, including MLI and solar panel pieces, down to ~2 mm in size
- Conduct radar, photometric, and spectral measurements for selected fragments
  - Support improvements to radar and optical size-estimation models



## DebriSat Project Milestones Collection-Characterization-Application



## **Status-Historical**





### **Status- Recorded/Collected**



9

#### Status-2D/3D





#### **Status- Size** as of 01/23/2019





### Status-Shape as function of L<sub>c</sub> as of 01/23/2019





#### Status-Shape as function of Mass as of 01/23/2019





#### Status-Density as of 01/23/2019







# Plan Forward-Prepping for Next Measurements

#### Next steps- near term



#### • On-going research focus

- Current data is heavily biased towards CFRP, focus on larger fragments
- Using X-ray imagery to extract number of fragments in intact soft catch panels
- Mass all 3D fragments
- Collect subset of fragments to collect laboratory-based optical measurements and radar measurements to compare optical inferred sizes and radar cross sections
- Data will be used in the development of ORDEM 4.0
- Continue investigating non-spherical projectiles with various densities improve damage equations
  - HVI test program, in support of the Orbital Debris Progam Office (ODPO), is investigating the damage characteristics of non-spherical particle impacts into US lab type whipple shields.
  - Projectile = AI 2024-T3 non-spherical projectiles; Velocity = 7 km/s; Impact angle = 0°

Thank you

NASA

#### Back-Up



#### Previous Laboratory Impact Test SOCIT vs DebriSat



	<b>SOCIT</b> U.S. Navy Transit 1960's era satellite	<b>DebriSat</b> Representative modern spacecraft in LEO	Before
Target body dimensions	46 cm (dia) $\times$ 30 cm (ht)	$60 \mathrm{cm} \mathrm{(dia)} \times 50 \mathrm{cm} \mathrm{(ht)}$	
Target mass	34.5 kg	56 kg	
MLI and solar panel	No	Yes	
Projectile material	Al sphere	Hollow Al cylinder with attached nylon bore-rider	Before
Projectile dimension/mass	4.7 cm diameter, 150 g	8.6 cm × 9 cm, 570 g	
Impact speed	6.1 km/sec	6.8 km/sec	
Impact Energy to Target Mass ratio (EMR)	81 J/g (2.8 MJ total)	235 J/g (13.2 MJ total)	

See Ausay, E., "A Comparison of the SOCIT and DebriSat Experiments"

#### National Aeronautics and Space Administration Optical Laboratory Data Analyses: Optical Measurement Center (NASA/JSC)





- **ASD field spectrometer:** high-resolution reflectance spectrometer .
- SBIG CCD camera and attached filter wheel, which uses Johnson/Bessell BVRI and SDSS g'r'i'z'
- 75 W Xenon arc lamp
- R17 robotic arm (5 DOF)
- Rotary arm with potentiometer

Goal: develop optical size estimation model eliminating need for assumptions in current calculations

$$d = \frac{2 \cdot R}{\left[\pi \cdot A_{g} \cdot \Psi(\alpha)\right]^{0.5}} \cdot 10^{\left[\frac{Mabs(v) + Msun(v)}{-5.0}\right]}$$
  
R: 36,000 Km  
A<sub>g</sub>: geometrical albedo=0.175  
 $\Psi(\alpha)$ , Lambertian Phase Function

- Acquire empirical-based bidirectional reflectance distribution function measurements to eliminate need to have aspect angle dependencies
- Upgraded robotic arm with 6 DOF is now underway; expected completion by end of FY18

## **Radar Facility Data Analyses**



#### **Historical:**

- 1990s physical measurements acquired (L<sub>c</sub>, area, and mass)
- Majority of objects investigated were aluminum or steel
- Radar measurements were taken at multiple angles in order to avoid undersampling the RCS aspect angle variations
- Data were taken at a wide range of frequencies from 2.4 to 18 GHz (S, C, X and Ku bands)

#### Plan Forward:

- Repeat the 1990s experiment with more modern materials, a large frequency sweep, and collect RCS measurements in various aspect angles – radar facilities are currently being assessed for cost, resources, and availability
- Similar to previous analysis, the physical parameters will be compared with RCS measurements to investigate the relationships for a broader subset of materials/shapes
- The DebriSat fragments will provide the opportunity to compare optical measurements against optical inferred sizes and radar cross sections