

# Development and Testing of the Smart SPHERES Telerobotic Free-Flyer

Terrence Fong, Maria Bualat, Jason Lum, Zachary Moratto,  
Chris Provencher, Ernest Smith, Vinh To, and DW Wheeler

NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, California

Smart SPHERES is a free-flying robot that can be remotely operated by astronauts in space, or by mission controllers on the ground. We are developing Smart SPHERES to perform a variety of intravehicular activities (IVA) inside the International Space Station (ISS). These IVA tasks include interior environmental surveys, inventory, and mobile camera work. During 2013, we tested crew-controlled remote operations and wireless network-based localization with Smart SPHERES on ISS. In 2014, we plan to test 3D vision-based navigation.

## Telerobotic IVA Free-Flyer

Telerobots can increase the performance and productivity of human space exploration by performing tasks that are tedious, repetitive, dangerous or long-duration[4]. Free-flying space robots can be used to off-load routine work, to increase crew productivity, and to handle contingencies. The ISS, for example, is a manned orbital laboratory that requires significant manual upkeep by a small crew of 3 to 6 astronauts. A telerobotic IVA free-flyer can help crew perform in-flight maintenance, inventory, and monitoring of experiments [1].

For example, ISS astronauts are required to perform interior environmental surveys. A free-flying robot could be equipped with a variety of sensors to perform such surveys during crew sleep periods. In addition, logistics management is one of the most difficult problems faced by the ISS program due to the thousands of items (equipment, spares, consumables, etc) that have to manually tracked. A free-flying robot equipped with a radio frequency identification sensor, could be used to continuously inventory these items. Finally, a free-flying robot equipped with a camera could be used to off-load some monitoring and video tasks from crew to ground control.

## Smart SPHERES

Smart SPHERES is an IVA free-flying robot that can be remotely operated by astronauts in space, or by mission controllers on the ground [3]. The robot (Figure 1) combines the “Synchronized Position Hold Engage and Reorient Experimental Satellites” (SPHERES), which were originally developed as miniature satellite test platforms, with an Android-based smartphone that provides robotic avionics. Each SPHERES is fully self-contained with propulsion, power, embedded digital signal processing, and navigation equipment. The smartphone provides a high-performance general-purpose processor, color cameras, additional sensors (temperature, sound, gyroscopes, accelerometers), a touch screen display, and wireless (Wi-Fi) networking.





Figure 1. Smart SPHERES combines the SPHERES satellite platform with a modified Android smartphone.

Smart SPHERES is remotely operated using the Smart SPHERES Workbench (Figure 2). The Workbench supports supervisory and manual control. In supervisory mode, the operator selects a plan that contains a sequence of commands (fly to point, acquire data, etc), which is then transmitted to the robot for autonomous execution. In manual mode, the robot is operated with discrete commands (e.g., incremental motions). To facilitate situation awareness, the Workbench provides multiple displays including camera and 3D graphical views.



**Figure 2. The Smart SPHERES Workbench provides multiple modes, camera views, and 3D displays.**

### Crew-control testing on ISS

On September 5, 2013, we tested crew-control of Smart SPHERES. During this test, Astronaut Luca Parmitano setup the robot in the Japanese Experiment Module (JEM) and used it to perform visual inspection of a stationary target. This test had four primary objectives:


- 1) *Demonstrate crew control of Smart SPHERES.* Smart SPHERES successfully executed all commands sent from the Workbench, and all expected video and telemetry was received on the Workbench. Parmitano was able to remotely operate Smart SPHERES using both supervisory and manual control.
- 2) *Demonstrate waypoint-based navigation of Smart SPHERES.* Parmitano attempted to run seven plans. Three attempts were nominal from start to finish. Parmitano called off one plan and three others failed due to SPHERES low-level hardware problems.
- 3) *Provide real-time imagery, telemetry, health and status data to crew.* All expected video and telemetry was received on the ground and displayed in the Workbench.
- 4) *Demonstrate use of a free-flying camera for inspection tasks.* The test successfully demonstrated the ability to telerobotically inspect a stationary target. The free flyer translations and rotations were planned to keep the camera pointed at the target throughout robot motions.

