

National Aeronautics and Space Administration



Small Launch Vehicle Sizing Analysis With Solid Rocket Examples

Tim Kibbey Jacobs Space Exploration Group

June 2019

Good modeling and a "trade space mentality" generates much launch vehicle information for little cost



a semi-empirical inert mass fraction model that is explicit and transparently fits a broad base of historical stage data



Single-stage performance comparisons

Mars Ascent Vehicle examples





A trade space mentality might seek to answer one of the following questions:

Relative to a reference case -

- Which propellant choice accomplishes the mission in a smaller gross mass, volume, or length?
- Is this different propellant combination able to deliver more performance than a reference propellant combination, given similar technology level and construction standards?
- Is this technology improvement more impactful for propellant A or propellant B?
- Is this technology improvement more impactful for mission A or mission B?
- Can Stage B be swapped in for Stage A for equal or greater performance?



This mass model is empirically adjustable and easy to implement, but not too simple.

- Stage inert mass (fraction) is a sum of the effects of 3 factors:
 - Mass due to volume
 - Propellant bulk density including novel propellant combinations
 - Mass due to thrust
 - estimates engine mass & other thrust- and loads-driven structure
 - Mass due to size (diameter proxy for small stage effects)
 - estimates how mass efficiency suffers as stages get smaller
- Correlation coefficients become "technology factors" (material, technique, safety factor, etc.)

$$\frac{inert\ mass}{propellant\ mass} = \boldsymbol{f}_{i} = \boldsymbol{f}_{i,vp,ref} \left(\frac{\rho_{p}}{\rho_{p,ref}}\right)^{-1} + \boldsymbol{C}_{F}FW_{p} + \boldsymbol{C}_{mpref} \left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{p,ref}}\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}$$



Useful Values for the Technology Parameters

MODEL PARAMETER	HIGH ESTIMATE	MEDIUM	LOW ESTIMATE
$f_{i,vp,ref}$ (ref is at typical LOx/Kerosene density, 2.7 OF)	0.030	0.022	0.015
C _{FW}	0.040	0.031	0.025
A_{mpref} (ref is at 10,000 lbm)	0.186	0.127	0.077

	$\frac{\rho_p}{\rho_{p,ref}}$	C _{mpref} LOW	C _{mpref} Medium	C _{mpref} HIGH
STORABLES	1.17	0.165	0.113	0.068
LOX/KEROSENE	1	0.186	0.127	0.077
LOX/LH2	0.35	0.407	0.278	0.168

- C_{mpref} can be related to propellant bulk density to extend to other propellant combinations

$$C_{mpref} = A_{mpref} \left(\frac{\rho_p}{\rho_{p,ref}}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}}$$



Mass due to volume assesses across propellants combinations, common & novel

- Reference: LOX and Kerosene at a 2.7 oxidizer-to-fuel (OF) ratio
- $f_{i,vp,ref}/\rho_{p,ref}$ constitute reference tanks' combined specific volume
 - How much less new propellant can fit in a stage of the same volume as the reference stage?
 - How much more volume must envelope the same mass of new propellant as the reference stage?

Mass fraction due to volume = $f_{i,vp}$

$$i, vp, ref\left(\frac{\rho_p}{\rho_{p, ref}}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\rho_p = \frac{OF + 1}{\frac{OF}{\rho_{oxidizer}} + \frac{1}{\rho_{fuel}}}$$



Mass due to thrust corrects for thrust changes induced by propellant choice & mission needs

Add in the factor for thrust-to-weight (propellant) ratio (FW_p)

$$\boldsymbol{f}_{i,large} = \boldsymbol{f}_{i,vp,ref} \left(\frac{\rho_p}{\rho_{p,ref}}\right)^{-1} + \boldsymbol{C}_F F W_p$$

Rewrite formula: f_i new as function of reference f_i

Example: Delta IV core as function of Atlas V core

$$f_{i,\Delta IV} = f_{i,AV} \frac{\rho_{p,ref}}{\rho_{p,\Delta IV}} + C_F \left(FW_{p,\Delta IV} - \frac{\rho_{p,ref}}{\rho_{p,\Delta IV}} FW_{p,AV} \right)$$

0.134 = 0.079 \cdot 2.84 + C_F (1.69 - 2.84 \cdot 1.49)
0.224

The term C_F multiplies is like cutting off thrust-associated mass

Solve for $C_F = 0.036 \rightarrow f_{i,vp,ref} = 0.026$



Mass due to thrust trends are supported by database of designed stages

LOx/Kerosene: anchored at extremes 0.25 data Atlas-Delta Fraction 0.20 high trend low trend 0.15 nert Mass 0.10 0.05 0.00 2 3 4 5 0 Thrust-to-weight $f_{i,large} = f_{i,vp,ref} \left(\frac{\rho_p}{\rho_{n,ref}}\right)^{-1} + C_F F W_p$

