

Early Results for Version 06 IMERG

George J. Huffman(1), David T. Bolvin(1,2), Eric Nelkin(1,2), Jackson Tan(1,3),

[and Dan Braithwaite(4), Kuolin Hsu(4), Robert Joyce(5,6),
Christopher Kidd(1,7), Soroosh Sorooshian(4), Pingping Xie(6)]

(1) NASA/GSFC Earth Sciences Division – Atmospheres

(2) Science Systems and Applications, Inc.

(3) Univ. Space Res. Assoc.

(4) Univ. of California Irvine

(5) Innovim

(6) NOAA/NWS Climate Prediction Center

(7) Univ. of Maryland / ESSIC

george.j.huffman@nasa.gov

1. Introduction – The Constellation


Presently 3-hourly observations >90% of the time, globally

The current GPM constellation includes:

- 5 polar-orbit passive microwave imagers
- 5 polar-orbit passive microwave sounders
- input precip estimates
 - GPROF (LEO PMW) + PRPS (SAPHIR)
 - PERSIANN-CCS (GEO IR)
 - CORRA (combined PMW-Ku radar)
 - GPCP SG (monthly satellite-gauge)

The constellation is evolving

- launch manifests are assured for sounders, sparse for imagers



Ascending passes (F08 descending); satellites depicted above graph precess throughout the day.
Image by Eric Nelkin (SSAI), 19 July 2019, NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD.

2. IMERG – Quick Description

IMERG is a single integrated code system for near-real and post-real time





- “Early” – 4 hr (flash flooding)
- “Late” – 14 hr (crop forecasting)
- “Final” – 3 months (research)
- half-hourly and monthly (Final only)
- 0.1° global CED grid
 - morphed precip, 60° N-S in V05, 90° N-S in V06

IMERG is adjusted to GPCP V2.3 seasonal zonal climatology zonally to achieve a reasonable bias profile

- GPM core products have similar bias (by design)
 - these profiles are systematically low in the extratropical oceans compared to
 - GPCP V2.3 SG product
 - Behrangi Multi-satellite CloudSat, TRMM, Aqua (MCTA) product
- over land GPCP adjustment provides a first cut at the adjustment to gauges used in the Final

	Half-hourly data file (Early, Late, Final)
1	<i>[multi-sat.] precipitationCal</i>
2	<i>[multi-sat.] precipitationUncal</i>
3	<i>[multi-sat. precip] randomError</i>
4	<i>[PMW] HQprecipitation</i>
5	<i>[PMW] HQprecipSource [identifier]</i>
6	<i>[PMW] HQobservationTime</i>
7	<i>IRprecipitation</i>
8	<i>IRkalmanFilterWeight</i>
9	<i>[phase] probabilityLiquidPrecipitation</i>
10	<i>precipitationQualityIndex</i>
	Monthly data file (Final)
1	<i>[sat.-gauge] precipitation</i>
2	<i>[sat.-gauge precip] randomError</i>
3	<i>GaugeRelativeWeighting</i>
4	<i>probabilityLiquidPrecipitation [phase]</i>
5	<i>precipitationQualityIndex</i>





2. IMERG – Examples of Data Fields



PMW sensor
 IR precip
 cal precip (uncal precip)

PMW time into half hour
2 July 2015 0030 UTC
 probability of liquid phase

PMW precip
 IR weight
 Quality Index



2. IMERG – V06 Upgrades

Morphing vector source switched to MERRA-2/GEOS FP

Morphed precip extended from 60° N-S (V05 and earlier) to 90° N-S, but

- masked out for icy/snowy surfaces

Half-hourly Quality Index modified

- t=0 values estimated (set to 1 in V05)
- shifted to 0.1° grid (0.25° in V05)

Full intercalibration to Combined Radar-Radiometer Algorithm (CORRA)

- V05 took shortcuts

Modifications for TRMM era

- compute calibrations for older satellites against TRMM
 - compute TRMM-era microwave calibrations in the band 33°N-S and
 - blend with adjusted monthly climatological GPM-era microwave calibrations over 25°-90° N and S

Revisions to internals raises the maximum precip rate from 50 to 200 mm/hr and no longer discrete

- files bigger due to less compressibility
- allows really tiny numbers


4. Early Results – Calibration

Calibration sequence is

- CORRA climatologically calibrated to GPCP over ocean outside 30°N-S
- GMI calibrated to CORRA
- GPM constellation climatologically calibrated to GMI

