

MIL-STD-461G And You: Requirements Tailoring for Space Applications – Sponsored by TC-8



MIL-STD-461G And You

Monday AM #2

Common Mode Conducted Emissions

John McCloskey NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Chief EMC Engineer john.c.mccloskey@nasa.gov

To be presented John McCloskey at the 2019 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Signal & Power Integrity, New Orleans, Louisiana, July 22-26, 2019.

Acronym List

NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA

EMC+S

- BCE Bulk Current Emissions
- CE Conducted Emissions
- CISPR Comité International Spécial des Perturbations Radioélectriques
- CM Common Mode

JULY 22-26

- CMCE Common Mode Conducted Emissions
- CS Conducted Susceptibility
- CUT Cable Under Test
- EMC Electromagnetic Compatibility
- EMI Electromagnetic Interference
- EUT Equipment Under Test
- GEVS General Environmental Verification Specification
- GSFC Goddard Space Flight Center
- MIL-STD Military Standard
- NASA National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- RE Radiated Emissions
- RS Radiated Susceptibility

EMC+SIPI NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA JULY 22-26

Overview

• Brief history of common mode conducted emissions (CMCE) measurements

ERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON

ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRI

- CMCE, radiated emissions, crosstalk, and net integrated average current
- Cable above ground, transmission line theory, current distributions, standing waves, peaks and nulls, etc.
- Damping resistance and the absorbing clamp
- Summary



MIL-STD-462 (1967)



CE01/CE03 on Power Lines

CE02/CE04 on Signal Lines

MIL-STD-461C (1987)

EANS, LOUISIANA

2.2 CEOl limits.

JULY 22-26

2.2.1 <u>AC and DC leads</u>. Electromagnetic emissions shall not appear on AC and DC leads in excess of the values as shown on Figure 3-1. The limits shall be met when measured with an effective bandwidth not exceeding the primary power frequency plus 20% of the power frequency for AC power leads or 75 Hz for DC power leads.

2.2.2 <u>Interconnecting leads</u>. If compliance with this requirement is required for interconnecting leads, limits shall be developed on a case-by-case basis considering the intentional transmission, its specified power level, necessary information bandwidth, and pulse rise time. Such limits must be approved by the Command or agency concerned.

3. CE03

3.1 <u>CE03 applicability</u>. This requirement is applicable for AC and DC leads, which obtain power from other sources or provide power to other equipment and subsystems. The requirement is not applicable for interconnecting leads, unless otherwise specified by the Command or agency concerned.

3.2 CEO3 limits.

3.2.1 <u>AC and DC leads</u>. Electromagnetic emissions shall not appear on AC and DC leads in excess of the values as shown on Figures 3-2 and 3-3 for narrowband and broadband emissions, respectively. Conducted switching spike emissions (including ON/OFF switching) on AC and DC power leads shall meet the requirements of CE07.

3.2.2 <u>Interconnecting leads</u>. If compliance with this requirement is required for interconnecting leads. limits shall be developed on a case-by-case basis considering the

intertional transmission, its specified power level, necessary information bandwidth, and pulse rise time. Such limits must be approved by the Command or agency concerned.



MIL-STD-461G (2015)





SL-E-0002, Book 3 – Space Shuttle (2001)

2019 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRITY



7

EMC+SIPI 2019 NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA JULY 22-26

2019 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRITY

NASA/GSFC's General Environmental Verification Standard (GEVS)



To be presented John McCloskey at the 2019 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Signal & Power Integrity, New Orleans, Louisiana, July 22-26, 2019.



Space Applications



- Highly sensitive science instrumentation
- Not much use of electromagnetic spectrum below 200 MHz



Below 200 MHz, dominant concern is

CROSSTALK



CMCE and Radiated Emissions (RE)

JULY 22-26



 $E_{r} = \frac{2Idl}{4\pi} \eta_{0} \beta_{0}^{2} \cos\theta \left(\frac{1}{\beta_{0}^{2} r^{2}} - j\frac{1}{\beta_{0}^{3} r^{3}}\right) e^{-j\beta_{0}r}$ $E_{\theta} = \frac{Idl}{4\pi} \eta_{0} \beta_{0} \sin\theta \left(j\frac{1}{\beta_{0}r} + \frac{1}{\beta_{0}^{2} r^{2}} - j\frac{1}{\beta_{0}^{3} r^{3}}\right) e^{-j\beta_{0}r}$ $E_{\phi} = 0$

ERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON

ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRIT

Far field:

Electric field components:

$$E_{far} = j \frac{Idl}{4\pi} \eta_0 \beta_0 \sin \theta \frac{e^{-j\beta_0 r}}{r} \overrightarrow{a_{\theta}}$$
$$|E_{far}| = CONSTANT \cdot Idl$$



Total Electric Field (Far Field)

At a distance r from the center of a wire of length I:

$$|E_{far}| \approx CONSTANT \cdot \int_0^l I(z)dz = CONSTANT \cdot l \cdot \frac{1}{l} \int_0^l I(z)dz$$



Far field emissions are determined by net integrated average current, NOT by peak current



Inductive Crosstalk



To be presented John McCloskey at the 2019 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Signal & Power Integrity, New Orleans, Louisiana, July 22-26, 2019.



