# A CME-Producing Solar Eruption from the Interior of a Twisted, Emerging Bipole Mitzi Adams<sup>1</sup>, Ronald Moore<sup>2</sup>, Navdeep K. Panesar<sup>3</sup>, David A. Falconer<sup>2</sup>, Sanjiv K. Tiwari<sup>3</sup> 1. NASA/MSFC, Huntsville, AL; 2. Center for Space Plasma and Aeronomic Research, UAH, Huntsville, USA; 3. Lockheed Martin Solar and Astrophysics Laboratory, Palo Alto, CA, USA

In a negative-polarity coronal hole, magnetic field produced sunspots with penumbrae by 3:00 UT on March 4, which are a part of NOAA 12514. The emerging magnetic field is largely bipolar with the opposite-polarity flux at the polarity fluxes spreading apart overall, but there is simultaneously some convergence and cancellation of opposite-polarity flux at the polarity flux at the p magnetic field and corresponding clockwise rotation of the two poles of the bipole and blows it open to produce a CME observed by SOHO/LASCO. That eruption is preceded by flux cancellation at the emerging bipole's interior PIL, cancellation that plausibly builds a sheared and twisted flux rope via photospheric-convection-driven, slow tether-cutting reconnection of the legs of the sheared core field, low above the interior PIL, as proposed by van Ballegooijen and Martens (1989, ApJ, 343, 971) and Moore and Roumeliotis (1992, in Eruptive Solar Flares, ed. Z. Svestka, B.V. Jackson, and M.E. Machado [Berlin:Springer], 69). The production of this eruptions that result from external collisional shearing between opposite polarities from two distinct emerging and/or emerged bipoles (Chintzoglou et al., 2019, ApJ, 871:67).



X-position [arcsec







AIA 1600 Å images, show an outside eruption at 02:41 UT, and inside eruptions at 07:50 UT and 08:56 UT. The 02:41 eruption created a brightening in AIA 193 Å, but no ejected material. In (b), the brightening in 1600 Å is mirrored in 193 Å, and is located at the source region for the fourth eruption; it produced a B3 flare in GOES. Note in (d) flare emission in the umbra of the leading spot, an indication that the umbral field opened.









(a)



## **Summary/Results:**

- rotates clockwise.

[Berlin:Springer], 69. 3. van Ballegooijen and Martens, 1989, ApJ, 343, 971.

Magnetic-Field-Centroid separation and rotation. Note the clockwise rotation and lengthening distance between centroid locations with time. Negative polarity is represented by cyan, positive by magenta, contours are at  $\pm 50$  Gauss.

### Vector Magnetograms

- (a) The boxed area shows the location on the HMI magneticintensity map of the zoomed-in vector magnetograms (b), (c), (d), and (e). The red arrows are the observed field, the green are potential. Arrow length is scaled so that the longest represents 250 Gauss. Contours are 50 Gauss.
- (b) Note the magnetic shear between positive (white) and negative (black) flux patches.
- (c) At 6:10 the positive patch appears slightly larger with more positive polarity in the box, but the negative appears smaller. There is still a lot of shear.
- (d) Approximately twenty minutes after the eruption, negative polarity has broken up and positive decreased in
- (e) Shear has decreased and the positive flux patch has mostly cancelled.



1. The flux-emergence rate over ten minutes was 7.86 x  $10^{15}$  Mx/s. In contrast, From Vemareddy et al. (2015) the rate of positive flux emergence over four days from NOAA 11158, a region that produced a X.2 flare, was 4.4 x 10<sup>16</sup> Mx/s, suggesting that for larger regions, the flux rate will be higher.

2. The unsigned magnetic flux in the small-boxed region interior to the emerging flux region, increases to a maximum and begins to decrease before the beginning of the major eruption. There is a decrease in flux following the eruption, consistent with the flux cancellation seen in the vector magnetograms.

3. The time-distance plots and the centroid plots illustrate that the active region emerges, separates, and

4. The eruption that made a CME began from a site of flux cancellation in the interior of the emergingflux region, perhaps the first time this has been observed.

References: 1. Moore and Roumeliotis in Eruptive Solar Flares, 1992, ed. Z. Svestka, B.V. Jackson, and M.E. Machado

2. Panesar, Navdeep K., Sterling, Alphonse C., Moore, Ronald L., ApJ, 853, 2, 2018.

4. Vemareddy, P., Venkatakrishnan, S., Karthikreddy, S., *Flux Emergence in the Solar Active Region NOAA 11158: The* Evolution of Net Current, arXiv:1502.05458 [astro-ph.SR], 2015.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Panesar and Dr. Tiwari were supported by the Bay Area Environmental Research Instittute at Lockheed Martin Solar and Astrophysics Laboratory

SDO Data Courtesy of NASA/SDO and the AIA, EVE, and HMI science teams.

Hinode is a Japanese mission developed and launched by ISAS/JAXA, with NAOJ as domestic partner and NASA and STFC (UK) as international partners. It is operated by these agencies in co-operation with ESA and NSC (Norway).

SWAP is a project of the Centre Spatial de Liege and the Royal Observatory of Belgium funded by the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office (BELSPO).

<sup>19:00:27</sup> and 19:10:12, which is 7.86 x 10<sup>15</sup> Mx/s.