National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Overview of NASA QuAIL Team Research

Shon Grabbe

Quantum Artificial Intelligence Lab (QuAIL) NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA

NASA QuAIL Lead: Eleanor Rieffel

NASA QuAIL team: Stuart Hadfield, Salvatore Mandrà, Jeffrey Marshall, Gianni Mossi, Norm Tubman, Davide Venturelli, Walter Vinci, Zhihui Wang, Max Wilson, Filip Wudarski,

NASA Fellowship: Bryan O'Gorman (UC Berkeley)







NASA Ames Research Center

Sept 23, 2019



NASA, Google and USRA Collaboration focused on Artificial Intelligence and Quantum Computing (2012-present)





Users of the D-Wave Machine at NASA

Innovations Solutions

Discoverv

Quantum RFP https://tinyurl.com/USRA-RFP2019

Competitive Selections

Cycle 1 (512 qubit processor): 8 of 14 selected – 57% Cycle 2 (1152 qubit processor): 10 of 15 selected – 67% Cycle 3 (2048 qubit processor): 15 of 19 selected – 79%

Diversity of Selected Organizations

Approx 60% Universities + 40% Industrial Research Organizations Approx 60% U.S. Organizations + 40% International Organizations Computer Science, Physics, Mathematics, Electrical Engineering, Operations Research, Chemistry, Aerospace Engineering, Finance

Diversity of Research

Quantum Physics -> Algorithms -> Applications Machine Learning for Image Analysis, Communications, Materials Science, Biology, Finance

RFP CYCLE 1 & 2 SELECTIONS



NASA's Interest in Quantum Computing

NASA constantly confronting massively challenging computational problems

National Aeronautics an Space Administration

 Computational capacity limits mission scope and aims

NASA QuAIL team mandate: Determine the potential for quantum computation to enable *more ambitious NASA missions* in the future

All Control of the second of t

Complex Planning and Scheduling

Sensors O 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 Observations **Robust network design Contractions**



National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Approach to Leveraging Quantum Computing to Address NASA's Computational Challenges



QC programming Novel classical solvers

Physics Insights

Simulation tools Analytical methods

Application focus areas

Planning and schedulingRobust networksFault DiagnosisMachine LearningMaterial science simulationsWireless Decoding

Programming quantum computers

Quantum algorithm design

Mapping, parameter setting, error mitigation

Hybrid quantum-classical approaches

 $QC \rightarrow$ state-of-the-art classical solvers

Physics insights into quantum algorithm and quantum hardware design



National Aeronautics and Space Administration



Physics Insights

- Annealing Pause
- Reverse Annealing

Quantum-enhanced Applications

- Quantum-assisted associative adversarial network
- Robust Network Communication



Pause results for one typical problem

Performance for pause schedules

- heat map of probability of solution P_0 as function of pause location $s_{\rm p}$ and pause length $t_{\rm p}$

- heat map of average energy (above ground state) of solution as function of pause location $s_{\rm p}$ and pause length $t_{\rm p}$

Results for single 800-qubit problem

- total anneal time ta = 1 μs
- Each point: 10,000 anneals, using 5 gauges
- $P_0 = 10^{-4}$ for anneal without pause

Orders of magnitude improvement for pausing in narrow region of location parameter s_p

J. Marshall, D. Venturelli, I. Hen, E. Rieffel, The power of pausing: advancing understanding of thermalization in experimental quantum annealers, Physical Review Applied 11 (4), 044083, 2019, arXiv:1810.05881





Theory and relevant time scales

Early times: Ground state is wellseparated by rest of spectrum, so P₀ ~ 1

Gap narrows: t_r < t_H

Relaxation rate increases, potential for thermal excitation leading to instantaneous thermalization

Gap widens: $t_H < t_r \lesssim t_p$

Instantaneous thermalization no longer occurs, but a pause may enable significant thermalization

Late times: t_p << t_r Energy levels well-separated so even with a pause of length t_p, thermalization cannot occur



Cartoon of distinct regions with different behavior focusing on most dynamic part of the anneal

- t_r = relaxation rate
- $t_H = Hamiltonian evolution time scale.$

For t_r < t_H, the system instantaneously thermalizes (we plot t_H as a line only for the purpose of easy visualization of the regions)

Further insights from reverse annealing

Another feature of the D-Wave 2000Q is reverse annealing

National Aeronautics an Space Administration

- Can start in a classical eigenstate of H_p and evolve backwards from s = 1 to a time s_p (where we also pause) and then evolve forward
- We see the same optimal pause point after the minimum gap as in the forward anneal case
- These reverse annealing results further confirm the key regions and the theory supporting the location of the gap in the $t_a < t_r < t_p$ region



Performance on a single 12-qubit for reverse annealing to point s_p , where a 100 μ s pause. Dependence of average energy (above ground state) at end of the reverse anneal as a function of the pause location s_p .



