

Controlled Rest: Profile of Use, Challenges, and Best Practices

Cassie J. Hilditch, PhD

Erin E. Flynn-Evans, PhD MPH

Fatigue Countermeasures Lab

SJSU Research Foundation, NASA Ames Research Center

Pilot Fatigue & Countermeasures

- Airline pilots often suffer from fatigue
- Fatigue Risk Management System (FRMS) and countermeasures are used to manage fatigue
- Controlled Rest (CR) is a “mitigation strategy to be used as needed in response to unanticipated fatigue experienced during flight operations” (ICAO, 2015)
 - Nap taken in-seat on the flight deck (c.f. bunk rest)
 - Defined policy and procedures to follow
 - Pilots must still be fit for duty
 - Approved by USAF, USCG and in most countries; not approved by FAA

Pilot Fatigue & Countermeasures

- Airline pilots often suffer from fatigue
- Fatigue Risk Management System (FRMS) and countermeasures are used to manage fatigue
- Controlled Rest (CR) is a “mitigation strategy to be used as needed in response to unanticipated fatigue experienced during flight operations” (ICAO, 2015)
 - Nap taken in-seat on the flight deck (c.f. bunk rest)
 - Defined policy and procedures to follow
 - Pilots must still be fit for duty
 - Approved by USAF, USCG and in most countries; not approved by FAA

“Uncontrolled” Rest

Unintentional

- Up to 20% of night shift workers unintentionally fall asleep on shift (Coleman & Dement, 1986; Torsvall & Åkerstedt, 1987; Torsvall et al., 1989; Kecklund & Åkerstedt, 1993; Åkerstedt et al., 2002)
- 58% (N=713) Brazilian pilots reported unintentionally falling asleep while flying (Marqueze et al., 2017)
- 78% (N=7) pilots were observed having microsleeps during critical phases of flight; 44% (N=4) fell asleep during cruise (Rosekind et al., 1994)

Intentional

- Planned naps reported by US flight crew
 - 11% (N=3) long-haul pilots observed (Gander et al., 1991)
 - 56% (N=797) regional pilots surveyed (Co et al., 1999)
 - 39% (N=580) corporate/exec pilots surveyed (Rosekind et al., 2000)
 - “[CR] definitely needs to be legal. It’s being done anyway.” (Rice et al., 2018)

“Uncontrolled” Rest

Unintentional

- Up to 20% of night shift workers unintentionally fall asleep on shift (Coleman & Dement, 1986; Torsvall & Åkerstedt, 1987; Torsvall et al., 1989; Kecklund & Åkerstedt, 1993; Åkerstedt et al., 2002)
- 58% (N=713) Brazilian pilots reported unintentionally falling asleep while flying (Marqueze et al., 2017)
- 78% (N=7) pilots were observed having microsleeps during critical phases of flight; 44% (N=4) fell asleep during cruise (Rosekind et al., 1994)

Intentional

- Planned naps reported by US flight crew
 - 11% (N=3) long-haul pilots observed (Gander et al., 1991)
 - 56% (N=797) regional pilots surveyed (Co et al., 1999)
 - 39% (N=580) corporate/exec pilots surveyed (Rosekind et al., 2000)
 - “[CR] definitely needs to be legal. It’s being done anyway.” (Rice et al., 2018)

Aug 3, 2009

CBS NEWS

NTSB: Both Pilots Asleep on Hawaii Flight

“The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this incident as follows:

- The captain and first officer inadvertently falling asleep during the cruise phase of flight.
- Contributing to the incident were the captain's undiagnosed obstructive sleep apnea and the flight crew's recent work schedules, which included several consecutive days of early-morning start times.”

(NSTB Report SEA08IA080, 2009)

“Uncontrolled” Rest

Unintentional

- Up to 20% of night shift workers unintentionally fall asleep on shift (Coleman & Dement, 1986; Torsvall & Åkerstedt, 1987; Torsvall et al., 1989; Kecklund & Åkerstedt, 1993; Åkerstedt et al., 2002)
- 58% (N=713) Brazilian pilots reported unintentionally falling asleep while flying (Marqueze et al., 2017)
- 78% (N=7) pilots were observed having microsleeps during critical phases of flight; 44% (N=4) fell asleep during cruise (Rosekind et al., 1994)

Intentional

- Planned naps reported by US flight crew
 - 11% (N=3) long-haul pilots observed (Gander et al., 1991)
 - 56% (N=797) regional pilots surveyed (Co et al., 1999)
 - 39% (N=580) corporate/exec pilots surveyed (Rosekind et al., 2000)
 - “[CR] definitely needs to be legal. It’s being done anyway.” (Rice et al., 2018)

Benefits of CR: Survey Data

- Managers and flight crew using CR (non-US)

(N=35; Holmes & Okuboyejo, in press)

- 90% - “CR has provided significant benefits for flight safety”
- 87% - “CR has reduced fatigue-related performance decrements during safety-critical phases of flight”
- 83% - “CR has reduced the incidence of uncontrolled napping”

