NASA/TM-2019-220410/Volume II NESC-RP-15-01037





Application of System Identification to Parachute Modeling

Appendices

Daniel G. Murri/NESC, Eugene A. Morelli, Jing Pei, and Carlos M. Roithmayr Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia

Daniel A. Matz Johnson Space Center, Houston, Texas

Richard L. Barton, and Michael R. Mendenhall Analytical Mechanics Associates, Hampton, Virginia Since its founding, NASA has been dedicated to the advancement of aeronautics and space science. The NASA scientific and technical information (STI) program plays a key part in helping NASA maintain this important role.

The NASA STI program operates under the auspices of the Agency Chief Information Officer. It collects, organizes, provides for archiving, and disseminates NASA's STI. The NASA STI program provides access to the NTRS Registered and its public interface, the NASA Technical Reports Server, thus providing one of the largest collections of aeronautical and space science STI in the world. Results are published in both non-NASA channels and by NASA in the NASA STI Report Series, which includes the following report types:

- TECHNICAL PUBLICATION. Reports of completed research or a major significant phase of research that present the results of NASA Programs and include extensive data or theoretical analysis. Includes compilations of significant scientific and technical data and information deemed to be of continuing reference value. NASA counter-part of peerreviewed formal professional papers but has less stringent limitations on manuscript length and extent of graphic presentations.
- TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM. Scientific and technical findings that are preliminary or of specialized interest, e.g., quick release reports, working papers, and bibliographies that contain minimal annotation. Does not contain extensive analysis.
- CONTRACTOR REPORT. Scientific and technical findings by NASA-sponsored contractors and grantees.

- CONFERENCE PUBLICATION. Collected papers from scientific and technical conferences, symposia, seminars, or other meetings sponsored or co-sponsored by NASA.
- SPECIAL PUBLICATION. Scientific, technical, or historical information from NASA programs, projects, and missions, often concerned with subjects having substantial public interest.
- TECHNICAL TRANSLATION. English-language translations of foreign scientific and technical material pertinent to NASA's mission.

Specialized services also include organizing and publishing research results, distributing specialized research announcements and feeds, providing information desk and personal search support, and enabling data exchange services.

For more information about the NASA STI program, see the following:

- Access the NASA STI program home page at <u>http://www.sti.nasa.gov</u>
- E-mail your question to <u>help@sti.nasa.gov</u>
- Phone the NASA STI Information Desk at 757-864-9658
- Write to: NASA STI Information Desk Mail Stop 148 NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23681-2199

NASA/TM-2019-220410/Volume II NESC-RP-15-01037





Application of System Identification to Parachute Modeling

Appendices

Daniel G. Murri/NESC, Eugene A. Morelli, Jing Pei, and Carlos M. Roithmayr Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia

Daniel A. Matz Johnson Space Center, Houston, Texas

Richard L. Barton, and Michael R. Mendenhall Analytical Mechanics Associates, Hampton, Virginia

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23681-2199

October 2019

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the contributions of Dr. Jim Beaty, who retired from NASA and was the original developer of the Capsule Dynamics (CAPDYN) simulation tool used in this assessment.

The use of trademarks or names of manufacturers in the report is for accurate reporting and does not constitute an official endorsement, either expressed or implied, of such products or manufacturers by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Available from:

NASA STI Program / Mail Stop 148 NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23681-2199 Fax: 757-864-6500



NASA Engineering and Safety Center Technical Assessment Report

Volume II: Appendices

Application of System Identification to Parachute Modeling

August 29, 2019

Table of Contents

Technical	Assessment Report (Volume 2)	
Appendix A.	Verification Case 1 – Constant Density Descent	5
A.1	Introduction	5
A.1.1	Initial Conditions	5
A.1.2	Simplifying Assumptions	5
A.1.3	Free-body Diagram: Inertial Axis System	6
A.1.4	Derivation of Equations	6
A.1.5	Analytical Solution	7
A.2	Physical Characteristics	7
A.3	Results	7
Appendix B.	Verification Case 2 – Exponential Density Descent	9
B.1	Introduction	9
B.1.1	Initial Conditions	9
B.1.2	Simplifying Assumptions	9
B.1.3	Free-body Diagram: Inertial Axis System	
B.1.4	Derivation of Equations	
B.1.5	Analytical Solution	11
B.2	Physical Characteristics	11
B.3	Results	12
Appendix C.	Verification Case 3 – Vertical Wind Shear, Constant Density	
C.1	Introduction	
C.1.1	Initial Conditions	
C.1.2	Simplifying Assumptions	
C.1.3	Free-body Diagram: Inertial Axis System	14
C.1.4	Derivation of Equations	14
C.1.5	Analytical Solution	15
C.2	Physical Characteristics	15
C.3	Results	16
Appendix D.	Verification Case 4 – Steady-state Glide	
D.1	Introduction	
D.1.1	Initial Conditions	
D.1.2	Simplifying Assumptions	
D.1.3	Free-body Diagram: Inertial Axis System	
D.1.4	Derivation of Equations	19
D.1.5	Analytical Solution	20
D.2	Physical Characteristics	20
D.3	Results	21
Appendix E.	Verification Case 5 – Horizontal Wind Shear, Constant Density	24
E.1	Introduction	24
E.1.1	Initial Conditions	24
E.1.2	Simplifying Assumptions	24
E.1.3	Free-body Diagram: Inertial Axis System	25
E.1.4	Derivation of Equations	25
E.1.5	Analytical Solution	27
E.2	Physical Characteristics	27
E.3	Results	28

Appendix F. Ver	rification Case 6 – Pendulum Motion	.29
F.1 U	Jndamped Pendulum Motion ($CN\alpha = 0$)	.29
F.2 D	Damped Pendulum Motion ($CN\alpha = 0.304$)	31
F.3 D	Divergent Pendulum Motion ($CN\alpha = -0.160$)	.32
Appendix G. Ver	rification Case 7 – Flyout Motion	.34
Appendix H. Ver	rification Case 8 – Maypole	.36
Appendix I. Ver	rification Case 9 – Nonplanar Pendulum Motion	.39
Appendix J. Ver	rification Case 10 – Nonplanar Flyout Motion	.42
Appendix K. Pre	escribed Aerodynamics Required for Nonplanar Pendulum Motion	
in (CAPDYN	.46

