

Producing Next Generation Superalloys Through Advanced Characterization and Manufacturing Techniques

Dr. Tim Smith

NASA Glenn Research Center



Outline

- Part 1: Enhancing the strength of Ni-base Disk Superalloys
 - Turbine Engines
 - Creep Deformation
 - Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy
 - Phase Transformation Strengthening
- Part 2: Efficient Production of a Dispersion Strengthened Multi-Principal Element Alloy (MPEA)
 - Additive Manufacturing
 - Solid Solution Strengthening
 - Dispersion Strengthening



Part 1: Enhancing the Creep Strength of Ni-base Superalloys

T.M. Smith¹, B. Good¹, T. Gabb¹, B.D. Esser², A. Egan², C.M.F. Rae³, L. Evans¹, A. Leary¹, D.W McComb², M.J. Mills²

¹NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland Oh 44135 USA ²Center for Electron Microscopy and Analysis, The Ohio State University, Columbus Oh 43212 USA ³ Department of Materials Science and Metallurgy, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3QZ, UK



Support provided by NASA's Aeronautics Research Mission Directorate (ARMD) – Convergent Aeronautics Solutions Project and NASA's Advanced Air Transport Technology (AATT) Project Office (ARMD) and NSF DMREF Program



Center for Electron Microscopy and Analysis

Ni-Based Superalloys for Turbine Disks



www.nasa.gov



Motivation for Mechanistic Studies

- Material advancements are required to accommodate the higher compressor exit temperatures in jet turbine engines (>700°C near the rotor rim) for improved efficiency and pollution reduction.

- New deformation mechanisms will become dominant at these higher operating temperatures along with a need for improved creep properties in these disk alloys.

- New understanding and materials will be needed for future advancements



Deformation Mechanisms in Superalloys





Deformation Mechanisms in Superalloys







Deformation Mechanisms: Microtwinning





- Microtwins thicken from SESFs via additional Shockley partial pairs shearing along (111) fault planes
- Segregation of "γ former" elements strongly reduces energy penalty for twinning



Deformation Mechanisms: Microtwinning





- Dissimilar matrix dislocations react at γ/γ' interface – shearing by Shockley partial pairs
- Stacking fault shearing controlled by segregation and Cottrell atmospheres
- Rate of microtwinning also limited by segregation and Cottrell atmospheres
- Can these deformation modes be mitigated/eliminated?

Smith, et al. Acta Materialia, 2017



Deformation Mechanisms: Microtwinning



- Dissimilar matrix dislocations react at γ/γ' interface – shearing by Shockley partial pairs
- Stacking fault shearing controlled by segregation and Cottrell atmospheres
- Rate of microtwinning also limited by segregation and Cottrell atmospheres
- Can these deformation modes be mitigated/eliminated?

Smith, et al. Acta Materialia, 2017



Phase Transformation Strengthening



Smith, et al. Nature Communications, 2016



Phase Transformations along SISFs





*Smith *et al.* 2018

Material Preparation



Average Alloy Composition in Weight Percent												
Alloy	Cr	Со	AI	Ti	Nb	Мо	Та	w	Zr	В	С	Ni
LSHR	12.5	20.4	3.5	3.5	1.5	2.7	1.5	4.3	0.05	0.03	0.045	Bal
ME3	13	21	3.4	3.8	0.8	3.7	2.4	2.1	0.05	0.02	0.05	Bal



ME3 Average Grain Diameter = 59.2 μm LSHR Average Grain Diameter = 59.9 μm

The two alloys are microstructurally comparable!



Creep Testing of ME3 and LSHR



Creep tests were performed at 760°C under a stress of 552MPa

LSHR has consistently performed better in creep compared to ME3 in this temperature regime. Why?

Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy Characterization



No notable differences in active deformation modes could be discerned between the two alloys.