National Aeronautics and Space Administration



Physics Insights

Innovations

Solution

- Annealing Pause
- Reverse Annealing

Quantum-enhanced Applications

- Quantum-assisted associative adversarial network
- Robust Network Communication



Quantum-assisted associative adversarial network (QAAAN)

Framework to test potential advantages of quantum-assisted learning in Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)



M Wilson, T Vandal, T Hogg, E Rieffel, Quantumassisted associative adversarial network: Applying guantum annealing in deep learning. arXiv:1904.10573 Novel algorithm for learning a latent variable

generative model via generative adversarial learning

- incorporates Boltzmann sampling from a quantum annealer
- replaced canonical uniform noise input with samples from a graphical model
- graphical model learned by a Boltzmann machine encapsulates low-dimensional feature representation

Compared performance across three topologies (fully connected, symmetric bipartite, Chimera graph)

- QAAAN successfully learns generative model of MNIST dataset for all topologies

- Quantum and classical versions of the algorithm have equivalent performance

Robust Communication Network Design

lational Aeronautics Space Administratio

Problem class: Minimum Weighted Spanning Tree with degree constraints





Chimera vs Pegasus Embedding

- Embedding for the fully ٠ connected network communication graph with default embedding parameters for N=4 through 10
- Chimera embedding performed • with the SAPI2 find embedding(...) routine with the D-Wave 2000Q hardware adjacency
- Pegasus embedding performed ٠ with the Ocean minorminer find embedding(...) routine
- Pegasus reduces the • embedding size by roughly a factor of 2 for this limited comparison



Hybrid quantum-classic approaches needed to support realworld network communication applications

Median **Physical** Qubits as a function of the number of logical qubits with error bars at 35th and 65th percentiles

Sample Chimera embedding for N=4



Effectiveness of pause on embedded problems

- Factor of five improvement in the mean probability of success observed for 50, N=4 problem instances (20-35 variables; 50 – 125 qubits when embedded)
- Consistent pause location across instances
- Similar results for N=5 problems (not shown)



Median probability of success as a function of the annealing pause for 50 N=4 instances, 1 ms anneal, 50K reads. Pause location ranging from 0.2 to 0.5 and J_ferro from -1.2 to 2.0 (Error bars are at the 35th and 65th percentiles)

Open question: why is the effect less pronounced for embedded problems?



Implications:

- Pausing during annealing can increase performance by orders of magnitude
- Improvement only occurs when the pause is within a particular region of the anneal schedule
- Analysis in terms of time-scales suggests the pause should occur in the region where $t_a < t_r < t_p$, well after the min gap

Future work:

- Deeper analysis on more classes of problems, incl. embedded problems
- A faster quench, if available, would enable examination of intermediate dists
- Develop further theory to understand subtler effects, including:
 - trends in optimal pause location with problem size, class, and pause time
 - predicting the effective temperature
- Further improvements with yet more schedule control?
- Any quantum advantage?

National Aeronautics a Space Administration

Take away points

Next year will be even more exciting!

- Emerging quantum hardware performing computations beyond the reach of even the largest supercomputers

Many open questions remain:

- When will scalable quantum computers be built, and how?
 - How quickly can special purpose quantum computing devices be built?
- How broad will the impact of quantum computation be? What will the ultimate impact of quantum heuristics be?
- How best to harness quantum effects for computational purposes?

Quantumenhanced applications QC programming Novel classical solvers **Physics Insights** Simulation Analytical tools methods NASA Ames QuAll team

NASA QuAIL Team

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NASA Ames Research Center



Eleanor G. Rieffel, Stuart Hadfield, Tad Hogg, Salvatore Mandrà, Jeffrey Marshall, Gianni Mossi, Bryan O'Gorman, Eugeniu Plamadeala, Norm M. Tubman, Davide Venturelli, Walter Vinci, Zhihui Wang, Max Wilson, Filip Wudarski, Rupak Biswas, *From Ansätze to Z-gates: a NASA View of Quantum Computing*, arXiv:1905.02860

Rupak Biswas, Zhang Jiang, Kostya Kechezhi, Sergey Knysh, Salvatore Mandrà, Bryan O'Gorman, Alejandro Perdomo-Ortiz, Andre Petukhov, John Realpe-Gómez, Eleanor Rieffel, Davide Venturelli, Fedir Vasko, Zhihui Wang, *A NASA Perspective on Quantum Computing: Opportunities and Challenges*, arXiv:1704.04836