List of Figures

Figure A-1.	Free-body Diagram, Case 1	.6
Figure A-2.	Altitude versus Time, Case 1	. 8
Figure A-3.	Altitude versus Time Comparisons, Case 1	. 8
Figure B-1.	Free-body Diagram, Case 2	10
Figure B-2.	Altitude versus Time, Case 2	12
Figure B-3.	Altitude versus Time Comparisons, Case 2	12
Figure C-1.	Free-body Diagram, Case 3	14
Figure C-2.	System Deceleration Due to Wind Shear Updraft, Case 3	16
Figure C-3.	Capsule Air Relative Velocity Down Comparison, Case 3	17
Figure D-1.	Free-body Diagram, Case 4	19
Figure D-2.	Parachute Aerodynamic Model, Case 4	21
Figure D-3.	Case 4 Trajectory Profile	22
Figure D-4.	Distance Components versus Time, Case 4	22
Figure D-5.	Capsule Altitude (ft) versus Time Comparison, Case 4	23
Figure D-6.	Capsule East Position (ft) versus Time Comparisons, Case 4	23
Figure E-1.	Free-body Diagram, Case 5	25
Figure E-2.	Horizontal Motion from 5-fps Crosswind, Case 5	28
Figure E-3.	Capsule Velocity North (ft/s) Comparison	28
Figure F-1.	Parachute Velocity East ($CN\alpha = 0$)	29
Figure F-2.	Load Velocity East $(CN\alpha = 0)$	30
Figure F-3.	Swing Angle ($CN\alpha = 0$)	30
Figure F-4.	Parachute Velocity East ($CN\alpha = 0.304$)	31
Figure F-5.	Load Velocity East ($CN\alpha = 0.304$)	31
Figure F-6.	Swing Angle ($CN\alpha = 0.304$)	32
Figure F-7.	Parachute Velocity East ($CN\alpha = -0.160$)	32
Figure F-8.	Load Velocity East ($CN\alpha = -0.160$)	33
Figure F-9.	Swing Angle ($CN\alpha = -0.160$)	33
Figure G-1.	Flyout Motion: Parachute 1 Flyout Angle	34
Figure G-2.	Flyout Motion: Capsule V _{down}	35
Figure H-1.	Maypole Motion: Parachute 1 Azimuth	36
Figure H-2.	Maypole Motion: Parachute 2 Azimuth	37
Figure H-3.	Maypole Motion: Parachute 1 V _{east}	37

Figure H-4.	Maypole Motion: Parachute 1 V _{north}	
Figure I-1.	Nonplanar Pendulum Motion: Parachute Velocity East	
Figure I-2.	Nonplanar Pendulum Motion: Parachute Velocity North	40
Figure I-3.	Nonplanar Pendulum Motion: Load Velocity East	40
Figure I-4.	Nonplanar Pendulum Motion: Load Velocity North	41
Figure I-5.	Nonplanar Pendulum Motion: Swing Angle North-Down Plane	41
Figure J-1.	Nonplanar Scissors Motion: Parachute 1 Velocity East	43
Figure J-2.	Nonplanar Scissors Motion: Parachute 1 Velocity North	43
Figure J-3.	Nonplanar Scissors Motion: $\phi prox1$	44
Figure J-4.	Nonplanar Scissors Motion: <i>pprox2</i>	44
Figure J-5.	Nonplanar Scissors Motion: Parachute 1 Flyout Angle North-Down Plane	45
Figure J-6.	Nonplanar Scissors Motion: Parachute 2 Flyout Angle North-Down Plane	45
Figure K-1.	Nonplanar Pendulum Motion	46
Figure K-2.	Nonplanar Pendulum Motion (top view)	48

List of Tables

Table K-1.	Direction Cosine Matrix (body frame to inertial frame)	46
Table K-2.	Direction Cosine Matrix (aero frame to body frame)	48

Appendix A. Verification Case 1 – Constant Density Descent

A.1 Introduction

A series of exact analytical solutions is developed for a capsule with two parachutes to verify CAPDYN and FAST results for terminal conditions. The case described herein is for the capsule and two parachutes acting as a fixed system in terminal descent. In this simplest case, the density is modeled as a constant defined by the initial release altitude. The analytical solution predicts the system terminal dynamic pressure, velocity, and altitude for comparison with CAPDYN and FAST simulation results.

A.1.1 Initial Conditions

The initial conditions are set such that the system descends at a constant velocity with no motion in the horizontal direction.

A.1.2 Simplifying Assumptions

The following simplifying assumptions are necessary for Verification Case 1:

- Both parachutes have identical physical characteristics, aerodynamic models, and dimensions.
- Proximity effects are ignored.
- Density is held constant at the 5,000-ft altitude value.
- There is a single riser line attach point on the load.
- The motion is limited to the vertical axis for all three bodies.
- The two parachutes will occupy the same space.
- There is no wind.
- There are no capsule aerodynamic forces or moments.
- All out-of-plane parachute aerodynamic coefficients are set to zero.
- There is no enclosed air mass included in this simulation.

A.1.3 Free-body Diagram: Inertial Axis System

The free-body diagram for this case is shown in Figure A-1.



Figure A-1. Free-body Diagram, Case 1

A.1.4 Derivation of Equations

Newton's Law:

$$m_{\text{tot}} \ddot{Z} \hat{e}_Z = \sum \vec{F}_{\text{external}} = \overrightarrow{W}_{\text{tot}} + \vec{A}_Z$$
$$\overrightarrow{W}_{\text{tot}} = W_{\text{tot}} \hat{e}_Z$$
$$\vec{A}_Z = -qSC_Z \hat{e}_Z$$
$$\ddot{Z} = \frac{W_{\text{tot}} - qSC_Z}{m_{\text{tot}}}$$

Equilibrium:

$$\ddot{Z} = 0 = W_{\text{tot}} - qSC_Z$$

Terminal conditions:

$$W_{\text{tot}} = q_{\text{term}} S C_Z$$
$$q_{\text{term}} = \frac{W_{\text{tot}}}{S C_Z} = \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{\text{term}}^2$$

$$V_{\rm term} = \sqrt{\frac{2q_{\rm term}}{\rho}}$$

Equation of motion:

$$\ddot{Z}=0$$

Solution:

$$\dot{Z} = V_{\text{term}}$$

 $Z = Z_0 + V_{\text{term}}t$

A.1.5 Analytical Solution

The rigid system of the capsule and two parachutes descends in vertical motion at the initial terminal conditions with no change and no other motion about any axis. The descent velocity and dynamic pressure will be constant, and the vertical position is a linear function of time.

A.2 Physical Characteristics

For purposes of comparison of the analytical solutions with CAPDYN and FAST results, the following physical characteristics and initial conditions of the capsule and parachutes are assumed:

Parachutes (each):

W = 328.087 lb Reference area = 10,562.9 ft² Drag coefficient, $C_Z = 0.85$ $C_X = 0$

Capsule:

W = 20,862.9 lb

Totals:

 $W_{\text{tot}} = 2(328.087) + 20,862.9 = 21,519.07 \text{ lb}$ S_{ref} (two parachutes) = 21,125.8 ft² $C_Z = 0.85$

Flight conditions:

Altitude = 5,000 ft Air density = 2.05×10^{-3} slugs/ft³ (assume constant)

A.3 Results

Terminal dynamic pressure = 1.198 psf Terminal velocity = 34.19 fps

Altitude versus time is shown in Figure A-2.



Figure A-2. Altitude versus Time, Case 1

This simple case assumes the atmospheric density, descent velocity, and dynamic pressure are constant with no out-of-plane motion. Thus, altitude versus time is a linear relationship. Results from CAPDYN and FAST are co-plotted with the analytical model in Figure A-3 showing excellent agreement.