Segregation along SISFs in ME3 and LSHR



Ordered contrast exists along SISFs in LSHR but not ME3



Segregation along SISFs in ME3 and LSHR



Ordered contrast exists along SISFs in LSHR but not ME3



Segregation along SISFs – ME3



SISF = Superlattice Intrinsic stacking Fault



Segregation along SISFs - LSHR





Stacking Fault Ribbon Formation



$$\frac{a}{3} < 112 > (SISF) + \frac{a}{6} < 112 > (APB) + \frac{a}{6} < 112 > (SESF) + \frac{a}{3} < 112 > = a < 112 > a$$

Stacking Fault ribbons are a major source of primary creep strain in this temperature regime for single crystal superalloys

C.M.F. Rae and R.C. Reed. Acta Materialia. 2007

What effects will γ or χ phase formation along SISFs have on this shearing process?



Density Functional Theory Measurements



Ni₃Al γ ' cells with an APB were created to explore the effect SISF segregation has on the formation of the trailing APB.

Relaxed energies were compared when a W, Mo, or Cr atom were away from the APB or on the APB.



Phase Transformation Softening – γ Phase



y phase formation along SISF promotes stacking fault ribbon shear



Phase Transformation Strengthening – χ phase



χ phase formation along SISF inhibits stacking fault ribbon shear

Phase Transformation Strengthened Superalloys

Can the η and χ phase transformation strengthening mechanisms be combined into a single alloy without precipitating bulk topologically close packed (TCP) phases?

NASA Alloy 1

Conclusion: NASA Alloy 1 presents significantly better creep properties over current state of the art alloys through phase transformation strengthening

Part 2: Efficient Production of a High Performance Dispersion Strengthened, Multi-principal element alloy

TM Smith¹, AC Thompson², TP Gabb¹, RB Rogers¹, MJ Kulis¹, KM Tacina¹

¹NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland Oh 44135 USA ²Vantage Partners, 3000 Aerospace Pkwy, Brook Park, OH 44142, USA

Support provided by NASA's Aeronautics Research Mission Directorate (ARMD) Transformational Tools and Technologies (TTT) Project Office

Background – NASA Application

Problem: Conventional materials and processing techniques limit the design of combustor domes used in jet turbine engines.

Proposed Solution: Develop a high ductility, high temperature material for an additively-manufactured (AM) combustor fuel nozzle and dome for supersonic aircraft (>1093°C (2000°F) operating temperature).

- Lead to several improvements to the turbine combustor design ultimately reducing NOx pollution and lowering weight.
- May enable lean-front-end smallcore combustors.

www.nasa.gov

Metallic Additive Manufacturing

	Selective Laser Melting (SLM)	Electron Beam Melting (EBM)	Direct Energy Deposition (DED)	
Process				
Energy Source	Laser	E-Beam	Laser or E-Beam	
Powder Bed	Yes	Yes	No	
Power (W or kV)	50-1000 W	30-60kV	100-2000 W	
Max Build Size (mm)	500 x 280 x 320	500 x 280 x 320	2000 x 1500 x 750	
Material	Metallic Powder	Metallic Powder	Metallic Powder or Wire	
Dimensional Accuracy	<0.04 mm	0.04-0.2 mm	0.5 mm (powder) 1.0 mm (wire)	

- 3D printing or additive manufacturing (AM) has shown promise in realizing a new design space for aerospace applications.
- Each AM technique has a set of pros and cons associated with them.
- Instead of producing well known cast and wrought alloys with AM. We should look at AM as a new opportunity to produce materials that are currently difficult to create.
- For this study, SLM is used due to it's superior dimensional accuracy.

High Temperature AM Compatible Materials

High Temperature Materials:

- Refractory metals
- Carbon-Carbon composites
- CMC's
- Ni-base superalloys
- Dispersion strengthened
 (DS) alloys

Inspired by Andy Jones. ODS alloy Development.

(DS) alloys offer higher temperature capabilities compared to Ni-base superalloys. However, it has been a challenge to produce DS alloys through conventional manufacturing methods.

Can AM improve DS alloy manufacturability?