### Wi-Fi localization on ISS

The existing SPHERES localization system uses a set of external beacons. This system calculates position and orientation by determining time-of-flight differences between ultrasonic signals sent from multiple beacons. An infrared flash is used for synchronization. The system has several known deficiencies including crew time required for installation and calibration, crew time needed for maintenance, and failure under certain lighting conditions.

To address the limitations of the localization system, we have begun investigating the use of Wi-Fi localization. Numerous methods have been proposed for obtaining real-time position fixes by measuring signal strength to fixed

Wi-Fi access points. During 2013, we used the Smart SPHERES smartphone to record Wi-Fi signal strength on ISS and generated position maps using a Gaussian estimation process [1][5].



**Figure 3. ISS Wi-Fi signal strength maps. Left-to-right: AP1, AP2, combined.**

Figure 3 shows Wi-Fi signal strength maps of the ISS. We measured received signal strength in Nodes 1-3, the US airlock, the US lab, the Columbus module, and the JEM. The combined map indicates that it should be possible to obtain position fixes in all of these locations using Wi-Fi.

### **Vision-based navigation on ISS**

In 2014, we will upgrade the Smart SPHERES smartphone with a new Android device that includes sensors for vision-based navigation. In particular, the new smartphone includes a fisheye lens camera, a narrow angle infrared and RGB camera, a structured light (infrared) projector, a high-resolution color camera, and a MEMS-based inertial measurement unit (IMU). The infrared projector and IR and RGB camera are used in conjunction to capture dense 3D measurements of the surroundings.

During Summer 2014, we will integrate the new smartphone to SPHERES and conduct on-orbit tests of vision-based navigation. Specifically, we will test different algorithms for estimating position and orientation. The algorithms use a combination of IMU readings, 3D range measurements, and knowledge of when the SPHERES thrusters are fired. The primary objective for the tests will be to ascertain if vision-based navigation is suitable (in terms of precision and update rate) for replacing the SPHERES beacon system.


### **References**

- [1] Ferris, B., Fox, D., and Lawrence, N. 2007. WiFi-SLAM using gaussian process latent variable models. International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence.
- [2] Fong, T., Berka, R., et al. 2012. "The Human Exploration Telerobotics Project". GLEX-2012.01.2.4x12180. IAF/AIAA Global Space Exploration Conference.
- [3] Fong, T., Micire, M., et al. 2013. "Smart SPHERES: a telerobotic free-flyer for intravehicular activities in space". AIAA-2013-5338. AIAA Space 2013.
- [4] Fong, T., Rochlis Zumbado, J., Currie, N., Mishkin, A., and Akin, D. 2013. Space telerobotics: unique challenges to human-robot collaboration in space. Reviews of Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 9(1).
- [5] Schwaighofer, A., Grigoraş, M., Tresp, V. and Hoffmann, C. 2004. GPPS: A gaussian process positioning system for cellular networks, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 16, MIT Press.

# Crew-controlled Surface Telerobotics from the International Space Station



Terry Fong  
NASA Ames Research Center




ISS R&D Conference  
2014-06-19

# Motivation

Recent exploration architecture study teams have made assumptions about how crew can remotely perform work on a planetary surface ...

