

Modeling whitecaps on global scale

Aishwarya Raman^{1,2}, Anton S. Darmenov¹


¹NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, GMAO

²Science Systems and Applications, Inc

**AI41A: Fluxes and Physical Processes Near the
Air-Sea Interface: Observations and Modeling**

Abstract # : AI41A-05


AGU100 ADVANCING
EARTH AND
SPACE SCIENCE




Air sea processes and whitecaps (W)

- Air-sea exchange
 - Heat, momentum, aerosols and gases
- Sea surface albedo
- Atmospheric correction of ocean color sensors
- Model predictions of ocean surface layer processes

Global observations of W from satellite at multiple frequencies can help quantify the W variability



Global whitecap retrievals (M. D. Anguelova, NRL)



Whitecap models : Wind dependence

At a given wind speed, W variability is ~ 1 -2 orders of magnitude

$$W = aU_{10}^n$$

(e.g. Monahan, 1971)

$$W = au_*^n$$


(e.g. Wu, 1988)

$$W = a(U_{10} - b)^n$$


(Goddijn-Murphy et al. (2011))

$$W = f(\text{Wind history})$$


(e.g. Callaghan et al., 2008)



Variation of W with sea state



Sugihara et al. 2007



Deike et al. 2017

Wave based W


$$W = aRe_b^n$$

(e.g. Toba and Koga, 1986)

$$W = a \left(\frac{c_p}{u_*} \right)^n$$


(e.g. Scanlon and Ward (2016))

Including statistics of wind waves can help reduce the scatter in W





Objectives

- Test the utility of previously described whitecap parameterizations using NDBC measurements and NASA GMAO GEOS-UMWM model to understand the physical mechanisms driving whitecap fraction.
- Develop a **process based** whitecap parameterization for active and total whitecap based on Windsat whitecap retrievals at 10 and 37 GHz that is applicable globally.




Observation constrained modeling




- Windsat W : $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ multi-frequency retrievals [Anguelova et al., 2019]
- 10 GHz includes more active W and 37 GHz include fresh + mature (foam) W

- GEOS-UMWM
 - $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$ resolution runs for 2014 replayed to MERRA-2
 - Wind, sea-ice, air density input to UMWM from GEOS




W parameterization development

NDBC Wind/wave collocated stations





Co-locate Windsat




Model fitting

Steepness, Re ,
dissipation rate,
peak period,
peak, air-sea
temperature
difference,
peak wave
velocity

Sample GEOS-UMWM at NDBC




Test against independent Windsat W




W parameterization development (cont.)

GEOS Wind/Wave



Globally available Windsat W




Model fitting

*Coefficients
f (wave field)*



*Add additional
terms for high
wind speed
f (u*)*




Test against
independent
Wind88t W




Variability with wind speed






Variability with wind speed

- Whitecap decreases for higher windspeed.
- In order to capture this behavior in models, additional terms based on wind stress were added to the Seastate W model for wind speed > 20 m/s.








Variability in coefficients

NDBC




GEOS sampled at NDBC

Global




**Coefficient
a**


$$W = a(u_*)^b e^{(c \Delta T)}$$




**Coefficient
b**




Does inclusion of sea state improve W distribution?



- New parameterization improves the density of W for $W < 0.1$ % and $W > 2$ %.
- Active whitecap responds to wave field inclusion better than total whitecap




Variability in W_{mod} (total W)




The proposed parameterization $f(u^*, \Delta T, \text{waves})$ when compared to the wind based parameterization showed improvements in MSE: 32% for swell and developed sea, 42% for windsea; all regimes - 31%

Significant spread in W_{model} remains unexplained!




Conclusions

- A physically motivated whitecap prediction model is developed using wind and wave fields from NDBC measurements and GEOS-UMWM wave model.
- Including wave field improves MSE (32% for total W ; 42% for active W) and explained variance for whitecap estimates for Swell and younger waves in comparison with Windsat.
- Active whitecap estimated using seastate based functions shows higher correlation and lower RMSE compared to total whitecap.



Future directions : Missing whitecaps

- Investigate scatter in seastate based whitecap models
 - Suspended particulate matter composition – organics, seaspray?
 - Bias in wave model friction velocity and drag?
- Use high resolution whitecap retrievals from Windsat
 - Sensitivity to reduced observation error
 - Sensitivity to high resolution geophysical variables.



Acknowledgements

Funding support: the NASA MAP program and GMAO Core.

Thanks to Magdalena D. Anguelova, NRL for sharing Windsat Whitecap retrievals.

aishwarya.raman@nasa.gov

anton.s.darmenov@nasa.gov

Thank you



Picture : <https://www.surfertoday.com/surfing/surfers-enjoy-huge-swell-in-the-hawaiian-islands>

16