Advanced Materials and Manufacturing for High Temperature Applications

- Multi-principal element alloys (MPEAs) or "High-entropy alloys" overcome the strength - ductility trade off.
- The equiatomic NiCoCr mediumentropy alloy (MEA) is particularly of interest due to it's strong phase stability and mechanical properties.
- Single phase solid solution MPEAs are promising AM materials due to minor differences between their liquidus and solidus temperatures. This limits dendritic segregation, solidification cracking, and residual stress.
- Can strengthening oxide particles be incorporated into the AM build without mechanical alloying?

Chowdury et al. Materials Science and Engineering: Reports (2017)

Oksiuta et al. Journal of Material Science (2010)

Methods

- Micron-scale (10-45um) NiCoCr powder was acquired from Praxair.
- Nano-scale (100-200nm) Yttria powder was acquired from American Elements.
- SLM Machine: EOS M100
- Powder Mixing: Resodyn LabRAM II
- Aim of study
 - Leverage SLM to produce dispersion strengthened multi-principal element alloys.
 - Determine optimal SLM laser parameters for both baseline (V-MEA) and dispersion strengthened (DS-MEA) builds.
 - Produce 99.9% dense vertical test specimen for microstructural and mechanical analysis using both V-MEA DS-MEA NiCoCr.
 - Explore heat treatment effects on mechanical performance
 - Produce a high temperature capable 3D printed combustor dome.

Novel Powder Coating Technique

New high energy mixing technique successfully coats NiCoCr powder with 1 wt.% Yttria.

Novel Powder Coating Technique

- The resonant mixing technique did not deform the NiCoCr powders.
- Both uncoated and coated powders qualitatively passed the Hall flow test.

Leveraging SLM to Produce Dispersion Strengthened Alloys

SLM successfully disperses the nano-scale Yttria particles throughout the AM build

DS-MEA Microstructure

Nano-scale Y₂O₃ particles are randomly dispersed throughout microstructure.

SLM Laser Parameters V-MEA

SLM Laser Parameters V-MEA

MEA Microstructures - Porosity

99.9% dense parts were successfully built for both the V-MEA and DS-MEA powder lots.

www.nasa.gov 38

EDS – DS-MEA Microstructure

- Large (>20um) Y_2O_3 particles are not present in AM builds
- NiCoCr matrix remained a random solid solution during SLM process.

No intermetallic phases present after anneal or HIP steps.

Microstructure Analysis

- Yttria particles have pinned the grain boundaries in the MEA-ODS builds
- The HIP cycle successfully removed residual stresses in both the V-MEA and DS-MEA builds

Mechanical Tests V-MEA

Mechanical Tests DS-MEA

DS-MEA specimen much less sensitive to extreme environments.

Yield Strength Curve Comparison

DS-MEA specimen exhibited 50% improvement in yield strength over V-MEA after HIP.

www.nasa.gov 44

1093°C Mechanical Properties

Alloy	Yield Strength	Ultimate Strength	Elongation	Reduction of Area	
/	(MPa)	(MPa)	(%)	(%)	
V-MEA As-Built	52	68	6.5	7	
V-MEA HIP	46	68	8	8.5	
DS-MEA As- Built	71	96	20	22	
DS-MEA HIP	66	90	19	27	

DS-MEA alloys possessed significantly improved high temperature properties over the baseline V-MEA samples.

This includes a >40% increase in strength and a 3x improvement in ductility

Tensile Strength vs Density Comparison

Scatter plot confirms the successful production of a DS alloy using AM

Conclusions

- SLM can be leverage to economically produce dispersion strengthened alloys that until now had been cost prohibitive.
- Multi-principle elements alloys show promise as AM compatible materials
- The incorporation of oxides into the MEA produced a more thermally stable microstructure.
- The DS alloy exhibited improved mechanical properties over the baseline alloy.
- We believe this new manufacturing technique combined with MPEAs opens up a new alloy design space for future high temperature alloys

Acknowledgments

- ASG
- Dave Ellis
- Henry de Groh
- Quynhgiao Nguyen
- Joy Buehler

- Bob Carter
- Pete Bonacuse
- David Scannapieco
- Cheryl Bowman

Acknowledgments