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Abstract—We report on the linear relationship between the durations of two types of electromagnetic emissions associated with shocks driven by coronal mass ejections: sustained gamma-ray emission (SGRE) and interplanetary type II radio bursts. The relationship implies that shocks accelerate ~10 keV electrons (for type II bursts) and >300 MeV protons (for SGRE) roughly over the same duration. The SGRE events are from the Large Area Telescope (LAT) on board the Fermi satellite, while the type II bursts are from the Radio and Plasma Wave Experiment (WAVES) on board the Wind spacecraft. Here we consider five SGRE events that were not included in a previous study of events with longer duration (>5 hours). The five events are selected by relaxing the minimum duration to 3 hours. We found that some SGRE events had a tail that seems to last until the end of the associated type II burst. We pay special attention to the 2011 June 2 SGRE event that did not have a large solar energetic particle event at Earth or at the STEREO spacecraft that was well connected to the eruption. We suggest that the preceding CME acted as a magnetic barrier that mirrored protons back to Sun.

Keywords—solar radio emission; solar gamma-ray emission; coronal mass ejection; shock

I. INTRODUCTION

Sustained Gamma-Ray Emission (SGRE) from the Sun lasting for hours beyond the impulsive phase of the associated flares [1-2] are thought to be due to the decay of neutral pions produced when >300 MeV protons strike the solar chromosphere. While only a couple of such SGRE events were reported in the past [1-2], observations from the Large Area Telescope (LAT) on board the Fermi satellite [3] have shown that these events are rather common. Since its launch, Fermi has detected about 30 SGRE events until the end of 2017 [4-8]. Two possible sources of these protons have been discussed in the literature: protons accelerated/trapped in large-scale flare structures or by MHD shocks as reviewed in [9-10]. SGRE durations extending to almost a day clearly point to the shock source. Furthermore, even eruptions occurring on the backside of the Sun produce gamma-ray emission on the disk, indicating a particle source that extends over tens of degrees unlike flares [4, 11-13], analogous to the gamma-ray line emission from backside eruptions [14]. The shock possibility has been bolstered by the association between SGRE events and type II radio bursts in the decameter-hectometric (DH) wavelengths [5-6]. It is well known that the DH type II bursts are caused by interplanetary (IP) shocks driven by energetic coronal mass ejections (CMEs) characterized by high speeds and wide angular extent [15]. It was recently shown for the first time that Fermi/LAT >100 MeV SGRE durations are linearly related to the durations and ending frequencies of DH type II bursts [16] using 13 SGRE events with the longest durations. This finding is the strongest evidence that supports the idea that the same shock accelerates electrons causing type II bursts in the vicinity of the shock and protons producing SGREs after a sunward journey. This scenario is consistent with the close association between type II bursts extending to kilometric wavelengths and large solar energetic particle (SEP) events [17].

There are some significant differences in the estimated durations of SGRE events among authors [5,7,16]. For example, the SGRE duration of the 2011 June 2 event was estimated in [5] as 3.83 hours, but only ~0.7 hours in [7]. The differences arise from the criteria used in defining the starting and ending times. Since both type II radio bursts and SGRE are detected above a background, a new criterion was established in [16] to determine the SGRE duration as follows. The start time was selected as the peak time of the associated soft X-ray flare to avoid the impulsive phase gamma rays. The vertical line marks the end of SGRE according to our criterion.

There is a significant difference in the estimated durations of SGRE events among authors [5,7,16]. For example, the SGRE duration of the 2011 June 2 event was estimated in [5] as 3.83 hours, but only ~0.7 hours in [7]. The differences arise from the criteria used in defining the starting and ending times. Since both type II radio bursts and SGRE are detected above a background, a new criterion was established in [16] to determine the SGRE duration as follows. The start time was selected as the peak time of the associated soft X-ray flare to avoid the impulsive phase gamma rays. The end time was defined as the mid time between the last signal data point (including the error bar) above the background and the next data point. According to this criterion, the 2011 June 2 SGRE has
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a duration of $6.84 \pm 1.61$ hours. The error bar is half of the time interval between the last two data points. Fig. 1 shows this SGRE event along with the type II radio burst from Wind/WAVES. Clearly, our end time is after the last signal data point above the background, but before the next data point and yields SGRE flux still above the background. The estimated duration of 3.83 hours in [5] corresponds to the last signal data point, but that in [7] is clearly an underestimate.

In this paper, we consider five additional SGRE events to the list published in [16] applying the new criterion mentioned above. The primary list of events is still from [5], but the durations are estimated using our criterion. It is shown that the new events are consistent with the linear relationship established between the SGRE duration and the type II duration. In addition, we discuss some peculiarities in these events that provide a better understanding of the energetic protons involved in the SGRE events.