Adjustments working roughly as intended

- CORRA is low at higher latitudes
- adjustments in Southern Ocean are large and need analysis
 - IMERG subsetted to coincidence with CORRA is much closer to CORRA



4. Early Results – SON Diurnal Cycle, Maritime Continent

Average September-November
for 2001 to 2018


- data re-sorted to give the same LST over the globe
- surface cycles between Blue Marble and Night Lights

Reminiscent of TMPA, but

- more detailed, broader spatial coverage
- no interpolations between the 3-hourly times
- less IR-based precip used (which tends to have a phase lag)

Reminiscent of IMERG V05, but

- less “flashing” due to inter-satellite differences and morphing
- better data coverage at higher latitudes
- and still have artifacts along ice edges



J. Tan (USRA; GSFC)



4. Early Results – JJA Diurnal Cycle in Central U.S. (GPM Era)

Average June-July-August for 2014 to 2018 (5 summers) for 6 states

Compared to Multi-Radar Multi-Sensor (MRMS), IMERG Final shows:

- lower averages
- lower amplitude cycle in Colorado
- higher amplitude cycle in Iowa
- very similar curve shapes, peak times

This version of MRMS only starts in 2014, so an extended comparison would have to use different data







J. Tan (USRA; GSFC)

4. Early Results – Hurricane Harvey, 25-31 August 2017, IMERG and MRMS (1/2)

Harvey loitered over southeast Texas for a week


- Multi-Radar Multi-Sensor (MRMS) considered the best estimate
 - some questions about the details of the gauge calibration of the radar estimate
 - over land
- Uncal (just the intercalibrated satellite estimates) under(over)-estimated in Area 1(2)
 - should be similar in NRT Late Run
- Cal (with gauge adjustment) pulls both areas down
- microwave-adjusted PERSIANN-CCS IR has the focus too far southwest



4. Early Results – Hurricane Harvey, 25-31 August 2017, IMERG and MRMS (2/2)

IMERG largely driven by microwave overpasses (dots)

- except duplicate times
- not just time interpolation
 - systems move into / out of the box between overpasses
- satellites show coherent differences from MRMS
 - microwave only “sees” the solid hydrometeors (scattering channels), since over land
 - IR looks at T_b within “clustered” data
 - both are calibrated to statistics of time/space cubes of data
 - Cal is basically ($Uncal \times factor$)
 - short-interval differences show some cancellation over the whole event
 - but several-hour differences can be dramatic



J. Tan (USRA; GSFC)

4. Early Results – Ocean (50°N-S) Timeseries

V06 Final Run starts June 2000

V06 is higher than 3B43 (TMPA) and GPCP over ocean

TRMM-era IMERG has a strong semi-annual signal


- GPM-era IMERG and 3B43 dominated by the annual cycle

Interannual variation

- has similar peaks/troughs for all datasets
- GPCP (passive microwave calibration) lags phase of 3B43 (through 2013), IMERG (both PMW/radar calibration)
- after September 2014, 3B43 (PMW calibration) matches GPCP phase

Additional multi-year variations

- IMERG (and 3B43) are High Resolution Precipitation Products, not CDRs



E. Nelkin (SSAI; GSFC)

5. Schedule and Final Remarks (1/3)

Early March 2019: began Version 06 IMERG Retrospective

- the GPM era was launched first, Final Run first, done
- the TRMM era Final Run retrospective processing is
 - 4 km merged global IR data files continue to be delayed 1998-January 2000
 - the run builds up the requisite 3 months of calibration data starting from February 2000
 - the first month of data is for June 2000
 - the initial 29 months of data will be incorporated when feasible
- Early and Late Run Initial Processing started ~1 May
- a damaged land/ocean map forced a shift to V06B ~22 May, including a restart on Final retrospective processing
- Early and Late Run Retrospective Processing are Final intermediate files, so they come after
 - The GPM era is essentially done, completing a is forecast to finish in mid-to-late August, coming
 - Final is always ~3.5 months behind Early and Late retrospective processing have Initial Processing for the Final Run to ml in April 2019

5. Schedule and Final Remarks (2/3)

Development Work for V07


- multi-satellite issues
 - improve error estimation
 - develop additional data sets based on observation-model combinations
 - work toward a cloud development component in the morphing system
- general precipitation algorithmic issues
 - introduce alternative/additional satellites at high latitudes (TOVS, AIRS, AVHRR, etc.)
 - evaluate ancillary data sources and algorithm for Prob. of Liq. Precip. Phase
 - work toward using PMW retrievals over snow/ice
 - work toward improved wind-loss correction to gauge data

Version 07 release should be in “about 2 years” (2022?)