Figure A-3. Altitude versus Time Comparisons, Case 1

Appendix B. Verification Case 2 – Exponential Density Descent

B.1 Introduction

A series of exact analytical solutions is developed for a capsule with two parachutes to verify CAPDYN and FAST results for descent in an atmosphere where density changes with altitude. The case described herein is for the capsule and two parachutes acting as a fixed system in descent with density that varies exponentially. In this variation on Case 1 (Appendix A), the density is modeled by the 1976 Standard Atmosphere. The analytical solution predicts the system dynamic pressure, vertical velocity, and altitude as a function of time for comparison with CAPDYN and FAST simulation results.

B.1.1 Initial Conditions

The initial conditions are set such that the system descends vertically at a constant dynamic pressure with no motion in the horizontal direction. As the system descends, density slowly increases and velocity slowly decreases to maintain a constant dynamic pressure.

B.1.2 Simplifying Assumptions

The following simplifying assumptions are necessary for Verification Case 2:

- Both parachutes have identical physical characteristics, aerodynamic models, and dimensions.
- Proximity effects are ignored.
- There is a single riser line attach point on the load.
- Density is modeled as an exponential curve fit to the 1976 Standard Atmosphere.
- For a small time interval, Δt , density is assumed to be constant and vertical acceleration is assumed to be zero.
- The motion is limited to the vertical axis for all three bodies.
- The two parachutes will occupy the same space.
- There is no wind.
- There are no capsule aerodynamic forces or moments.
- All out-of-plane parachute aerodynamic coefficients are set to zero.
- There is no enclosed air mass included in this simulation.

B.1.3 Free-body Diagram: Inertial Axis System

The free-body diagram for this case is shown in Figure B-1.



Figure B-1. Free-body Diagram, Case 2

B.1.4 Derivation of Equations

Newton's Law:

$$m_{\text{tot}} \ddot{Z} \hat{e}_Z = \sum \vec{F}_{\text{external}} = \vec{W}_{tot} + \vec{A}_Z$$
$$\vec{W}_{\text{tot}} = W_{\text{tot}} \hat{e}_Z$$
$$\vec{A}_Z = -qSC_Z \hat{e}_Z$$
$$\ddot{Z} = \frac{W_{\text{tot}} - qSC_Z}{m_{\text{tot}}}$$

Equilibrium: Per the assumption, the very small acceleration is assumed to be zero during each small time interval. Thus,

$$\ddot{Z} = 0 = W_{\rm tot} - qSC_Z$$

Terminal conditions during a small time interval:

$$W_{\text{tot}} = q_{\text{term}} S C_Z$$
$$q_{\text{term}} = \frac{W_{\text{tot}}}{S C_Z} = \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{\text{term}}^2$$

_ _

$$V_{\text{term}} = \sqrt{\frac{2q_{\text{term}}}{\rho}}; \quad \rho = \rho(H) = Ae^{-BH}$$

where

$$H =$$
 altitude (ft)
 $A = 0.002377$ slugs/ft³
 $B = 0.0000299$ (ft⁻¹)

At the beginning of each small time interval, density is evaluated at the current altitude and held constant during the interval.

Equation of motion:

Solution:

$$\dot{Z} = V_{\text{term}}$$

 $Z = Z_0 + V_{\text{term}}\Delta t$

 $\ddot{Z} = 0$

B.1.5 Analytical Solution

During each small time interval, the rigid system of the capsule and two parachutes descends in vertical motion at constant dynamic pressure, there is no other motion about any axis, and the vertical position is a linear function of time. At the beginning of each new interval, the density increases slightly and the descent velocity decreases slightly compared with the previous interval. In the results that follow, $\Delta t = 5$ s.

B.2 Physical Characteristics

For purposes of comparison of the analytical solutions with the CAPDYN and FAST results, the following physical characteristics and initial conditions of the capsule and parachutes are assumed:

Parachutes (two):

```
W = 328.087 lb
Reference area = 10,562.9 ft<sup>2</sup>
Drag coefficient, C_Z = 0.85
C_X = 0
```

Capsule:

W = 20,862.9 lb

Totals:

 $W_{\text{tot}} = 2(328.087) + 20,862.9 = 21,519.07 \text{ lb}$ S_{ref} (two parachutes) = 21,125.8 ft² $C_Z = 0.85$

Flight initial conditions:

```
Initial altitude = 5,000 ft
Air density = 2.05 \times 10^{-3} slugs/ft<sup>3</sup> at 5,000 ft
```

B.3 Results

Terminal dynamic pressure = 1.198 psf Terminal velocity =34.19 fps at 5,000 ft

The altitude versus time is shown in Figure B-2.





Case 2 is similar to Case 1, except the atmospheric density varies with altitude. As a result of the density change, the velocity slowly decreases as the system approaches sea level. The small, slow density change has an almost linear effect on the altitude versus time. Results from CAPDYN and FAST are co-plotted with the analytical model in Figure B-3, showing excellent agreement between the three approaches.



Figure B-3. Altitude versus Time Comparisons, Case 2

Appendix C. Verification Case 3 – Vertical Wind Shear, Constant Density

C.1 Introduction

A series of exact analytical solutions is developed for a capsule with two parachutes to verify vertical wind shear effects in CAPDYN simulated results. The case described herein is for the capsule and two parachutes acting as a fixed system starting and ending in terminal descent. A vertical updraft wind shear is simulated, and the parachute system deceleration response is calculated. The analytical solution predicts the shear effect on the system deceleration and velocity.

C.1.1 Initial Conditions

The initial conditions are set such that the system descends vertically at a constant dynamic pressure with no motion in the horizontal direction.

C.1.2 Simplifying Assumptions

The following simplifying assumptions are necessary for Verification Case 3:

- Both parachutes have identical physical characteristics, aerodynamic models, and dimensions.
- Proximity effects are ignored.
- There is a single riser line attach point on the load.
- Density is held constant at the 5,000-ft altitude value.
- The motion is limited to the vertical axis for all three bodies.
- The two parachutes will occupy the same space.
- The simulated vertical wind shear is held constant.
- There are no capsule aerodynamic forces or moments.
- All out-of-plane parachute aerodynamic coefficients are set to zero.
- There is no enclosed air mass included in this simulation.

C.1.3 Free-body Diagram: Inertial Axis System

The free-body diagram is shown in Figure C-1.



Figure C-1. Free-body Diagram, Case 3

C.1.4 Derivation of Equations

Newton's Law:

$$\sum \vec{F}_{\text{external}} = m_{\text{tot}}\vec{a} = \vec{W} + \vec{A}_Z$$
$$\vec{W} = W\hat{e}_Z$$
$$\vec{A}_Z = -qSC_Z\hat{e}_Z$$
$$\vec{Z} = \frac{W - qSC_Z}{m_{\text{tot}}}$$

Note that m_{tot} does not include enclosed or apparent air mass.