Table 1. SGRE, CME, flare, and type II information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Start UT</th>
<th>Duration hr</th>
<th>CME V km/s</th>
<th>Flare X-ray Class</th>
<th>SEP &gt;10 MeV</th>
<th>Type II Start UT</th>
<th>Type II Duration hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/6/2</td>
<td>07:46</td>
<td>6.84 ±1.61</td>
<td>08:12</td>
<td>C3.7</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>08:00</td>
<td>4.47 ±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/6/7</td>
<td>09:41</td>
<td>9.47 ±1.35</td>
<td>08:49</td>
<td>M2.5</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>06:45</td>
<td>10.93 ±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/1/27</td>
<td>18:37</td>
<td>9.52 ±0.73</td>
<td>18:27</td>
<td>X1.7</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>18:30</td>
<td>10.23 ±0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/5/17</td>
<td>01:47</td>
<td>3.09 ±0.80</td>
<td>01:48</td>
<td>M5.1</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>01:40</td>
<td>4.73 ±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/5/13</td>
<td>02:17</td>
<td>7.47 ±1.62</td>
<td>02:00</td>
<td>X1.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>02:20</td>
<td>6.12 ±0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II. LINEAR RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DURATIONS

Table 1 shows the list of new events that are analyzed with the soft X-ray flare time taken as the SGRE start time (column 1). The SGRE durations (column 2) are determined using our criterion described in section I. The first appearance times and cone-model speeds of the associated CMEs are listed in columns 3 and 4. The flare class and SEP intensity (particle flux unit, pfu) are in columns 5 and 6. The DH type II onset time and duration are in columns 7 and 8. The source locations of the CMEs are: 2011 June 2: S19E25, 2011 June 7: S21W54, 2012 January 27: N27W71, 2012 May 17: N11W76, and 2013 May 13: N11E90. The durations range from 3.09 to 9.52 hours. The range of durations correspond to the lower end considered in the previous paper [16]. The SGRE and type II durations are similar in all cases. In two cases (2011 June 7 and 2012 January 27), there was a tail of SGRE with at least one point above the background. The listed durations in Table 1 include the tails. Without the tails, the SGRE durations are $3.07 \pm 1.67$ hours and $3.60 \pm 0.83$ hours, respectively. In both cases, there was clear type II emission until the end of the tail. Figure 2 shows the SGRE light curve plotted on the Wind/WAVES dynamic spectrum. Note that the type II burst is intense and ends abruptly around 04:45 UT on January 28. The type II burst is not seen well at frequencies above 1 MHz mainly because the sensitivity of the high-frequency receiver is poorer than that of the low-frequency receiver. Including the tail in determining the SGRE duration matches well with the type II burst duration. It is interesting that the presence of the tail makes the overall SGRE duration consistent with the type II burst duration. It is worth noting that type II bursts require ~10 keV electrons somewhere on the shock front, whereas the gamma rays require >300 MeV protons near the nose region of the shock. It must be noted that the SGRE tail would not be normally identified as an event because it is too close to the background and there are data points below the average background determined over 4 days.

Figure 3 shows the 2011 June 07 event that also had an SGRE tail. In this event, there was only one signal data point that corresponds to the peak of the SGRE event. The next data point was right on the background level before rising again as the tail. Interestingly, there was a slight strengthening of the type II burst with a fundamental-harmonic blob. Even the 2012 May 17 SGRE showed a weak tail that coincided with some type II fragments (not shown here).
Figure 4 shows how the SGRE duration is related to the duration and ending frequency of type II bursts. The regression line was obtained using 13 SGRE events that had durations exceeding ~5 hours. Shown in blue is the 1991 June 11 SGRE event [2] from the Energetic Gamma Ray Experiment Telescope (EGRET) with the associated type II duration from the Unified Radio and Plasma (URAP) wave receiver on board Ulysses. The events in Table 1 are shown in green. The blue and green data points were not included in the fitting. Clearly, the new events are in good agreement with the best-fit line obtained before and all the new data points are within the 95% confidence interval obtained for the 13 events. Thus the linear relationship holds for all 18 SGRE events with durations ~3 hours.

The relationship indicates that the shocks accelerating ~10 keV electrons also accelerate ~300 MeV protons over roughly the same interval since its formation near the Sun driven by energetic CMEs. The anti-correlation between SGRE duration and type II ending frequency indicates that shocks accelerate protons over larger heliocentric distance in longer-duration SGRE events. Lower-frequency type II emission comes from larger heliocentric distances where the local plasma frequency is lower.