Wire-Above-Ground Model

Any cable from which we want to measure CMCE must be modeled as a wire-above-ground with:

- *h* = *height above ground plane (5 cm per MIL-STD-461G)*
- a = cable/wire radius





Wire-Above-Ground Model (cont.)





Transmission Lines 101

Typical case wire-above-ground transmission line represents shielded cable with shield **terminated to chassis** at both ends...

 $\textbf{Z}_{S'}, \textbf{Z}_{L} \rightarrow \textbf{0}$

 $\textit{Mismatched impedance} \rightarrow \textit{reflections} \rightarrow \textit{STANDING WAVES}$





Standing Waves (Animation)



Transmission Line Current Distribution and Input Impedance

Input impedance of lossless transmission line:

$$Z_{i} = Z_{0} \frac{Z_{L} + jZ_{0} \tan(\beta l)}{Z_{0} + jZ_{L} \tan(\beta l)} \qquad \beta = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \qquad \lambda = \frac{c}{f} = \frac{300}{f_{MHz}}$$

$$l = (2n-1)\lambda/4$$
: $Z_i = \frac{Z_0^2}{Z_L}$

JULY 22-26

EMC+SIPI 2019

NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA

Shorted termination looks like open circuit (Current nulls) $l = n\lambda/2: \quad Z_i = Z_L$

2019 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRITY

> Shorted termination looks like short circuit (Current peaks)



Source End Current vs. Frequency

- At low frequencies (I << λ), source end current
 = DC current normalized to 1 A (120 dBµA)
- At mid frequencies, loop inductance dominates
- Source end current minimum (null):

@ $I = (2n-1)\lambda/4$

- Source end current maximum (peak): @ $l = n\lambda/2$
- For 4 meter cable (2 m in front of ground plane + 2 meter to wall):
 - Nulls at odd multiples of 18.75 MHz
 - Peaks at multiples of 37.5 MHz



Current Probe Locations: When Does It Matter?



JULY 22-26

EMC+SIPI

RLEANS, LOUISIA

For $l > \lambda/10$, choosing a single probe location adjacent to EUT could cause:

2019 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRITY

- false positive (test failure due to exaggerated emission level)
- false negative (test passes because method masks a real emission that could pose a problem)

Placing probe at load end raises nulls to equivalent levels for matched load

 \rightarrow Still leaves the peaks...

EMC+S **ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGR JULY 22-26** CMCE, Electrically Long Cables (f > 30 MHz)

- Ideally, we want a normalized measurement of emissions that is independent of cable configuration (e.g. matched transmission line)
- This will provide an assessment of frequency content of emissions from EUT that may be more effectively used to assess compatibility with rest of platform in the flight configuration
- Remember:

$$l = (2n-1)\lambda/4: \quad Z_i = \frac{Z_0^2}{Z_L}$$

Increasing Z_L reduces Z_i \rightarrow Increases current at nulls $l = n\lambda/2$: $Z_i = Z_L$

9 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON

Increasing Z_L increases Z_i → Decreases current at peaks

Damping at Nulls ($l = \lambda/4$ example)

JULY 22-26

- Added damping resistance increases minimum current at source end while leaving maximum current at load unchanged
- Load end current equals that for matched line, independent of Z_L and Γ_L
- When $Z_L = Z_0$, current amplitude is constant across the length of the cable
- At null frequencies, damping resistance has no effect on maximum current, and it makes current more uniform along its length
- Specific position of current probe for CMCE measurement is no longer crucial



Damping at Peaks ($l = \lambda/2$ example)

JULY 22-26

• Added damping resistance decreases maximum current at source and load ends, bringing it to "ideal" current for matched load when $Z_L = Z_0$

EMC+S

- Current at midpoint (λ/4 from load) equals "ideal" current for matched load, independent of Z_L and Γ_L
- Damping provides more uniform current along length
- Again, specific position of current probe for CMCE measurement is no longer crucial



9 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON

ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER



A Closer Look at Resonant Peaks for $l = n\lambda/2$

Up to this point, we have considered only the envelope of the current distribution

- Only spatial dependence considered
- Time dependence ignored

For the resonant peaks for which $l = n\lambda/2$, it is instructive to consider the full time domain representation:

Details in backup slides...