Equilibrium conditions:

Before shear and after equilibrium with shear:

$$m_{\rm tot}\ddot{Z} = 0 = W - qSC_Z$$

At vertical wind shear initial conditions:

$$q = \frac{1}{2}\rho(V_{\text{term}} + V_W)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\rho\right)V^2$$
$$A_Z = qSC_Z$$
$$m_{\text{tot}}\ddot{Z} = m_{\text{tot}}\ddot{Z}_{\text{term}} = A_Z - A_{Z_{\text{term}}}$$
$$\ddot{Z} = \frac{A_Z - A_{Z_{\text{term}}}}{m_{\text{tot}}} = \frac{SC_Z}{m_{\text{tot}}}(q - q_{\text{term}})$$
$$\ddot{Z} = \frac{SC_Z}{m_{\text{tot}}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho\right)(V^2 - V_{\text{term}}^2) = k(V^2 - V_{\text{term}}^2)$$

where

$$k = \left(\frac{SC_Z}{2m_{\rm tot}}\right)\rho$$

Descent velocity post shear transients:

$$V_{\text{term}_{\text{wind}}} = V_{\text{term}} + V_W$$

C.1.5 Analytical Solution

Before and after the shear transient effects, the rigid system of capsule and two parachutes descend in vertical motion at the terminal dynamic pressure with no change and no other motion about any axis. A constant vertical updraft wind shear is simulated, causing the system to decelerate. The shear results in the system initial inertial terminal velocity eventually decreasing by the magnitude of the wind shear.

C.2 Physical Characteristics

For purposes of comparison of the analytical solutions with CAPDYN results, the following physical characteristics and initial conditions of the capsule and parachutes are assumed:

Parachutes (each):

```
W = 328.087 lb
Reference area = 10,562.9 ft<sup>2</sup>
Drag coefficient, C_Z = 0.85
```

Capsule:

W = 20,862.9 lb

Totals:

 $W_{\text{tot}} = 2(328.087) + 20,862.9 = 21,519.07 \text{ lb}$ S_{ref} (two parachutes) = 21,125.8 ft² $C_Z = 0.85$ Flight initial conditions:

Initial altitude = 5,000 ft Air density = 2.05×10^{-3} slugs/ft³ at 5,000 ft (assume constant) Wind shear = 10 fps, vertically up

C.3 Results

Terminal dynamic pressure = 1.198 psfTerminal wind relative velocity = 34.19 fps at 5,000 ft

Final terminal inertial velocity = 24.19 fps

Deceleration versus velocity is shown in Figure C-2.



Figure C-2. System Deceleration Due to Wind Shear Updraft, Case 3

Initially, the system is in terminal equilibrium descent with a 34.2-fps velocity. The 10-fps wind updraft causes the relative velocity at the parachute to go immediately to 44.2 fps, which creates excess drag and the deceleration of 22 fps². As time goes on, the wind relative velocity at the parachute decreases, and the acceleration approaches zero. The wind relative system velocity becomes 34.2 fps, while the inertial velocity is 24.2 fps. Results from CAPDYN are co-plotted with the analytical model in Figure C-3 with excellent agreement.



Figure C-3. Capsule Air Relative Velocity Down Comparison, Case 3

Appendix D. Verification Case 4 – Steady-state Glide

D.1 Introduction

A series of exact analytical solutions is developed for a capsule with two parachutes to verify CAPDYN and FAST results. The case described herein is for the capsule and two parachutes acting as a fixed system starting and ending in a steady-state glide. Initial conditions are chosen such that equilibrium is obtained in both the vertical and horizontal directions. The analytical solution predicts the glide path for the simulated L/D.

D.1.1 Initial Conditions

The initial conditions are set such that the system descends at a constant flight path and constant dynamic pressure. Density is set at the 5,000-ft level and held constant.

D.1.2 Simplifying Assumptions

The following simplifying assumptions are necessary for Verification Case 4:

- Both parachutes have identical physical characteristics, aerodynamic models, and dimensions.
- Proximity effects are ignored.
- There is a single riser line attach point on the load.
- Density is held constant at the 5,000-ft altitude value.
- The motion is limited to the vertical and horizontal plane for all three bodies.
- The two parachutes will occupy the same space.
- There is no wind.
- The small effect of the horizontal velocity on dynamic pressure is assumed to be negligible.
- There are no capsule aerodynamic forces or moments.
- All out-of-plane parachute aerodynamic coefficients are set to zero.
- There is no enclosed air mass included in this simulation.

D.1.3 Free-body Diagram: Inertial Axis System

Figure D-1 shows the free-body diagram of the system, with the external forces, the angles, and the axis system.



Figure D-1. Free-body Diagram, Case 4

D.1.4 Derivation of Equations

Newton's Law:

$$\sum \vec{F}_{\text{external}} = m_{\text{tot}} \vec{a} = \vec{W}_{\text{tot}} + \vec{R}$$
$$\vec{W}_{\text{tot}} = W_{\text{tot}} \hat{e}_Z$$
$$\vec{R} = \vec{L} + \vec{D}$$

Equilibrium glide constraints:

$$\ddot{X} = 0 = \ddot{Z}$$
$$m_{\text{tot}}\ddot{X} = L\cos\alpha - D\sin\alpha = 0$$
$$m_{\text{tot}}\ddot{Z} = -(D\cos\alpha + L\sin\alpha) + W_{\text{tot}} = 0$$

Horizontal motion in equilibrium:

$$m_{\text{tot}}\ddot{X} = L\cos\alpha - D\sin\alpha = 0, \qquad \frac{L}{D} = \frac{\sin\alpha}{\cos\alpha}$$

 $\frac{L}{D} = \tan\alpha, \qquad \alpha = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{L}{D}\right)$

Vertical motion in equilibrium:

$$m_{\text{tot}}\ddot{Z} = -(D\cos\alpha + L\sin\alpha) + W_{\text{tot}} = 0$$
$$D\cos\alpha + L\sin\alpha = W_{\text{tot}}$$
$$\text{Let } D\cos\alpha + L\sin\alpha = R = W_{\text{tot}}$$
$$R = q_{\text{term}}SC_R$$
$$L = q_{\text{term}}SC_L$$
$$D = q_{\text{term}}SC_D$$
$$C_R = \sqrt{C_L^2 + C_D^2}$$
$$\frac{L}{D} = \frac{C_L}{C_D}$$
$$q_{\text{term}} = \frac{W_{\text{tot}}}{SC_R}$$
$$V_{\text{term}} = \sqrt{\frac{2q_{\text{term}}}{\rho}} = V_{\infty}$$

Solution for equilibrium glide:

Horizontal Component	Vertical Component
$\ddot{X} = 0$	$\ddot{Z} = 0$
$\dot{X} = V_{\text{term}} \sin \alpha$	$\dot{Z} = V_{\text{term}} \cos \alpha$
$X = X_0 + \dot{X}t$	$Z = Z_0 + \dot{Z}t$

D.1.5 Analytical Solution

For the entire simulation, the rigid system of the capsule and two parachutes descends with a constant glide path at a constant terminal dynamic pressure with no change and no other motion about any axis. Acceleration is zero in both the vertical and horizontal directions. The constant glide path angle is as predicted for the simulated L/D.