## Candidate Exploration Missions

- **Lunar Farside.** Orion MPCV mission (libration point or distant retrograde)
- **Near-Earth Asteroid.** NEA dynamics and distance make it impossible to manually control robot from Earth
- **Mars Orbit.** Crew operates surface robot from orbit when circumstances (contingency, etc.) require



## Assumptions

- Maturity of crew-controlled telerobotics
- Existing technology gaps (and how these can be bridged)
- Operational risks (proficiency, performance, failure modes)

➔ ***In 2013, we began testing these assumptions using the ISS ...***




# Global Exploration Roadmap 2013

## Human-Robotic Partnership (p. 22)

### Tele-Presence

Tele-presence can be defined as tele-operation of a robotic asset on a planetary surface by a person who is relatively close to the planetary surface, perhaps orbiting in a spacecraft or positioned at a suitable Lagrange point. Tele-presence is a capability which could significantly enhance the ability of humans and robots to explore together, where the specific exploration tasks would benefit from this capability. These tasks could be characterized by:

- High-speed mobility
- Short mission durations
- Focused or dexterous tasks with short-time decision-making
- Reduced autonomy or redundancy on the surface asset
- Contingency modes/failure analysis through crew interaction



### Observation:

- ➔ New mission concepts, such as human-assisted sample return and tele-presence should be further explored, increasing understanding of the important role of humans in space for achieving common goals.



# Surface Telerobotics Roadmap


## Ground Analogs



## ISS Laboratory



## Lunar Orbit



## Mars Orbit



Develop telerobotic systems (autonomy, data comm, interfaces)

Implement and test multiple conops

Simulate future human mission concepts

Obtain baseline engineering and operations data

Validate prior ground simulations via high-fidelity ops sims

Reduce risk for future exploration systems (test assumptions)

Enable “off-board” autonomy (use flight vehicle computing as part of robot system)

Use cis-lunar environment to prepare for human Mars missions.

Enable crew to explore surface using robot as an “avatar”

Enable “off-board” autonomy and data storage (use flight vehicle computing as part of robot system)

TRL 5

**Surface Telerobotics**

TRL 7



Using the ISS as a testbed for crew-controlled surface telerobotics

# Surface Telerobotics 2013

## Key Points



- Demo **crew-control** surface telerobotics (planetary rover) from ISS
- Test **human-robot conops** for future exploration mission
- Obtain **baseline engineering data** (robot, crew, data comm, task, etc)

## Implementation

- Lunar libration mission simulation
- Astronaut on ISS (in USOS)
- K10 rover in NASA Ames Roverscape

## ISS Testing (Expedition 36)

- June 17, 2013 – **C. Cassidy**, survey
- July 26, 2013 – **L. Parmitano**, deploy
- Aug 20, 2013 – **K. Nyberg**, inspect



SURVEY

DEPLOY

INSPECT

- **Human-robot mission sim:** site survey, telescope deployment, and inspection
- **Telescope proxy:** Kapton polyimide film roll (no antenna traces, electronics, or receiver)
- **3.5 hr per crew session** (“just in time” training, system checkout, ops, & debrief)
- **Robot ops:** manual control (discrete commands) and supervisory control (task sequence)






# “Fastnet” Lunar Libration Point Mission

## Orion MPCV at Earth-Moon L2 (EM-L2)

- 60,000 km beyond lunar farside
- Allows station keeping with minimal fuel
- Crew remotely operates robot
- Does not require human-rated lander

## Human-robot conops

- Crew remotely operates surface robot from inside flight vehicle
- Crew works in shirt-sleeve environment
- Multiple robot control modes



Credit: (Lockheed Martin / LUNAR)



# Surface Telerobotics

IDG



Mountain View, California



Using the ISS as a testbed for crew-controlled surface telerobotics

# “Fastnet” Mission Simulation with ISS

Planning

## Pre-Mission Planning



Ground teams plan out telescope deployment and initial rover traverses.

Phase 1


## Surveying



Crew gathers information needed to finalize the telescope deployment plan.

Phase 2


## Telescope Deployment



Crew monitors the rover as it deploys each arm of the telescope array.

Phase 3

## Telescope Inspection



Crew inspects and documents the deployed telescope for possible damage.