5. Schedule and Final Remarks (3/3)

IMERG is being upgraded to V06 now

- the product structure remains the same
 - Early, Late, Final
 - $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$ half-hourly (and monthly in Final)
- new source for morphing vectors
- higher-latitude coverage
- extension back to 2000 (and eventually 1998)
- improved Quality Index



See <https://svs.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/details.cgi?aid=4285>

J. Tan (USRA; GSFC)


2. IMERG – Quality Index (1/2)

Half-hourly QI (revised)

- approx. Kalman Filter correlation
 - based on
 - times to 2 nearest PMWs (only 1 for Early) for morphed data
 - IR at/near time (when used)

$$QI_h = \tanh\left(\sqrt{\sum \arctanh^2(r_i)}\right)$$

- where r is correlation, and the i 's are for forward propagation, backward propagation, and IR
- or, an approximate correlation when a PMW is used for that half hour
- revised to 0.1° grid (0.25° in V05)
- thin strips due to inter-swath gaps
- blocks due to regional variations
- snow/ice masking will drop out microwave values



D.Bolvin (SSAI; GSFC)

The goal is a simple “stoplight” index

- ranges of QI will be assigned
 - good 0.6-1
 - use with caution 0.4-0.6
 - questionable 0-0.4
- is this a useful parameter?


2. IMERG – Quality Index (2/2)

Monthly QI (unchanged)

- [Equivalent Gauge](#) (Huffman et al. 1997) in gauges / $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$

$$QI_m = (S + r) * H * (1 + 10 * r^2) / e^2$$

- where r is precip rate, e is random error, and H and S are source-specific error constants
- invert random error equation
- largely tames the non-linearity in random error due to rain amount
- some residual issues at high values
- doesn't account for bias
- the stoplight ranges are
 - good > 4
 - use with caution 2-4
 - questionable < 2
- note that this ranking points out uncertainty in the values in light-precip areas that nearly or totally lack gauges (some deserts, oceanic subtropical highs)



Month Qual. Index Dec 2016


D.Bolvin (SSAI; GSFC)



3. Some Details – Key Points in Morphing (1/3)

Following the CMORPH approach

- for a given time offset from a microwave overpass
- compute the (smoothed) average correlation between
 - morphed microwave overpasses and microwave overpasses at that time offset, and
 - IR precip estimates and microwave overpasses at that time offset and IR at 1 and 2 half hours after that time offset
- for conical-scan (imager) and cross-track-scan (sounder) instruments separately
- the microwave correlations drop off from $t=0$, dropping below the IR correlation within a few hours (2 hours in the Western Equatorial Pacific)




J. Tan (USRA; GSFC)

3. Some Details – Key Points in Morphing (2/3)

Following the CMORPH approach

- for a given time offset from a microwave overpass
- compute the (smoothed) average correlation between
 - morphed microwave overpasses and microwave overpasses at that time offset, and
 - IR precip estimates and microwave overpasses at that time offset and IR at 1 and 2 half hours after that time offset
- for conical-scan (imager) and cross-track-scan (sounder) instruments separately
- the microwave correlations drop off from there, dropping below the IR correlation within a few hours (2 hours in the Western Equatorial Pacific)
- at $t=0$ (no offset), imagers are better over oceans, sounders are better or competitive over land



0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0

L2 correlation at $t=0$ Aug.-Oct. 2017

J. Tan (USRA; GSFC)


3. Some Details – Key Points in Morphing (3/3)

Tested vectors computed on a $5^\circ \times 5^\circ$ template every 2.5° , interpolated to $0.1^\circ \times 0.1^\circ$ based on

- [MERRA2 TQV \(vertically integrated vapor\)](#)
- [MERRA2 PRECTOT \(precip\)](#)
- [CPC 4-km merged IR Tb \(as in V05 IMERG\)](#)
- [NULL \(no motion\)](#)

On a zonal-average basis, compute the Heidke Skill Score for

- [merged GPROF precip \(HQ\) propagated for 30 min.](#)
- [compared to HQ precip observed in the following 30 min.](#)
- [TQV](#) is consistently at/near the top
- further research is expected for V07



J. Tan (USRA; GSFC)