D.2 Physical Characteristics

For comparison of the analytical solutions with CAPDYN and FAST results, the following physical characteristics and initial conditions of the capsule and parachutes are assumed:

Parachutes (each):

W = 328.087 lb Reference area = 10,562.9 ft²

The aerodynamic model is shown in Figure D-2.



Figure D-2. Parachute Aerodynamic Model, Case 4

Capsule:

W = 20,862.9 lb

Totals:

 $W_{\text{tot}} = 2(328.087) + 20,862.9 = 21,519.07 \text{ lb}$ S_{ref} (two parachutes) = 21,125.8 ft²

Flight initial conditions:

Altitude = 5,000 ft Air density = 2.05×10^{-3} slugs/ft³ (constant) Terminal velocity = 34.19 fps $\alpha = \gamma = 5$ degrees $\theta = 0$ degrees L/D = 0.0875

D.3 Results

Figure D-3 describes the lateral versus vertical motion for equilibrium glide. Figure D-4 describes horizontal and vertical distances versus time.



Figure D-3. Case 4 Trajectory Profile



Figure D-4. Distance Components versus Time, Case 4

The initial conditions are selected such that the system is in equilibrium both vertically and horizontally. The accelerations are zero, and the velocity components are constant—thus, the linear distance versus time. The terminal dynamic pressure is a constant 1.198 psf. Capsule Down and East positions from CAPDYN and FAST are co-plotted with the analytical model in Figures D-5 and D-6. Results from the three approaches are nearly identical.



Figure D-5. Capsule Altitude (ft) versus Time Comparison, Case 4



Figure D-6. Capsule East Position (ft) versus Time Comparisons, Case 4

Appendix E. Verification Case 5 – Horizontal Wind Shear, Constant Density

E.1 Introduction

A series of exact analytical solutions are developed for a capsule with two parachutes to verify CAPDYN results. The case described herein is for the capsule and two parachutes acting as a fixed system impacted by a horizontal wind shear. Initial conditions are chosen such that equilibrium is obtained before the shear occurs. The analytical solution predicts the effect of the shear on the system horizontal motion.

E.1.1 Initial Conditions

The initial conditions are set such that the system is descending vertically at a constant dynamic pressure and velocity. Density is set at the 5,000-ft level and held constant. A horizontal constant wind shear is simulated at the initiation of the simulation.

E.1.2 Simplifying Assumptions

The following simplifying assumptions are necessary for Verification Case 5:

- Both parachutes have identical physical characteristics, aerodynamic models, and dimensions.
- Proximity effects are ignored.
- There is a single riser line attach point on the load.
- Density is held constant at the 5,000-ft altitude value.
- Horizontal velocity component is assumed to have a negligible impact on dynamic pressure.
- The motion is limited to the vertical and horizontal plane for all three bodies.
- The two parachutes will occupy the same space.
- The wind shear starts and continues at a constant horizontal velocity magnitude.
- There are no capsule aerodynamic forces or moments.
- All out-of-plane parachute aerodynamic coefficients are set to zero.
- There is no enclosed air mass included in this simulation.

E.1.3 Free-body Diagram: Inertial Axis System

Figure E-1 shows the free-body diagram of the system, with the external forces and the axis system.



Figure E-1. Free-body Diagram, Case 5

E.1.4 Derivation of Equations

E.1.4.1 Pre Wind Shear: Vertical Descent

Newton's Law:

$$\sum \vec{F}_{\text{external}} = m\vec{a} = \vec{W}_{C} + \vec{W}_{L} + \vec{D} = m\ddot{Z}\hat{e}_{Z}$$
$$\vec{W}_{\text{tot}} = \vec{W}_{C} + \vec{W}_{L} = W_{\text{tot}}\hat{e}_{Z}$$
$$\vec{D} = -qSC_{Z}\hat{e}_{Z}$$

Equilibrium conditions:

$$\ddot{Z} = 0$$
$$\ddot{X} = \dot{X} = X = 0$$
$$q_{\text{term}} = \frac{W_{\text{tot}}}{SC_Z}$$
$$V_{\text{term}} = \sqrt{\frac{2q_{\text{term}}}{\rho}}$$

E.1.4.2 Post Transient: Final Steady-state Conditions

Newton's Law:

$$\sum \vec{F}_{\text{external}} = m\vec{a} = \vec{W}_C + \vec{W}_L + \vec{D} = m\vec{Z} = \vec{0} = m\vec{X} = \vec{0}$$

Equilibrium conditions:

$$\ddot{Z} = 0$$
$$\ddot{X} = 0$$

Vertical:

$$q_{\text{term}} = \frac{W_{\text{tot}}}{SC_Z}; V_{\text{term}} = \sqrt{\frac{2q_{\text{term}}}{\rho}} \quad (\text{wind relative})$$

Horizontal:

$$\dot{X} = -V_W, \qquad X = -V_W t + X_0$$

E.1.4.3 During Wind-shear Transient

Initial conditions at shear initiation:

$$t = 0$$

 $X_0 = 0, \ \dot{X}_0 = 0, \ \ddot{X} = -\frac{A_X}{m}$
 $Z_0 = Z_{IC}, \ \dot{Z}_{IC} = V_{\text{term}}, \ \ddot{Z}_0 = 0$

Newton's Law, horizontal:

$$m\ddot{X} = -A_X = -qSC_X$$

.

Aerodynamic model:

$$C_{X} = C_{X_{\alpha}}\alpha = C_{X_{\alpha}}\frac{X + V_{W}}{\dot{Z}}$$
$$\dot{Z} \approx constant = V_{term}$$
$$\ddot{X} = \left(\frac{-qS}{mV_{term}}C_{X_{\alpha}}\right)(\dot{X} + V_{W})$$

where

$$k = \frac{qS}{mV_{\text{term}}} C_{X_{\alpha}}$$

E.1.4.4 Equation of Motion

$$\ddot{X} + k\dot{X} = -kV_W$$

E.1.4.5 Solution from Calculus

$$\dot{X} = Ae^{-kt} - V_W$$

Using the boundary conditions:

$$X = V_W \left[\frac{1}{k} (1 - e^{-kt}) - t \right]$$
$$\dot{X} = V_W (e^{-kt} - 1)$$
$$\ddot{X} = -kV_W e^{-kt}$$

E.1.5 Analytical Solution

For the entire simulation, the system is acted upon by a horizontal wind shear. The vertical motion is near constant, while the horizontal motion reacts to the shear. The horizontal inertial velocity starts at zero and exponentially approaches the wind speed.