Crew Session 1

**June 17, 2013**

Crew Session 2

**July 26, 2013**

Crew Session 3


**August 20, 2013**

**Spring 2013**



Using the ISS as a testbed for crew-controlled surface telerobotics


# ISS Test Configuration



K10 rover at NASA Ames



# Robot Interface (Supervisory Control)



Task Sequence

Run Task Sequence Controls

Bird's Eye 3D View

Top Down 3D View

Primary Button Panel

Hazard Camera View

Status Bar

Terrain hazards

Rover camera display



# Robot Interface (Manual Control)

The screenshot displays the 'Surface Telerobotics Workbench' software interface. At the top, it shows system information like 'Alert: 11Apr13 00:26:00.875' and 'Notice: Crewmember@SSC2 now controls robot'. A 'Rover Status' panel includes options for Navigation, Hazard, Task Runner, Panorama, Film, and Inspection, along with connection and battery status. The main interface is split into several sections: 'Teleoperate Controls' on the left with buttons for Forward, Backward, Rotate Left, Rotate Right, Panorama, and Inspection; a central 'Bird's Eye' 3D view of the rover on a terrain map with red hazard areas; a 'Top Down' view showing the rover's path with red arrows; and a 'Hazard Camera' view showing a grayscale image of the ground. A 'Teleoperate History' table is at the bottom left. On the right, there are buttons for 'Panorama', 'Inspection', 'Restore', 'Log', 'Help', and 'Exit'. The status bar at the bottom indicates '11Apr13 00:25:58 Ground override disengaged.'

**Motion controls**

**Camera controls**

**Rover path**

**Terrain hazards**

**Rover camera display**





**Crew Session #1 – K10 performing surface survey (2013-06-17)**





**Chris Cassidy uses the “Surface Telerobotics Workbench”  
to remotely operate K10 from the ISS**



*Using the ISS as a testbed for crew-controlled surface telerobotics*



**Crew Session #2 – K10 deploying simulated polymide antenna (2013-07-26)**






## ISS Mission Control (MCC-H) during Surface Telerobotics test View of robot interface and K10 at ARC



*Using the ISS as a testbed for crew-controlled surface telerobotics*

# Surface Telerobotics





## Deployed simulated polyimide antenna (three “arms”)





**Crew Session #3** – Karen Nyberg remotely operates K10 (2013-08-20)





## K10 documenting simulated polyimide antenna



*Using the ISS as a testbed for crew-controlled surface telerobotics*

# Assessment Approach

## Metrics

- **Mission Success:** % task sequences: completed normally, ended abnormally or not attempted; % task sequences scheduled vs. unscheduled
- **Robot Utilization:** % time robot spent on different types of tasks; comparison of actual to expected time on; did rover drive expected distance
- **Task Success:** % task sequences per session and per task sequence: completed normally, ended abnormally or not attempted; % that ended abnormally vs. unscheduled task sequences
- **Contingencies:** Mean Time To Intervene, Mean Time Between Interventions
- **Robot Performance:** expected vs. actual execution time on tasks

## Data Collection


- automatic
- **Data Communication:** direction (up/down), message type, total volume, etc.
  - **Robot Telemetry:** position, orientation, power, health, instrument state, etc.
  - **User Interfaces:** mode changes, data input, access to reference data, etc.
  - **Robot Operations:** start, end, duration of planning, monitoring, and analysis
  - **Crew Questionnaires:** workload (Bedford Scale), situation awareness (SAGAT)

M. Bualat, D. Schreckenghost, et al. (2014) "Results from testing crew-controlled surface telerobotics on the International Space Station". Proc. of 12<sup>th</sup> I-SAIRAS (Montreal, Canada)




# Robot Utilization

## SURVEY Work & Wait Periods



## DEPLOY Work & Wait Periods



# Human-Robot Teaming

## Productivity

- Productive Time (PT) = astronaut and robot performing tasks contributing to mission objectives
- Overhead Time (OT) = astronaut and robot are waiting
- %PT = percentage productive time
- %OT = percentage overhead time
- Work Efficiency Index (WEI) =  $PT / OT$

