National Aeronautics and Space Administration



# Near Field Probe Measurements in the Plume of a NEXT Ion Thruster

## Neil Arthur

Vantage Partners LLC, Brook Park, OH, 44142

## George Williams

NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, OH, 44135

## International Electric Propulsion Conference 9/19/2019

Vienna, Austria



### Outline

- Introduction
- Test Setup
- Electron Temperature
- Plasma Potential
- Conclusion



#### Introduction

- NEXT has a broad throttle range and a demonstrated lifetime in excess of 50,000 h
- NASA's effort to commercialize through the NEXT-C contract requires application specific lifetime predictions
  - Testing specific throttle profiles over the course of years for each application is not feasible
  - The NEXT-C program has chosen to apply lifetime models that are anchored to ion thruster testing data
- Both NASA GRC and JPL are developing NEXT lifetime models

```
3
```

Past measurements in the beam of an ion thruster have been made at > 0.1 thruster radii



Introduction

e<

1.5

-1.4 kW

-1.7 kW

Temperature,

#### **Test Setup**

- Testing at NASA GRC in VF-16
  - 2.75 m diameter x 4.5 m long vaccum chamber
- Engineering model NEXT thruster
- A probe rake consisting of a Faraday probe, triple Langmuir Probe, and 6 emissive probes was swept in front of the thruster
- Two linear stages were used to move the probes  $\uparrow^{r_t}$





#### **Electron Temperature**

 Radial electron temperature at various power levels shows little trend  Slight downward trend towards the right side of the thruster may be due to asymmetries in the beam current density profile



#### **Electron Temperature**

- Axial profile shows no trend with power level
- Past ion thruster studies have attributed changes in T<sub>e</sub> with power level to changes in coupling and neutralizer keeper voltage
  - $-\Delta V_g = 0.2 V$
  - $-\Delta V_{nk} = 0.7 V$
- NEXT's throttle table (v11) features relatively constant V<sub>g</sub> and V<sub>nk</sub> for  $J_b > 2.7 \text{ A}$



 Radial profile nearest to the grid shows the plasma potential dips towards the centerline





Soulas and Shastry, AIAA-2016-4632

 $cm^2$ 

Am

-0.028 r

-0.056

- At the closest approach individual • beamlets are visible
- Probe diameter  $\simeq$  Aperture diameter ullet



- At both constant  $J_{\rm b}$  and  $V_{\rm b},$  the centerline potential increases with increasing power



- The axial plasma potential profile should fall off as the probe approaches the accel grid at -200 V
- Due to the uncertainty and error in the probe alignment process, some probes show a potential spike near the grids
  - It is possible to discern individual beamlets near the grid, and these beamlets appear as increases in plasma potential
  - As probe 4 approaches it is between beamlets
    - As probe 5 approaches it is within a beamlet



- Axial profile off centerline at various radial distances
- Near to the thruster centerline the plasma potential increases and then decreases

- Near mid-radius the profile is very flat
- Towards the edge the plasma potential decreases
- Outside the beam, there is a small potential well



- For constant J<sub>b</sub>, as V<sub>b</sub> increases the drop in plasma potential occurs closer to the grid
  - $V_a$  is ~constant
- Kaufman's decel length is the distance from the accelerator grid to the neutralization plane
  - Extent of the accel sheath

$$\ell_{\rm d} = \ell_{\rm a} \sqrt{\frac{1 + 3\sqrt{R} - 4R\sqrt{R}}{F_{\rm s}}} \frac{j_{\rm b}}{j_{\rm CL}}$$
$$R = \frac{V_{\rm N}}{V_{\rm T}}$$

Plasma potential fall off distance agrees
with expected trend of R-ratio changes



Normalized Axial Distance

#### Conclusion

- The plasma properties downstream of a NEXT ion thruster were measured using emissive and triple Langmuir probes
- In front of the thruster the electron temperature remained fairly constant
  - There was also no noticeable trend with power
  - Attributed to the relatively constant  $V_g$  and  $V_{nk}$
- Radially, the plasma potential showed a decrease on thruster centerline
  - The magnitude of this decrease was reduced as power was increased
- Axially, the plasma potential was flat up until 0.2 thruster radii
  - Some probes measured the decreasing potential of the accel sheath
  - Other probes measured the increases potential which may be individual beamlets
- At constant J<sub>b</sub>, as V<sub>b</sub> was increased the extent of the accel sheath was reduced
  - This trend agrees with the decel length theorized by Kaufman, which varies with R-ratio

This work was completed as part of the NEXT-C project, which is supported by the Planetary Science Division of the Science Mission Directorate, NASA Headquarters and awarded to Aerojet Rocketdyne and ZIN Technologies.



15