E.2 Physical Characteristics

For purposes of comparison of the analytical solutions with CAPDYN results, the following physical characteristics and initial conditions of the capsule and parachutes are assumed:

Parachutes (each):

```
W = 328.087 \text{ lb}
Reference area = 10,562.9 ft<sup>2</sup>
C_Z = 0.85
C_X = C_{X_{\alpha}} \alpha
C_{X_{\alpha}} = 0.573 \text{ per rad}
```

Capsule:

W = 20,862.9 lb

Totals:

 $W_{\text{tot}} = 2(328.087) + 20,862.9 = 21,519.07 \text{ lb}$ Sref (two parachutes) = 21,125.8 ft²

Flight initial conditions:

Initial altitude = 5,000 ft Air density = 2.05×10^{-3} (constant) slugs/ft³ Terminal velocity = 34.19 fps Terminal dynamic pressure = 1.198 psf Wind shear velocity = -5 fps

E.3 Results

The horizontal motion is shown in Figure E-2.



Figure E-2. Horizontal Motion from 5-fps Crosswind, Case 5

The initial conditions are selected assuming the system is in equilibrium, terminal vertical velocity with zero horizontal motion. A 5-fps horizontal wind shear is simulated at time t = 0, causing a horizontal acceleration that results in the system horizontal velocity increasing with time. The system exponentially approaches the wind magnitude as the horizontal acceleration approaches zero. The vertical motion is only marginally affected. Similar to Case 3, FAST was unable to participate in this case due to certain limitations. Results from CAPDYN are co-plotted with the analytical model in Figure E-3 showing excellent agreement.



Figure E-3. Capsule Velocity North (ft/s) Comparison

Appendix F. Verification Case 6 – Pendulum Motion

Solutions to Equations (6.3.1-5) and (6.3.1-7) are provided in this section. The system is undergoing pendulum motion while translating toward the East and falling. Time histories of the horizontal (East component) velocities of the payload and parachutes, along with swing angle, are shown. The assumed values for $C_{N_{\alpha}}$, C_A , and q_{∞} are 0.85, 0.85, and 1.20 psf, respectively. The trim angle of attack is 10 degrees. The simulations conducted for this check case involve no wind disturbance and no aerodynamic force applied to the capsule. Results from CAPDYN and FAST are co-plotted with the analytical model. The initial values of the swing angle and its time derivatives are $\theta_o = 0$ and $\dot{\theta}_o = 0.02$ rad/s.

F.1 Undamped Pendulum Motion ($C_{N_{\alpha}} = 0$)

This section shows the results for the undamped pendulum motion. The parachute and load East velocity components are shown in Figures F-1 and F-2. The pendulum swing angle is shown in Figure F-3. The CAPDYN and FAST results show excellent agreement with the analytical solution. The slight discrepancies are likely due to numerical integration schemes.



Figure F-1. Parachute Velocity East ($C_{N_{\alpha}} = 0$)



Figure F-2. Load Velocity East ($C_{N_{\dot{\alpha}}} = 0$)



F.2 Damped Pendulum Motion ($C_{N_{\alpha}} = 0.304$)

This section shows the results for the damped pendulum motion. The parachute and load East velocity components are shown in Figures F-4 and F-5. The pendulum swing angle is shown in Figure F-6. The CAPDYN and FAST results show excellent agreement with the analytical solution. The slight discrepancies are likely due to numerical integration schemes.



Figure F-4. Parachute_Velocity East ($C_{N_{\alpha}} = 0.304$)



Figure F-5. Load Velocity East ($C_{N_{\alpha}} = 0.304$)



Figure F-6. Swing Angle ($C_{N_{\alpha}} = 0.304$)

F.3 Divergent Pendulum Motion ($C_{N_{\dot{\alpha}}} = -0.160$)

This section shows the results for the damped pendulum motion. The parachute and load East velocity components are shown in Figures F-7 and F-8. The pendulum swing angle is shown in Figure F-9. The CAPDYN and FAST results show excellent agreement with the analytical solution. The slight discrepancies are likely due to numerical integration schemes.



Figure F-7. Parachute_Velocity East ($C_{N_{\dot{\alpha}}} = -0.160$)



Figure F-8. Load Velocity East ($C_{N_{\alpha}} = -0.160$)



Figure F-9. Swing Angle ($C_{N_{\alpha}} = -0.160$)

Appendix G. Verification Case 7 – Flyout Motion

Solutions to the coupled equations of motion in Equation (6.3.2-1) are provided in this section. The system is undergoing the symmetric scissors motion while falling at the same time. Time histories of the flyout angle and Down velocity are shown. The assumed values for $C_{N_{\alpha}}$, C_A , and q_{∞} are 0.225, 0.85, and 1.24 psf, respectively. The trim angle of attack is 13.33 degrees. The simulations conducted for this check case involve no wind disturbance and no aerodynamic force applied to the capsule. Results from CAPDYN and FAST are co-plotted with the analytical model. Figure G-1 shows the flyout of one of the parachutes. Velocity of the capsule in the Down direction is shown in Figure G-2. Note that the transient in the CAPDYN V_{down} solution is due to line tension initialization and can be mitigated by carefully selecting the initial conditions. The results from the three approaches are otherwise identical.



Figure G-1. Flyout Motion: Parachute 1 Flyout Angle



Figure G-2. Flyout Motion: Capsule V_{down}

Appendix H. Verification Case 8 – Maypole

The analytical development in Section 6.3.2 indicates that the normal force coefficient is dependent on the orbiting radius and angular velocity, Ω . For this check case, the team settled on an orbiting radius of 40 ft and a value of 5 deg/s for Ω . Using Equation (6.3.2-22), C_N was determined to be 0.01. The simulations conducted for this check case involve no wind disturbance and no aerodynamic force applied to the capsule. The azimuth angle, χ , is computed as follows:

$$\chi = \operatorname{atan2}(\Delta r_{\text{east}}, \Delta r_{\text{north}}) \tag{H-1a}$$

$$\Delta r_{\text{east}} = r_{\text{chute,east}} - r_{\text{capsule,east}}$$
(H-1b)

$$\Delta r_{\rm north} = r_{\rm chute, north} - r_{\rm capsule, north} \tag{H-lc}$$

Time histories for the parachute azimuth angles are shown in Figures H-1 and H-2. Velocities of Parachute 1 in the East and North are shown in Figures H-3 and H-4. The analytical approach was used to prescribe the aerodynamics required to maintain the maypole motion, which was subsequently implemented into CAPDYN and FAST. The results from the two simulations are nearly identical.



