



Additional On-Surface and Off-Surface Aerodynamic Data for Partially-Dressed Cavity-Closed Nose Landing Gear Benchmark (PDCC-NLG)

Mehdi R. Khorrami and Dan H. Neuhart NASA Langley Research Center

Workshop on Benchmark problems for Airframe Noise Computations (BANC-1) Stockholm, Sweden, June 10-11, 2010

PDCC - NLG





Fixed vs. Mobile Sensor



Lower Torque-Arm





Upper Torque-Arm



Door Front Side



 10^{4}



Door Backside (Horizontal Rows)





Door Backside (Vertical Rows)





PIV Planes





PDCC vs. FDCO Surface Pressures



NA S

FDCO vs PDCC Comparison



X-Z Plane PIV Measurements









tke







Summary



- Unsteady surface pressures from the mobile sensor confirm the broadband nature of, and lack of any tonal character in, the pressure field, corroborating previous results obtained with the fixed sensors
- The shock-strut torque-arm combination produces flow interactions reminiscent of tandem cylinder flow field
- The X-Z PIV planes downstream of the wheels show the wake to be symmetric
- A collective decision must be made as to whether the present data should be added to the PDCC-NLG dataset
- What more can be done and how else can we improve the dataset for the PDCC-NLG benchmark?

Backup Charts