 $I(z,t) = |I(z)|e^{j\theta(z)} \cdot e^{j(\omega t - \beta z)}$ $RE[I(z,t)] = |I(z)| \cdot \cos[\omega t - \beta z + \theta(z)]$



A Closer Look at Resonant Peaks for $l = n\lambda/2$ (cont.)

EE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON

ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRITY





A Closer Look at Resonant Peaks for $l = n\lambda/2$ (cont.)



EE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON

25



A Closer Look at Resonant Peaks for $l = n\lambda/2$ (cont.)



Increasing damping resistance reduces peak amplitude at "snapshots in time" corresponding to maximum field cancellation (no effect on coupling) Average current independent of Z_L , Γ_L Same as average current into matched load Inversely proportional to n (Derivation in backup slides)



Increasing damping resistance has no effect on peak amplitude at "snapshots in time" corresponding to maximum coupling



A Closer Look at Resonant Peaks for $l = n\lambda/2$ (cont.)



A Closer Look at Resonant Peaks for $l = n\lambda/2$ (cont.)

$$\Phi_{NET}(t) \propto I_{AV}(t) \implies \Phi_{NET}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \Phi_0 \cdot \sin \omega t$$

JULY 22-26

EMC+SIP

Net coupled flux decreases with frequency along with average current Φ_0 = peak amplitude of coupled flux for n = 1

ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRIT

ERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON

Coupled potential into victim loop: $V_V = -\frac{d\Phi_{NET}(t)}{dt} = -\frac{\omega}{n}\Phi_0 \cdot \cos \omega t$

$$V_V = -\frac{2\pi f}{n} \Phi_0 \cdot \cos \omega t$$

Frequency dependence cancels; Coupled potential has constant peak amplitude with frequency

EMC+SIPI 2019 NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA JULY 22-26

2019 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRITY

Absorbing Clamp

- A matched termination at all frequencies would reduce the current emissions at frequencies for which $I < \lambda/10$, which is not desirable
- Inserting such a connection would require breaking the shield termination and inserting a 300 Ω resistor, which is neither desirable nor practical
- Enter the absorbing clamp...
 - Specified in CISPR 16
 - Current probe followed by ferrite ring absorber elements
 - Adds resistive impedance above 30 MHz and acts to isolate the rest of the cable, minimizing the standing waves associated with signals on an electrically long mismatched transmission line
 - Specified for Space Shuttle program to address radiated emissions below 200 MHz





Impedance, reactance, and resistance vs. frequency.

(Representative)



Measured Results: Comparison of Standard Current Probe to Absorbing Clamps

2019 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON





Absorbing Clamp on 2 m Wire, Shorted vs. Open Terminations



Summary

EMC+SIP

RLEANS, LOUISIANA

- CMCE measurements on cables provides excellent tool for assessing risk of radiated emissions and crosstalk at system level
- For typical case of shielded cable with shield terminated to chassis at both ends, cables must be considered as wire-above-ground transmission line with shorted termination at each end
- · Current distribution will exhibit predictable pattern of peaks and nulls

JULY 22-26

- Nulls at odd multiples of $\lambda/4$
- Peaks at multiples of $\lambda/2$
- For frequencies for which I < λ /10, current is constant over length
 - CMCE measurements may be performed with current probe at any location
- For f < 30 MHz, current probe should be placed at "load end" to ensure that peak current is captured
- For f > 30 MHz, absorbing clamp should be used to measure approximate average current and to get closer to ideal normalized measurement of EUT emissions independent of cable configuration



QUESTIONS?



34

BACKUP SLIDES

(for the mathochists)

EMC+S **ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRITY JULY 22-26** LEANS, LOUISIANA

Inductive Crosstalk Revisited (Electrically Short Cables)

2019 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON



EMC+SIPI 2019 NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA JULY 22-26

2019 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRITY

Wire-Above-Ground – Shorted at Both Ends

Typical case is shielded cable with shield terminated to chassis at both ends

- At very low frequencies, wire/shield resistance dominates
- At "midrange" frequencies for which cable is "electrically short" ($I \leq \lambda/10$), inductance dominates
- When cable is "electrically long" ($l > \lambda/10$), characteristic impedance dominates





Current amplitude (amperes)

2019 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRITY

1Ω

 Z_i

 $Z_0 = 300 \Omega$

Current Distribution, DC to $l = \lambda/2$



To be presented John McCloskey at the 2019 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Signal & Power Integrity, New Orleans, Louisiana, July 22-26, 2019.