Productivity	Total Phase Time	PT	OT	%PT	%OT	WEI
Survey	0:50:01	0:34:58	0:15:03	69.90	30.10	2.32
Deploy	0:46:19	0:28:00	0:18:19	60.45	39.55	1.53



# Future Work: Spacecraft Constraints

## Objectives



- Study **integration impacts** to spacecraft
- Assess viability of **off-loading rover processing** to spacecraft for certain tasks
- Test crew **real-time decision making**

## Approach

- Repeat **prior mission sim** with mods
  - More crew training on robot operations
  - Crew operates with little ground support
  - Human-in-the-loop contingency handling
- Give crew low-level control of rover
- Off-board some rover functions (hazard detection, localization, etc) to spacecraft

## Metrics

- Crew: Work Efficiency Index, Situation Awareness, Bedford Workload Scale
- Robot: Mean time between/to intervention
- CPU load, RAM/disk, bandwidth



# Future Work: Different Surface Tasks

## Objectives

- Examine **surface tasks** that are more unstructured, complex and unpredictable
- Assess **system capability** to support increased SA and control mode changes
- Enhance **operational knowledge** of crew-controlled surface telerobotics

## Approach

- Run **new mission sim** with:
  - Assembly/cabling of a functional instrument
  - Planetary fieldwork
- Enhance user interface for science ops

## Metrics

- Crew: Work Efficiency Index, Situation Awareness, Bedford Workload Scale
- Robot: Mean time between/to intervention
- Task: Time on Task, Idle Time, Success rate, % Incomplete



# Future Work: Multi-Robot Conops

## Objectives


- Examine how **multiple humans and robots** can be employed
- Test **different control strategies**
  - Single crew operates both robots
  - Crew + mission control

## Approach

- Repeat **prior mission sim** with mods
  - Two robots operating in parallel
  - Different modes of control
- Enhance crew user interface
- Study operational efficiency & bottlenecks

## Metrics

- Crew: Work Efficiency Index, Situation Awareness, Bedford Workload Scale
- Robot: Mean time between/to intervention
- Task: Time on Task, Idle Time, Success rate, % Incomplete



# Conclusion

## Successfully completed 3 test sessions in Summer 2013

- 3 ISS astronauts remotely operated K10 rover (approx. 10.5 hr)
- Astronauts used combination of **supervisory control** (task sequencing) and **manual control** (discrete commanding)
- **500-750 msec comm latency** and intermittent LOS periods
- Crew consistently had **low workload** and **high SA level**
- Robot utilization was consistently high (**> 50% time in operation**)

## Telerobotics technologies

- **Rover autonomy** enhances operational efficiency and robot utilization (particularly hazard detection and safeguarding)
- **Interactive 3-D visualization** of robot state and activity supports low operator workload and good situation awareness
- **Supervisory control with interactive monitoring** is a highly effective strategy for crew-centric surface telerobotics



# Acknowledgements

## Academy of Art University

- Industrial Design

## Human Exploration and Operations Mission Directorate

- Jason Crusan
- Chris Moore

## ISS Avionics and Software

## ISS Payloads office

- Lisa Creech

## ISS Tech Demonstration office

## JSC Mission Operations Directorate

- Mike Halverson

## Lockheed Martin Corporation

- Josh Hopkins
- Bill Pratt
- Chris Norman

## Lunar University Network for Astrophysics Research

- Jack Burns
- Laura Kruger

## MSFC Payload Operations Integration Center

## NASA Crew Office

- Chris Cassidy
- Luca Parmitano
- Karen Nyberg

## NASA Lunar Science Institute

## NASA Public Affairs

- Rachel Hoover
- Dave Steitz
- Maria Quon

## NASA Technology Demonstration Missions Program

- Bonnie James
- Randy Lillard
- Susan Spencer

## University of Idaho

- Sophie Milam
- George Korbel



Dedicated to the memory of **Janice Voss** who served as the initial NASA Crew Office liaison for the Surface Telerobotics project