Figure H-1. Maypole Motion: Parachute 1 Azimuth



Figure H-2. Maypole Motion: Parachute 2 Azimuth



Figure H-3. Maypole Motion: Parachute 1 Veast



Figure H-4. Maypole Motion: Parachute 1 Vnorth

Appendix I. Verification Case 9 – Nonplanar Pendulum Motion

To assess the full capability of CAPDYN, the system is prescribed to undergo a nonplanar pendulum motion. Similar to the planar case, the parachutes are treated as a single particle. The system undergoes undamped pendulum motion ($C_{N_{\alpha}} = 0$) in the North-Down plane while translating and falling in the East-Down plane with velocities of 5.94 and 34.17 ft/s at the center of mass, respectively. Time histories of the North and East velocity components of the parachutes, with swing angles, are shown. The assumed values for $C_{N_{\alpha}}$, C_A , and q_{∞} are 0.85, 0.85, and 1.20 psf, respectively. The simulations conducted for this check case involve no wind disturbance and no aerodynamic force applied to the capsule. The initial values of the swing angle and its time derivatives are $\theta_o = 0$ and $\dot{\theta}_o = 0.08726$ rad/s. Due to the complexity of the motion, only CAPDYN and FAST results are available. See Appendix K for the treatment of the aerodynamics model in CAPDYN to produce this motion. The parachute velocities in the North and East directions are shown in Figures I-1 and I-2. The capsule North and East velocity components are shown in Figures I-3 and I-4. Due to numerical issues in CAPDYN, there appears to be a small (but steady) drift in the V_{east} for the parachutes and the capsule. The swingangle time history is shown in Figure I-5. Otherwise, the comparisons show excellent agreement.



Figure I-1. Nonplanar Pendulum Motion: Parachute Velocity East



Figure I-2. Nonplanar Pendulum Motion: Parachute_Velocity North



Figure I-3. Nonplanar Pendulum Motion: Load Velocity East



Figure I-4. Nonplanar Pendulum Motion: Load Velocity North



Figure I-5. Nonplanar Pendulum Motion: Swing Angle North-Down Plane

Appendix J. Verification Case 10 – Nonplanar Flyout Motion

To further assess the full capability of CAPDYN and the computation of proximity variables (e.g., D_{prox} and ϕ_{prox}), the system is prescribed to undergo a nonplanar scissors motion. Similar to the planar case, the system undergoes undamped scissors motion in the North-Down plane while translating and falling in the East-Down plane with velocities of 5.94 and 34 ft/s, respectively. Time histories of the NED velocities of the payload and parachutes with ϕ_{prox} are shown. The assumed values for $C_{N_{\alpha}}$, C_A , and q_{∞} are 0.225, 0.85, and 1.24 psf, respectively. The trim angle of attack is 13.33 degrees. The simulations conducted for this check case involve no wind disturbance and no aerodynamic force applied to the capsule. Due to the complexity of the motion, only CAPDYN and FAST results are available. A similar procedure (as the nonplanar pendulum motion) is used in CAPDYN in determining the special aerodynamics model required for this prescribed motion. The proximity aero angles, ϕ_{prox1} and ϕ_{prox2} , are

$$\phi_{prox1} = \operatorname{atan} 2\left(\left(\Delta r(2)_{\frac{p_1}{p_2}}\right)_{p_1}, \left(\Delta r(3)_{\frac{p_1}{p_2}}\right)_{p_1}\right)$$
$$\phi_{prox2} = \operatorname{atan} 2\left(\left(\Delta r(2)_{\frac{p_2}{p_1}}\right)_{p_2}, \left(\Delta r(3)_{\frac{p_2}{p_1}}\right)_{p_2}\right)$$

where $(\Delta r_{p2/p1})_{p2}$ and $(\Delta r_{p1/p2})_{p1}$ are the relative position vectors between the parachutes in the NED frame, computed as

$$(\Delta r_{p2/p1})_{NED} = r_{p2}_{NED} - r_{p1}_{NED}$$
$$(\Delta r_{p1/p2})_{NED} = r_{p1}_{NED} - r_{p2}_{NED}$$

converted to the wind axes of p2 and p1, respectively.

East and North velocity components for parachute 1 are shown in Figures J-1 and J-2. The proximity angles are shown in Figures J-3 and J-4. The flyout angles are shown in Figures J-5 and J-6. The simulations show excellent agreement. The small amplitude and phase discrepancies in some of the outputs may be due to slight parameter differences and/or numerical integration schemes.



Figure J-1. Nonplanar Scissors Motion: Parachute 1 Velocity East



Figure J-2. Nonplanar Scissors Motion: Parachute 1 Velocity North



Figure J-3. Nonplanar Scissors Motion: ϕ_{prox1}



Figure J-4. Nonplanar Scissors Motion: ϕ_{prox2}



Figure J-5. Nonplanar Scissors Motion: Parachute 1 Flyout Angle North-Down Plane



Figure J-6. Nonplanar Scissors Motion: Parachute 2 Flyout Angle North-Down Plane

Appendix K. Prescribed Aerodynamics Required for Nonplanar Pendulum Motion in CAPDYN

Due to the time-varying nature of the wind axis system in CAPDYN, described in Section 6.3.3, a prescribed aerodynamics model (in the CAPDYN wind axis system) is required for the system to maintain pendulum motion in the North-Down plane while translating in the East-Down plane. Equations K-1 through K-14 derive the required aerodynamics model to produce the nonplanar pendulum motion in CAPDYN. Note that the same procedures can be followed to produce the nonplanar flyout motion in CAPDYN as described in Appendix J.

The following derivations describe the aerodynamics required in CAPDYN's aero axis to maintain the pendulum motion in the $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_1$ and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_3$ planes while translating in $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_2$ and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_3$ with constant velocities. The parachutes are assumed to be on top of one another. Figure K-1 is a schematic of the nonplanar pendulum motion.



Figure K-1. Nonplanar Pendulum Motion

Table K-1 shows the direction cosine matrix between the inertial (NED) frame to the parachute body frame. Figure K-2 illustrates a top view. Table K-2 shows the direction cosine matrix between a frame that the aerodynamics coefficients are prescribed with the parachute body frame.

Table K-1.	Direction	Cosine	Matrix	(body	frame to	inertial fra	me)
------------	-----------	--------	--------	-------	----------	--------------	-----

	$\hat{\mathbf{b}}_1$	$\hat{\mathbf{b}}_2$	$\mathbf{\hat{b}}_{3}$
$\widehat{\mathbf{n}}_1$	$-\sin\theta$	0	$\cos \theta$
$\widehat{\mathbf{n}}_2$	0	-1	0
$\widehat{\mathbf{n}}_3$	$\cos \theta$	0	$\sin \theta$

Unit vector from P_B (parachute particle) to P_L (load particle), **î**:

$$\hat{\mathbf{i}} = -\sin\theta \, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 + \cos\theta \, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_3 \tag{K-1}$$

Desired velocity of the parachute particle in NED, where V_2 is a constant:

$${}^{N}\mathbf{v}^{P_{B}} = L_{C}\dot{\theta}\cos\theta\,\widehat{\mathbf{n}}_{1} + V_{2}\widehat{\mathbf{n}}_{2} + (V_{3} + L_{C}\dot{\theta}\sin\theta)\widehat{\mathbf{n}}_{3} \tag{K-2}$$