1Ω











CMCE, *f* ≤ *30 MHz*



Cable shields terminated at access panel

For f < 30 MHz, use standard current probe placed as close to access panel as possible ("load end") in order to capture peak current at all frequencies



Current Distribution on Mismatched Transmission Line, $l = (2n-1)\lambda/4$

$$I(z) = \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{1 - \Gamma_L e^{-j(2n-1)\pi} e^{j2\beta z}}{1 - \Gamma_S \Gamma_L e^{-j(2n-1)\pi}} \implies e^{-j(2n-1)\pi} = -1$$

$$I(z) = \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{1 + \Gamma_L \cos(2\beta z)}{1 + \Gamma_S \Gamma_L}$$
For $Z_S \to 0$,
$$\Gamma_S \to -1$$

$$I(0) \approx \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{1 + \Gamma_L}{1 - \Gamma_L} \qquad I(l) \approx \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{1 - \Gamma_L}{1 - \Gamma_L} = \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S}$$
For $Z_L \rightarrow 0$, $\Gamma_L \rightarrow -1$:
$$I(0) \rightarrow 0$$
Load end current so current into matched

Current null at source end

Load end current same as current into matched load (Independent of Γ_L)

2019 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRITY



Current Distribution on Mismatched Transmission Line, $l = n\lambda/2$

$$I(z) = \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{1 - \Gamma_L e^{-j2\pi} e^{j2\beta z}}{1 - \Gamma_S \Gamma_L e^{-j2\pi}} \implies e^{-j2\pi} = 1 \implies I(z) = \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{1 - \Gamma_L \cos(2\beta z)}{1 - \Gamma_S \Gamma_L}$$

For z = 0 and z = l (endpoints):

$$\begin{split} I(0) &= I(l) = \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{1 - \Gamma_L}{1 - \Gamma_S \Gamma_L} \\ &= \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{1 - \left(\frac{Z_L - Z_0}{Z_L + Z_0}\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{Z_S - Z_0}{Z_S + Z_0}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{Z_L - Z_0}{Z_L + Z_0}\right)} \\ &= V_S \cdot \frac{(Z_L + Z_0) - (Z_L - Z_0)}{(Z_0 + Z_S)(Z_L + Z_0) - (Z_S - Z_0)(Z_L - Z_0)} \\ &= V_S \cdot \frac{2Z_0}{Z_0 Z_L + Z_0^2 + Z_S Z_L + Z_S Z_0 - (Z_S Z_L - Z_S Z_0 - Z_L Z_0 + Z_0^2)} \\ &= V_S \cdot \frac{2Z_0}{2Z_0 Z_L + 2Z_S Z_0} \end{split}$$

 $I(0) = I(l) = \frac{V_S}{Z_S + Z_L}$ Same as DC current (Resonant peak)

For z = l/2 (midpoint):

$$I(l/2) = \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{1 + \Gamma_L}{1 - \Gamma_S \Gamma_L}$$

For $Z_S \rightarrow 0$, $\Gamma_S \rightarrow -1$, $|\Gamma_I| < |\Gamma_S|$:

$$I(l/2) \approx \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{1 + \Gamma_L}{1 + \Gamma_L} \approx \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S}$$

 $I(l/2) \approx \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_s}$ Same as current into matched load

For
$$\Gamma_L = \Gamma_S \approx -0.99$$
:
 $I(l/2) \approx \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot 0.5$ Half
into

lf of current o matched load

For $\Gamma_L = \Gamma_S = -1$: $I(l/2) \approx \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S}$ Same as current into matched load

Current Distribution on Mismatched Transmission Line

19

JULY 22-26

D

EM

NEW

Envelope of current amplitude on mismatched transmission line of length I as function of distance z from source:

2019 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRITY

$$I(z) = \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{1 - \Gamma_L e^{-j2\beta l} e^{j2\beta z}}{1 - \Gamma_S \Gamma_L e^{-j2\beta l}}$$

$$I(z) = \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{1 - \Gamma_L e^{-j2\beta l} e^{j2\beta z}}{1 - \Gamma_S \Gamma_L e^{-j2\beta l}} \cdot \frac{1 - \Gamma_S \Gamma_L e^{j2\beta l}}{1 - \Gamma_S \Gamma_L e^{j2\beta l}}$$

$$I(z) = \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{1 + \Gamma_S \Gamma_L \Gamma_L e^{j2\beta z} - \Gamma_L e^{-j2\beta l} e^{j2\beta z} - \Gamma_S \Gamma_L e^{j2\beta l}}{(\Gamma_S \Gamma_L)^2 - 2\Gamma_S \Gamma_L \cos(2\beta l) + 1}$$

$$NUM_{Re} = 1 + \Gamma_S \Gamma_L^2 \cos(2\beta z) - \Gamma_L \cos(2\beta z - 2\beta l) - \Gamma_S \Gamma_L \cos(2\beta l)$$

$$NUM_{Im} = \Gamma_S \Gamma_L^2 \sin(2\beta z) - \Gamma_L \sin(2\beta z - 2\beta l) - \Gamma_S \Gamma_L \sin(2\beta l)$$



