

MAPP
Modeling, Analysis,
Predictions, and Projections

Prediction skill of the 2012 U.S. Great Plains flash drought in Subseasonal Experiment (SubX) models


**Anthony M. DeAngelis^{1,2}, Hailan Wang³, Randal D. Koster²,
Siegfried D. Schubert^{1,2}, Yehui Chang^{2,4}, Jelena Marshak²**

¹SSAI, ²NASA/GSFC/GMAO, ³NOAA/NWS Climate Prediction Center

⁴GESTAR/ Morgan State University





The 2012 U.S. Great Plains Flash Drought



Extreme drought (D3) rapidly developed over the central U.S. between June and August


Economic losses > \$30 billion (NCDC 2019)



<http://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/>

Drivers of the 2012 flash drought:


Temporal evolution of event (averaged over 33-50N)



(from MERRA2, anomaly relative to 1999-2015)

Drivers of the 2012 flash drought:


Temporal evolution of event (averaged over 33-50N)



(from MERRA2, anomaly relative to 1999-2015)


Drivers of the 2012 flash drought:

Temporal evolution of event (averaged over 33-50N)



(from MERRA2, anomaly relative to 1999-2015)

Prediction of the 2012 flash drought



Operational seasonal forecasts did not predict the drought

← CPC drought outlook for the summer of 2012 based, in part, on dynamical models initialized once per month

Could the drought have been predicted with subseasonal-to-seasonal (S2S) forecast models initialized on a weekly basis?

Potential sources of S2S prediction skill for flash drought:

- 1. Land (soil moisture)** – accurate initialization and physics
- 2. Rossby wave trains** – potential week 2-3 prediction of drought

SubX

Subseasonal EXperiment Project - collection of global forecast systems for S2S prediction

8

Global models


NCAR, NOAA, NASA, NRL, ECCO

17

Years of *retrospective* forecasts (1999-2015)

Initialized every

7 DAYS *at least*



4 to 28 Ensemble members per week
Forecast length of **32-45** days


GOAL: To assess the S2S prediction skill of the 2012 drought in SubX

S2S prediction – ~3-4 weeks in the future, 1-2 week averages


Evaluate forecasts against MERRA-2 reanalysis, focusing on P and T2m*

Prediction skill for rapid drought intensification, example model/initialization:

Precipitation anomaly, Jun 24-Jul 7, 2012 (mm/day)




2m temperature anomaly, Jun 24-Jul 7, 2012 (K)




Prediction skill for rapid drought intensification, example model/initialization:

Precipitation anomaly, Jun 24-Jul 7, 2012 (mm/day)



Average anomalies
over this region and
evaluate the
temporal evolution
of the event



2m temperature anomaly, Jun 24-Jul 7, 2012 (K)



Week 3-4 forecast regional-mean anomalies during summer 2012:





Skill is overall not great but some models/initializations are more skillful.
What factors contribute to the more skillful predictions?

Does the accuracy of soil moisture initialization influence the prediction skill?

Compare bias in soil moisture anomaly at the start of a forecast with corresponding bias in week 3-4 forecast

4 models, June-July initializations, 3 central U.S. flash droughts (regional means)



Implications:


- 1) Importance of accurate SM initialization
- 2) Importance of land-atmosphere feedbacks
- 3) Large spread in SM initialization accuracy

Larger bias in initial SM → larger bias in week 3-4 forecast

1) and 2) supported with larger-ensemble controlled NASA GEOS simulations


Rossby wave train is sufficiently predicted in some models/initializations

V wind at 200 hPa anomaly (m/s)



Wave train development well predicted

Geo. ht. at 200 hPa anomaly (dam)




Wave train propagation and ridge over North America reasonably predicted

Recap: Sources of prediction skill for the 2012 extreme heatwave


1. Land initialization, 2. Rossby wave train

2m temp. anomaly for Jun 20 – Jul 9, 2012 (K)



Conclusions

- Two key factors contribute to skillful predictions of the 2012 Great Plains flash drought in SubX models:
 1. Accurate soil moisture initialization
 2. Quasi-stationary Rossby wave train prediction
- Findings can be generalized to summer flash droughts in the central U.S.
- Results offer hope for the S2S prediction of extreme temperature anomalies associated with flash drought, *but the prediction skill of precipitation is low and needs further study.*
- Important implications:
 - Land initialization approach matters and needs revision in some SubX models.
 - Rossby wave trains can be predicted in some S2S forecast systems, but we do not fully understand why. *More research needed on their sources and predictability.*




Thank You
Questions?

Extra Slides

What is a *flash drought*?

- **Drought that develops over a short period of time (weeks to a few months)**
- Drivers:
 - Substantial precipitation deficits (*Koster et al. 2019*)
 - High evaporative demand: warm temperatures, low humidity, sunny skies, strong winds (*Otkin et al. 2018*)
- Consequence: Rapid decline in soil moisture

The 2012 Central U.S. Flash Drought Impacts



(source: Hoerling et al. 2013, Assessment Report)

SubX models


Model	Institution(s)	# Members	Forecast Length	Hindcast Initializations
CESM-46LCESM1	NCAR, NOAA/ESRL	10	45 days	Every Wednesday 1999-2015
ECCC-GEM**	ECCC, Canada	4	32 days	Every 7 days 1995-2014
EMC-GEFS**	NCEP/EMC	11	35 days	Every Wednesday 1999-2016
ESRL-FIM	NOAA/ESRL	4	32 days	Every Wednesday 1999-2017
GMAO-GEOS	NASA/GMAO	4	45 days	Every 5 days 1999-2016
NCEP-CFSv2*	NCEP	1	44 days	Every 6 hours 1999-2017
NAVY-ESPC	Naval Research Lab	1	45 days	4 days/week 1999-2016
RSMAS-CCSM4	NCAR, U. Miami	3	45 days	Every 7 days 1999-2016

* Operational models


+ Uncoupled models

GEOS model details

- AGCM: Post-MERRA2 generation, 0.5 degree, 72 hybrid sigma/pressure levels
- Catchment land model
- OGCM: MOM5, 0.5 degree, 40 levels
- Sea Ice: CICE-4.0
- Initialization of hindcasts:
 - Atmosphere and land: MERRA2 at 21Z previous day
 - Ocean: Ocean Data Assimilation System (ODAS) output




(temporal anomaly correlations for 1999-2015)



Models that use different
land models to obtain
initialization soil moisture
and to produce forecasts

Prediction of quasi-stationary Rossby wave in SubX

Anomaly of V wind at 200 hPa in summer of 2012 (m/s)



Initial development well simulated, but propagation to NA too slow in some models


Additional support from GEOS

- We perform **additional hindcasts with the NASA GEOS model** for the summer of 2012 to supplement the analysis.
- **Two experiments:**
 - **CTL** – 35 members with full land-atmosphere-ocean initialization (i.e., SubX+31 members)
 - **noSFC** – 35 runs where the land is initialized from a different year in each run
- **Important results:**
 1. Confirm that land initialization is important for skillful prediction of the 2012 drought. **In the noSFC runs, forecasted anomalies are near-zero.**
 2. Rossby wave train development (*and propagation*) is reasonably predicted in both experiments when GEOS is initialized after June 8, 2012.

Q: How important is land initialization for forecast skill?

A: Very important. GEOS runs support results from SubX ensemble.

Central U.S. regional mean, 7-day running mean



Temporal evolution of V wind at 200 hPa