Storables: two families



8

Mass Due to Size is exemplified by solids analogous to a diameter effect

$$f_{i}m_{p} = f_{i,min}m_{p} + m_{min}(D) = f_{i,min}m_{p} + C \cdot m_{p}^{1/3}$$

$$f_{i} = f_{i,min} + C_{mpref} \left(\frac{m_{p}}{m_{p}, ref}\right)^{-2/3}$$
1.0
$$\lambda = \frac{m_{p}}{m_{p} + m_{i}}$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{m_{p}}{m_{p} + m_{i}}$$

data

 10^{3}

 10^{2}

Propellant Mass, kg

 10^{1}

medium trend

10⁴

high trend

low trend

$$m_p + m_i - 1 + f_i$$

 $m_i - 1$

$$f_i = \frac{m_i}{m_p} = \frac{1}{\lambda} - 1$$

1



Propellant Mass Fraction

0.6

0.4

10⁰

Mass due to size trends are supported by database of designed stages



Storables: largest range



This model captures Molniya family first-order changes over a propellant mass range of 30 X





Model and Parameters Summary:

Liquids -
$$f_i = f_{i,vp,ref} \left(\frac{\rho_p}{\rho_{p,ref}}\right)^{-1} + C_F F W_p + C_{mpref} \left(\frac{m_p}{m_{p,ref}}\right)^{-\frac{2}{3}}$$

 $C_{mpref} = A_{mpref} \left(\frac{\rho_p}{\rho_{p,ref}}\right)^{-\frac{3}{4}}$

MODEL PARAMETER	HIGH ESTIMATE	MEDIUM	LOW ESTIMATE
$f_{i,vp,ref}$ (ref is at typical LOx/Kerosene density, 2.7 OF)	0.030	0.022	0.015
C _{FW}	0.040	0.031	0.025
A _{mpref} (ref is at 10,000 lbm)	0.186	0.127	0.077

Solids –
$$f_i = f_{i,min} + C_{mpref} \left(\frac{m_p}{m_p, ref}\right)^{-2/3}$$

	HIGH $f_{i,min}$	MEDIUM	LOW $f_{i,min}$
λ_{max}	0.9	0.93	0.943
f _{i,min}	0.111	0.075	0.06
C _{mpref}	0.0052	0.003	0.0018





Propellant, kg

13

Example: Mars Ascent Vehicle studies identified the importance of non-propulsion inert masses

- Mission
 - Sample return from Mars surface to low Mars orbit, $\Delta V \approx 4$ km/s
 - Transit & surface mission duration: months
 - Baselines: two-stage solid & single-stage hybrid in competition
 - Compare: single or two-stage liquids (cryogenic not an option)

	SOLIDS	LIQUIDS, EXPECTED	LIQUIDS, "BEST"
MISSION PAYLOAD		16 kg	
STAGE 2 INERTS: AVIONICS, RCS, STRUCTURE	34	22	0
STAGE 1 INERTS: INTERSTAGE AND AERODYNAMIC TAIL	14 7 0		0
"STAGE PAYLOAD" STAGE 2	50	38	16
"STAGE PAYLOAD" STAGE 1	128	<sizing-de< th=""><th>pendent></th></sizing-de<>	pendent>



MAV mission drives solution away from liquid propulsion despite 1/3 the "stage payload"



This sizing correlation is a powerful tool for comparing stage design performance differences



semi-empirically shows the effects of Propellants choice & OF ratio Stage FW_p Overall scale (propellant mass)



demonstrated where mass fraction outweighs *lsp* with single-stage performance comparisons



Demonstrated Mars Ascent Vehicle trade outcome: liquids don't measure up



Questions?

Nomenclature

A_{mpref}	global coefficient of mass scaling	MMH	monomethyl hydrazine
AV	pertaining to Atlas V	N2O4	nitrogen tetroxide
C_F	coefficient of thrust-to-weight	m	mass
C_{mpref}	coefficient of mass scaling	m _i	inert mass
CAD	computer-aided design	Mgross	gross mass, stage
DAC	design-analysis cycle	m _p	propellant mass
ΔIV	pertaining to Delta IV	M _{pay}	payload mass
f;	inert mass fraction	OF	oxidizer-to-fuel ratio
FWn	thrust-to-weight ratio (using	RCS	reaction control system
propellant weight)	propellant weight)	ref	reference
GLOM	gross liftoff mass	SRM	solid rocket motors
H2O2	hydrogen peroxide	Ve	exit velocity
I _{sp}	specific impulse	vp	propellant volume
LCH4	liquid methane	ΔV	change in velocity
LH2	liquid hydrogen	λ	propellant mass fraction
LOx	liquid oxygen	ρ _p	propellant bulk density
MAV	Mars ascent vehicle		