Current Distribution on Mismatched Transmission Line (cont.)

Magnitude:

Phase:

TERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON

ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRITY

$$|I(z)| = \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{(NUM_{Re})^2 + (NUM_{Im})^2}}{(\Gamma_S \Gamma_L)^2 - 2\Gamma_S \Gamma_L \cos(2\beta l) + 1} \qquad \qquad \theta(z) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{NUM_{Im}}{NUM_{Re}}\right)$$

Full time domain representation:

 $I(z,t) = |I(z)|e^{j\theta(z)} \cdot e^{j(\omega t - \beta z)}$ $RE[I(z,t)] = |I(z)| \cdot \cos[\omega t - \beta z + \theta(z)]$



Average Current as Function of Time, $l = n\lambda/2$

$$\begin{split} I(z,t) &= \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{1 - \Gamma_L e^{j2\beta z}}{1 - \Gamma_S \Gamma_L} e^{j(\omega t - \beta z)} = \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{e^{j\omega t}}{1 - \Gamma_S \Gamma_L} \left(e^{-j\beta z} - \Gamma_L e^{j\beta z} \right) \\ \mathbf{Z}_S &\to \mathbf{0}, \Gamma_S \to -\mathbf{I}; \quad I(z,t) = \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{e^{j\omega t}}{1 + \Gamma_L} \left(e^{-j\beta z} - \Gamma_L e^{j\beta z} \right) \\ \text{Average current as function of time:} \quad I_{AV}(t) &= \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{e^{j\omega t}}{1 + \Gamma_L} \cdot \frac{1}{l} \int_0^1 \left(e^{-j\beta z} - \Gamma_L e^{j\beta z} \right) dz = \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{e^{j\omega t}}{1 + \Gamma_L} \cdot \frac{1}{j\beta l} \cdot \left[-e^{-j\beta l} - \Gamma_L e^{j\beta l} \right] dz = \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{e^{j\omega t}}{1 + \Gamma_L} \cdot \frac{1}{j\beta l} \cdot \left[-e^{-j\beta l} - \Gamma_L e^{j\beta l} + 1 + \Gamma_L \right] & \Rightarrow \quad \beta = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \quad l = \frac{n\lambda}{\lambda} \Rightarrow \beta l = n\pi \quad \frac{n \ odd; \ e^{-jn\pi} = e^{jn\pi} = -1}{n \ even; \ e^{-jn\pi} = e^{jn\pi} = 1} \\ n \ odd; \ I_{AV}(t) &= \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{e^{j\omega t}}{1 + \Gamma_L} \cdot \frac{1}{jn\pi} \cdot \left[1 + \Gamma_L + 1 + \Gamma_L \right] \qquad n \ even; \ I_{AV}(t) &= \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{e^{j\omega t}}{1 + \Gamma_L} \cdot \frac{1}{jn\pi} \cdot \left[-1 - \Gamma_L + 1 + \Gamma_L \right] \\ &= \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{e^{j\omega t}}{1 + \Gamma_L} \cdot \frac{1}{jn\pi} \cdot \left[1 + \Gamma_L \right] \qquad n \ even; \ I_{AV}(t) &= \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{e^{j\omega t}}{1 + \Gamma_L} \cdot \frac{1}{jn\pi} \cdot \frac{1 - \Gamma_L + 1 + \Gamma_L}{1} \\ &= \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{2}{n\pi} \cdot \frac{1 + \Gamma_L}{1 + \Gamma_L} \cdot \left[-je^{j\omega t} \right] \\ &= \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{2}{n\pi} \cdot e^{j(\omega t - \frac{\pi}{2})} \\ \hline Re[I_{AV}(t)] &= \frac{V_S}{Z_0 + Z_S} \cdot \frac{2}{n\pi} \cdot \sin \omega t \end{cases}$$



Experimental Results – Standard Current Probe (F-65)



2019 IEEE INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY, SIGNAL & POWER INTEGRITY