- US pilots

(N=30; Rice et al., 2018)

- 70% approved or strongly approved of using CR in the US

Benefits of CR: In-flight data



- N=21 pilots
- 40min nap opportunity
- 20min recovery period
- Polysomnography (PSG)
- Psychomotor Vigilance Test (PVT)
- Karolinska Sleepiness Scale (KSS)

Rosekind et al., 1994

www.nasa.gov

Benefits of CR: In-flight data



- Sleep achieved in 93% of attempted naps
- Sleep Onset Latency (SOL) ~5min
- Total Sleep Time (TST) ~26min
- Increased speed; reduced lapses
- Reduced risk of unintentional sleep in cruise
- Eliminated microsleeps in critical phases of flight

Rosekind et al., 1994;

Valk & Simons, 1997; Spencer & Robertson, 2000

www.nasa.gov

Profile of Use (Non-US Carriers)

Survey Data

- 53% (N=134) pilots surveyed used CR in past 12 months (Petrie et al., 2004)
- Carriers with a fatigue reporting system and CR policy (N=2)
 - 30% of fatigue reports cite CR (Holmes & Okuboyejo, in press)

In-flight Data


- EASA Effectiveness of Flight Time Limitations Study (EASA, 2019)
- 24 airlines; 261 pilots; 2-week data collection
- 27% of night flights >10h contained CR

Profile of CR Use in Long-Haul Operations




Personal photo

- N=44 pilots
- ~2-week data collection
- 239 long-haul flights
- App-based sleep diary
- Actiwatch
- Schedule info from operator





Personal photo

Actigraphy




- Rest Periods based on sleep diary entry
- Sleep estimated using Actiware (Medium Wake Threshold)



Flight Summary



In-Flight Rest Summary



- 1 Controlled Rest
- 2 Controlled Rests
- Controlled & Bunk Rest
- Bunk Rest Only
- No Rest



Challenges


- Sleep inertia
 - Education, policy for recovery after nap
- Risk of other pilot falling asleep
 - Communication, planning, flight attendant check
- Public perception
 - Less willing to fly relative to No CR (N=530; Winter et al., 2015)
 - 86% (N=869) agreed that pilots should be able to nap (NSF Sleep in America Poll, 2002)
 - Education, public awareness campaigns to manage perceptions

Challenges

- Sleep inertia
 - Education, policy for recovery after nap
- Risk of other pilot falling asleep
 - Communication, planning, flight attendant check
- Public perception
 - Less willing to fly relative to No CR (N=530; Winter et al., 2015)
 - 86% (N=869) agreed that pilots should be able to nap (NSF Sleep in America Poll, 2002)
 - Education, public awareness campaigns to manage perceptions

Challenges

- Sleep inertia
 - Education, policy for recovery after nap
- Risk of other pilot falling asleep
 - Communication, planning, flight attendant check
- Public perception
 - Less willing to fly relative to No CR (N=530; Winter et al., 2015)
 - 86% (N=869) agreed that pilots should be able to nap (NSF Sleep in America Poll, 2002)
 - Education, public awareness campaigns to manage perceptions



(Adapted from Winter et al., 2015)

Best Practice

Fatigue Countermeasures Working Group

- Sleep inertia and napping science
 - Nap benefits vary
 - Recovery period 20 min
- When to use
 - Low workload phase (cruise)
 - No abnormal situations
 - End at least 30min before top-of-descent (TOD)
- Minimum Safeguards
 - Handover briefing
 - Cabin crew check

Controlled Rest on the Flight Deck: A resource for operators

FATIGUE COUNTERMEASURES WORKING GROUP



<https://flightsafety.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Controlled-Rest.pdf>

Best Practice

Fatigue Countermeasures Working Group

- Education
- Integrate into Fatigue Risk Management
 - Report CR use
 - Identify trends
 - Develop management solutions
- CR is not a replacement for:
 - Requirement to be fit-for-duty
 - Best scheduling practices

Controlled Rest on the Flight Deck: A resource for operators

FATIGUE COUNTERMEASURES WORKING GROUP



<https://flightsafety.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Controlled-Rest.pdf>

Summary

- In-lab and in-flight suggest CR can improve alertness and performance
- Naturalistic in-flight study of CR use show that is being used by pilots
- We need more data on CR in practice – how it's used; effectiveness
- Interested in learning more about CR; not advocating for it


Future Research

- Global Fatigue Countermeasures Survey of Commercial Airline Pilots (NASA and Fatigue Countermeasures Working Group)
- Investigate field-deployable countermeasures to sleep inertia (NASA, Central Queensland University, University of South Australia)
- Encourage airlines to collect data on CR to increase knowledge of use, attitudes, and effectiveness

Thank you

Email: cassie.j.hilditch@nasa.gov

- Fatigue Countermeasures Lab (NAPS)
- Fatigue Countermeasures Working Group
- Erin Flynn-Evans
- Lucia Arsintescu
- Kevin Gregory



Personal photo

Support

- NASA Airspace Operations and Safety Program, System-Wide Safety Project