III. ENERGETIC PROTONS

Table 1 shows that the three well connected events, viz., 2011 June 7 (S21W54), 2012 January 27 (N27W71), 2012 May 17 (N11W76) are associated with large solar energetic particle (SEP) events. The ~10 MeV proton intensities listed in Table 1 are in pcf. These events had intense flux of ~100 MeV protons, indicating the likely existence of ~300 MeV protons needed for SGREs. One of the well-connected events (2012 May 17) had ground level enhancement (GLE) indicating GeV particles reaching Earth, so the presence of ~300 MeV protons is expected. The 2013 May 13 event was from the east limb, so there was no SEP event at Earth. However, there was a large SEP event detected by STEREO-Behind (STB) spacecraft (~10 MeV intensity was ~25 pcf as listed in Table 1). The 2011 June 2 event did not have an SEP event at Earth. The source location was eastern (S19E25). STB was located at E93 at the time of this event, so the eruption was well connected to STB (S19W68 in STB view). Surprisingly, there was no large SEP event at STB either. There was only a slight increase in >10 MeV protons from a background of 0.02 pcf to a maximum of 0.1 pcf. The lack of a large SEP event is certainly puzzling and seemingly contradicts the idea of shock-accelerated protons causing the gamma-ray emission. The flare idea does not work because there was no large flares in the range of 0.1 pcf to a maximum of 0.1 pcf. The SGRE event was on 07:22 UT on June 11 and was associated with the C3.7 flare (Flare1). Extrapolation of the height-time plot reveals that CME2 caught up with CME1 at a distance of ~65 Rs, just after the end of SGRE.

We suggest that the presence of the preceding CME (CME1) that first appeared in SOHO field of view at 18:36 UT on June 1 might explain the lack of SEP event at STB. In Fig. 5 this CME is marked as CME1 associated with a C3.7 flare (Flare1) from active region (AR) 11226 (S20E20). The CME that was responsible for the SGRE occurred about 10 hours later (08:12 UT on June 2). CME2 is associated with the SGRE and Flare2 at 07:22 UT. The preceding CME (CME1) at 18:36 on June 1 is associated with Flare1. Extrapolation of the height-time plot reveals that CME2 caught up with CME1 at a distance of ~65 Rs, just after the end of SGRE.

III. ENERGETIC PROTONS

Table 1 shows that the three well connected events, viz., 2011 June 7 (S21W54), 2012 January 27 (N27W71), 2012 May 17 (N11W76) are associated with large solar energetic particle (SEP) events. The ~10 MeV proton intensities listed in Table 1 are in pcf. These events had intense flux of ~100 MeV protons, indicating the likely existence of ~300 MeV protons needed for SGREs. One of the well-connected events (2012 May 17) had ground level enhancement (GLE) indicating GeV particles reaching Earth, so the presence of ~300 MeV protons is expected. The 2013 May 13 event was from the east limb, so there was no SEP event at Earth. However, there was a large SEP event detected by STEREO-Behind (STB) spacecraft (~10 MeV intensity was ~25 pcf as listed in Table 1). The 2011 June 2 event did not have an SEP event at Earth. The source location was eastern (S19E25). STB was located at E93 at the time of
SGRE events with weak type II bursts have such preceding CMEs, indicating that CME interaction is an additional factor that influences the production of SGREs. Figure 6 shows the two west-limb CMEs in STB view from the inner coronagraph (COR1 (the source regions were located just to the east of the disk center in SOHO view). There was another CME with a brief DH type II immediately preceding CME2 might have contributed seed particles (see Fig. 1).

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We investigated five SGRE events and identified the associated CMEs, type II radio bursts, soft X-ray flares, and SEP events. In all five cases, there were fast CMEs that were full halos. The speeds were in the range 1147 km/s to 2541 km/s. The average speed (1575 ± 564 km/s) is slightly smaller than that (2094 ± 621 km/s) of the longer duration events reported earlier [16]. There seems to be a tendency for longer-duration SGREs to be associated with faster CMEs. The high CME speeds are consistent with those producing DH type II bursts and large SEP events. The DH type II bursts indicate that the associated shocks propagated far into the interplanetary medium. The close relationship between SGRE and type II burst durations provides the strongest evidence that the same shock is responsible for accelerating the underlying particles (electrons for type II bursts and protons for SGRE).

All the well-connected events were associated with large SEP events and intense >100 MeV proton events. One of the two eastern events (2011 June 2) was not associated with a large SEP event. The associated flare was the weakest (C3.7) of the 30 SGRE events reported in [5]. The evidence for the shock comes from the DH type II burst and fast CME. Since the overall duration of the SGRE and type II durations were similar, we surmise that protons were accelerated but did not reach the well-connected observer. A plausible scenario is that the magnetic structure of the preceding CME mirrored the protons from the primary CME toward the Sun causing SGRE.
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