Construct the **ĵ** axis as per CAPDYN:

$$\hat{\mathbf{j}} = \frac{-\hat{\mathbf{i}} \times {}^{N} \mathbf{v}^{P_{B}}}{|-\hat{\mathbf{i}} \times {}^{N} \mathbf{v}^{P_{B}}|}$$
(K-3)

$$\hat{\mathbf{j}} = \frac{V_2 \cos\theta \,\widehat{\mathbf{n}}_1 - \left(L_C \dot{\theta} + V_3 \sin\theta\right) \widehat{\mathbf{n}}_2 + V_2 \sin\theta \,\widehat{\mathbf{n}}_3}{\sqrt{V_2^2 + \left(L_C \dot{\theta} + V_3 \sin\theta\right)^2}} \tag{K-4}$$

Construct the $\mathbf{\hat{k}}$ axis:

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \hat{\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{j}}$$
 (K-5)

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\left(L_C \dot{\theta} \cos \theta + V_3 \sin \theta \cos \theta\right) \hat{\mathbf{n}}_1 + V_2 \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2 + \left(L_C \dot{\theta} \sin \theta + V_3 \sin^2 \theta\right) \hat{\mathbf{n}}_3}{\sqrt{V_2^2 + \left(L_C \dot{\theta} + V_3 \sin \theta\right)^2}}$$
(K-6)

Aerodynamic coefficient as defined in the CAPDYN aero frame, c (note that $\hat{c}_1 = \hat{i}, \hat{c}_2 = \hat{j}, \hat{c}_3 = \hat{k}$):

$$C_F = -C_{A,c}\hat{\mathbf{i}} + C_{Y,c}\hat{\mathbf{j}} - C_{N,c}\hat{\mathbf{k}}$$
(K-7)

To maintain constant velocity in $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_2$:

$$C_F \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_2 = 0 \tag{K-8}$$

$$0 = \frac{C_{Y,c} (L_C \dot{\theta} + V_3 \sin \theta) + C_{N,c} V_2}{\sqrt{V_2^2 + (L_C \dot{\theta} + V_3 \sin \theta)^2}}$$
(K-9)

Solve for $C_{Y,c}$ in terms of $C_{N,c}$:

$$C_{Y,c} = -C_{N.c} \frac{V_2}{L_c \dot{\theta} + V_3 \sin \theta}$$
(K-10)

Desired aerodynamics in the b frame:

$$C_F = -C_{A,b}\hat{\mathbf{b}}_1 + 0\hat{\mathbf{b}}_2 - C_{N,b}\hat{\mathbf{b}}_3$$
 (K-11)

The b frame to the CAPDYN aero frame, c, is a rotation about $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_1$ by ϕ .



Figure K-2. Nonplanar Pendulum Motion (top view)

Table K-2. Direction Cosine Matrix (aero frame to body frame)

_	$\hat{\mathbf{c}}_1$	$\hat{\mathbf{c}}_2$	$\hat{\mathbf{c}}_3$
$\mathbf{\hat{b}}_{1}$	1	0	0
$\mathbf{\hat{b}}_{2}$	0	$\cos\phi$	$-\sin\phi$
$\hat{\mathbf{b}}_3$	0	$\sin \phi$	$\cos\phi$

 $C_{N,b}$ can be expressed in terms of $C_{Y,c}$ and $C_{N,c}$:

$$C_{N,b} = -C_{Y,c}\sin\phi + C_{N,c}\cos\phi \qquad (K-12)$$

Use Equations (K-10) and (K-12) to arrive at Equation (K-13) to express $C_{N,c}$ in terms of $C_{N,b}$:

$$C_{N,c} = \frac{C_{N,b} \left(V_3 \sin \theta + L_C \dot{\theta} \right)}{V_2 \sin \phi + \left(V_3 \sin \theta + L_C \dot{\theta} \right) \cos \phi}$$
(K-13)

For check case 9, assume a linear aero model with no damping:

$$C_{N,b} = C_{N_{\alpha}}\theta \tag{K-14}$$

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE						Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188			
The public reporting sources, gathering aspect of this collec Operations and Re provision of law, no PLEASE DO NOT F	g burden for this colle and maintaining the tion of information, ir ports (0704-0188), 1 person shall be subje RETURN YOUR FOR	ection of informatio data needed, and of neluding suggestion 215 Jefferson Dav ect to any penalty for RM TO THE ABOVE	n is estimated to average 1 completing and reviewing th s for reducing the burden, t is Highway, Suite 1204, A or failing to comply with a co E ADDRESS.	hour ne coll o Dep rlingto llectio	per respons lection of inf artment of D n, VA 22202 n of informat	e, including the ormation. Send o lefense, Washing 2-4302. Respond tion if it does not	time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data comments regarding this burden estimate or any other gton Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information lents should be aware that notwithstanding any other display a currently valid OMB control number.		
1. REPORT DA	TE (DD-MM-YYY)	() 2. REPOR	ГТҮРЕ				3. DATES COVERED (From - To)		
10/03/2019		Technical	Memorandum						
4. TITLE AND S	UBTITLE					5a. CO	NTRACT NUMBER		
Application of	System Identi	fication to Pa	achute Modeling						
Appendices						5b. GR	GRANT NUMBER		
						5c. PR	OGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
						5d PP			
Murri Daniel	G · Morelli Eu	anna A · Pai	ling: Roithmayer	`arlo	e M ·	JU. FK	OJECT NOMBER		
Matz Daniel	A Barton Ric	hard L · Menc	lenhall Michael R	Jano	5 101.,				
Matz, Damer	A., Darton, Rio					5e. TA	SK NUMBER		
						5f. WO	RK UNIT NUMBER		
						86902	1.05.07.12.01		
7. PERFORMIN	G ORGANIZATIO	N NAME(S) AND	ADDRESS(ES)				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION		
NASA Langle	y Research Ce	enter							
Hampton, VA	23681-2199						L-21062 NESC-RP-15-01037		
9 SPONSORIN	G/MONITORING		S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	· · · ·			10 SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
National Aero Washington.	onautics and Sp DC 20546-000	bace Administ	ration	,			NASA		
3 <i>i</i>						-	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
						NASA/TM-2019-220410/Volume II			
12. DISTRIBUT	ION/AVAILABILIT	Y STATEMENT							
Unclassified -		Trananartatia	n and Cafaty						
Subject Cate	JORY 16 Space	Transportatio	n and Safety						
		am (757) 802	-9030						
13. SUPPLEME	NIARY NOTES								
14 ABSTRACT									
The NASA Engineering and Safety Center (NESC) was requested to determine the feasibility of using modern system identification techniques for developing high-fidelity parachute models from parachute drop-test flight data, using full-scale Orion Capsule Parachute Assembly System (CPAS) drop-test pendulum-mode flight data as a test case. This document contains the Appendices to the main report.									
15. SUBJECT T NASA Engine	ERMS eering and Safe	ety Center; Pa	arachute Modeling;	Cap	sule Dyn	amics; Cap	sule Parachute Assembly System		
16. SECURITY		I OF:	17. LIMITATION OF	18	NUMBER	19a. NAME	OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	ABSTRACT		OF	STI Help D	STI Help Deck (email: help@sti pasa gov)		
					PAGES	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)			
,,				FO		(AAR) 757 /			
U	U	U	00	53		(++3) / 3/-			