# Landsat 9
# Micrometeoroid Orbital Debris (MMOD) Mission Success Approach


Michael Pryzby
Landsat 9 Systems Engineer
NASA GSFC

Scott Hull, Angela Russo, Glenn Iona, Evan Webb



IOC Conference
December 2019


# Agenda

- Problem Definition – Why assess against Mission Success?
- Solution Process
- Environment Assessment
- Characterize Threat
- Generate Design Curves
- Exceptions
- Lessons Learned
- Summary

# The Problem

- **NASA-STD 8719.14B addresses only reentry critical hardware and generation of new orbital debris**

- **Mission success requires 2-3 times as much hardware on robotic missions to meet Level 1 science requirements**
  - Additional hardware required for assessment includes radiators, instruments, data storage, communication, Attitude Control System (ACS) hardware

- **Why not assess these items and protect billion dollar missions against the risk from MMOD?**

# The Solution Process



# Environment Assessment

- **Use the latest version of ORDEM for Orbital Debris and MEMR for micrometeorites**
- **Calculate spacecraft cross-sectional areas, known orientations, and planned mission durations in each orientation** (Nominal, Safehold, Reboost, etc.)
  - Vast majority of time spent in Nominal orientation
- **Assuming the probability of a single impact for the mission, find the projected particle size for the given flux curve**
  - Based on acceptable risk of a penetration
  - When looking at protection designs, the longest duration orientation will most likely drive design

# Particle Selection from Flux Curve




Figure 1. Omnidirectional Orbital Debris Flux Curve for the Landsat 9 Orbit

# Characterize Threat Directionality, Speed, & Density

- **Landsat 9 OD environment (705 km, 98.2 deg) was examined in order to determine the relative threat from each direction.**
- **ORDEM 3 predicted flux values were examined for 1 mm and 3.16 mm fiducial points, medium density and high density particles**
  - Principle spacecraft directions were assessed (Nadir was essentially nil)
  - Ram dominates the directionality of the particles
- **Medium density (2.8 g/cm3) particles dominate the flux predictions**
  - High density (7.9 g/cm3) particles constituting only about 10% of the total flux
  - Other particles types predicted by ORDEM 3 had negligible flux
- **The ORDEM 3 also provides average velocity.**

**Table 1 - Directional Orbital Debris Flux and Velocity for the Landsat 9 Orbit**

| | 1 mm Fiducial | | | 3.16 mm Fiducial | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **↓Direction** | Total Flux $[\#/(m^2 * yr)]$ | % Flux | Avg. Vel. $(km/s)$ | Total Flux $[\#/(m^2 * yr)]$ | % Flux | Avg. Vel. $(km/s)$ |
| **Port** | 1.34E-02 | 2.3% | 7.89 | 3.23E-05 | 3.8% | 7.99 |
| **Ram** | **5.53E-01** | **95.4%** | **14.69** | **7.77E-04** | **92.3%** | **14.70** |
| **Starboard** | 1.34E-02 | 2.3% | 7.89 | 3.23E-05 | 3.8% | 7.99 |
| **Wake** | 2.24E-07 | 0.0% | 0.80 | 6.49E-09 | 0.0% | 0.58 |
| **Zenith** | 3.02E-07 | 0.0% | 0.50 | 1.82E-09 | 0.0% | 0.50 |
| **Total** | 5.80E-01 | | | 8.42E-04 | | |

# Generate Design Curves

- **Manned missions designs have relatively thick shield, typically used to protect thick pressure walls**
- **Robotic spacecraft designs employ thinner shields to protect thin walled electronic boxes**
- **The bumper thickness, wall thickness, and separation between MLI and structure were analyzed iteratively until a protection threshold was achieved for the given particle size.**
  - Reimerdes Ballistic Limit Equation (BLE) was used for initial designs
  - Other parameters were held constant throughout the assessment: Al particles (2.8 g/cm$^3$) at 14.7 km/s and 0$^o$ impact angle, and Aluminum 7075-T6 wall material
- **The design curves indicate the minimum set of conditions to prevent penetration by a 2 mm medium density particle**
- **There is a minimum effective component wall thickness, below which enhancing the blanket density is no longer an effective strategy (the blanket/bumper becomes the dominant shield)**

# Design Curves for Protection against Penetration of 2 mm, 14.7 km/s, Medium Density Particles




Figure 2. Design Curves for Protection against Penetration of 2 mm 14.7 km/s particles

# Exceptions to Mission Success MMOD Req'ts

- **Exempted items:**
  - Antennas
  - Optical Apertures
  - Thermal (radiator) Apertures
  - Solar Array
  - Thruster Apertures
  - Mechanisms
  - Redundant Harnesses (physically separated)
- **When the protection is for unique hardware (see list above), then it is more difficult to provide generic design guidelines.**
  - These items require point solution and involve heavy analysis and/or testing (especially for unique materials).
  - Often, additional analysis was performed to quantify the risk.
- **While there was no overall probability of success target, the analysis provided a quantitative assessment when assessing risk from penetration versus protection implementation complexity**

# Lessons Learned

- **Engage the Subject Matter Experts at the HyperVelocity Technology Team (HVIT) early for help with modeling and analysis**
- **Model all Spacecraft components (both Reentry Critical and Mission Success) in Bumper to improve protection results and to provide a quantitative risk assessment**
- **Assess MMOD risk early enough that there is still time to incorporate changes based on analysis results**
  - Overall layout of components on the SC
  - Physical construction of components (box-wall thickness, radiator surface, etc.)
- **Plan for a test program**
- **There are multiple ways to apply redundancy to lower risks**
- **Assess for all orientations in mission timelines.  Some short durations operations can still be a design driver.**
- **Verify material data sheets from vendors**

# Summary

- **The overall Landsat 9 assessment of including Mission Success MMOD requirements for the mission was positive.**

- **Given that the instruments are located in the ram direction and facing the brunt of the MMOD flux, added shielding should yield a better return on investment with a greater probability of meeting Level 1 science goals.**

- **There is always room for improvement and the future areas of focus will be on the items that were exempted previously listed.**

- **Given the increased knowledge of the mission systems team, the next Landsat mission will have a better understanding of MMOD design mitigations that can be incorporated into the observatory layout earlier in the design phase.**

- **Shielding in the primary MMOD flux direction will have a higher priority when considering placing critical science instruments in harm's way.**