Overcoming Dimensionality Barriers in Satellite Data to Elucidate SPECTRAL-SPATIAL-TEMPORAL Trends in the Ocean

> <u>Ryan Vandermeulen</u>, Antonio Mannino, Susanne Craig, Jeremy Werdell Ocean Ecology Laboratory NASA Goddard Space Flight Center











How can quantify and analyze the differences between multiple layers of spectral information within the context of a two-dimensional image?



Apparent Visible Wavelength

$$AVW = \frac{\sum_{i=\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{n}} R_{RS}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{n}} \frac{R_{RS}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)}{\lambda_{i}}} = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{n}} \lambda_{i}^{-1} R_{RS}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=\lambda_{1}}^{\lambda_{n}} R_{RS}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)}\right)^{-1}$$

The weighted harmonic mean of the R_{RS} wavelengths, outputs an **Apparent Visible Wavelength, AVW** (in units of nm). The derivation of the AVW is simply a first-order measure of the dominant color of the water, as determined by the weight that each measured channel contributes to the reflectance in the visible range of the spectrum.



Apparent Visible Wavelength



Every color on the map represents a different spectral shape. Constraining multiple layers (wavelengths) of multi-spectral $R_{rs}(\lambda)$ into one dimension is a simple and robust way for users to visualize and quantify trends in spectral $R_{rs}(\lambda)$ in terms of its apparent dominant color, which, inherently relates to a specific spectral shape and a unique combination of absorption and scattering properties.





Elucidating spectral shifts over time (SeaWiFS \rightarrow MODIS/VIIRS \rightarrow PACE)





Apparent Visible Wavelength *into the IOP-verse*

- AVW concept can be seamlessly applied to IOPs (total absorption and backscatter, GIOP).
- If we compare the rate of change in spectral shape of a_T relative to that of b_{bT}, we find that the two are no always directly correlated or proportional.
- Subtle changes in the relative directionality of spectral trends may help elucidate bio-optical (or other) shifts within the satellite data record.





What this does show:

 Relative magnitude and directionality of spectral shifts in GIOP products.

What this does NOT show:

- Where these measurements have been adversely impacted by atm-correction.
- A truly independent assessment of IOP behavior (S = 0.018, $\eta \sim r_{rs}443/r_{rs}55x$, $a_{ph} \sim chl-a \sim r_{rs}443/r_{rs}55x$).

So why do we care?

 The simultaneous conceptualization of multiple data dimensions maximizes the full capacity of a given sensor. Can we link bio-optical behavior over time with shifts in community composition?

Summary



- 1) The weighted harmonic mean of spectral wavelengths can be used as an index of optical water types that share similar spectral signatures.
- 2) This technique can be translated to relate spectral shape between sensors of varying spectral resolution.
- 3) The output along a continuum of color values enables spatio-temporal analysis of subtle spectral shifts.
- 4) Directional trends in absorption and backscatter lend a hidden context to changes in water color.
- 5) Conceptualization of multiple data dimensions is imperative as passive/active sensors grow increasingly sophisticated in nature.

Apparent_Visible_Wavelength (nm

Posters addressing spectral-spatial trends:

- ME24C-0118 Sensor Fusion in the Chromatic Domain: Expanding the spatiotemporal reach of the operational ocean color constellation (Jolliff et al.) Tuesday
- **OB24C-0472** Global trends of transparency and color based on merged multi-sensor satellite data (Pitarch et al.) Tuesday
- CP14D-1090 Water Clarity in Large Lakes and Reservoirs across China between 2000 and 2017 Observed from MODIS (Li and Wang) – Monday
- ME24C-0117 Retrieval of Water Quality Parameters from NOAA Hyperspectral Data Using Neural Networks - Western Lake Erie (Zolfaghari et al.) – Tuesday